National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

November 14, 2016

Opening Song: Hallelujah

Topic: Time to Stand

Call-In Number: 605-562-3140 Participant Code: 385698

Call-in Number will change on November 17 : 712-770-4160

The new number will be posted and an e-mail will be sent out

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Psalm 14 : 1-23

(18min)

Tonight we are going to talk about what we are doing and where we are going.

Trump was elected President.

NLA has had communications with Trump’s campaign.

NLA delivered the papers that we have been filing in the courts and they are interested.

NLA is working to get direct communications with Trump

NLA will include Trump in our court case.

NLA is preparing a court case.

NLA is doing something that has never been done before

We will be filing this case in Albany.

This case will be a redress of grievances.

We are not asking them; we are telling them.

This is our unalienable right.

We are going to address directly the United States Supreme Court and the eight justices up there.

They are responsible for the actions of the federal courts.

Justice is not possible in those courts unless you can corner the justices and get justice on the side.

The BAR association has taken over our courts.

They have had control of our courts for quite some time.

They have committed treason. They have subverted our Constitution.

They have subverted the law of the land.

We are going to command that the United States Supreme Court fix this problem in the courts and bring back the common law and order these people to do so.

We are going to go to both Houses of Congress. We are going to address both the Senate and the Congress.

Probably next week we will read the papers we are writing for the Senate and the Congress.

We are going to send to Trump, as the President-to-be, we will address him as Mr. President.

We will give him an Information to bring him up to par with all of the things that we have been talking about. Give him copies of all the things we will be filing in the court. Which will be an education.

We need to start working on the administrations for the grand and trial juries.

A lot of people have signed up for the courses.

We need four people in every county.

We have 3,143 counties.

We will need roughly 15 thousand people.

Some counties have a high population and will need more than four.

Every county needs at least four administrators.

This is a paid job.

NLA has written a Jurist Handbook which has been updated.

It is posted but it is a preliminary draft.

There is a special website that Trump has to pull people together.

(26:14)

KrisAnne Hall has made contact with this opportunity through this website and she is suggesting that they create a Department of Constitutional Education.

Creating a new government agency called the Department of Constitutional Education is something that we definitely need.

Trump does not have the power or authority to create any department.

That is the job of Congress.

NLA is going to try to work with KrisAnne Hall and also with the Trump administration.

In New York it is the law that the Constitution and Civics be taught to our children and yet they are not doing it. This would be the job of this agency.

NLA has a Constitution course at the website that is free of charge.

We also have a Civics course.

It is suggested to take the Constitutional course first because you can do that in six to eight hours.

The civics course is in depth and is about 120 hours

NLA is in the process of creating a handbook for administrators.

Go to nationallibertyalliance.org and highlight “grand jury” then click on “docket”

That is where we are posting all of our papers right now.

(39:02)

There are three papers already filed there

We have evidence documents

The best way to educate yourself is to take our two courses and read our court cases.

Our court cases are an education in itself.

Another course may be available soon. It may be live and have homework.

Article 1 Section 8

18 powers we gave to Congress

Article 1 Section 9 gets into things that they cannot do.

There is no better way to understand the law of the land than to read the papers that NLA has been writing.

All of the papers NLA has written in the past will become part of this court case. And we have written about 18 of them.

It is a matter of getting it written and it is a matter of money.

This will cost thousands of dollars to get it done and to get it done right.

We have to add to this the marshals.

We have to go after the marshals, individually and directly.

We need to require them to give an account of what they should be doing.

Every time we write a paper it cost money.

It will cost thousands of dollars and if we don’t get the money up then we can’t do it.

We need to do an indictment on the individuals who killed LaVoy Finicum.

We need to do a show cause by what authority this judge , Judge Brown in Oregon, by what authority does she think that she can act that she can forbid the people to bring the law into our courts of law. They could not read the Constitution. They could not read the Bible.

They have to give us an account by what authority they think that they can do that.

We need to consider indictment.

Conspiracy against we the people Subversion against the United States of America.

High treason against We the People.

They have to answer. (49:53)

We have to go to Montana and deal with the problem there with Mr. Robertson.

An elderly individual with medical needs in jail just for digging a hole. What law did he violate?

He was within his rights on that.

Even if something was wrong then he has to pay restitution.

You don’t put a man in jail for digging a hole.

With this big change since the election they are frightened.

Are they going to try to assassinate the new president?

Probably not at this point in time.

(51:29)

Gerard commented on Judge Anna Brown saying that she would not have the Constitution in her court possibly twenty seven times. Let her explain where she is coming up with that the Constitution is null and void.

Does she think that because she is a judge she has unlimited discretion on the law?

Let her expound upon how she is protected from the people to do that.

Twenty seven times she said: “I will not have that Constitution in my court”, “Don’t read that Constitution”, “No Constitution in my court”. It would be nice to have a collage of her saying that and play it for the people. We could make a rap video of her going “No Constitution”.

We will try to get the audio of this.

Gerard would like to contact Mumford the attorney that they tased on the floor.

When did they ever tase an attorney in a federal court?

All he did was ask the marshals, “Let me see your paperwork.”

If you are not going to release this man and you say that you got a warrant, they don’t.

We need to do a habeas corpus on this.

Robert will make some calls in the morning.

We are going to go after 30 or 40 judges and 30 or 40 clerks.

First we will give them the opportunity to explain why they are doing what they are doing.

And then we will consider indictments.

Robert’s last notice was that the Bundys are still in Seattle.

The marshals are holding them.

That judge permitted this to happen in her courtroom. So she is the one we have to address.

We have to address the prosecutor who should have handled this problem

We have to address the marshals.

They are being held in a federal high security facility by the airport in Seattle.

We always go after the person in charge because they are the responsible ones.

We need more detail on Jurist number 11 who was working for a federal agency.

Number 11 had worked for the BLM.

They knew he worked for the BLM. It was 20 years ago.

They threw Joe into the hole because he argued with them.

They went through his legal papers without his presence and he complained about the fact that they did this. And so they threw him in isolation.

Nevertheless they got them in maximum security prisons and they are not letting them out.

Ollie announced that Lindsey wants to talk about Joe in the prison situation.

(1:10:35)

Lindsey reported about Joe Robertson

He passed out in his counselor’s office last Wednesday

A medical manager hooked him up to an EKG

They did not shave the hair off his chest so the EKG did not read properly

They put him in a wheelchair and took him to a flight of stairs

The made him walk down three flights of stairs down to his cell.

The next day he had a box of clearly marked legal mail.

They took that box away from him.

He had an argument with his counselor Bresee and she or someone authorized to put him in solitary confinement for three or four weeks.

On Veterans’ Day Ms Bresee said that since it is Veterans’ Day I am going to let you out early.

NLA can’t do anything without documentation

We need affidavits

Affidavits are the most powerful evidence you can get

NLA is opening a court of record within the next week or two going after all of these individuals that are subverting our Constitution. High treason against We the People.

We have done over 30 habeas corpuses.

We are going to take these habeas corpuses that were not acted upon , we only had a couple that were acted upon, we have about 30 or so that have not been, and we are going to bring these judges and clerks into the court and they will be able to answer by paper and if we decide to indict then we will indict inside this particular case and we will set up trial juries inside this index number . We want to do something for the case in Oregon. We want to go after the murderers of LaVoy Finicum. We want to go after the people in Montana. We have written a few papers on this for Mr. Robertson.

NLA has been unable to do a habeas corpus for him because we don’t have anybody who is writing the paper. We need a next friend for him.

Lindsey has a long affidavit 90 percent done.

Lindsey can hand in what she already got and then do an addition.

Lindsey has a recorded phone call of Joe stating all of this.

In order to do anything out there we need these affidavits.

Anybody out there that has been abused in any way, possibly a traffic stop and their face was pushed into the cement, or possibly their doors were broken down and they were dragged to jail, all of this abuse, we need to get as much information in documents that are verified. Sworn affidavits.

NLA is also trying to work with Trump’s group.

Regarding Mr. Robertson and the habeas corpus, his accusers will have to rebut everything in that affidavit, they also have to answer certain questions , they have to bring proof of what law he broke, they have to show proof on why they have not let him out on his own recognizance.

We should also go after his lawyer because he refused to do an appeal, and NLA did the appeal for him, and then the day after they got the appeal that NLA filed then his lawyer also filed one. They are acting under the lawyer’s one. There is no reason that Mr Robertson should be in jail at this moment.

He has proven himself to be a person of integrity.

He showed up for court. He showed up for the sentencing.

There is no evidence to prove that he might run.

In the denial for his release they wrote in there that he associates and has Malheur Refuge people for supporters.

He has “not guilty” people as supporters.

Lindsey has it documented very well because they have not been able to get on his visitors list.

Get as much documentation as you can.

There is a website that explains every point of the Clean Water Act.

Rhonda has information about that article

It is : Redoubt News Montana , We Must Be Kept Safe From Joe Robertson by Jason Van Tatenhove.

Montana.redoubtnews.com

Redoubt News has done 20 articles on Joe.

There are three e-mail questions:

QUESTIONS:

Question 1: How can we avoid falling back on to the total reliance on the religious belief system as the fundamental backbone of the system of government and remain in honor with life expressly reserving all rights including but not limited to the right to take a meaningful oath of citizenship and to uphold the laws of the United States with the correct sentence structure?

Basically they are concerned with destructive religion tainting and violating the process.

They don’t want to be totally reliant on a religious belief system.

(1:31:34)

A lot of churches are astray.

The enemy has infiltrated religion.

We the People know right from wrong, it’s innate.

We know that when someone has been violated then restitution is required.

We have unalienable rights. They come from our Maker.

Our behavior is between us and God.

When it comes to the law, the key thing is three things:

Coming in with a sense of honor, justice, and mercy.

A court case is all about the facts.

It is a matter of right and wrong.

Nobody can make a law to require us to behave in a certain way.

This nation was founded on the Magna Carta idea.

It was founded on the laws of nature and nature’s God.

This nation was founded on that principle.

We should not have a Communist Party running in the United States.

When you have a group that has a manifesto to take over a republican form of government and usurp it with something that equates the leaders as god that’s like saying we are going to allow so many milligrams of arsenic everyday in our diet.

There is no good that can come out of that.

In order for there to be a crime there must be an injured party.

For every injury there must be a remedy.

How are you going to restore that injured party?

Our behavior is our business as long as it doesn’t injure another person.

Mary wanted to jump in really quick.

We need to support Trump.

If we all support Trump it makes it harder for them to do something evil to him.

She burnt out two batteries in her computer

She was taking the courses.

She was able to do it from her I phone

Apple doesn’t carry Flash any more

People who get online with the I-phone can’t answer the questions.

You can get help from Catherine with that

She can get our tech guy , Karl, on the problem.

Karl will let you know if we are compatible.

She was doing the questions on her phone before but Apple dropped Flash Player .

Caller will call Apple to tell them what is going on as well.

John continued with the e-mail questions and then was going to give Brent time for his lesson.

Question 2: Would NLA support a state legislature attempting to secede?

What scenarios would NLA deem this a viable strategy in order to resist the oppressive and out of control leviathan big brother government that we have?

(1:45:30)

This is not the time for seceding.

The problem has to be done with collectively

Our court papers that we are going to be writing, we are writing to the governors, the governors will be responsible for bringing our message to their legislators, nevertheless, we will be dealing with some of the problems. The states have no say in the federal government. They took away the senators so that they have no say in their government.

They have to have the ability to have a say in the federal government.

They get that through their senators.

The Seventeenth Amendment took that away from them.

The Constitution itself forbids any kind of law or any kind of change of the structure of our government in that way.

Question 3: This person has a case pending in the circuit courts.

Can you discuss the Writ of Mandamus versus the declaratory judgment?

I am wanting to file judicial misconduct complaints as it relates to the officers of the courts.

Should both a Writ of Mandamus and Declaratory Judgment be filed and if not which one is preferred?

John questioned what was meant by declaratory judgment.

Habeas Corpus is a very simple thing.

It is the statutory way of getting your Fifth Amendment right of due process.

It is pressing them to show proof, by what authority. There has got to be sworn affidavits.

If they have no witnesses and they have no sworn affidavit or if they have no injured party then they got to let you go. That’s the law.

They don’t want to obey that law and that’s the problem.

John passed things on to Brent

Brent is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

(1:53:35)

No man knows everything

Donald Trump knows a lot of things

But he doesn’t know everything.

Because we don’t know everything, when a problem arises, we take what we do know and apply it to the problem.

Brent recently went to Las Vegas.

He saw a building that struck his attention that he hadn’t noticed before.

It was a skyscraper with windows in a golden color.

It said “Trump”

Donald Trump has spent his life building things.

When someone said that we have an illegal alien problem then the first thing that popped into Trump’s head was “What can I build to stop this?”

He said, “I’ll build a wall”

He has the wherewithal to build a wall.

Congress appropriated the billions of dollars for it a long time ago.

The President was not bound to do anything that Congress tells him to do.

And so he said “I am not going to do it”

He didn’t even want to talk about it.

The money is there and Donald made the commitment to build the wall.

He has the connections that would allow that wall to be built.

The money is there.

Don’t think for a moment that Trump is the answer to our problems. He is not.

He is an encouragement.

He is right headed.

He has the propensity to recognize what is true and then when he sees it he will grasp it when a problem arises.

A country will cultivate what they deem to be valuable.

We in America, if we are wise, we will cultivate what is worthwhile, we will care for it, we will try to maintain it, we will teach it.

And what is worthwhile is what has made America the greatest engine of wealth and prosperity and peace and freedom the world has ever known.

What is it that has caused this to happen?

It is the laws of nature and the laws of nature’s God.

The laws of nature’s God are written in the Bible.

Nine of thirteen colonies taxed their President to support the religion of that state at the time of the ratification of our Constitution.

It remained that way for a long time.

The purpose of the First Amendment of the Constitution was to allow the states to do whatever they wanted and for the general government in Washington DC to not interfere in any way.

If the state wanted to have state religion then that was their business.

Nine of them did.

The First Amendment says that Congress shall make no law respecting a particular establishment of religion. The establishment of state religion.

The men back then understood that government is impossible without law.

Government must rest on law.

There can be no law without a lawgiver.

A lawgiver a final arbiter of right and wrong in particular instances.

There can be no law without a lawgiver.

We have traditionally called such a person, and it has to be a person, and we called such a person God.

There can be no government without a lawgiver.

Man’s response back to that is called religion.

To respond back to your lawgiver.

Donald Trump is not the answer.

But if he is right headed , and God has delegated the governments of men to men, then it takes a combined understanding of him and others around him and us as we seek to , and start with yourself, govern yourself.

We are talking about government of yourself.

We are talking about the militia clauses of the United States Constitution.

(2:05:19)

There are four of them.

The militia clauses are the law of our land.

There are four of them found in the text of the Constitution of the United States.

Article Six says this Constitution and laws made pursuant to it are the supreme law of the land.

The supreme law of the land is lifted from the Magna Carta chapter 39 and that was their phrase meaning common law due process.

Not what is to be done but how it is to be done.

Benefits of the militia:

The militia of the several states does away with the temptation of the politicians to plan and promote war to gain the power that they desire.

A common generation by generation experience, the militia of the several states provides that.

It attaches the older generation by experience.

It will draw the nation together.

Our Constitution requires Congress to formally declare war

Congress must declare an enemy.

There can be no convictions of treason unless you define the enemy.

Giving comfort and aid to our enemy is treason.

Treason is not possible without a declared enemy.

The militia gives every family a meaningful responsibility in their local township, their local county, and their local state and their nation.

(2:12:47)

The militia diminishes the need for other police or armed forces, local or national, to act among us.

Brent recommends a good book to read:

“The American Military Officer” by George Marshall

He goes through example, after example , after example in history of men in the armed forces of the United States who nobody thought were worth much of anything but when the duty arose, something within them sparked and they became the leader above all the officers and other noncommissioned officers in their unit , because of what they brought to the desperation of the situation at hand.

(2:17:28)

I am going to talk about police state culture. Sometimes I call it the criminal law industry.

The industry that has developed around the federalization, especially, of criminal law.

It is an industry, and there are millions of people, and millions and billions of dollars at stake, and there are a lot of folk that have a vested interest in keeping it going in full force and growing it.

For over the past 175 years , since the time we began neglecting the four militia clauses of our Constitution, Americans have gone from refusing to allow policemen to tote guns to not only allowing them to carry firearms but in addition now they are tolerating mere petty bureaucrats to carry concealed weapons such as BLM employees, armed now.

(2:18:32)

Now they are all armed without reason.

Consider further federal prison guards , local police and bailiffs, have gone from dressing in pressed shirts and ties to donning commando style uniforms and gear.

Policemen have exchanged their dress shoes and creased dress trousers to commando combat boots and fatigues.

And nowadays even real county court bailiffs look more like their outfitted for a black clandestine assassination raid behind enemy lines than they are to maintain order in the country court. These are badges of something going terribly wrong.

There are our servants

That idea is gone. We are the enemy.

Brent concluded

(2:22:54)

John read Article 5

“No State, without its Consent, shall be [deprived](http://www.usconstitution.net/glossary.html#DEPRIVE) of its equal Suffrage in the Senate.”

When the Seventeenth Amendment which never was ratified anyway, when the Seventeenth Amendment was claimed to be ratified and became law then the right of the state for equal vote in the Senate didn’t exist any more.

The Seventeenth Amendment was a direct violation against the Constitution itself.

The Senate was created for the sole purpose of the state to have it’s say in the federal government.

Congress was created for the sole purpose to have We the People have our say in the federal government.

That’s the process . But right now the states have no say.

The states don’t need to leave the Union and try to get out of it.

That’s the worst thing to do.

United we stand and divided we fall.

If the states are serious about doing something , what they need to do is fire the two Senators that they have for their state and get their own Senators and get their own representation in the Senate and then other states should be following and then the states can take back the authority and take control of this out of control federal government.

Article 5 says “No State, without its Consent, shall be [deprived](http://www.usconstitution.net/glossary.html#DEPRIVE) of its equal Suffrage in the Senate.”

States owe it to the People and they owe it to themselves, they have to take control back.

Before the Seventeenth Amendment, the people did not vote on the Senate.

The states worked it out themselves on how they would do it.

The governor would choose two Senators. Their Congress would vote on them.

The legislators of the state , along with the governor, gets to decide who is the representative in the Senate for those states.

The President cannot remove a marshal from office

The only way a marshal can be removed from office is when he serves his term and the President doesn’t reinstate him. Another way is indictment. If a marshal is indicted then they are removed from office.

Another way is if they resign.

The judge can’t fire a marshal. The President can’t get rid of a marshal. Nobody can get rid of a marshal.

We have to educate Trump in this.

Trumps has got to understand that he’s got to be a President that gives the rights back to the People, where they belong, to fix the problems. And he can do it. And he will be a much better President for it.

The marshals and the sheriff work for the People

The police work for a corporate entity.

The only thing that gives us any control over them is whether or not they take an oath to the Constitution.

The State Police become the private army of the governor.

If it wasn’t for them taking an oath to the Constitution then we would have no control over them whatsoever.

Only a common law court can fine or incarcerate.

(2:39:18)

Remove the money system and all these other police forces start to fall apart.

Then you will only have private police forces

The sheriff would be able to pick up exactly what they should be doing.

That’s the police force of the county.

Each county has it’s own police force which is your sheriff system.

Close the courts because they can’t apply the fines or incarcerations.

(2:42:41)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Eric Georgia

Washington said that discipline is the soul of the army. If you don’t hinder the creativity of an individual then how do you get the discipline into the militia?

How are they well-regulated?

Well-regulated means regular. And regular means always ready day in and day out. It means consistent . That is what the word meant then and that is what it means now.

The naval forces are always ready. It is the nature of ships at sea to be ready.

And all ships at sea that go on cruises are fully ready all the time.

The naval forces are more regular than the regular army because of the nature of what they do.

The militia of the several states is not conditioned to mechanical obedience, the militia of the several states are not professional military men. The Book of Numbers has passages of the standards of the militia and you can see the importance of the militia.

Our forbearers, the men that ratified our Constitution were very afraid of the standing army .

And if you go to the Constitution you see that no standing army was to be funded for more than two years. They hated standing armies.

There were two kinds of people in the American colonies and the north south line divided them to a great degree. There were the New Englanders, they were the people who had descended from the people that supported Oliver Cromwell. They supported the power of parliament. They supported a republican form of government. And the people that migrated to old Virginia, those were the people, the old bloodline , the old bluebloods, those are the people that supported the divine right of kings. Those two classes of persons descended from the same country came together and saw that they were both to a great degree wrong. That it was not parliament that was sovereign , as it is now in England today, it is not the king that is sovereign, it is the co-equal branches of government. None of the three branches trump the other. That is the conclusion they finally came and they joined forces.

General Washington wanted the oath of the continental army to be to the United States because the oath of all the men that came together to fight under his command, their oath was to the laws of their particular colonies. Our oath in America is to the law, the law of the land. Our oath is not to a person.

When you do that you are taking an oath of loyalty to the lawgiver. You cannot take an oath to an impersonal piece of paper with writing on it and have any meaning.

You can e-mail Brent with further questions.

In the Militia Act of 1903 it says:

Chapter 193 AN ACT to promote the efficiency of the militia, and for other purposes

“Be it enacted by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the militia shall consist of every able-bodied male citizen of the respective States, Territories, and the District of Columbia, and every able-bodied male of foreign birth who has declared his intention to become a citizen, who is more than eighteen and less than forty-five years of age, and shall be divided into two classes—the organized militia, to be known as the National Guard of the State, Territory, or District of Columbia, or by such other designations as may be given them by the laws of the respective States or Territories, and the remainder to be known as the Reserve Militia.”

That legislation was called the Dick Act.

The problem with the National Guard, it is not the militia of the several states for several reasons.

The federal government or the state government provides the weapons and the training.

That is not what a militia is.

A militia is when you provide your own weapon and your own training.

Brent has a book of about 100 pages called “The Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to It’s Enemies” with a model state militia statute in the back.

You can find it at commonlawyer.com

Closing Song: Days Are Numbers (The Traveller)