National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

October 24, 2016

Opening Song: Eye in the Sky

Topic: Redress of Grievances

Call-In Number: 605-562-3140 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading:

Ephesians 6 :10-18

Colossians 1 : 12 17

Colossians 2 : 13-15

A couple weeks back we talked about a new direction.

NLA has been working on a paper.

We are constantly learning, constantly building

We are confident that it is time to make a move.

Two or three weeks ago we mentioned this new direction.

John is going to share a paper. It is the beginning of what NLA is writing . It is a small section.

It will be the Grand Jury, which is We the People, against the U S House of Representatives, the U S Senate, State Governors, and Federal Judiciary.

NLA has sent papers into the courts over the past year.

These people had a duty to speak and talk about them, they have not.

NLA will represent the change back to our roots, back to where we belong.

We are going in for a redress of grievances.

Robert Schulz went into the Supreme Court and asked the question:  
“Do we have the right of redress of grievances?”

And that is where the problem began, he asked a question.

NLA is not asking. We have the right of redress of grievances.

We are going to exercise it.

Our focus will mainly be on the two branches of government

The administrative system is totally out of control.

Totally in control by the New World Order.

Probably addressing them would not do anything.

We need to address the people where we may have a chance.

We have 430 people in Congress as representatives

We have 100 U S Senators

Out of those 530 people we have got to believe that there is some that understand the problem. NLA has already sent papers to all of these people.

It was a demand or command to obey the Constitution.

It was done in the form of an Information.

NLA is doing something different.

NLA is going to go after this with a summons and require an answer.

NLA is going to go after the Speaker of the House as far as the name on this paperwork.

And the head of the Senate, and all 50 governors, and maybe the judiciary and the 94 federal district courts, or directly to the Supreme Court of the United States.

We have the eight justices, Scalia has not been replaced yet.

The chief judge is Roberts.

We will directly focus our attention to him.

He would have to answer for himself and all eight justices.

NLA is going to demand that the US Supreme Court write a Writ of Mandamus demanding and commanding that the federal judiciary obey the law of the land, to allow the Constitution to return back into our courts.

Tonight is the first revealing of the first part of what we are going to be talking about.

We start off with a proclamation.

The enemy was in the gate from day one.

We know that clearly just by looking at the seal that Jefferson and Franklin proposed as the United States seal and what we ended up with. We will talk a little about that tonight but we will not get into specifics.

We only have 8 pages done for the paper. We have a lot of notes.

We are going to pull all the papers we have done into this court case.

We are also going to pull into this court case Judge Anna Brown in Oregon in the case concerning the Wildlife. This is the perfect case to show that the judiciary works overtime to remove the Constitution. To not permit the Constitution to be spoken or brought up in our courts.

We also have the case in Montana which we are going to pull in.

There is a very important situation in Jersey, we want to know by what authority they think that they can speak the way they do and how they think that they can put people in jeopardy by the things that they say.

John was temporarily disconnected.

Gerard said that John was talking about the paper which is basically a proclamation.

What is different is that NLA is actually taking a number this time.

We have been writing to you, but now it is going to be a case with a summons.

John was going to read the first eight pages.

John was rebooting and should be back on shortly.

It was decided to wait for John to read the paper.

John returned to the call.

John read the paper. It deals with one thing. Subversion against the United States of America by enemies foreign and domestic. NLA is going to do it in a court case.

NLA will pay the $400 extortion.

We will try not to pay but if we have to we will pay. We will go after the clerk.

We will file the extortion paper at the same time.

We will have our paper stamped for summons , to file a summons, and get that case going, and get a number, and get our money back

We are going to use their rules against them.

It is a proclamation

This is only eight pages of the whole paper. NLA has a lot more.

The proclamation is probably going to be the first part of the whole thing.

The proclamation is what we will read now.

We are going in for a redress of grievances.

NLA has a lot to redress.

Redress is to restore, to bring back to where it should be.

We want to restore things back to law and order.

Proclamation is a decree.

To get his paper online go to nationallibertyalliance.org and then go to “Grand Jury” , scroll down and it is the first case.

Redress of grievances is what this paper is being called.

It may be 25 to 30 pages but right now we have eight pages completed.

John began reading at 30:00.

The paper begins as follows:

” REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES PROCLAMATION We the Sovereign People, in this extraordinary Action at Law to redress our grievances, indict the lawless, and restore the Republic by exposing the subversion of the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic. And by the power and authority vested in We the Sovereign People by Natures God, we will hold liable and bring to Justice, in courts of justice, all who oppose the Law of the Land.”

Page 2 talks about the seal:

“Benjamin Franklin had an idea similar to Jefferson’s and wanted to also illustrate a scene from the Exodus of the Israelites.”

On page 3 it states:

“Therefore, by We the People calling upon God in 1776 desiring the righteousness of His Law, seeking the blessing of His liberty in 1789 and proclaiming His unalienable rights in 1791, entered into an everlasting covenant with Him that no man can depose”

On page 4 it states:

“In 1871, the 41st Congress acted without constitutional authority, an act of fraud (Organic Act of 1871), conspiracy and subversion against the United States of America attempting to depose our covenant with our creator and thereby establishing a totalitarian government unaccountable to We the Sovereign People, under foreign control, behind which the conspiratorial erosion of our Constitution began.”

Page 5 states:

“In 1878 seventy-five lawyers from twenty states and the District of Columbia met in Saratoga Springs, New York, to establish the American Bar Association (ABA), the minions of the “new order of the ages”. Since that first meeting, the ABA has worked in the shadows infiltrating our government, our courts, our churches, our institutions and our media; demoralizing our children all in an effort to expunge our common law and replace it with civil law a/k/a Babylonian law, Justinian law, or Roman Law.”

Page 6 states:

“In 1913, three unratified diabolical acts of Congress set the course for the destruction of the United States of America: 1) The Sixteenth Amendment created income tax, an act of extortion…

2) The Seventeenth Amendment destroyed the checks and balance of power in violation of the Constitution Article V…

3) The unconstitutional Federal Reserve Banking Act of 1913 gave control of America’s economy to a private corporation owned by foreign bankers who answer to no one and regulate the value of worthless notes of debt called the dollar, robbed We the People of our gold and bankrupted America…”

Page 7 states:

” 1934 Congressman McFadden on the Federal Reserve Corporation Remarks in Congress: "Mr. Chairman, we have in this Country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks, hereinafter called the Fed.”

” TODAY, under legislation such as the Patriot Act and the creation of the Department of Homeland Security, the Sovereign People are under attack by our very own elected and appointed servants. Our very way of life is in jeopardy because of the ignorance of the meaning of words and the misuse of the way that government by consent that our founders framed for us has been abused.”

The first eight pages conclude with the following:

“So how is it that patriotic People who claim to be sovereign and believe in the Constitution and insist that our elected servants keep their oaths are somehow home grown terrorists? We the Sovereign People are determined through this action to find out why.”

John concludes the reading of the paper at 50:17

It is an 8 page paper at this point

It runs under the title called Proclamation

As we finish each section of the paper we will read it out.

We should have another section or two finished by next Monday

We will read those papers and continue to read the papers

It may take two weeks to get all this paperwork together

We need to get this filed as soon as possible. And start this case.

NLA has not filed a case summoning people to respond yet.

Everything NLA has done was to file in courts information and different concerns and mandamuses commanding the government to obey the law.

None of these papers have ever been returned . They have not been responded to

Once We the People start to require them to resign for being in bad behavior for not doing their duty and for aiding and abetting the enemy by supporting unconstitutional legislation

well before Christmas we will have our case well-defined.

This case will be building, building, building , building

We are going to be doing indictments inside this case.

We are doing “wherefore clauses” requiring these people that are not obedient to the constitution and who are in bad behavior and who do not respond that they resign.

Our indictments may sit for awhile before they are executed.

Justice will prevail. We will get our courts back. We will take back America.

We may need to get signatures of support of what we are doing here.

This is a national event

On a level of subversion against the United States

All of this will cost money NLA needs your support.

Go to our website nationallibertyalliance.org on the top right side click on our “donation” button.

Please support our efforts.

The same people always give the money.

We have thousands of members, we shouldn’t have a problem

We will need a couple of thousand to get this paperwork off.

It will take a week or two to get this paperwork together.

We will need money to file it.

QUESTIONS from e-mail

Question 1: In regard to something that Gerard said several weeks ago about that the paperwork is the nails and we just have to be ready to drive them in.

In reference to the Quo Warranto of 2015

“We the People” have statutory law in place of common law.

The suggestion would be to present that Quo Warranto at any trial involving statutory law.

Has anyone actually done that? And what were the results?

John does not know if anyone has used that particular paperwork.

NLA has 17 or so papers up there and people have used some of them.

NLA is writing some papers for people to use in their court cases.

Anything that NLA writes, people are welcome to take

John is not aware of anyone using that particular paper.

There is paperwork that went in for redress of grievances out there in the Oregon case laying out the fact that this judge needs to leave the case. There was over 100,000 signatures.

If they can get over one hundred thousand signatures then why can’t we?

This case will be a major case that NLA will bring into the New York case.

Another case is Jersey. Jersey is way out of control

A Congressman is pointing fingers and saying certain people are terrorists.

Police forces are listening to this.

For someone to call you a terrorist, it puts you in harm’s way, you could get killed.

This Congressman needs to be held accountable

He needs to resign.

We will probably set it up to get signatures electronically.

2017 may be the year that we get some movement in the courts.

NLA’s plan to save America is to do it nonviolently, trying to convince those that are doing the wrong thing to start doing the right thing.

We will forget their sins of the past.

We will give them mercy

But if anyone has been damaged they are responsible to repair that injury.

We fight this battle with righteousness, with the truth, with the pen.

Question 2: Regarding the Void for Vagueness Doctrine: In American constitutional law a statute is void for vagueness and unenforceable if it is too vague for the average people to understand. Generally, a vague statute that regulates in the area of First Amendment guarantees will be pronounced wholly void

 Winters v. New York, [**333 U.S. 507**](http://supct.law.cornell.edu/supct-cgi/get-us-cite?333+507), 509–10 (1948)

Have we ever used this doctrine in our papers?

We could use it if something came up but we don’t need that because all of these different statutes are really unconstitutional.

(1:04:42)

The key to the whole thing is that it is null and void.

The Bill of Rights was agreed upon back in 1789 before they signed the Constitution.

More than half the states refused to sign on unless there was a Bill of Rights

It was agreed to that a Bill of Rights would be added as part of the Constitution.

It was then done in 1791.

John read from the paper:

“In 1791, We the People of the United States ‘expressed a desire in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best ensure the beneficent ends of its institution’ RESOLVING THAT: this Bill of Rights ‘to be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution.’”

The purpose is to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers.

Any time any law in any way violates an unalienable right, it is null and void.

We are not paying attention to these things.

It is time for the people to be woken up to realize this.

Jan just got a Skype from Ollie saying that we have an emergency and asking to go to Q & A and have Lindsey speak

We will let Lindsey speak and then go to Brent

John opened Q & A only for the individuals involved in the emergency

Lindsey would be the only one coming in.

Caller from North Carolina called in She is 13 years old

Caller had a question: When you talked about that doctrine being used and getting a petition up to Congress and you also said that the foreign countries have bought our economy over , why aren’t they abiding by the First Amendment and ignoring our freedom of speech?

John told caller that we would address that later on.

John will make a note of that question and we will address that later.

First we want to address some people that have emergency information.

Then we will move on to Brent

And then we will open up for questions.

Ollie is trying to get Lindsey to call in.

While waiting for Lindsey, John responded to caller.

There was subversion against the United States before we became a nation.

The enemy was amongst us even during the Declaration of Independence.

The big argument that was taking place back then was the Federalists and the Antifederalists and the problem of subversion already taking place.

Certain things were being brought into the Constitution that would bring problems later on. John believes that those problems that were brought into our Constitution were neutralized by the Bill of Rights.

We divvied out the power and authority of each of the branches of government and they are not permitted to go outside.

We have become an ignorant people.

There is a conspiracy that has prevented the truth from being taught in school.

They don’t teach the Constitution.

Through ignorance that has been a major problem.

We the People have been made so ignorant that we don’t understand or know these things any more. We have been so deceived.

Caller believes that they are educated to be a worker rather than to succeed in life.

John said that people are being indoctrinated in school. They are not being taught to think.

The same is happening in BAR schools.

They become robots and don’t know how to think.

They’ve been taught not to think.

We don’t have a good educational system because it has been robbed.

Education needs to be returned to the people.

The parents need to make decisions.

Each school needs to have it’s own curriculum coming up from the people.

NLA would like at some time to get their curriculum into the schools. And our books into the schools and into the courts so that the people get the real truth of what the Constitution is all about.

The people are ignorant of it and frightened of it.

There is mass hypnosis between our education and our entertainment

They have been taught that you can’t understand the law, you got to get yourself a lawyer.

They have been taught that you can’t understand God or the Bible so you have to find yourself a priest.

They rob you by bringing in someone in between.

They have been demoralizing our people.

Caller said that Common Core is pushing down the child’s mind. The child is having the lack of wanting to learn because they are pushing so much into our schools now a days that we are literally being taught to obey. Not to have creativity or thought or imagination any more.

Children are being pushed so much into their brains that we have to obey. We should not be living in fear of our government.

John said that they have created a statutory prison

Governments of the world have constantly created statutory prisons.

They control the people through law.

They have violated and overturned our law of the land and they have brought in their civil law, the statutory law.

This has been going on for quite some time.

They have dumbed us down and taken control of the whole process.

John asked if caller was familiar with our civics course and Constitutional course on NLA.

Caller wants to get more information on that.

On the top of the nationallibertyalliance.org website there is a blue bar.

The fourth one from the left says “free courses” Click on there.

John suggests to take the Constitutional course first because it’s a lot quicker.

The civics course is very long.

Read the NLA front page and watch the videos.

Also the “grand jury” page and the “COS” page.

Those three pages with the courses ties together everything that NLA is doing.

Common Core will fall away as soon as We the People take control of our courts and take control of our political process.

Ollie is ready to brief us

(1:28:13)

Ollie said that Lindsey was too shook up to come on.

Ollie will give the briefing

Lindsey has been trying to get visitation rights for six months and they allow no one in to see him.

They only give him so many minutes a month

He didn’t get the call to Lindsey until last week.

At that time he said, “I need someone to come in and see me, please.”

Tonight he called. He said Terry (his wife) they have a doctor’s request for special made boots and a cane and sent it to the warden. They denied him this. They still don’t know if he had a stroke or not.

John inquired, “Who are we talking about?”

Ollie replied “Joseph Robertson, the Montana case”

He also requested glasses which they denied.

They still have not given him a CT Scan.

He called tonight and relayed all of this to her and he said, “Please, please help me.”

John said that in order to help him, NLA needs affidavits.

NLA has been working on trying to get affidavits for this case.

(Joe and his wife had put three small ponds onto their property. Ponds that they would use for the purposes of watering their animals and fighting wild fires in their community. They also improved a road leading up to their property which includes 200 acres and an unpatented mining claim.)

NLA plans on taking this case into their court cases.

Along with the case in Oregon.

We also have the Arizona case with the Bundys that we have to be concerned about.

Montana is one of NLA’s high priorities.

Get the affidavits.

NLA will try to write the papers right away.

Gerard thinks that in a case where his life is in danger and he’s got some serious medical problems that they are purposely not listening to that you can run a habeas corpus to produce him and they have to answer

John said that we have done a habeas corpus and we can build upon that.

Gerard said “ a habeas corpus specific to this issue” “bring him forth for a health check”

Dan Bailey is collecting affidavits and categorizing them.

John said that we have asked for them and have not received them

Kelly has a lot of affidavits He is working on that.

Those affidavits, he should send them to us.

We can use them.

John has some ideas on papers we can do for Joe.

There is no reason for this man to be in jail.

He is going through the appeals system.

NLA has written papers for his release and they have ignored it.

We the People filed an appeal for him under the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury.

We have proof of that filing.

The clerk didn’t file it

That is more of the conspiracy.

If he dies, then they will be held liable for his death.

If NLA does not have the affidavits then we can’t go in there.

You have to have sworn statements.

Without the sworn statements you have no court case.

Get Lindsey to write what Joe told her.

Let’s prepare for a meeting and get all of these people together.

They need to bring affidavits.

We can’t file one piece of paper without any proof.

We need sworn affidavits

Lindsey needs to write her affidavit.

Lindsey was doing rallies across the street

She may be afraid and has stopped the rallies.

They sent her an e-mail that she is a security risk.

She is exercising her First Amendment right of protest.

That is a concerned person trying to get justice.

We need to get that meeting going and get something worthwhile that we can use in the courts.

(1:50:20)

John moved on to Brent Winters

Brent Winters is the author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent is going to talk about this fellow in Montana.

This man’s situation is the result of federal expansion of jurisdiction into the area of criminal law.

This fellow in Montana dug some ponds on his property.

The problem came down to this, the court said that there is such a thing as “waters of the United States”.

The only possible way the federal government could find jurisdiction over a man building ponds, and draining those ponds into a creek, the only way they could find jurisdiction over that is under the admiralty clause.

They are stealing land on the Red River between the border of Oklahoma and Texas.

Stealing ranch land, hundreds of acres privately owned saying that it is subject to the admiralty clause.

In early days the admiralty clause covered any creek , any branch, any river that could float a dug-out-canoe.

The admiralty clause extends to tributaries of rivers.

What if the branch of the river or tributary only has water once or twice a year?

What is someone is blocking the water and that creek is no longer navigatable?

To say that there is “waters of the United States” is absurd.

This fellow in Montana, Joseph Robertson, the state of Montana had okayed all of his ponds.

The feds came in and said that they weren’t going to tolerate it.

They ended up sending him to federal prison.

The jury was foolish enough to go along with it.

(1:56:27)

It is expansion of federal criminal jurisdiction.

The four kinds of matters that the government has federal jurisdiction

1. treason against the United States
2. counterfeiting the current coin and securities of the United States
3. piracy and felonies committed on the high seas
4. violations of international law

Federal law had confined itself to those four kinds of crimes and not until about 100 years ago that began to change slowly with the rise of the power of the federal government. Prohibition gave an excuse, a birth, to build the claimed criminal jurisdiction of federal bureaucracies. That gave courage to the states to do the same thing.

And that is why we are now overwhelmed.

There weren’t any federal prisons in the United States until after World War 1

If someone broke one of those four laws: treason, counterfeiting, piracy, or violations of international law then they were sent to either Leavenworth , an army prison on an army base, or San Point , or the Navy Yard and that is where they served out their time.

And then along came Alcatraz, and Alcatraz was a showboat prison, nothing but a show business prison. The FBI wanted to make a big show to show how tough they were on crime.

The could never find enough federal prisoners to fill it and never did.

We now jail more people in America than any country in the world per capita.

We were talking about the militia clauses. There are four of them.

(2:01:45)

The first militia clause, Article 1 Section 8 Clauses 15-16, it transverses both of those, 15 and 16, it has to do with Congress

The second militia clause has to do with the states

The third militia clause has to do with the duties of the Presidents of the United States, respecting the militia of the Several States

And the fourth militia clause , unlike the other three, delegates no duties to anybody. It recognizes and guarantees the protection of the duty, that is what a right is, of the duty, of the People to keep and bear, bear is an old word that means carry, it means to hoist up and lift away, bear, arms. And then it says “shall not be infringed”. That means that in no way is government allowed any laws or regulations to nibble around the edges of this right. In no way does our Constitution allow government to even nibble around the edges of this right. What is infringe? That is the edge. What does infringe mean? That means to nibble around the edges. That’s what it means. That’s what it says.

There are four militia clauses

The first one relates to the duties of Congress

The second one signifies and talks about the duties of the State

The third one talks about the duties of Presidents

And the fourth one is the well-known Second Amendment.

That was just a review.

Now I will pick up where we left off in our discussion.

I will get back to where we left off last time.

The substance of what we are talking about here, you can find it in my book , “The Militia of the Several States, Our Constitution’s Answer to Enemies Foreign and Domestic”. It is just a little handbook about a hundred pages. It is available on Amazon. Type in Brent Allan Winters. I think that you can also find it at commonlawyer.com

Just type in commonlawyer.com and it will come up.

Militia of the several states is always armed and ready to respond.

If it isn’t, then the security of a free state is put in jeopardy.

That is what the Second Amendment is all about.

It doesn’t matter if the militia is mustered, armed , or unarmed the militia is.

It consists of the People able bodied to bear weapon protectively in battle.

A military grade weapon.

Whether summoned by state or local command to meet the threat the threat that comes fast and without warning. That is what the militia is for.

That is why on these Monday Night Calls, the Committees of Safety are discussed.

Safety is an important word.

The Latin word is salvation

It is safety in this life to be ready.

That is what the security of a free state is all about, being ready.

That means to keep and bear

Never since the War between the Northern and Southern Tiers of States have we been in such risk in our unity as we are today because the lawless self-serving crowd that wants to get rid of the protections of the Second Amendment.

To keep ones firearm in one’s home, and to carry it loaded, having become familiar with it through regular use. “Regular”, key word, regular use, if you do not regularly fire your firearm, you are not familiar with it and you are not regular

A well regulated, that means regular militia being necessary to the security of a free state.

The right, that is the Old Anglo word that means duty, to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.

Such persons who keep and carry military grade weapons, says the Second Amendment, are necessary to our freedom.

The only reason someone wants to disarm you is so that they can do something to you that they could not do to you if you were armed.

Kings make war and war makes kings.

War is hell not only for those bearing the battle but also for those who must live under the power bureaucrats keep after the war is over.

The reason the Powers That Be are keeping up wars and battles that we are now keeping up is to retain power, that is why.

We have no business killing people the way we are killing people.

Being killed on command without a declaration of war from the United States Congress

Ask Congress for a declaration of war, that’s all we ask.

It’s time to stop talking to them, those guys, you are wasting your time, you are beating your head against the wall.

Do what is right . Do what is lawful.

Citizen’s Arrest is an important part of our common law.

Citizens have a duty, not a right , have a duty in some cases to arrest people.

You don’t have the right or jurisdiction to do that unless you catch a fellow in the very act of committing a felony. You have to catch them in the very act of committing a felony.

A citizen, an American, does not have the right to arrest somebody unless they find them.

To do a citizen’s arrest, you must catch them in the very act.

And number two, if you find a judge committing a felony while he is holding court, you can’t do it for a misdemeanor, you got to know the difference. don’t try to arrest somebody for a misdemeanor. And don’t ever try to arrest a judge while he is sitting on the bench holding court.

You have to wait until court is over. But if you wait until court is over then you didn’t catch him in the act and so you can’t arrest him.

Be careful with citizen’s arrest.

(2:23:00)

Jurisdiction is the right to act, or the duty to act, respecting a given matter.

Because war, whether for right or wrong reasons, drains our wealth and our blood we must wage it according to the law of the land

The law of the land is the law of nature

We must wage war according to law

Torture is against the law of God

Any country that engages in torture is lawless and will pay a price.

You can’t transgress God’s law and expect to get away with it.

Common law never sanctioned torture.

And that has distinguished us from the law of the city, from the law of Rome, the law of Babylon, the code of Justinian. That’s not who we are as Americans.

Don’t let anyone convince you of that and never submit to it, never allow the government to do it.

Torture is against the law. If you have to kill your enemy, you respect him.

The benefits of the militia provide a check on politicians and those controlling them necessary to discourage unlawful unconstitutional war.

War must be constitutional

And the militia is an important part of that

Brent thanked John

(2:27:00)

John moved to an open forum

CALLERS

Caller 1 Lori Colorado

Comment about Oregon and Colorado

We have Joe Robertson here in Colorado so the issue with his meds is going on in Colorado not Oregon.

He is from Montana

He is being dieselled around

He was convicted in Montana

They claim the crime he committed was in Montana

He was dieselled to Colorado

They do whatever they want to.

If anyone has contact with Joe’s wife, and she has said that she doesn’t want to write an affidavit because she is fearful, that would be second hand information and could be included in an affidavit.

But she is frightened so we should not include her in any of these things.

We have to get information from Joe or something that we see.

The pictures that were being taken were of the courthouse in Oregon.

The issues with Joe are in Colorado.

(2:30:00)

Caller 2: Fred from California

Joe Biden, running for Vice President, keeps calling the President the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of America. What is Brent’s take on that?

Caller thinks that that concept defies the sheriff.

There is no chief law enforcement officer in America

There is a chief law enforcement officer of the general government sitting in Washington DC.

The President has tremendous powers by our Constitution, if he enforces the law of the sea, which he should do.

He should keep our sea lanes open.

And he should enforce the rights and protections of Americans around the world.

That takes force.

The President’s power domestically ought to be close to nil, nothing.

Joe Biden comes across as just another political silly.

He likes to be popular and smile.

He doesn’t use the word “United States”

He uses the word “America”

They are careful with their words and delusions.

Caller said that it wasn’t Joe Biden that said that. It was Mike Pence.

(2:34:02)

Caller said that he is sorry if he said that. It was Mike Pence that was carrying this message.

Pence is wrong.

Pence, he made his way in Indiana, as a radio personality, Brent doesn’t know much about him except that he was on the radio.

Brent hasn’t been watching him and doesn’t know him well.

No sense speculating about Pence.

It disturbed the caller that they would imply that whoever is commander-in-chief is the chief law enforcement officer.

They do those things and keep repeating them and eventually people believe them.

Think about how long they have been calling us a democracy.

We’re not a democracy.

Brent thought about voter fraud.

When our country started people all over the world said that there is no way that you are going to pull this off.

You’re trying to elect officials for your legislature over such a broad geographic expanse.

Voter fraud has been bad for over 50 years.

How wise were the ratifiers of our Constitution that said we are going to have electors that choose the President.

The electoral college is a good thing.

If there is voter fraud, and it’s on a massive scale, the electoral college may come in handy.

We can fix this problem once the people take back control.

There is such a thing as a sheriff’s parole.

Is there such a thing as a marshal’s parole?

Marshals are appointed over districts.

And what’s happening to this poor guy that is being dieselled around . The marshal service should be able to parole that guy until no matter what the judge says.

Brent agrees. But you would be surprised how scared federal prison guards and U S marshals are of judges.

People , in general, we don’t have a critical mass of folks that understand fundamental common law government, our Constitution, separation of powers. Why would somebody who works for the executive branch of government , like a prison guard or U S marshal, be afraid of a judge?  
The rest of the Marshal Service won’t protect them. or The prison system won’t protect them.

Both of these things are true.

The real powers that be are the bankers

They are the ones that control the government

There are powerful forces behind people like Hillary Clinton.

Can’t you give the marshals a motion to compel them to do their duty and release this guy?

You can petition them but I don’t know if it would do any good.

We as a People won’t survive unless we have a critical mass of moral and virtuous people.

The marshals are too ignorant

They have to understand the Constitution if they are going to take a position.

And that’s their problem. They don’t understand. They have no power and authority within themselves because they have no backbone.

Caller 3: Jeremiah from California

Secretary of State issue basically regulations and legislature enacts statutes and so the reason why that is important is because the regulations usually will be able to determine whether or not they are constitutional or not.

Someone on the call mentioned that they need help with a wrongful death of someone in prison.

They can contact Jeremiah and talk to him about it.

415-286-2412

My name is Jeremiah and I have some ideas on how you may get help right now and it involves habeas corpus.

Habeas corpus in exigent circumstances regarding health, wouldn’t that be a good idea for the imprisonment case?

Without sworn statements, you have no court case. Explain that.

John replied that we already did a habeas corpus for Mr Robertson and they ignored that.

It became a conspiracy in that they ignored it collectively.

There are some more things that we could do.

A mandamus is more in order from We the People.

Put these sins on the record.

If we get the sworn affidavits we can require them to answer through our court case here.

We are going after conspiracy, we are going after those that are subverting the Constitution, subverting We the People, subverting the United States of America. And we are going to bring them into our court case. Once we give them a summons , they have to answer. They have a duty to answer. They have to answer by law.

Time is a problem here.

Caller 4 Massachusetts Mary

(2:52:00)

Mary has a question about voter fraud

In her state , she checked with her state election board, she talked with their legal counsel, they have paper ballots, but what is it that 16 states have voter machines that are owned by George Soros Company?

The whole thing falls apart once they use a machine to count the votes

There is a process and procedure of vote counting. It is all laid out on how it is to be done.

And at another time we can get into the details on how the vote is supposed to be counted.

The machines are electronic and it is easier to do fraud with the machines.

When we get into power and authority then we can require the process.

Votes are supposed to be counted in public.

There is a process for this.

They have deleted and erased a lot of this stuff in New York law.

When they changed a lot of things back in 1913

There is a procedure prior to that that you can’t cheat at.

We need to go back to that.

Election districts can only get so big before they create another election district.

It is certified. And there are witnesses. It is done in front of the public.

How the votes are touched and moved all are accounted for.

Who carries the box Where it is moved to How it is placed everything is accounted for.

Closing Song: How Excellent is Your Name (Psalm 8)