National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

April 25, 2016

Topic: Militia Part 1

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Joshua 6: 1-20

The courts have built a wall of resistance against the Common Law.

The Constitution could not be understood outside of the common law.

Our Constitution and Bill of Rights are common law documents.

They have built a wall of resistance

And we are sounding the trumpets

The Ark of the Covenant brings the Law of God

John read the Fall of Jericho

When we reach the point of critical mass, that is when we are going to get in.

NLA is working with the sheriffs and NLA is communicating with them

We are hoping to be moving in soon to do something.

John will be reading a paper

It is posted at NLA

Jan sent out an e-mail message to let everyone know that NLA posted a new paper

It is on the NLA “News” page

It is titled “Report of Subversion”

Over the past three years NLA has had problems with people trying to infiltrate

People trying to convince NLA to do things early

NLA has resisted them all

We had to first build a coalition across the nation.

We had to spend time teaching people about common law and the court process and jurisdiction

We spent a lot of time doing what we needed to do

There are forces trying to stop us from entering the courts

NLA had to put up papers on three or four occasions denying people from doing things that would get NLA into trouble.

NLA wrote papers and posted them

NLA had to write disclaimers

We were concerned about this group that are making themselves judges and marshals.

That is subversion against the United States of America

By law we are required to report it , otherwise we would be guilty of subversion ourselves for participating in it.

If we have knowledge of treason and we don’t disclose it immediately then we stand to face seven years in jail and a fine or both

Recently NLA was approached by the same group

They were talking about violence

NLA is not going to get involved in any kind of violent act

We are coming in with the power of the pen, the power of the word, the power of common law.

They said that they were going to start arresting people.

They were going to get the militia as an enforcement

John has no idea how they were going to trial these people or house these people.

Once they told NLA that then NLA had no choice and that was the purpose of this paper.

NLA would be facing potential jail time or be subvertors ourselves for not reporting it.

NLA has been very careful to be 100 percent lawful. 100 % up front

There is nothing NLA does that they do not do in the light.

We are only doing one thing : we are demanding that they obey the law.

The paper is on the “News” page at nationallibertyalliance.org

It is titled “Report of Subversion”

It starts off with the title “Information”

(21:19)

The paper begins as follows:

“The power of the Grand Jury is to write True Bills of Indictment, True Bills of Presentment and True Bills of Information, herein the purpose of this paper; and, to file such bills in Article III Courts, also known constitutionally as “Common Law Courts”. It is the “constitutional duty” of the Judiciary, Elected County Sheriffs and the appointed United States Marshals to act upon such bills. On February 22, 2016 the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury, hereinafter UUSCLGJ filed an Information in all ninety-four (94) Federal District Courts reporting that government agent provocateurs have been fueling a quasi-shadow government movement that essentially advocates the overthrow of the government.”

The paper ends with the following:

“About a week or so ago NLA leadership was approached via phone by Captain Karl P. Koenigs (who has been wooing us for subversive purposes) in an effort to nudge the UUSCLGJ to provide indictments against elected and appointed servants in Oregon to this quasi-shadow government who would then under the leadership of Captain Karl P. Koenigs utilize the militia to arrest (kidnap) said servants and house them in unknown places. NLA rejected the diabolical plan immediately and advised that he should cease and desist any such unlawful activities, but Captain Karl P. Koenigs rejected NLA’s council and proceeded without the UUSCLGJ. This action and thereby knowledge of subversion required by duty and law that the UUSCLGJ report immediately to some Governor or Judge such knowledge which is the purpose of this Information. 18 USC §2382 - Misprision of treason: Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.”

(30:33)

We want to be very careful of what we do from this point forward.

They are going to try to take NLA down.

There are provocateurs out there.

Don’t fall for anything.

QUESTIONS

Lawful verses legal What is the difference?

Being legal or being lawful is the same thing

They have statutes that they are holding up as the law of the land and that is a fraud.

(33:04)

Their unlawful laws are illegal.

What formula does a judge use to determine if you qualify to file as indigent?

First of all, justice shouldn’t cost anything.

The federal courts charge $405 to get into the courts

The New York State Supreme Court cost $320

The United States Supreme Court has ruled numerous times that we are not to pay for justice.

If you don’t know how to go through the process and you don’t know how to keep your jurisdiction and understand common law then you are going to get in trouble in there and you are not going to be able to get through it.

If you can avoid court then wait til we get to the other side of things

If you are pulled into court do whatever you have to to stay out of jail.

You can petition the grand jury for a habeas corpus.

It is a difficult thing to do

Nobody should have to pay money to go to a court of law.

That is our unalienable right.

What does it take to get a court appointed attorney for free?

The judge has vast leeway , there are guidelines that say you have to meet certain income statements. They will check you. There is a number there somewhere.

The judges do not follow it.

If the judge doesn’t want you to have a court appointed attorney, then he won’t assign you one.

Nobody is making the judges keep to the guidelines.

If you think that he has refused you unjustly, then you need to fill out a complaint with the Judicial Review Board and you could call legal aid . Those attorneys advise you of what the guidelines are

(40:00)

Brent joined the call

When Abraham Lincoln was President, he was jailing men without trials and hanging them by military tribunals A fellow in Indiana was jailed and he was sentenced to hang in Indianapolis by a military tribunal

He appealed his case to the Supreme Court of the United States

And Justice Field wrote that as long as the nonmilitary courts are open then they should be used.

That saved his life. He wasn’t hung.

You petition the government It is an absolute right under the First Amendment.

The Evil Empire wants to bring violence upon us

They want to insight us.

The provocateurs are out there.

The only remedy for lawlessness is law.

The Supreme Court of the United States got it right.

They said the militia of the several states is an able bodied subset of the people.

America’s government is not a government of men.

It is a government of law.

But not just any law

America is a government of common law.

If there is no common law in America then there is no jury

We are a common law country.

Many people are miseducated.

They have been to government schools.

In America, our government, state and federal, at every level, are governments of common law.

The heartbeat of our common law is the militia’s two functions

And those two functions are separate from any authority received from government

That is the function of jurymen and the function of armed defense.

Those are the two functions of what we call today the militia.

God gets the credit and you get the enjoyment.

Our forbearers were willing to give everything they had for the militia clauses.

The four militia clauses are a restatement of the common law standards put into words.

And when the men that penned the Constitution did so , when they signed the Declaration of Independence, they did so with the reek of gunpowder hanging in their noses.

(48:35)

In all of the history of Adam’s race only the nation Israel of old, has enjoyed such a forthright written fundamental law respecting the militia as do us Americans.

No people on the face of our planet in the history of mankind has ever enjoyed such a bold set of statements defending, enforcing the right of men to assemble in common defense in government, the grand jury and the petit jury. And those are the two duties of the militia.

Militia means the body of able bodied men that are able to carry weapons and in the Bible 20 years old to about 45 years old.

Mandatory to do so.

The militia, the twelve separate tribes of Israel, are analogous in the Bible to the militia of the several states. And that is why the Supreme Court did get it right when they said that the militia of the several states is an able bodied set of the people

There are four groups of people, the four militia clauses that our Constitution governs.

Congressmen, they have duties under the militia clauses of our Constitution

State legislatures, that’s group number two

Presidents, that’s group number three

And the fourth group is the only group that does not receive it’s authority from the militia clause that refers to that group

The Presidents of the United States , State Legislators, and Congressmen, all of those , to do their duty according to the Constitution receive authority from the Constitution to do so.

But that fourth class of people, the people of the United States, the militia itself they receive no authority from the Constitution of the United States to do what they do and what our common law says they’re suppose to do.

And that fourth militia clause of the Second Amendment grants no authority to nobody, it recognizes a God-given right, and commands and ensures that government will protect it and not interfere with it. It is the right of individuals to keep and carry loaded guns.

Out of the militia clauses arise the duties of the jurymen, and the only thing that stands between the government and the individual in practical terms.

It is the presence of the militia that makes government work.

These four militia clauses are a sure fire sure footed array to stop lawlessness and government oppression within, while ending the threat of invasion without

And if these four militia clauses are put into practice by these four classes of people there’s no stopping the United States.

Justice Joseph Story said that his greatest fear was that American would ignore it’s militia clauses and that they would do so by inches. It will sneak up on them and they will just gradually ignore them. And that is what has happened.

Three clauses are ignored .

The only group of people that has fulfilled it’s duty respecting the militia clause has been the people of the United States.

All that need to be done is for government to obey the law.

Obey the Constitution, and do their duty.

State legislators don’t have a clue about the militia clause.

The Constitution puts a duty on state legislators.

Just like it does the Congressmen and Presidents.

And we will go over those in the future.

To obey our militia clauses is to know freedom

But to ignore them is to forfeit their strong moral check against the usurpation and arbitrary power of rulers.

The source of authority respecting that clause of our Constitution the people.

58:30

1:10

Brent’ website: [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

Radio:

**WEEKDAYS on Libertyworks Radio Network**

<http://radio.securenetsystems.net/v5/LWRN>

4–5 pm Pacific (5–6 Mountain; 6–7 Central; 7–8 Eastern)

**FRIDAYS on Roger Sayles Radio Ranch**

<http://truthfrequencyradio.com/rogersayles/>

 10–12 am Pacific (11-1 pm  Mountain; 12-2 pm Central; 1-3 pm Eastern)

 **SATURDAYS on The Microeffect**

[**http://www.themicroeffect.com/microeffect/ways-to-listen/**](http://www.themicroeffect.com/microeffect/ways-to-listen/)

2–3 pm Pacific (3–4  Mountain; 4–5 Central; 5–6 Eastern)

You can get Brent’s books at Amazon.com

CALLERS

(1:14)

Caller 1: Paul from Michigan

Caller has Brent’s books Excellence of the Common Law and Clause by Clause

Caller is considering purchasing the Bible

Could you elaborate on the term “first principles”?

Our common law is a law of “first principles”.

That phase is lifted out of the English Bible, Hebrews Chapter 5 at the end.

It is the only place in the Bible that the phrase occurs.

A good translation from the Greek text would be: unwrought rudiments

A blacksmith did a lot of things besides sharpening tools, and he also did wrought iron.

Things that are wrought, that is an old English word, it means by the hands of man

Unwrought means not touched by the hands of man

Unwrought rudiments are those principles that come down from the Creator Himself

They are delegated from Him and not touched by the hands of man

In Hebrews it says that the problem with you Christian folks is this, you love the idea of Heaven and God and how wonderful it all sounds but if you want to enjoy the Christian life that you have then you got to go back and learn the unwrought rudiments, the first principles of law.

The Ten so called Commandments.

You look at those first principles and you compare yourselves to them

It is obvious that I am not measuring up.

It makes me feel guilty

That is the whole point of God’s law

Number one is to bring guilt on you to straighten up your behavior

If you don’t feel guilt then you have no motivation to change your behavior.

(1:26)

Caller 2: California Jeremiah

There was a lot of background noise

Caller will get back into the cue

Caller 3: Ed Montana

What is habeas corpus and what is the purpose for it?

Habeas corpus is a creature of the Parliament of England.

It was put in place to try to realize a common law right

The common law right that it is intended to enforce and to make more real to us, to make more doable, is the right against false imprisonment.

False imprisonment in common law is defined as confining a man to a boundary

Habeas corpus was intended to be the remedy against false imprisonment

You only got three things in this life

You got life, you got liberty, and you got property

If you take away any of the three it is like have all of them taken away

They stand together. If you take one then you take them all.

If you are in prison then you don’t have the right to enjoy your property or your life.

In England , before the Magna Carta, the king would send the justices out every three months through every jail in England, those judges were to conduct an investigation concerning every person jailed in every place and determine whether or not they were jailed lawfully. They were to look into the reason for their jailing. And that was the duty of the justices of England.

Roads were bad in those days

Magna Carta came along and they said we got to do something different.

Later parliament passed the habeas corpus act.

What they said was any person who is jailed or anybody on behalf of a jailed person has the right to petition, to ask in writing, to petition the court to issue to the jailer a written order form a greater to a lesser, a judge to a jailer,

commanding the jailer to bring the body of the prisoner in question bodily before the judge and to prove to the judge that the jailing is lawful.

And the Habeas Corpus Act of Parliament also said you can’t do that if the judge isn’t satisfied that it is lawful, the law requires the judge to release the prisoner on the spot.

Brent’s e-mail is listed at his website: [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

Gerard took control of the controls

(1:47)

Caller 4: Jan from Kansas

She saw the 18 USC Code about the officials in her city when they are not bringing forward in proceedings say in a city council meeting if something comes up . She gave testimony about something to do with the police at two city council meetings and in one of the city council meetings it’s just mentioned that she was there and then in the other city council meeting where she gave full testimony before the entire city council it has been completely left off of that city council meeting minutes . The incident that happened here which was a horrible crime it is not on public record . At first it wasn’t on public record at all and then when she called the news media then she started seeing a lot of police after that . What they gave her was a one paragraph suspicious activity report. Even though a heinous crime was committed out here and she has been dutiful to try to report it it still doesn’t show up on public record. That is keeping things that should be on public record off the public record and she is about ready to deal with that because she has been to city hall and talk to the city clerk about why none of this shows up . What should she do next? write a letter?

First of all when you are in front of a city council and you are talking and there is somebody taking minutes We used to have battles with the town clerk because she wouldn’t put certain things on the record And when we questioned her she would quote a law that said she has the right to write things the way she heard them and she doesn’t have to put everything down.

They tape all those meetings and you can request a copy of the tape within so many days.

If you are reporting crime, and they are elected officials, and have an oath of office, then they have a duty to do something about that. But standing up in front of a city council, they don’t consider that an official report If you made an affidavit and went down to the police and you swore it in, then that would be different. Yes, you are making a complaint to your city council but you are doing it orally. If you really want it to stick then you should write it. Hand them your affidavit. If it is a crime then you should fill out a report to the police. Go down with your affidavit and sign the complaint. That’s official. Orally they have the ability to twist what you say or leave things out.

Number one ask for the tape

Number two if it is really serious make an affidavit and turn it in

It is more official than just saying it orally.

Once you are sworn then that makes it official

This happened three years ago

She was taking care of her mother at the time

Somebody beat her gas meter out of the ground in the middle of the night and spraying gas out into the neighborhood

This needs to be on public record but she does not know if she can get an audio at this time.

She may still file an affidavit any way.

She has talked to US Representative Kevin Yoder and he did nothing.

Her state senator, Steve Fitzgerald, paid attention to what she was saying.

She can’t get this on the record.

It is heinous and potentially fatal. She was asleep in her home when it happened.

And they keep if off the record. She has given her testimony five times.

But she did not write an affidavit.

Is it too late after three years to pursue this?

Gerard is not sure that she will be able to find those records three years later. They probably don’t have to keep them that long.

The best you can do is to make a sworn affidavit and swear to it.

If there was no loss of life or limb then they pretty much get away with not reporting things if nobody is complaining.

Nobody got hurt.

Nobody needs to be restituted.

Pick your battles.

(1:58)

Caller 5: Mary from Massachusetts

Caller wanted to go back to what Brent said about provocateurs.

What does NLA think about the International Court of Justice?

If we have all these provocateurs a defacto government in DC we are running up against a roadblock We know our government is fine we have just been hijacked in DC by usurpers what would stop us from taking our case to the International Court of Justice?

Gerard replied that we have all the tools to fix ourselves

We don’t need to go outside of our country

Our forefathers were very careful to give us all kinds of tools one of them being jury nullification recall

The tools are there, we just have to pick them up.

There is no country in the world that has the laws like we have under God.

They’re all based on laws of men.

They don’t have that guiding light to keep them straight like we do.

We have to stay sovereign unto ourselves.

We have to maintain the ability to govern ourselves.

If you have to go outside of this country to get authority and enforcement then that is a sad day.

Caller talked about a glitch in the civics course

Caller 6: Jeremiah Donaldson California

The various parts of a statutory enactment are understood in the context of statutory framework as a whole which includes the preexisting common law, related enactments, and case law and the Constitution.

That made a clear distinction between case law and preexisting common law.

That was from the law library.

There is an enactment by Congress where they made available increases in pensions for people who participated in the militias.

They paid Benjamin F Clarkson a pension of $50/month for his service in the Civil War

What Constitutional provision it is that would protect our militiamen and the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury if there was an amendment that would to that?

Caller thinks it is in the 14th amendment and it is in the 4th section

and it talks about the validity of the public debt of the United States authorized by law including debt incurred for payment of pensions and bounties for services in suppressing insurrection or rebellion that shall not be questioned.

But neither the United States nor any state shall assume or pay any debt or obligation incurred in aid of insurrection or rebellion against the United States or any claim for the loss   or emancipation of any slave; but all such debts, obligations and claims shall be held illegal and void.

Regarding the 14th amendment

Would that serve to protect the militiamen especially if they were accusing specific agencies of insurrection, rebellion, sedition, subversion?

Brent responded:

The confusion that was afoot after the war between the states rendered our country in a lawless state more than we will ever know

We are still suffering from the lawlessness that came from the government declaring the whole country a military district.

Whether or not the militiamen would be protected that is a question for the courts and that means for the jury.

The militia clauses don’t provide any of those details and they don’t even provide for the pay of the militiamen.

(2:16:14)

How many of us can identify what the four militia clauses of the Constitution are?

All of the questions about payment and protection for their actions those questions can be hammered out by the courts and the juries as time progresses.

You can’t overrule common law

Common law is the laws of nature

It is not a list of laws

It is a way of life a way of thinking it is due process

Before the 14th amendment in order to be a citizen of the United States the first step was that you had to be a citizen of one of the individual states of the union

That is not recognized any more That’s madness

How can you be a citizen of the United States and vote in a federal election for President and not be registered to vote in your state

 Caller 7 Curtis from Virginia

He checks the Virginia Code to make sure that things are the way he thinks they are.

They removed 1-308 Reservation of Rights Privilege

under 8.011- 308

That has always been there

Originally it was under USC and under the Virginia Code word for word

That has been there from the very beginning

Originally it was 107

Then it went to 207

Then it went to 308

Perhaps because of the papers that NLA has been sending in, they have taken it out.

You shouldn’t need the UCC to reserve your rights.

The remedies are no longer under 8.01 UCC They are above it.

While researching that, caller stumbled upon Extraordinary Rights or Extraordinary Writs

They said that they had abolished the Quo Warranto Common Law and established statutory quo warranto

When you get into equity you can get away with a lot more

When two parties agree to a set of rules it’s a contract

(2:31:45)

You can’t abolish common law

Caller has run across a gentleman’s material by the name of Richard McDonald. He has been into the sovereignty movement for 30 or 40 or 50 years. He is probably 90 years old.

His issue was that if you have a social security number then you are under Title 42 which binds you to the federal zone and he says that if you have that social security number then you can’t reinstitute the common law grand jury.

John replied that that is all fraud

You can’t lose your unalienable rights

The whole game that they play is a fraudulent game so it is all irrelevant.

We ignore it.

Eventually their wall of resistance will fall

People think that they can say magic words but it doesn’t work

The last person that John heard said that he was a man on the land ended up in jail.

They have corporatized our government

It is a game that they play

The whole thing is a fraud

Nothing has any validity

Once we get to the point of critical mass and the sheriffs are educated enough and feel empowered enough then it’s all over

In the Jurisprudence book under the heading of homicide , they go into the information about the grand jury A nonBAR attorney said that it is the BAR that is causing the problems concerning grand juries and the common law

In the same set of books but a different volume under jurisprudence under larceny a BAR attorney described the grand jury as the star chamber like a dinosaur

John wanted to get a copy of American Jurisprudence

And you can’t find it online You have to buy it

John ordered one online in ignorance and when they sent it to him it was all about tax law. There is no legal tax on property There is no legal tax as far as income to the IRS

And yet they have a book and they call it American Jurisprudence

It has really become distorted

Caller said he has the 30 volume set

Caller got it for $500 and that was a very good deal

Those kinds of things should be accessible for free

But you can’t get it online

Once we get to the other side and we bring common law back then you can trust going into equity courts.

They don’t like when you go to court without a lawyer

In traffic court you know everyone is guilty and will get a fine

When we get to the other side of things all we have to do is apply the law and they will be shutting down courts real quickly Within a year they will go bankrupt They won’t be able to continue

They will not be able to make money

The won’t be able to pay for the police departments

It is not needed What we need is sheriffs

Most of the crimes that they arrest people for are not crimes at all

Judges can’t withstand the truth forever