National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

February 8, 2016

Topic: Subversion among liberty groups

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: 2 Samuel 22: 1-25

Let’s first talk about our newsletter

We’ve been sending our newsletter out

A lot of information. People should be taking a look at the newsletter and understand what’s going on and what we’re doing.

We are rapidly approaching critical mass.

Quite a bit of membership growth has been happening lately.

Monday night we have this 9:00 pm meeting.

Tuesday at 9:00 PM EST we have jury administration training for anyone who is interested. Basically what they are doing right now is learning to write papers. There is only one way to learn to write papers and that is by reading other papers.

Wednesday at 9:00 PM EST not every Wednesday but this Wednesday we have a special meeting of all federal district leaders. We are going to push forward with this Campaign for Justice that we started in January. This year is the Year of Liberty. This is the year to campaign for liberty. We pulled together a federal district leadership. The meeting will discuss what is going to be the workload of this group. Everything can be done from your home but there is organization to be done.

There’s preparations to be done.

We’re growing at a phenomenal rate and we need to be able to control that growth.

We have to bring a sense of honor, a sense of justice, and a sense of mercy.

We’re going to do it peacefully, We’re going to do it lawfully, And we’re going to do it by the Constitution.

We’re going to do it through critical mass. Critical mass is really starting to move.

Thursday at 9:00 PM EST there is a sheriffs’ meeting.

The sheriff committee is meeting.

Anyone with law enforcement or military background is very much welcome.

People that will be running for sheriff , or sheriffs, or any law enforcement that is a meeting that you should come to.

Then get involved and join that committee.

We have about 12 committees.

At least six of them are pretty much active.

Maybe there are some other committees that we haven’t thought about. You can make a proposal to have other committees.

Sunday at 11:00 AM EST is Bible Study. We are doing the Harmony of the Gospels.

It has been on hold because we have been so focused on Oregon.

It takes 2 or 3 or 4 hrs of study to prepare for 1 hr of Bible Study.

Until further notice the 11:00 AM Bible Study is suspended.

It will be announced to let people know when it resumes.

John would like to talk about compatibility and what we are doing.

Anything anyone else is doing is incompatible to what we are doing.

Nobody is doing what we are doing.

We have put a lot of time and effort into studying the problem.

We believe that the way we are doing it and the process we are using is correct.

There are factions that have broken away from us and have started different things.

God bless them and hopefully they will be successful in achieving the same goal that we are achieving.

It could be questionable whether their goal is the same or not.

If you don’t come with a sense of honor If you don’t come with a sense of justice

If you don’t come with a sense of mercy

And if you don’t have patience

And if you don’t pray to the Lord

There are a lot of people out there doing things

Because they are not putting out the principles of common law which are the principles of God, which are the principles of Natural Law which is the principles of the Bible.

Because they are not putting that up first and foremost it is questionable that they can succeed in what they are doing

(20 min)

John has a question:

I have many court opinions throughout the years, even as recent as 1953, that says that the citizens of the United States is synonymous with citizen of the federal government. That opinion is undeniable. Every person that fills in voters registration is required under penalty of perjury that they are in fact a citizen of the United States. That means that everyone serving on a current jury is a federal citizen. And almost everyone listening tonight are voters and have made that declaration. My question is: The people sitting on the common law grand jury cannot be federal citizens. Correct?

Well you have to screen for citizens of the state they live in.

Has this issue been dealt with?

I think that this issue has been dealt with, maybe not directly, but indirectly, but it might even have been dealt with directly at some point in time.

I am a citizen of New York.

I am a citizen of the United States.

They can claim ownership of a language and a word. They can make any claim they want . It is nothing short of fraud.

When I go into New Jersey from New York I am totally protected by all the rights anybody from New Jersey has. Plus I have the rights of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, that is, particularly.

My unalienable rights protected by the Bill of Rights. When I continue and go through the states and I go into Pennsylvania or Ohio as I cross that line I become no different from any citizen from Pennsylvania, no different from any citizen from Ohio. I have all of their rights, which should be equal to all of the states. We are citizens of every state so to speak. We may live in one state and we call ourselves whatever state that might be but we have the option at any given moment in time to move to another state. We don’t have to file papers with anyone or do anything. That’s the uniqueness of the United States of America. Now they play their games. They can play their word games all they want. It doesn’t fool us. We know the truth.

They can say whatever they want. It’s not true. It’s a fraud. We’re not going to go into their sandbox. Unalienable rights can’t be given away. The only thing you can do is not exercise it. The moment you decide to pick it back up you can pick it right back up.

Everything they have done is a fraud.

We’re not going to give them any merit.

We give them absolutely no merit.

They are organized crime.

There is only one sitting grand jury in the United States that we at National Liberty Alliance has put forward to bring out. We created the processes to establish all of the necessary juries for every county. We have organized and brought together unified state grand juries in every single state. And then we have brought together all of those counties, all of those states, to come together as the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. And the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury has only one job in mind and focus and that is to deal with the subversion of the United States of America from enemies both domestic and foreign right inside our own government. Until that job is done, we have over 5,000 members at this point, climbing rather rapidly, we, all of us collectively, come together and sit on the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury in order to make decisions concerning the subversion against the United States of America, which is We the People and our Constitution. That’s our job. And that’s what we’re going to do. We will continue to sit on that grand jury as one across the nation. We have organized all together as one because that’s the only way we’re going to defeat these people. We cannot be divided. We can’t have 50 grand juries. We can’t have 3,133 grand juries trying to fix things. We have to have one. We have to spearhead our way in. Oregon could be the spearhead. When we hit critical mass, which we are rapidly approaching, that the spearhead will come through the chink and the people will enter into the courts and they will not be able to stop it.

It’s a matter of time

They’re not going to be able to stand up

We have a lot of different plans on what we are going to do.

Events will decide what we’re really going to do

We really don’t know what the events will be

Back to the question, it’s all about fraud.

That’s the big difference about us and the other people.

They haven’t done their homework.

They made the big mistake that I made in the beginning. They trusted what they read at websites. I trusted that these people knew what they were talking about. They sounded like they knew what they were talking about. Today, I know that they haven’t got a clue. I’ve researched. And many of us have researched.

We know the difference between what we are doing and what they are doing.

They’re breaking the law to save the law. That’s the contradiction.

People have pushed us many time to go forward here or to go forward there but we knew that that was not the principled thing to do.

Maybe it will be the principled thing to do at a later time.

Most of those pushed could have been from enemies to sabotage what we are doing.

There are pied pipers out there to draw the people into a different direction.

A direction of violence A direction of internationality A direction of putting liens on judges even going as far as arresting people and putting them in their own homemade prison. We have nothing to do with that. Nothing at all. We made it clear on the front page of our website and we will make it clear in the courts soon, who we are, what we are doing, what our positions are, and what we do not do. We will work that out as we go in the next week or two.

John asked JP if he had an announcement.

Which of the fifty states had an election as far as the sheriff is concerned.

The federal district leaderships are meeting tomorrow. Maybe collectively they can piece up the work you have done. Each state can check the work on the sheriffs. That’s one of the jobs we’ll give them. And we’ll let you talk about this one the Wednesday night call.

If you get into one federal district you get into all immediately

It’s much easier to control the influx of people if we do it from the federal district level.

Eventually it will be done in the state level. Federal districts is the state level.

All state co-ordinators should become a federal district leader.

All county organizers should become a federal district leader.

A lot of the work load they have will be the same.

They should remain as the state co-ordinator

They should remain as the county organizer

Jan had some comments

One was about the kick off meeting for Wednesday night for all of the federal district leaders. We have about 135 of them but we still have some have 3 or 4 district leaders in one district. So we do still need some.

Also Jan compiled an e-mail list so we can keep in contact with all of the district leaders.

Jan sent out a mass e-mail. So if you volunteered to be a district leader and you didn’t get an e-mail announcement for the meeting please e-mail Jan at [jan@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:jan@nationallibertyalliance.org) and give Jan your name and phone number so he can add you to the list

Because of the Wednesday meeting with the district leaders, the courtroom observers session will be cancelled.

In terms of the IRC researchers, we have 7 or 8 working and we have 55 – 60 audio files and video files and documents that we need to go through. We do need some help there as well.

If you want to do some researching that is what we are going to be doing.

There should be a meeting to decide what roles we need.

We need district, we need state, we need county

Certain committees may need a role

(40 min)

Gerard commented that it looks like the nation is waking up

It looks like the constitutional law enforcement has decided to ban together.

In order to be successful we need to walk as righteous as we can.

And also pray mightily unto God.

There is still four people up at that wildlife refuge holding on

One of the guys up there was actually able to get a phone call out

He claims that the PPN which is the Pacific Patriot Network he said that they are compromised.

He said that they are telling lies. They provided a lot of the security. Some of the people involved in this were under their security

If they are compromised then that is one of the things that took Ammon out.

There are agent provocateurs in the groups

They indicted Pete Santilli

They needed an alternative media guy to go after

He was an easy target.

They have a representative for every one of the patriot movements

That’s what they are using this Bundy case for

They want to crush the militia

The want to crush the alternative media

They want to crush the Constitution and the patriots

They’re not talking about the Hammonds anymore

They’re using this case to label them all as subversives and terrorists

Gary made an announcement

Gary has found Judge Anna, as she calls herself, to be a very confusing subject.

Anna’s stuff only confuses and dilutes our message

NLA has a member who is upset because Gary removed a thread of Anna Von Reitz’s communication. Gary does not believe that that communication belongs in the NLA because the NLA site is for NLA Gary asked: Should I leave that kind of communication up?

John responded that what she is doing, he hopes that she is successful and hopes her heart is in the right place, but nevertheless what she is doing is not compatible to what we are doing. To allow any of her stuff onto our website would only confuse people.

Her work is not compatible with us so we cannot put up anybody’s work that is not compatible and not find harmony with what we are doing. We’d be confusing people.

Judge Anna did some action through the post master of her state and is not a real judge.

CALLERS:

(59 min)

Caller 1: Michigan Jan

It’s not Jan It’s JP

JP wants to say something about Judge Anna

Is there anything that prevents us from being united?

She has very good research

NLA has even quoted her research

The problem is her solution

She was the secretary to the Pope

The Vatican is in this thing, they like to ride the waves to the top so they can stay in power.

They’re talking about an international tribunal in Brussels

There’s no international tribunal that can enforce anything in America

That’s a violation of our sovereignty

Our forefathers gave us the methodology right here

We don’t need to rewrite the Constitution

We do not need an international body to straighten things out

The rest of the world has a fractional reserve of the freedom we get

They break the principles

They violate the principles of common law

A lot of these people are being led astray

There are Pied Pipers

They are leading people who don’t understand what they are getting into

People who broke away from us we told them to stop doing it we cut off from them we put out disclaimers we distanced ourselves as far as we could we told the people in those states to stay away from them because you’re only going to go to jail And they did go to jail

They went to jail. That’s subversion

There’s another way to do it

They’re not following principles. They’re bypassing principles.

What they are doing is indictable.

If they go and lien a judge and try to damage a judge or any elected individual , if that judge came to us and asked for a remedy we have to give it to him They have injured him

We can’t do wrongdoings

(1 hr 18 min)

Caller 2: Eric from Georgia

Someone said that our Founding Fathers weren’t Christian

This seems to come up a lot

The footnotes come from Excellence of the Common Law

Also caller is against having licenses

This is a footnote from a Karl Miller thing:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Young Christian lawyer Patrick Henry saw why a JURY of PEERS is so vital to FREEDOM! It was March 1775 when he rode into a small town of Culpepper, Virginia. He was totally shocked by what he saw! There, in the middle of the town square was a minister tied to a whipping post, his back laid bare and bloody with the bones of his ribs showing. He had been scourged mercilessly like JESUS, with whips laced with metal.  Patrick Henry is quoted as saying: "When they stopped beating him, I could see the bones of his rib cage. I turned to someone and asked what the man had done to deserve such a beating as this."  SCOURGED FOR NOT TAKING A LICENSE!  The reply given him was that the man being scourged was a minister who refused to take a license. He was one of twelve who were locked in jail because they refused to take a license. A license often becomes an arbitrary control by government that makes a crime out of what ordinarily would not be a crime. IT TURNS A RIGHT INTO A PRIVILEGE! Three days later they scourged him to death. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| This was the incident which sparked Christian lawyer Patrick Henry to write the famous words which later became the rallying cry of the Revolution. "What is it that Gentlemen wish? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know no what course others may take, but as for me, GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH!”  If you come to the callers neighborhood in Atlanta, Georgia, and every report that the caller ever read  says that talking on your cell phone is as least as if not more than dangerous than drunk driving.  If you come to the caller’s neighborhood during rush hour and five out of ten people are on their phone.  They all have licenses. But what they don’t have is respect. If we enforce the common law they’ll  get that respect soon enough |

John responded that you have to decide where you are going to draw the line and when you are going to go to battle.

Some people don’t mind going to jail over and over and over again.

John agrees that you should not need a license to drive. Nobody should be forced to have one.

Right now, the way things are, you are going to spend a lot of time in jail.

Everytime they stop you you are going to find yourself arrested and you will have to go through all of the expenses and the time and travel

If you want to do that that’s fine

John chose to get a license because he thought that he had to have one.

Now that he has one his perspective is in case he wants to do some commercial driving , and a few years back he did do some commercial driving , he may want to do it again, then he has the license and he can drive a commercial vehicle. And he doesn’t have to worry about it.

If a police officer pulls John over and asks to see his license he is going to show him his license and not make an argument.

Sometimes he gets away with things because he is extremely polite with these guys.

Sometimes they just give a warning and say don’t go so fast.

Being polite and having a license will keep you out of jail.

Don’t make an argument with a cop. He doesn’t have a clue.

It is not even worth it to make an argument in the town court.

If you don’t like what’s going on sue them in the federal court.

But you have to be prepared and ready to sue them in the federal court.

You have to know how to do that .

The federal court will try to throw your paperwork out.

The caller said that the argument that he just made is for after we get our courts back.

He drives with a license. He doesn’t play in their sandbox. He has no interest in going to jail.

So he drives with a license. He is very polite too.

After we get our courts back, what then will we decide as a people?

Just having a license doesn’t mean that you are going to care about your neighbor.

Anybody who took the civics course saw the documentary that Mr. Griffin put out there concerning back in the days of the twenties and thirties they were trying to change history and they weren’t getting too much success. So they created their own group of historians. They started to change history

There is a list of books at the website that John suggests that people should read.

One is the Excellence of the Common Law by Brent Winters.

You can buy all of these an NCCS

The Real Thomas Jefferson, John is blown away by this book

This book will tell you that he was a Godly man

These books can be gotten from the National Center for Constitutional Studies

nccs.net

The seven pillars of wisdom come from 2 Peter 1 : 5-11

**5**And beside this, giving all diligence, add to your faith virtue; and to virtue knowledge;

**6**And to knowledge temperance; and to temperance patience; and to patience godliness;

**7**And to godliness brotherly kindness; and to brotherly kindness charity.

**8**For if these things be in you, and abound, they make you that ye shall neither be barren nor unfruitful in the knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ.

(1 hr 37 min)

Caller 3 Barry North Carolina

He just came up with a theory on how to get constitutional sheriffs in office.

Get several people to correct their political status to state citizen nationals and do a write-in for constitutional sheriff.

That doesn’t change anything per se just because they’re nationalists

All of us are on equal standing in America in every state.

The fiction could claim what it wants to claim that we’re something else because we’re citizens or we hold licenses or social security numbers or any of those things

It’s all a fraud It’s irrelevant It doesn’t mean anything.

John is going to try to create a platform that sheriffs can run on

Gerard said that write-ins are one of the hardest things to get

Caller said that he wasn’t born in the United States

He was born in Florida.

John said that he understands what the caller is talking about but when you go to court and talk that way they make you look like you’re crazy

You are right from their perspective

But from our perspective everything that they are doing is fraud

They have robbed our process of naturalizing people

We pay no heed to them We are not going to give them authority of having that prerogative

We’re going to say no that’s fraud we’re not going to talk about it

Caller said the judges and clerks get a copy of a book the book is called the AntiGovernment Movement Guide Book and its for the judges and clerks How to subvert the law and beat us in court. From the National Justice Institute

Caller introduced his sheriff to the civics course

Gerard recommends to start the sheriff off with the Constitutional course first because it’s the fast track to opening his eyes

NLA would like to find out more about the state magistrate classes to see what they are telling these judges

(1 hr 55 min)

Caller 4 Connecticut Edward

He is always looking for more reasons for people to join NLA.

We have so many reasons already.

How does the common law handle if someone is trying to evade being sued for damages. To avoid a suit, they leave the state. How does the common law handle that?

When you’re avoiding a suit that is more of an equity thing.

That’s like contract law.

If you go through a legal process when you’re trying to sue somebody and they leave the state, one state is suppose to honor what the other state does, you can subpoena them and you could have them brought in. And if they don’t show up, they default.

The chief thing is catching them before they leave the state. That’s probably the key point.

Unless you can prove that they left the state for that purpose. That could be another key point.

Basically anything over twenty bucks could bring them into a common law court by jury.

But bear in mind, even though for the first time in history, you look up in any of these dictionaries and you will find equity court is not listed under common law. But in America it is. It is ruled by American jurisprudence in which common law documents in order to guide the judges concerning the common law in the equity courts. And any judge acting outside of American jurisprudence is in bad behavior and can be removed from the bench by the people.

And that process is done through grand jury indicting for violating people’s inalienable rights. But as far as an individual, what is the circumstance.

Just general stuff that I see all the time. Nothing in particular.

I’ve seen cases where you’re in one state and you’re selling something to somebody in another state, as a matter of fact I handled a case like this once before, where the individual constructed the materials necessary for the job and it was taken to another state. Now they made the install. They had some problems and it’s arguable whether it was the installer’s fault or the product was at fault. And they tried to sue him in that state. But you see in the contract was already the provision that if there are any concerns or any legal issues that come up it would be dealt with in the court in the state where the product was created. And so therefore if the person wanted to sue them they would have to travel to the state. Now they tried to sue in the other state and the judge tried to get the person out there. They never went out there and I’m not sure how all that ended up. I did write some papers for this person. But way back when when this was going on he had failed to tell me that that was in the contract. Cause I told him I said You should have put that in the contract He said it’s already in the contract and I said you have nothing to worry about then. Just write the judge and say, hey, if he wants to make a charge, come up here and do it here.

The Full Faith and Credit Clause—Article IV, Section 1, of the U.S. Constitution—provides that the various states must recognize legislative acts, public records, and judicial decisions of  the other states within the United States.

As soon as you’re put in jail, you got a record, you can’t have a weapon.

If we had common law you would see the trials drop exponentially because people would fix it before it ever got that far.

Update on Eric Garner

We did an indictment here in New York

It didn’t go anywhere.

We should have done this in federal court but we didn’t know enough back then to do it then

It’s going to be risen back up. We have done 8 indictments on judges here in New York. They may think we disappeared but I know they know we’re still out there.

At some point in time we’re going to take those into the federal courts at the right time.

Refile them in the federal courts.

But obviously we’re not going to be able to do that until we get some leverage in the courts.

And Oregon I think is going to provide for that leverage.

And there are a lot of other things going on, negotiations, conversations, communications with individuals that can help us get this leverage in the court.

We’re coming from every state. We have plenty of people in all of the states and we have plenty of people in all the federal districts. We’re coming in with 5,000 of us. That’s why critical mass is so important. When we hit that critical mass point and that avalanche of people opens up and we start to load in membership. That’s when it’s game over for them.

A territory cannot possibly exist inside of a state.

That just removed all jurisdiction of anything and everything the FBI did in that state and what they did was illegal, unconstitutional, and they are liable for it. They had no authority, anything they did there. To make arrests, to put these people in jail, to go out after them for fires which were backfires, to shoot this man, LaVoy, to arrest these people, for anything, Because they had no jurisdiction at all. Everything they did was a fraud. And that’s from day one. So right off the bat the whole case falls apart. Before you even get into the fact that the man was assassinated. He wasn’t murdered or just killed. He was assassinated.

(2 hr 14 min)

Caller 5: This is Kerry from Colorado

I want to emphasize one thing. I think that Ammon Bundy and the rest out of the myriad of mistakes that they did make, they were doing good. Neglecting the fact that they went with Judge Anna and Joaquin (walk keen). The other mistake I think he made is that he called for people to come with arms. I’m wondering if we shouldn’t be on the spot with saying that we are not

John interrupted and said we’re on the record that he did it the wrong way. We’re on the record for that. Remember that ultimately it was a protest and he made his big mistake of talking arms and that’s got to be retracted but nevertheless after that they did talk about protesting and the fact that it is a protest. And even the congressman out there in Washington was on the floor and gave his speech said they did it the wrong way and so on and so forth. But it was a protest. It’s a protest. Why, as LaVoy put it, the rattling of the saber. Why are you going through all of this? These people are upset. And look at, here’s the problem, the legislature, Washington, already knew this. Washington knew this. We got the transcript of, it got to be five hours, and we have the audio and the video also, that was done on C-Span where Congress was looking into what was going on out West. And they called them, they said they were terrorists, they didn’t use the word terrorist but they used the word like Gestapo or something. I forget exactly the word, I have to go back to look. But they were pretty strong words. These people were being literally terrorized by the federal government, the BLM was way out of hand. And they also made reference to the fact that this isn’t something just coming up, this has been around for quite some time. They’re out of control. Look they burned houses, they burned farms, they burned ranches, the burned cattle, they’ve destroyed, look at LaVoy they sabotaged his water system on a couple of occasions. They robbed his water. They’ve done everything they can to intimidate and to injure and get them off the land. A lot of ranchers left. Congress has already looked into this. And they’ve come up with their conclusions. And they were suppose to make law. I don’t know what ever happened to that. They agreed that they needed to make law. What they were looking for was what is called a cause of action. When we first started studying law we learned about causes of actions, we used that because we thought that’s how the process should work. You had to find a cause of action and you had to find something recorded. They were looking for a cause of action. Hey look how about US 42 as a cause of action? What about US 18? What’s wrong with Congress that they don’t know there’s a cause of action already, number one? And number two, you know what a cause of action is? The United State Supreme Court has already ruled on it numerous times what a cause of action is. A sworn affidavit, cause of action, end of subject, nothing else to talk about. You don’t need some magic words or a group of words or try to make a certain point, three points that you have to meet, and two have to hit or whatever the case may be. If there’s an injury, got to be a remedy. End of subject, nothing else to talk about. If there is no injury there is no common law action at all any way and it doesn’t matter. There is no other court in the United States that has the Constitution or the legality to operate. The chancery courts don’t have the operational powers. It’s illegal. It’s unconstitutional. And in my opinion it is really it is an assault against the American people. It’s subversion because they’re taking people and putting people in jail in courts that have no authority to do so. The only court that has the power and authority to incarcerate or to fine is a court of record. And a court of record is one that proceeds according to the common law. None of those courts proceed according to the common law. They’re chancery. They’re not courts of record. They have no power to incarcerate. They have no power to fine. And yet they do everyday, day in and day out. That’s subversion against the people of the United States of America. If they continue on that path they will all be indicted. Every single judge out there is going to be indicted.

They made their mistake when they set up that Committee of Safety and one of the authorities of the Committee of Safety, and the Committee of Safety is a traditional common law thing that they use, especially they use it on the frontier, but they use it also in the colonial days. And one of the things that they could do is that they could call the militia. Well that sentence was put in there and that drove them crazy. When they saw the Committee of Safety could call the militia that’s what made the fire chief join. He said look if there’s a committee that can call the militia up and start a revolution, you better believe that I am going to be on there so that I can prevent a revolution.

Ammon said something very profound, he said, if we didn’t do something, this would become the new normal.

If you’re on a jury and you have to decide if they’re innocent or guilty, one of the things that you take into consideration is the intent. Did he have the intent to break the law. Absolutely not. If you walked a mile in his shoes you would understand why he did it. Because he believed that there was no due process available and essentially he’s right. If you look at the paperwork, if you look at everything that he filed, if you look at how they treated it, if you didn’t know about common law or anything else, he was right. It’s time for the militia as far as he was concerned. And I understand exactly why he felt that way. It wasn’t an unreasonable conclusion.

(2 hr 24 min)

Caller 6 Michigan Jan

I just want to make clear some of the things that I’ve experienced

There are many churches out there in the world.

Christian churches

The Christian churches all have God’s people in them.

But on the other hand, they also have Satan’s people in them.

So you have God’s people and Satan’s people. The wheat and the tares.

And Jesus Christ is the one that is going to come and take care of those wicked people in the churches.

So I feel that anyone out there that’s going to a Catholic church, Baptist church, Congregational church, any Christian church God’s people are there.

And I encourage everyone to be going to some kind of church.

And study the Word.

And God will show you what church to be in eventually.

And I know some people say that this church is C4 or or whatever

Gerard said it’s 501c3 which is a very hideous thing.

Caller continued: We’re not a perfect people and there’s no thing such as a perfect church.

Gerard said, well let me tell you something

Churches got 501c3 but churches don’t need 501c3s

That’s a lie from hell

President Johnson put in there that they could not engage in the activity of propaganda.

Now they interpret that as you can’t talk against the government

You can’t tell the people who to vote for

The word propaganda comes from propagation of the faith

So they could essentially come in there, especially today, now that we have these Supreme Court rulings saying that you can’t discriminate and that you got to do same sex marriages, and you got to do this and you got to do that

Technically they can come in and if you say the wrong thing, somebody comes to you and they want to get married and you refuse to marry them or anything like that then they can pull your 501c3 and when they pull your 501c3 they declare your organization a scam and they go back all through the years, all the deductions that you got, and don’t forget, it’s not only the tax deductions that you got but you had people give you money, and those people took it off their taxes. You see, nobody is giving to the churches today it’s the government giving to the churches. These corporations that give to churches, they give to them because they get a bigger tax write off. So who is really supporting the church? It’s the government. Unless you’re going to give over which you can deduct, you’re really not giving. You’re just being selfish because they got a tax code that makes it. Look, you either give it to the church or the government takes it away. And so you give it to the church and you get to write off a little more and you get to keep more of your money but what that does, it puts the government in control. It puts the carrot on the string and they tell them you better not talk about this, and you better not talk about that or they tell them preach Romans that you got to be subservient to the government because God put the government here and they take that whole thing out of context. It’s very insidious. In my opinion, in this day and age , that everybody understand what the government is doing. That any pastor that is worth his salt should know that he can’t serve two masters. Because that 501c3 is his master. And it really is. And they’ll come in and they’ll say don’t say this and don’t say that and guess what? They will because if they don’t they’re out in the street with only the clothes on their back. And it’s a horrible thing. And really, there’s a different kind of tax exemption that you can get for religion. Churches never paid taxes. And they never filled out any government things. That story about Patrick Henry and the guy was getting whipped til his ribs showed out. They wanted him to take a license for preaching. That was your first 501c3 right there. They wanted him to take a license to be a certified preacher. Patrick Henry was in the 1700s.

It wasn’t a 501c3 it was a local municipality, we were still under England, and they were beating the guy because he refused to take a license.

Now they didn’t call it a 501c3 back then.

But it was a license through the county or whatever they had back then.

The king was a tyrant

The president is a tyrant

and they all have the same M O

The same method of operation

The preachers got to understand that 501c3 is a controlling thing.

I feel like I’m doing something wrong by going to somebody that has a contract with this government. This evil government.

They’re ruled by Satan right now.

The Christian church is taking the Satanic 501c3 from the Satanic government that’s giving them money to operate on.

You’re removing their invincible ignorance. They should know.

You should tell them And once you tell them They should do something.

Look up the reverend that moved to Montana and got rid of his 501c3

He ran for president

He’s in Montana now

But he has lots of videos where he speaks about this and he uses Scriptures to show you how evil this is and how he came to the realization and why he left Florida and a church that was thriving with lots of money and he went to Montana and gave everything up because it was so insidious what they were doing. Chuck Baldwin.

Caller 7 New York Peter

Caller wanted to comment on the interview with Drake

(2 hr 33 min)

Excellent interview

A little bit about Drake’s work

I’ve been following him since his first interview with David

The military wants to know if we have their back.

That is really what Drake’s work is

We the People do we stand behind our military?

General Joseph Dunford head of the joint chiefs of staff.

Kudos out to this brave man General Dunford who speak highly of NLA and says join the NLA.

He’s a common law guy

Caller thinks we can count on him when we need someone in a high office

Caller thinks the joint chiefs of staff are looking out for We the People

John said that NLA copies the joint chief of staff in our paperwork so they get copies of everything

We don’t want to bring the military in unless it’s the last straw.

They need to back us up

When they see us moving into the courts and they see us going to the courts with law enforcement.

I don’t care if it’s one sheriff or 35 sheriffs the joint chiefs of staff need to get behind that.

We would prefer it that way than them actually going to arrest the President because the trouble with the military doing it is that it puts them in control. It’s like a coup

It’s very dangerous because you have all those factions

There is a danger there

They know what we’re doing

The average person is not educated

Even in Burns , Oregon 50% of the people work for the government.

You end up with them saying go home

They don’t understand that the people who feed them will be the ones killing them.

Feeding from the trough of the government

50% of the people work for the government

And that’s a method of control

When everyone’s feeding from the government trough, you are going to do what they tell you to do.

Caller 8 Tawnya in California

What if you are not an American citizen and you only have a green card?

Do you have inalienable rights?

Our rights are unalienable because they come from God. They don’t come from the country.

We don’t hand them out.

They are a birthright.

The only things that somebody not born here might not have access to are those things that we pay for like Social Security

Everybody that comes over here is technically covered by our laws.

Maybe that person can’t vote because they haven’t been naturalized

You have to be careful about not letting other countries come in and subvert our government.

Our Constitution says all men are created equal.

In her federal case she is arguing her Constitutional rights

The Constitution doesn’t give you your rights

The Constitution is just a contract that we have with the government.

Your rights are natural rights

It took Gerard a long time to stop saying my constitutional rights

The Constitution is the contract that the people have with the government that tells the government what they can or can’t do

The Constitution tells them how to do it

The Bill of Rights tells them what they can’t do

Caller agreed to a settlement agreement and has changed her mind

That’s a contract

You have 72 hours to change your mind on any contract

She agreed on record to the settlement agreement but didn’t sign anything

It will be an uphill battle for you if you want to hold your ground

It doesn’t become a contract until your signature is on it

Since the trial hasn’t really began yet you can say I want a common law trial and that means that you get a jury because it is over $20 If the value is over $20 you can have a trial by jury

Caller wants to finish her habeas corpus with NLA

If you do a habeas corpus it’s still an uphill battle.

You got to know what you’re doing to fight these people and even knowing what you are doing doesn’t mean you’re going to win