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Scripture Reading: Matthew 27 : 32-56

We did finally file the paperwork We got it out today It went out in today’s mail

We posted the paperwork online

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org under “Grand Jury” then click Amendment 2

They have given us 2 or 3 orders over the past 20 or so days

We responded We updated the list We added two more plaintiffs

We responded with a Show Cause and an Amicus Curiae

We got it perfected over the weekend

We put it out today

We’re also working on a Writ of Mandamus which we hope to get out in the next two days or so

It’s going to be one page maybe two

It’s going to be to the United States Supreme Court concerning what they’re doing here in New York and actually what they’re doing here in all of the courts

the Constitution we’ve vested one United States Supreme Court just one and the inferior courts are federal courts The responsibility of their actions in that court is the responsibility belongs to the United States Supreme Court (11:30)

And we’re going to remind them of that and show them where in the Constitution it requires that they are to oversee these courts

And when these people are in bad behavior they need to be removed from the bench

The Congress has that power

They could call for a Grand Jury to come in and we could indict them

That’s another way to get rid of them

Now is the time for the United States Supreme Court to step up

We have to put them on notice

It is clear that they intend on throwing this New York case out on the Second Amendment

Hopefully the paperwork that we sent in should shake them up enough that maybe they shouldn’t do that

That case is moving on

They can’t respond to our case

The only one thing they could do is throw us out

They know they have to answer or default

If you read the letter of charges the District Attorney put upon us and then the response to that in our Show Cause it becomes quite clear that they don’t have a leg to stand on to throw us out of court

What we’re asking the United States Supreme Court to do we’re going to ask them we’re doing a Writ of Mandamus to them to move that court to control and correct the courts that they are responsible for overseeing

(15:00)

(16:20)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: I was reading on the subject of injured parties and how it pertained to two or more individuals and that the state can never be the injured party Many individuals today appear to be on the defendant’s stand for offenses against the state I try to explain to others about this but they seem to need more information Could NLA please elaborate on this further.

Common law is simple The key point to common law is: “Do no harm”

If you harm someone you have to make restitution

Hopefully that could be done without having to go to court

If the administrators can’t patch things up then the grand jury would get it

They could take the opportunity to talk to the individuals to see if they could patch it up

If not they could send it into the court for potential judgment on it and let the jury decide

These situations where the state somehow is injured just doesn’t exist

The state cannot be the injured party

The IRS takes a lot of people into court and they end up going to jail or paying extreme large fines or both That kind of situation is a serious problem

Also code violation people go to jail for code violations and the state comes in and there’s no victim no injured party

The problem is that these courts that are putting people in jail are nisi prius courts

They’re administrative courts They have no power to fine or incarcerate

And yet they do

And as long as we believe that they can then they will continue doing so.

Until we open the minds of the people to realize these things then they’ll continue to put people in jail

Hopefully at some point in the near future we will be able to turn it around and we will force all these people to be released from jail that have been brought to jail for some kind of code violation

(20:00)

No additional questions

Jim Birsen had some comments

We are making some headway

He got an update from someone who had a nonjudicial foreclosure done by us

She keeps an eye on the court and what’s going on down there

There is a judge there that is not going along with the status quo

She is not granting these nonjudicial foreclosures

She is pushing them off to another county

That is a positive sign

(22:40)

CALLERS

Gerard got news this week that a bunch of judges were put into the district court in New York State. Donald Trump has added numerous judges

The unconstitutional rules deny access to our courts of justice by requiring a filing of a civil cover sheet that provides for only a civil court

That’s the problem

They feel comfortable that they have you in some kind of a contract thing

They’re doing an equity thing on you and the Constitution doesn’t enter in

Which is not the way it’s supposed to be because the Constitution runs the equity courts too.

This is what these judges are taught

You have your remedy because they give you Social Security and they give you this and they give you that

and so that was the remedy for taking your rights away

Unless you can get into court and make it Article 3 and stick to a common law venue

Which is what we’re trying to do

We’re not lawyers but we do have common sense

People have been so programmed

All this letter writing This stems from in the Rules Rule 12

They have to first get permission from the court to do this

(32:37)

They write this motion and they say that they would like to make a motion for dismissal for whatever it is that they want to get rid of it for

In this case they want to get rid of it for the sixth one which is failure to state a claim

upon which relief can be granted

But in the letter they have to state why and they have to have good cause in the letter of why they want to make this motion

The motion that they made and the words that they have said they connected us with sovereign citizens claiming that we don’t believe in government and rule of law and courts

You can read in the paper when you go online and look

and how the judge gave them leave of court and gave them an additional 45 days

John is objecting they lied the put fraud to their paperwork in order to get this claiming us to be sovereign citizens they say we don’t believe in government that government is something that we don’t have to adhere to that’s what they’re claiming

The judge should have looked at the papers that we filed to see if there was any truth to that.

To blindly go forward and say OK we’ll give you the 45 days and allow you to make a motion for dismissal

That’s what she did The judge did that

So we wrote a paper in response to that

We totally destroyed their positions

We referred back to our paperwork

We are for government and we are law abiding citizens not citizens but people

It is them that is not law abiding

They are not adhering to the law and behaving correctly

It says:

“The general rule in appraising the sufficiency of a complaint for failure to state a claim is that

1. complaint should not be dismissed unless it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief”

That’s the only point that they make on a Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief can be Granted.

There’s only a few things that they can make this motion on

A total of seven

1. If the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction the court does have subject matter jurisdiction A federal court must hear this case because it’s a constitutional case
2. If they lack personal jurisdiction They definitely have personal jurisdiction for numerous reasons We’re going up against the governor We’re going up against both Houses and they’re located in Albany County and that’s where we filed the papers so they have personal jurisdiction
3. An improper venue that’s where the crime against the People took place they violated the plaintiffs unalienable rights
4. Insufficient process the sheriff served it
5. Insufficient service of process the sheriff served it and they listed all of our papers
6. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted

“The general rule in appraising the sufficiency of a complaint for failure to state a claim

is that

1. complaint should not be dismissed unless it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief”
2. Failure to join a party under Rule 19 All parties involved in this action have been joined It’s the governor and both houses that committed the crime against the people by denying our right to the Second Amendment and injuring us from being able to exercise our inalienable rights

There is no place no positioning for them to be able to throw us out of the court

They are looking for a way to get rid of us They do not want to answer

If you read both papers one is that we filed both of them were filed today

One was written up to be filed on Friday it didn’t go out

And the other one was completed this morning They both went out

One is a Show Cause and the other one is the Amicus Curiae

The Amicus Curiae comes from the Grand Jury

The Show Cause comes from the plaintiffs

What an Amicus Curiae is you can find on the very front page of that paper

basically it’s in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York

BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE1 UNIFIED UNITED STATES COMMON LAW GRAND JURY KINGS BENCH IN THIS COURT OF RECORD IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS coming in as the Next Friend

It affects everyone here in New York and if this case is won it will affect everyone in the United States

We will pursue it in every federal district

We’ll go after the judiciary in every state

They’re going to work day and night to try to throw this case out

If they don’t throw this case out then they got a serious problem because now they got to answer

If they do throw this case out then that brings us to the next move

We are sending a Writ of Mandamus to the United States Supreme Court

A Writ of Mandamus is we command you

It’s going to come from the Grand Jury the highest tribunal in the land

Higher than the United States Supreme Court

It’s going to come from the Grand Jury to the United States Supreme Court

We’re writing this right now

A Writ of Mandamus moving that court to do their constitutional duty

and that is to correct the lower court and command them to obey the Constitution and to allow for us to have what is our right to a court of justice

There are five issues that this Show Cause covers

and one of those issues is the fact that they’re denying us our jurisdiction

They’re saying that we have to stay in the civil court

And we’re saying we’re not in the civil court, we are in the common law court

There is no way to get into an Article III court with the proper jurisdiction under the common law

They don’t want to allow that but that’s the battle and it’s always been the battle

It’s been the battle from day one

We find ourselves in courts foreign to our Constitution

The federal district courts all 94 of them are all courts foreign to our Constitution

We also discovered in our working and studying these things

that the rules of the court were written by the judiciary

And in the process or writing these rules Congress added

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

In this process they have created another court

A court not of record a court of statutes statutory court that’s what a civil court is

What happened was that Congress wrote the original rules

And the judiciary was not happy with the rules that they had written

And they said You really don’t understand how the court system works so Congress said Fine You write the rules and then we’ll bless them for you

The Supreme Court allowed this to go through

This is a BAR written paper (45:12)

It’s constructive law when you construct one piece of law over another to build something

And what they’re building is a statutory prison

That’s what they have constructed and that’s what we’re in

# But what Congress did do after they gave them the ability to write this they said let’s get this rule in here the authority for the rules let’s write this and it’s written in Title 28 United States Code subsection 2072 and it is also posted at the very beginning of the Rules of Civil Procedure and it says: “ Rules of procedure and evidence;  power to prescribe (47:14)

The power and authority given to these people were to write rules of procedure and to write rules of evidence

That was the power That was the job

And this went way beyond it

**(a)** The Supreme Court shall have the power to prescribe general rules of practice and procedure and rules of evidence for cases in the United States district courts (including proceedings before magistrate judges thereof) and courts of appeals.

**(b)** Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge or modify any substantive right.  All laws in conflict with such rules shall be of no further force or effect after such rules have taken effect.

**(c)** Such rules may define when a ruling of a district court is final for the purposes of appeal under [section 1291](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=L&originatingContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&pubNum=1000546&refType=LQ&originatingDoc=Ic06d30f0eac011e58bbae75c8b24877c&cite=28USCAS1291) of this title.

Gerard had another question

We don’t have posted anywhere their responses to us

Every letter that they have written is posted

Every decision that the court has made we have posted

They have not responded to anything that we have sent

Anyone who has not read this case this case is an education from day one

If there’s any case that they should understand This is the case that they should understand

This is the case that is going to bring us as a lawsuit up against the judiciary themselves

We’re going to sue the judiciary

If we get thrown out of this court case we immediately go and sue the judiciary

We need enough money to do it

There’s a lot more paperwork involved

Maybe $3,000 or $4,000

That’s what it’s going to take to carry this case

If the Supreme Court don’t do it then we would sue the entire judiciary including the United States Supreme Court

But John thinks that the United States Supreme Court is going to do the right thing

The Deep State is going down

The good judges have a friend in the White House who will help protect them

If they don’t throw this case out and they permit it to go to trial

Game over They can’t win

This is going to be trial by jury

We are going to win that battle

The battle of words we will win

Anybody who wants to really understand what’s going on in the judiciary this case is the one that does it

This is the case that will give an education

They are determined to throw this case out

We may be able to stop it

First of all with the papers that we wrote they won’t be able to respond to

Second of all getting the United States Supreme Court involved in this

What is right will always prevail

The place where we are filing papers is a depository

It in itself is not a court case

But there are hundreds of cases in our case

We have NonJudicial Foreclosures

We’re going to use those when we go up against the judiciary

We’re going to sue the BAR too because they are complicit with this

They’re the ones that wrote the papers

We got NonJudicial Foreclosures we got many NonJudicial Foreclosures across the land in different counties all doing it the same way without giving people due process

That shows conspiracy

That shows that they have been working together

They do everything the same way They do the same thing over and over again

Denial of Habeas Corpus a clear blatant denial of our right to be heard

Our right of due process

And our anticipation is to consider indictments on all of these people in the next couple of weeks.

People have lost their homes People have lost their freedom They’re sitting behind bars and they shouldn’t be there and these people will not hear an argument

We’ve been building This was our strategy from day one

We have made them our footstools

We like when they respond because we can destroy them with the truth

At congress.gov: Constitution Annotated

# “Constitution Annotated

The Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation (popularly known as the Constitution Annotated) contains legal analysis and interpretation of the United States Constitution, based primarily on Supreme Court case law. This regularly updated resource is especially useful when researching the constitutional implications of a specific issue or topic. The [Featured Topics and Cases](https://www.congress.gov/constitution-annotated/table-of-cases) page highlights recent U.S. Supreme Court decisions that demonstrate pivotal interpretations of the Constitution's provisions. “

The best way to understand the Constitution is to go read the Federalist Papers and read the Antifederalist Papers Read the Declaration of Independence

I would read the Declaration of Independence I would read the Constitution I would read the Bill of Rights And I’d even read Magna Carta

And then I’d listen to the Federalist Papers

We have it on audio and we have it in written form at our website

Take your time Work through it slowly

“Legislative courts, so-called because they are created by Congress pursuant to its general legislative powers, have comprised a significant part of the federal judiciary. The distinction between constitutional courts and legislative courts was first made in American Ins. Co. v. Canter,[51](https://law.justia.com/constitution/us/article-3/06-legislative-courts.html" \l "fn-51) which involved the question of the admiralty jurisdiction of the territorial court of Florida, the judges of which were limited to a four-year term in office. Chief Justice Marshall wrote for the Court: ‘These courts, then, are not constitutional courts, in which the judicial power conferred by the constitution on the general government, can be deposited. They are incapable of receiving it. They are legislative courts, created in virtue of the general right of sovereignty which exists in the government, or in virtue of that clause which enables Congress to make all needful rules and regulations, respecting the territory belonging to the United States. The jurisdiction with which they are invested, is not a part of that judicial power which is defined in the 3rd article of the constitution, but is conferred by congress, in the execution of those general powers which that body possesses over the territories of the United States.’ The Court went on to hold that admiralty jurisdiction can be exercised in the states only in those courts that are established pursuant to Article III, but that the same limitation does not apply to the territorial courts, for in legislating for them “Congress exercises the combined powers of the general, and of a state government”

He’s saying that they don’t have constitutional power

If it’s not an issue at sea you can’t bring Admiralty court onto the land and that is what they’ve done

They’ve brought courts with jurisdictions totally unknown

We don’t know the jurisdictions that they’re in We have no clue

They make it up as they go

They change their own rules and they change statutes

Who knows where we are at with these people when you go into their courts

You got to fight with the judge before you fight the case

And it’s always the same issue

Article 3 the beginning of it says “The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish.”

We are up to 94 of them 94 districts there are many courts inside the districts

There is no reason to have more than one in any federal district

Bring us back to constitutional courts and there wouldn’t be much need so many courts that we have

Distance is a problem so 94 districts is good

People should read the Judiciary Act of 1789

“The Constitution Annotated” is 800 and some pages

It makes more sense of where there mind is and why they’re doing it

We should be able to articulate better along their language when we know what scheme they’re using that makes them feel so good about violating us in these 800 pages

(1:22:30)

CALLERS

Caller 1 : Crystal

She had wonderful turnout and wonderful support in the court this morning

They’re stealing people’s homes changing people’s mortgages

Everybody better check your mortgage deed

Two people had their mortgages changed on them a year and a half ago

One went to get his tax return and his accountant told him he goes “You don’t own your house. This company owns your house and they said the you abandoned it”

This man has his warrantee deed His house is paid for

They’re taking people’s homes in clusters

When he called Crystal up today he said, “OK you got ten minutes to talk” and when Crystal got up there he said “Now you got five minutes” and Crystal said “You just said that I got ten minutes” He goes, “You got five minutes and the attorney got five minutes Do you think we’re going to waste this whole time on you for your long case”

Crystal swore herself in She said “I swear to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help me Jehovah God, Jesus Christ” The judge said, “Get on with it” Then Crystal said ”I asked you to recuse yourself from my case. This is mortgage fraud. I have my warrantee deed. I have my quick claim deed. “ He goes, “I don’t care what you have “ He goes “You had your life use of it “ And he goes, “And we’re still taking your house And it’s going to be auctioned off on Saturday” Crystal asked “Who’s going to sign my name off, Your Honor?” He goes, “Well, you owe the other people “

He said, “You owe G E Credit Union” Crystal said “I do not owe them nothing” Crystal said “I’ve been coming here for over four and a half years, Your Honor. And I’ve been asking you for Proof of Claim I’ve asked you for sworn notarized affidavits I sent you the subrogation and no one yet has answered me anything” Crystal said “You also defaulted and I asked you repeatedly to discharge the case” And Crystal said “And I asked you about the common law jury Oh, and by the way , Your Honor, anything over twenty dollars I can have a common law jury That’s under my constitutional rights” He said, “Well under the Connecticut law there is no rights.” He goes, “The only ones who have the jury is a criminal case or a malpractice” Crystal said , “Well, Your Honor, losing your homes

and the home’s in Connecticut everything is going through the roof “ Crystal said, “Connecticut’s the new Hollywood and the homes and the homes are starting to sell for a million dollars” Crystal said, “And by the way in 2003 my property was appraised at two sixty and you got me down way below the level” He goes, “Well that’s tough Those are our rules.” Crystal said, “But you are violating my constitutional rights” Crystal said, “Also I’d like you to recuse yourself, again, Your Honor, because you told me when you went to the court you were called into court and you said that the judge said ‘Don’t worry about her We’re going to dismiss on her cases’” Crystal said, “That’s definitely conflict of interest” He mentioned, “And then you have another case in Albany, New York “ He goes, “And that was the one that they threw out So there is no case against me. So I’m moving forward” Crystal said, “But you are acting biased “ Crystal said, “You’re prejudice” Crystal said , “ You’re abusing your power “ And Crystal said, “ and this is unconstitutional” Crystal said, “ Again, Your Honor, I would like a common law grand jury And I would like for you to recuse yourself from my case” Crystal said, “You have committed treason because how are you making the laws and then breaking the laws”

He goes, “Are you finished?” He goes, “Well, that’s too bad, Saturday your house is going to be gone.” Crystal said, “Well who’s going to sign my name off of my property when I have my warrantee deed and my quick claim ?” He goes, “That’s no problem That will be taken care of “ He goes, “The case in Albany, New York was thrown out and the case here in Bridgeport was also thrown out”

There’s a lot of grievances on this judge and also the attorneys

They had a big meeting on these same attorneys that work with this judge down at city hall and Crystal will find out the outcome what happened tomorrow.

Everybody wants to file criminal charges what their right is

Crystal gave them the number of National Liberty Alliance

We all have to be court observers

It’s the same judge and he’s showing up over and over The man needs to be under the jail

He must take about a thousand homes a week

It’s a nightmare what this man is doing and he could care less

It’s playing across America everywhere

Crystal did file an appeal this evening before she left the court to the appellate court It was stamped in about 5 of 5 And by the time Crystal got to her car a friend called and said, “Oh, they already stamped it but they said they’re going to change your foreclosure date to June 4”

They’re constantly scheming

Crystal just got the letter tonight from the decision that he was supposed to give me when he kept a copy of the mortgage release and all of that She just got that today

and that was from the 16th of May It’s a nightmare what they’re doing to people

People that are filing NonJudicial Foreclosure papers that NLA put out for them

and then we serve it on the judge we serve it on the individual that’s trying to foreclose it may be a mortgage company or a tax foreclosure

We serve it on the judge if there’s no judge’s name we serve it upon the chief judge in that court We’ve compiled quite a few of these things

We’re still sending them out We’re still compiling them

We’re going to go to the grand jury in the new few weeks, a month at the most

We’re ready to get together to make a decision on this and ask for an indictment

Ask the grand jury for an indictment concerning all these judges that are doing these NonJudicial Foreclosures All of these companies, mortgage companies or whoever is responsible on the county level with the taxes

We’re going to indict them We are going to bring them up before the grand jury for indictment and if we get an indictment We’ll place those indictments into our depository

They’re still taking papers and we’re still filing it was never intended to be a court case

It was never intended to be anything other than a depository

A place to put our papers

A place to be under the auspices of the court

This is all part of the court case that we are going to file against the judiciary very soon

It’s a chess game we got to see what moves that they’re going to make before we make our next move

We opened up a case for the sole purpose of depositing our paperwork

And they’re still taking it

We’re under the auspices of the court

We also file all our paperwork with Jeff Sessions

He gets a copy of everything we’ve done

and also Senator Grassley of the Judiciary Committee he gets a copy of everything we’ve done and the President of the United States

And now we’re going to bring in the United States Supreme Court

And we’re going to start filing with them now

We’re going to open another case soon and sue the judiciary

One way to protect your property is to get into this kind of a situation

The key thing you want to do if they come to take your property away in a NonJudicial Foreclosure and there’s no other way they can do it

That’s why they formulated NonJudicial Foreclosure

The thing you could do is to take it into federal court for cause

And the cause if the violation of your due process

You have to be very careful on how you move something in for cause

John will put something out there so people can look at it and copy

We have lots of memorandums and if some of these memorandums fit your case take the memorandum and design it around your case and use them

The key thing is getting into the federal court

You’re not going to argue your case

If you go in there and argue your case then they’re going to throw you out

They don’t have jurisdiction over your case

You’re moving in for cause

And the cause has got to be a violation of one of your unalienable rights

And the right that they are violating is the right of due process

So that’s your cause

You can use some U S Codes

Under 42 and 18 where they’re acting under the color of law

This is good law written to control them

And there are jail sentences that are suggested the jury will decide there are jail sentences for these people who are acting under the color of law and violating your rights claiming to be under authority and the right to do it under the law that’s operating under the color of law

You’ll want to use those quotes also

The big problem that you have is that you’re going into a civil court

Civil court is a statutory court It creates another problem

They’re very careful in these courts

Your Wherefore Clause must end up with being for them to cease and desist from all actions against you and also to restore you to your original state prior to the beginning of their assault upon you using the court systems

Whatever your injury is you’re looking for that

The most important thing you’re looking for is for them to cease and desist

If you’re very careful you could win in the federal court

The bottom line they have to cease and desist that means that they got to throw it out and they can’t pursue you again any more They can’t come out after you for your house

The way you win in the federal court is to challenge any of their authorities they’re not giving you due process they have no proof of anything on your property so you don’t have to worry about that but you don’t want to get into those arguments the key thing is that your due process is being violated in a court that there is no judicial process

It’s just a procedure

Most people lose their homes they leave their home

The mortgage company or the tax company will deliver a paper to them and tell them that they got to leave that they’re seizing the house and that they’ll give them to a certain date

No power or authority to do anything

They’re using the sheriff to continue their crime

They can’t do anything to throw you out without going through some kind of process and a procedure

They’ll serve you papers A large percentage of the people will think that they have to leave

They believed these people and so they abandoned the house Now they’re able to seize it because it’s been abandoned

It might take a year or two

If you don’t leave then they go through a legal process any way

The illegally change the title they change the information they take ownership

That takes a couple of years

All of this is part of the NonJudicial Foreclosure process

Then they get to the point where they make a claim in the court of ownership of the house

The judge will then give the order if it has to be for the dispossession usually they’ll seek the dispossession through calling you a tenant

The name on the deed is no longer yours it’s theirs

And then they take your house And that’s how they accomplish this

And they do it over and over and over again

They monetize these mortgages They package them up and sell them to each other

A lot of lawyers they set their retirement up in these things

They have a constant income through this constant turnover of mortgage money coming through

And then they do it to the next person and over and over and over again

How to write a paper the best way to do it is read read, read, read, read, read

Read some good cases

You need to take your time to write your papers and put them together and create your defense

“They denied me my right of due process” Stay on point

There’s a good chance that you could win in the federal court that way

As long as you really tighten up on your paperwork and keep focused on your violation of your right of due process

Crystal’s case is making it to the point where we will be asking for indictments in the next few weeks Then we will file the indictments in the court And then you can show him a copy of the indictment with his name on it And it doesn’t matter that it’s a court case that he thinks is closed They’re still taking our paperwork It’s a depository And we keep a copy at our website The Judiciary Committee has it Jeff Sessions has it The President has it The United States Supreme Court is going to get the whole thing

Crystal has the Warrantee deed She has the Quick Claim deed

Move into federal court quickly on this

John will help perfect the paperwork

Once that’s perfected then we will post it up on our site

so that other people that are having your problem will see the paperwork and learn how to do it

Anybody that’s going to do this don’t think that you’re going to have one paper you’re going to copy a paper you’re going to walk into court and you’re going to have success

You have to understand what you’re doing

You should educate yourself

Read all these cases

Read our cases because it’s an education

We have some very powerful Supreme Court ruling cases Read them

The best education is reading Read, read, read, read, read

Your case must be built around a violation of an unalienable right

They will tell you that you have to have a federal code

John will work with Crystal on the paper

Stay focused on what this case is about Due Process

Since they can’t win they have to come after me with these NonJudicial Foreclosures which means that they’re not giving me my due process in a court of justice and that’s why we’re here They violated my right of due process Stay on point

That’s the only thing that that court is going to rule on

And your Wherefore Clause is going to say cease and desist

You can sue them later on when we get courts of justice

(1:55:00)
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The Constitution of the United States only creates three just three offices. These and no others These and no more. The office of the President of the United States

The office we call Congressmen Senators and Representatives Those are Congressmen

It creates one other office and presently there are nine of them But our Constitution doesn’t say that there has to be nine There was a time when there were only three

It’s the custom now The common law custom of nine

Our Constitution creates this office

It’s called the Supreme Court Justices of the United States

Our Constitution creates only the Supreme Court of the United States, no other courts

The office of presidency

The office of congressmen

and the office called Supreme Court Justices

That’s it

Three branches of government three offices

It describes in detail how they are to be chosen and put in place

It ‘s a document that stresses how

How government is supposed to do it

That’s our common law

The law of the city stresses what is to be done we must do the will of the state

Our Constitution creates these three offices

Out of the trunk grows these three branches

Separately and independently Neither one dependent upon the other

But depending upon the trunk of the tree which we call the Constitution of the United States

That tree called the Constitution of the United States is rooted in the land

Why? because it’s the law of the land

Our common law is the law of the land It’s rooted in the land

Our Constitution delegates authority to these three offices

Congress, the President, and Supreme Court Justices

It delegates authority to them

and it tells us how it is to be done

These men filling these three offices are to appoint and place other offices of government under them

Very precise our Constitution is about it

It says that the Congress of the United States

Article one is about congress

Article two is about the presidency

Article three is about Supreme Court Justices

In Article I it says that Congress these are creatures of the Constitution limbs of the branches of government Congress has the power to appoint to create to create courts.

And it has created the federal courts

There’s almost 100 of them

Congress can wipe out federal courts

Congress can impeach an individual

Congress creates courts and they say “Whatever we create we have power over”

He who has power to give has power to take

He who has authority to create the court has authority to define it’s limits and to uncreate it, to kill it, to take it away

Let’s get to the Declaration of ‘76

Paragraph 25

“He has abdicated government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.”

I’m not going to protect you any more and I’m going to kill you if I can

By declaring the colonists out of his protection, he condemned them

condemnation by outlawing

An early American set of outlaws that met a horrible fate their names were the Harp Brothers.

Their father was a Tory in North Carolina He immigrated from Scotland

His two boys hated Americans

One was 6 ft 4 and one was 5 ft 9

Everybody called them Big Harp and Little Harp

Big Harp and Little Harp were the first serial killers in America

The started in the North and they got to a place called Cave-In-Rock , Illinois on the Ohio River They operated from there along with the pirates along the river

Then they ranged out all up and down the Ohio River

They would get in trouble They were declared outlaws in Kentucky by the governor

The governor declared them outlaws so they crossed the river over to Cave-In-Rock , Illinois because there was no state government there There was no law at all

These fellows killed about 50 people

They were finally found

Their skulls were place upon poles to rot

Outlawry is the foundation of our present day bounty hunters whose jurisdiction is limited to the territory of the United States

They bring in fugitives You got to obey the law when you do it

Bounty hunters have been an important part of our tradition for a long time

The first policemen were named after the commissioner of police The first commissioner of police the Crown appointed in England was a fellow his first name was Bob and people called him Bobby People called the first policemen which were established in London people called them bobbies and they’ve been called bobbies ever since

They didn’t have any policemen before

Why?

The same reason why we didn’t have any policemen here

People in the English speaking world have a long tradition of not trusting the government with guns

What has happened to us that we are willing to trust the government with guns to use against us while at the same time the English people want to give all of their guns to the government

That’s madness

In Old England 1000 years ago Wolf Head their head was worth no more than a wolf

The decree of outlawry was only delivered by juries

Many colonists in America sought the king’s favor after his decree of outlawry and his reward by turning on the outlaw patriots in America

Hunting them down and killing them

King George sent his army and mercenaries to murder them

If the bounty hunter didn’t kill you then you were hung three to nine times not enough to kill you but just enough to make you miserable then you were cut down then you were disemboweled then you were laid on the ground or on a table then you were drug by your heels on your back or on your belly They would cut your heart out too

Then they would cut your body into pieces and put it in different places where everybody could see it

If you know you’re wanted for murder and you think that you are innocent or even if you think you’re guilty if you flee into the city of refuge in sanctuary to wait until due process was completed you could save yourself from death

That’s the way true outlawry was to be practiced If you’re dumb enough to come out of the city after a certain period of time you might get killed and it wouldn’t be the bounty hunter’s fault

King George sent out his army and mercenaries out to hunt down Americans

Separation demanded that the colonies show real injury

They do that in Paragraphs 26 – 29

Paragraphs 2 – 24 show that was by indirect means through deceit, scheme , and manipulation, abusive working of the courts and law

Paragraphs 25 through 29 of the Declaration of ’76 show direct open highhanded and notorious acts of war such as murder kidnapping plundering terrorism done in treachery and cruelty

Records of British murder of colonials reveal merciless brutality

Cutting down colonial patriots as though they were wild beasts to be killed for sport

Ferguson commanded the unit of Highlanders Scottish Highlanders in North Carolina

He sent a message to come back He said that if you don’t come back then I’m coming after you. And when I find you then I’m going to hang you I’m going to disembowel you

And I’m going to cut your heart out I’m going to quarter you And I’m going to do that to everybody that doesn’t come back They got the message They read it

They talked about it They said, “He means to kill us There’s no sense going back There’s no telling what a crazy man like that will do “ So they took up their guns and they found him and they killed him

These are the facts of history This is what happened during the days of our separation from Britain.

Americans were outlawed

And then he came after them to kill them

The American colonies never revolted against Britain

Our war for separation was no revolution

Revolution means to revolve

The law of the city is founded on revolution

That’s all it knows That’s all it’s ever known

The Americans never did that

They said we got common law courts we have common law understanding we have juries We just want to continue with our common law

It was a war of necessity of self defense

The Crown of England declared us outlaws

and then declared war on Americans

(2:28:28)

Caller 2: Ed from Connecticut

In Crystal’s case basically the judge is saying that Connecticut does not have to follow the Constitution

Connecticut is the Constitution State It says that on their license plate

This judge clearly shows his lack of desire to give Crystal due process

It would be powerful to have recordings, or affidavits, or transcripts

He is not going to obey the Constitution He is not going to adhere to anyone’s unalienable rights

Get affidavits or the recording

Use that for your federal case

The states have lost their power and control of Congress and the United States government

The 17th Amendment stole their power to be able to have a vote in the Senate by having their own Senators

The whole process of having two Senators for every state was for the legislators and governors of the state to be able to control and have their say in what takes place in Congress and United States government as a whole

The get that by a vote and that was taken away from them

Article 5 says:

“no State, without its Consent, shall be deprived of its equal Suffrage in the Senate.”

And yet the 17th Amendment removes that ability of a vote and turns it over to the people

The people already have representation it’s called the Congress

We the states had that representation through the Senate

So the 17th Amendment says “The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, elected by the people thereof.”

That’s in contradiction to the body of the Constitution

You can’t change the body of the Constitution through an amendment

The only way you can change the Constitution in any way shape or form is a full Constitutional Convention but even that is still bound by common law

We’ve already advised the governors and the legislators of the states that they have every right and every power and every authority we’ve written in our papers when we addressed them that they have all the power and the authority they can nullify the Senators of their state and send the two people that they want

They can rest on Article 5 of the Constitution

You can’t negate that with an amendment the way they did it

without a Constitutional Convention

There is 11 states that require two party consent for recording

Federal law says that it’s only one party consent

39 states do follow the federal law with one party consent

There are 11 states that want two party consent

The judicial process is an important process to every individual in America and we don’t realize it We don’t know it We all need to become educated

(2:49:00)

Caller 3: 651 Minnesota

You were talking about outlaws

Are targeted individuals considered outlaws by somebody ? How does that relate to any rights that they may or may not have?

The Patriot Act made us all enemy combatants In a certain sense we’re all outlaws according to that

Brent said that you can’t become a targeted individual unless a jury of your peers declares it

It’s not up to the government to say that you’re an outlaw

(2:53:54)

Caller 4 Heather from Utah

NLA helped her file her paperwork for a NonJudicial Foreclosure

Today she got served by the sheriff with papers it’s a summons

She talked with Jim and he suggested that she send them her paperwork

and ask them to hold off

The summons was for Complaint for Unlawful Detainer

You need to answer it

You don’t want to ignore answering because then they’ll default on you

They’re trying to get her to leave her house

They changed the name of the ownership on the title

They did this without going to court

She did do paperwork through NLA

You are going to have to think about going into federal court for violating your due process

You can’t not answer That would be a default

She has to respond within three days

Tell them that they illegally took your property

Tell them they illegally stole your property title and that you are going to take this to federal court because they’ve taken it without a judicial process

They didn’t have any authority or right to do this

Lodging a case is when you go into federal court and you just stack the paperwork in it for a future date and they give you a docket number entering evidence into the federal court but not actually running the case yet

If she could go and lodge the case and take the number out of federal court and say I have a case that’s going into federal court on this and here’s the lodging number

That might make them think twice about moving forward

That way she has more time to actually file the case

How is she going to get a case filed in three day

She has to answer this

She has to answer this and then she could move the case into federal court for cause and you could do that relatively quickly

That will help to stall the case

They’re coming out to take your property without due process

They have gone so far as to changing the names on the title

Take that to the federal court You’re not getting due process

You got to make it clear that this is fraud

Where is the Proof of Claim?

Where is the Proof of Fiduciary Authority?

Where is it filed?

What they like you to do is to get you to leave the property

Once you leave the property then they claim that you abandoned it

In that abandonment now they can take ownership of the property

Once they change the name on the deed then they just kick you out as a tenant

We have to analyze their paperwork and see what game they are playing

If we can get the paperwork trail on what they are doing and analyze that paperwork trail to see what they’re doing we may be able to create a response process

They have some kind of procedure going on that is unlawful to begin with

They have confidence in this procedure

We can only understand the game by reading all the series of paperwork

She responds and they ignore her

You’re responding by a letter You’re not responding by putting something in the court

If you respond and you certify everything that you do you certify every paper

We can maybe write up a plan of response

That may prevent them from moving forward

She did the Notice of Demand when she was responding to them

They figure you’re going to give up They figure that you’re ignorant

They figure you can’t afford a lawyer They figure that you don’t know what they’re doing

They keep moving The clerks are ignorant

Send us a copy of all the paperwork and we will try to figure out what they’re doing