National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

February 29, 2016

Topic: Open Forum

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: James 3: 1-12

We have a very good plan coming up on talking to sheriffs out in the West.

We are going to be making contact with eleven states, every sheriff in the state with a hard copy paper very specific to them concerning the problems in Oregon but also nationwide.

We will also be talking to one of the governors out there.

We will be talking to all of the governors in the United States.

We will be talking to all of the sheriffs through fax and e-mail copies.

We also have a paper that we are putting together for governors.

It is going to say pretty much what is going to be spoken to the sheriffs.

We should have it all together and going out this week and read it next Monday.

We are working diligently on papers that will be filed in Oregon.

We want to educate the sheriffs on what really went on in Oregon.

2016 is the year for the Campaign for Justice.

Things are ramping up.

This Wednesday, 9:00 PM EST , NLA will be meeting to discuss more of our plan and work with leadership. Everyone is welcome to come to that meeting.

It is a federal district leaders meeting.

NLA is working on courtroom observers badges.

NLA is working with Staples so that people can go to Staples and order the same with your own personal information on it.

We are working with a lot of new people out West.

We have made contacts with a lot of groups.

Gary had questions:

Are there two different types of filing? Is there common law and statutory filing? People are being told that their filings have to have a cover page with an explanation of who the injured parties are. There are certain rules that have to be followed.

Is that something that we don’t have to adhere to?

John responded:

There is no requirement of anything particular

We do do a File on Demand

We let it be known that it is a criminal offense for anybody who prevents the papers from being filed. The clerk is to file. And that is what the law says.

We do have a number We are coming in under common law

We are very clear in our paper work. We are coming in as the grand jury. We are filing Informations and Mandamuses

We are building our case

We have been doing that for almost a year now. In the federal courts alone we have filed thirteen papers.

Gary said that if you go to the federal court site, they got rules for doing a filing. Since we are having the filings rejected if we then make the next hurdle that they ask for and they still reject us then we would know that they are just being malicious

John replied that it would be interesting to find out if they say one thing and you do it that way and they do file it. Let’s take a look at that. Maybe we will throw a few tests. Here’s the problem you have to fill out their paperwork

If you go into the court and you pay the money you’re in the commercial, you are in their game.

You are in a chancery court.

If you go in and you do their paperwork and check their boxes again you are in their venue.

You have to find a way to get around that.

One of the ways that John works around it is

John filed some papers

The clerk rejected it and pushed it back

John filed two more papers

He filed one paper naming her and suing her for violating his right of due process and also he was going after her for a felony because the clerk should file it. And he also sent his paper back.

He did not get anything back. It went through.

The other side defaulted and the case was over immediately.

You have to go in under common law.

If you pay, and in federal court it might be $400 for something, and in New York state I think it is $310 , John refuses to pay those now.

Just sue the people for violating my right of due process

The only thing required for a prima fascia case is one thing, and one thing only, Supreme Court has ruled this numerous times, you just need one thing, and that is an affidavit.

An affidavit makes a case and it makes a prima fascia.

All of our paperwork is proper.

We have sent it out to thousands of people

There is a record of it.

We got a lot of paperwork going and next Monday we will start reading it.

Gary had another question:

Someone wants to make a donation but can only take money out of the bank at a certain time of the month.

John replied that if you are talking about giving $5 or $10 a month , whatever time of the month that you file for , it will be that time of the month, every month. So if you did it on the tenth of the month then it will repeat on the tenth of the month.

Gary said that there is another question. He asked Melissa to get into the cue. Her question was very long for Gary to be able to ask it.

After Melissa gets into the cue then others can get into the cue.

Melissa does not listen in on the Monday Night Call.

Others can now get into the cue.

CALLERS

Caller 1: Jim from Pennsylvania

Jim wanted to tell about his experience going to U S District Court for Western Pennsylvania. He went to confirm that they have NLA’s papers on file. He went to the U S District Court Clerk and that individual did not have a badge on. He said that they didn’t have the papers. He remembered receiving the papers and the papers were sent to the U S Marshals office. So Jim went to the U S Marshals office and requested the papers that were sent to them and Jim had an in-depth conversation with one of the marshals but he would not give his name. He wanted to know all about NLA. Jim told him to please contact NLA if you want to know more about us.

John replied : This is interesting. We are going to go after the chief judges because they are responsible. and we are going to go after the appointed clerk which would be the chief clerk.

As soon as we get all this paperwork in and get all the affidavits , we will send in to all of these people that have not filed them , we will send them Contempt of Court with Opportunity to Amend. The next step after that is we start to move towards indictment. We will not do an indictment until we get a number of sheriffs working behind us. That is possible in the next thirty days or so. Depends on how things go after next week once we get these papers out.

The governor in Utah is challenging the fact that the 17th Amendment which deals with the election of senators is unconstitutional and needs to be overturned. NLA will write a letter of support on that. The governor is reclaiming all Utah land that the federal government has taken control of. They have sent notice that they are re cooping it, they are taking it back, it belongs to the state of Utah, it doesn’t belong to the federal government, they have no right to it , they have no authority over it. It is time for all governors to do this. We will get into the details of the papers going out to the sheriffs and governors next week.

Jim told John that the minutes for last Monday Nights Call have been forwarded to NLA but have not been posted yet.

(37 min)

Caller 2: Greg no response

Caller 3: Dee from PA no response

Caller 4: Jim from New Hampshire

What is the requirement to set up a common law court?

There are no common law courts in America

We have Article 3 courts but they’re not in operation

The fiction has taken control of that

They have chancery courts operating It is very difficult to get anything done in those courts under a court of record which is common law

John is not filing any personal cases until we get the courts back to the people

We have been filing our papers in the courts

They are giving us evidence of criminal activity by rejecting those papers

That’s why we are getting affidavits on the courts that are not filing our papers.

Interesting thing is that they are not sending them back.

They are putting them some place else.

Why would they send it to the marshal?

There was no need to do that because he already got his copy anyway.

Any paper that we file in any court, the marshal gets a copy.

And so do the sheriffs and the special agent in charge of the FBI

Our papers are focused, lawful, and on point.

We don’t deal with the fiction.

We don’t play word games.

We just talk about the Constitution and the need to obey the law

If you are filing a case John would suggest to wait until we get to the other side.

Things are about to break

Things are ramping up

Where are the jurors going to come from for the common law courts that we will need?

John replied that we are only building administrations.

We are training four people for every county

(44)

How far away are we from doing court cases?

When we get into the courts. We don’t know. It could be as short as one month or it could take many months. John feels that this year will be it.

We will see what happens in the next couple of weeks and we will have a better feel of where we are at.

Caller 5 Crystal from Connecticut

She has her papers and transcripts and sent them to Linda

She has a foreclosure case

The judge denied her appeal

She is in the superior court.

Google the superior court

Look into the appellates and the appeals court and you call them up and get information from them on how to file an appeal.

You don’t go to the judge that rules over your case

There are two questions that you want to know

How soon do you have to file for the appeal?

You have to give notice of the appeal

You have to give notice to everyone involved

Then you ask how long to perfect the papers which means you are ready for filing the papers.

How much time do they give you?

It should be at least six months.

New York is eight months.

Caller 6 Brian from Missouri

After you’ve done all your paperwork things aren’t moving

They have the military might.

You have something greater than military might and that is the good Lord.

The people created the government

The people are the strength.

Caller thinks that NLA is doing a great job

We are working with law enforcement trying to teach them

Out in Oregon

They had mercenaries out there

It may have been mercenaries that shot LaVoy

The federal government came in and took control but they used all of the locals to do all of their dirty work

They did not say that any of the feds shot them

There is plenty of evidence to show that this whole thing was a conspiracy and that he was murdered.

They did not bring in armed forces

They did bring in mercenaries and they were probably the ones who did the dastardly deed.

Caller said that John has all of the answers.

God bless everybody

Caller 7 Captain John Illinois State co ordinator

He drove one of the county co ordinators down to the federal building in Chicago to check on our paperwork. Paulette is 70 and will talk about her experience. She is not in jail. She talked to a lot of people. They were all trying to help her. Nobody could find anything. After 45 minutes she was talking to the next up person. Then there were eight marshals surrounding Paulette. The clerk never told Paulette if he had the papers or not. He just called for reinforcements. John alerted everyone to be careful. Get in and get out. Don’t push them. Do your affidavit. If they give you a name, that’s fine. If they don’t give their name, that’s fine. The responsible person is the appointed clerk in the federal district. Just do the affidavit. I talked to a clerk and the clerk said that they couldn’t find it. Whatever they tell you, that’s what you write down for your affidavit. The head marshal decided that she was not that much of a threat so four of them left. They went to talk in a place more private. He said, “You’re not under arrest.” Four of the marshals and Paulette went in the elevator and ended up in someone’s office. Then they were down to one marshal. Paulette sat at a desk with the Senior Deputy Marshal. Mr Wallendas. They talked for half an hour. He was very nice. He wanted to know if she was a sovereign. He asked if she was in the Burns Oregon situation. She said “no” He asked what was her relationship to the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury? She said that she was a jurist. He was very interested and wanted more information. She told him that everything that was filed was for learning information. He said he would really like to know more about this. Paulette said she would make sure that he got it. He told Paulette, you know you can file this downstairs and get it stamped as received downstairs in the lobby. He showed her the machine. Then he showed her a bin to put it in. He said then you got proof that it was filed. Because you stamped your paper and you stamped our paper. Paulette said that it was an interesting afternoon.

John appreciated that Paulette went down just make sure you get an affidavit. Tell your story and get it in. It is not on file.

The appointed U S marshal gets a copy of all of our papers.

Did you invite him to the website?

Did you invite him to the Monday Night meeting?

(1 hr 20 min)

Caller 8: Rhode Island Jim

Finished a transcription today of the court case. Sheriffs threaten feds for usurping the Constitution. He will be doing the editing tomorrow He will send it to Linda tomorrow or the next day. Caller said to send him anything that needs transcribed and he will get it right back to you. Linda has been handling that.

Caller 9: Stephanie from South Dakota

Talked about CPS cases

Get the information to the court watcher committee as soon as possible.

April 28 Senator Biggs from Arizona that wrote The Con of the Con Con

(1 hr 24)

We were listening to Liberty LineUp Radio and talking to Garrett Smith in Utah and he would love to get you on for an interview. Call John at 845-233-6560

Caller 10 Donaldson from California

There is case law that states that the people in this country are sovereign.

Afroyim v. Rusk, [387 U.S. 253](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Reports) (1967), is a major [United States Supreme Court](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States) case in which the Court ruled that [citizens of the United States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Citizenship_in_the_United_States) may not be deprived of their citizenship involuntarily. The U.S. government had attempted to revoke the citizenship of Beys Afroyim, a man born in [Poland](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Poland)

The people are sovereign and the government cannot sever it’s relationship to the people by taking away their citizenship.

Caller is with the Common Law Advisory Committee He is on the West coast

He has access to the law library where they have Shepard’s citations

Caller has been compiling a google drive of every piece of case law that he come across that has to do with the grand jury

In Virginia there was recently a bill proposed by their state legislature to allow the nondisclosure of police officer’s names. Agencies would not have to disclose the names of police officers. That bill got killed.

Criminal charges for handing out a common law indictment , they have a federal civil grand jury, they have an instruction manual, they reference the Magna Carta in the manual, in the civil side you have authority to actually be on the jury and they have instructions that the judge is going to tell you about the law, there is case law that will trump what that manual says. That manual is not the law.

The judge does not have the determination to make the law but only to declare it.

Be very careful about handing out criminal indictments as a common law grand jury if you have the status of a citizen of the United States especially the corporation side

The federal constitution does not affect the right of the state. Each state has its own rights to basically adopt whatever of the Bill of Rights they deem most fundamental.

John responded that we don’t adhere to any of these things that they bring up that they may hold us as some kind of citizen here or something there. We don’t talk about it . We pay no heed to it because it’s all fiction. It’s a fraud in order to deceive us and take advantage of us and destroy the Constitution.

If you call a fraud a fraud and you deal with it and just ignore it and you bring the true law then they just go silent because they can’t respond to that.

They love when you argue the point because then they try to make you look crazy.

The average person doesn’t understand any of this.

They don’t have a clue about what you are talking about.

We ignore the fiction. We pay no heed to it.

Caller 11 Don from Michigan

Update on Sheriff Palmer?

Can’t talk about it yet. Hopefully we can talk about it next week.

Next week we will talk a lot about the sheriffs.

And the following week also.

A lot of things will be happening.

We are behind Sheriff Palmer and he is getting a lot of support.

Caller inquired that we are not close to being able to do an indictment.

John responded that we are getting close.

We have to do it at the right time when we know that we have everything set.

Has the Show Cause with Opportunity to Amend been filed?

John does not think that we have filed it on the court clerks and chief judges yet.

NLA is waiting to see what has been filed in what court and what hasn’t.

We suspect that they are not filing it.

The cover sheet is a File On Demand. It tells exactly why we are filing in all 94 courts

Justice will be met

Caller 12 Kenny from Georgia

In his county he has a sheriff that will probably be elected.

What can he give him to tell the possible new sheriff what NLA’s intentions are?

Tell him that NLA’s only purpose is to teach people the Constitution, the law, and also civics.

We provide free courses

To train and teach people how to take control of the process, the administration of the courts within their own county

Sheriffs can take these free courses.

Is there anything on the NLA site that the caller can give the sheriff to read.

Send him to powerofthecountysheriff.com

He should take the constitutional course first

And after that he should take the civics course

At the end of those courses he will really get an education

He will understand the Constitution much better.

Caller inquired about Defense of Liberty Enabler Act which is the executive order to bring U S in compliance with United Nations Agenda 21

The latest executive order was January 26 where he actually called it an Act. It didn’t come from Congress. He wrote it. NLA has evidence that that is a valid order and they don’t want the people to see something like that. They hide it

The one that we are talking about was signed the day before Scalia died.

Scalia would not allow that to stand.

go to the NLA News page because it is a paper NLA filed in the courts

(1 hr 44)

Caller 13 Scott from South Carolina

Caller inquired if John has heard Publius Huldahlectures on the Constitution

John was not familiar with the lectures

Caller said that she is really good.

She has a bachelors in philosophy and then got a law degree and she is a big constitutionalist.

There is proof in the Constitution that we are a Christian nation.

John replied that our Founding Fathers had a lot to say about that.

Caller recommends that everyone watch her lectures.

Caller will e-mail a link to John, Gerard, and Gary

Caller inquired about a common law judge is going into Oregon and doing an investigation with the common law grand jury.

John responded that those are not real judges.

Caller knows that and has been arguing that point to a group

Caller questioned how that would affect NLA if they go in there and ruin everything.

NLA did an Information to let everyone know . It is on our News page.

Those people have a quasi shadow government going. That is subversion. We saw it as a threat. We knew a connection would be made to us. We have a disclaimer at our web page. we have also filed with the federal courts an Information. We also did one response to the group that did this. What they are doing is going to get them in jail.

There are two different processes to go through law

On criminal law there must be a jury

Now if you bring a contract dispute into the court then you have the option of going before a judge or jury as long as it’s over $20

When a judge rules under equity they got to rule under common law they got to rule under American Jurisprudence

But they don’t

Until we bring them back in order and back under the Constitution and obedient under the law of the land , they are going to do whatever they want.

We will get them there eventually.

Caller asked if John knew of Sheriff Paul Babeu who talks about Congress and everybody needs to stick to the law and the Constitution.

John responded that we do see some good sheriffs out there doing the right thing.

Caller 14 Randall Georgia

talked about his personal case

(2 hr 4 min)

Caller 15 Greg

He is taking the civics course

He is on section 8

He believes that he answered the questions correctly

He has done it three time and he can’t get passed that.

John inquired if Karl was on the call no response

Caller said it was Section 7 part 8 Bill Thornton

Get ahold of a national co ordinator

Karl can fix that

Give specific information

Sometimes if you clean your browser it helps

Go online there is a troubleshooting page

Caller asked if John heard of Judge David Wynn Miller?

He is a proponent of the use of certain syntax he created to be used by people involved in legal proceedings. He refers to his syntax as QUANTUM-LANGUAGE-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR.

John never got involved in it. It didn’t make much sense.

John said we ignore the fraud we deny the fraud We want to get a court of justice going.

Caller 16 Rhonda from Washington State

(2 hr 9 min)

When NLA gets into the courts will the jurists have to be citizens?

John replied that if they have a pulse and their blood is pumping then they are good.

Can an illegal alien be on the jury?

If someone is not legally in the country then obviously they could not sit on the jury.

Caller 17 Donaldson from Northern California called in again

He is on the Common Law Advisory Committee

How to enforce a common law

In order to enforce a common law you have to nullify what they are doing

By showing what they’re doing is wrong you can then enforce what is natural

John replied that they never rebut NLA’s papers.

Caller referred to Erie Railroad v Tompkins

That case was an administrative case

It went to the Supreme Court

People don’t realize that it was an administration case.

It has nothing to do with common law

(2 hr 16)

Caller said to e-mail him at secondsonofdonald@gmail.com

He will give you access to a file that he has, administrative proceedings

Caller said how can there be a criminal federal indictment

Federal rules of civil procedure, rule 2 clearly states: there is one type of civil action. For federal rules of civil procedure, of course, civil action. But as far as criminal, how are they using the 14th amendment . If the 14th amendment is not real law , first of all it wasn’t ratified

John replied that the fourteenth amendment when you read through it, it is a play of words.

And if you just apply logic and apply the existing Constitution you will find that you can use it for it’s face value to mean what it should mean and not what they want to make it mean.

They want it to mean subservient citizen.

It’s a fraud It doesn’t mean anything It has no merit

Caller 18

Caller suggested that John might want to check with technical because John’s voice quality is not as good as it used to be.

Caller 19 Dee from PA no response

Caller 20: Jody from Michigan

She questioned the difference between court watcher and court room observers.

John said we use court room observers

Eric from court room observers said that he was kicked out of NLA

John said that there was some problems and we dismissed him from any position of authority.

We used the word court room observers

Eric used the word court watchers

He did help the caller with her case

John agreed that Eric was good.

Caller said it makes a difference to have someone go to court and look out for you.

Eric took a stand with some that were doing the exact opposite of what we were doing.

She can’t get in contact with Eric any more.

She is unable to get onto the NLA website page.

Her phone always gets hacked into

Linda’s phone number is 509-592-4553

The judge from Alaska, Anna Von Reitz, what is her position if she is legal advisor to the pope?

We heard about her connection to the pope and then we could never find out who she really was.

Then we discovered that she is not a real judge. She is a self appointed judge.

She is involved in a quasi shadow government group.

NLA has no part with that.

That is subversion against the United States of American

We believe that our government is still there and intact.

The problem is that criminals have taken control of our government

It is a matter of time before that is dealt with legally.

It is a criminal problem that is what we have.

It is subversion from enemies domestic and foreign within our government.

Talked about the history of marshals.

Are you familiar with “black pope”?

John said he never looked into it. It is not common knowledge.

Caller said that he is above the pope

She believes that all of our problems are stemming from that one person

Caller said it may help to know your enemies There is the trickle down effect

Our enemy is right here in America It is the people we have elected

There are powers behind the powers

The president is controlled by an oligarchy

A small group of foreign bankers

Caller 21 Maude Long Island

Someone was banging at her door tonight

John hasn’t sent her certain papers

John has been bogged down

He hasn’t talked to Linda in two or three days

He will try to send the papers tomorrow

Maude wants to go to the court and find out if the NLA papers have been filed including her papers also

She wants to stop her eviction.

Someone was banging on her door at 9:30 at night and if it was someone to serve her then she didn’t want to let them serve her.

John is doing the best he can.

John will write another paper for Maude for the sheriff

John told Maude to call him tomorrow afternoon

Maude said that the Black Pope is a Jesuit

It is the Jesuit order that runs the Vatican

The black robe pope is over the Vatican pope.

We have a Jesuit pope in the Vatican.

Caller 22 Graham Lory

Continental United States that the lady before Maude spoke of , that is what Anna Von Reitz has on her paperwork. That was originally before the Constitution that they were using that. Anna wants to rewrite the Constitution and the Bill of Rights and everything. That is what they state that they are under the Continental United States.

Caller 23

Just to add about the Black Pope.

The so-called "Black Pope”, the present Jesuit General is Count Hans Kolvenbach.

John never had an interest in this nor the need to look it up.

John has so many other things that he wants to learn about.

Hopefully she can work on more important things like common law and NLA