National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

January 9, 2017

Opening Song: The Logical Song

Topic: Redress of Grievances

Call-In Number: 712-770-4160 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Psalm 121 : 1-8

NLA did file the papers up in Albany, New York

NLA has been working on some other papers

NLA is getting ready to start serving these papers

We have about 45 days to serve

We filed before the holiday and we are ready to serve

John will read two short papers tonight.

One is Court is to Take Judicial Cognizance and the other is Show Cause

First we will look at Court is to Take Judicial Cognizance

You can find it at nationallibertyalliance.org/docket or highlight “grand jury” and click on “docket”

The paper is called 1002 Judicial Notice to Magistrate

(8:55)

The paper begins as follows:

“COURT IS TO TAKE JUDICIAL COGNIZANCE We the People have been providentially provided legal recourse to address the criminal conduct of persons themselves entrusted to dispense justice. In the Supreme Court case of United States v. Williams, 112 S.Ct. 1735, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992), Justice Antonin Scalia, writing for the majority, confirmed that: ‘The American grand jury is neither part of the judicial, executive nor legislative branches of government, but instead belongs to the people. It is in effect a fourth branch of government ‘governed’ and administered to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the Bill of Rights.’ The Court further said: ‘Thus, citizens have the unbridled right to empanel their own grand juries and present ‘True Bills’ of indictment to a court, which is then required to commence a criminal proceeding.”

The paper ends with the following:

“8) Once indicted, servants will be removed from office and have a right to counsel. If they cannot afford legal counsel they can petition the court and if they qualify the court will provide counsel. 9) ‘It is the duty of all magistrates to exercise the power vested in them for the good of the people, according to law, and with zeal and fidelity. A neglect on the part of a magistrate to exercise the functions of his office, when required by law, is a misdemeanor.’”

The second paper that John read was Show Cause

nationallibertyalliance.org/docket

1017 Clerk of Court

The paper begins:

“On December 14, 2016 We the People filed papers in the above court opening a court of record5 however, the clerk demanded $400 in return for Justice. After notifying the clerk that this is a common law procedure and therefore not held under statutory requirements, we directed the clerk to file under penalty of law unimpeded as required by law under rule 4 and without charge under American Jurisprudence Constitutional Law §326. We notified the clerk of the consequences of 18 USC §2076 and 18 USC §1512(b) the clerk continued to insist that money should be given in exchange for Justice. See File on Demand attached. We made it clear that we are People and not a fiction or subjects”

The paper ends as follows:

“WHEREFORE, We the People demand that Lawrence K. Baerman, Clerk of Court, show cause by what authority the clerk may charge for Justice? Or, admit to their error, return the money, and we will forgo bringing the defendant before the Grand Jury for extortion.

(21:15)

Those papers will go in tomorrow and we will be serving accordingly.

Jan read two e-mail questions:

QUESTIONS

Question 1:

Should the other Colorado U S Senator be listed to be contacted about Joe Robertson's medical treatment?

That would be Senator Michael Bennet

He is known to not be particularly friendly to what we are trying to do

He has a note at his website

“**PLEASE NOTE:** Mail service to our Washington office is significantly delayed due to heightened security measures. Please send any time-sensitive correspondence via the form below, or call us at our office phone number.”

Should we contact Michael Bennet as well as Corey Gardner?

The federal rules require sixty days for an elected individuals to be served.

They will have sixty days to receive the papers.

The game that they play is that they are trying to prevent communications.

They do not want you to have an e-mail address. They want you to go to their website and send an e-mail from the website. If you want to send a message to all of them, it is just not possible.

We are not going to go to a Senator or Congressman and try to convince them to do anything on our behalf. They are aware. They know.

The people we are really going to focus in on and go after is the judge.

The judge is the responsible one.

So is the U S attorney and so is the US marshal.

These are the people that are breaking the law

These are the people that are assaulting us on a daily basis.

These are the people locking people up without real cause.

None of these people are getting due process. None from any of the cases that we are involved with.

Now they got to answer.

They don’t have a choice They know how it works Now that the paperwork has been filed. The law requires them to answer.

The Robertson case is one of the first and foremost cases that we are going to push along with the Oregon case.

Also what is going on in Nevada with the Bundy ranch.

NLA has hundreds of people listed as defendants.

We are well prepared to go forward with this case.

Question 2:

In the discussion about a right being a responsibility, can Brent give us a practical application example to better understand that a right is a responsibility?   So   if society has misinterpreted the meaning of rights,  we would like to have a specific understanding of society's misinterpretation of rights.

The prime example the misunderstood right to keep and carry a gun.

That is a responsibility. Self defense is an affirmative responsibility and so we call it a right.

Our common law is our Constitution. In our common law rights are responsibilities.

Our common law used to be called, before it was called the law of the land, due process, it was called the volk reicht. The volk reicht in the Germanic tongue of Anglo Saxon and Anglo Dane. The “volk” are the folk. “The people” we say today

The word for people in the Germanic tongue is volk.

The volk reicht was the people’s duty.

If government were our responsibility primarily under two duties the people’s duty, armed defense called the militia by our Constitutional clauses our Constitution clauses: armed defense and jury duty grand and petit. Those are the two duties of the People.

Another example is your right to free speech. You have a right to remain silent.

Both of those are duties.

You have a duty to exercise discretion over your tongue.

You have jurisdiction over your tongue. Jurisdiction is another word for right. That means duty.

The duty of a freeman is discretion.

Slaves are taught to obey their masters.

And then there is an education for freedom.

Discretion is the mark of a free man.

Being trained to obey without discretion is the mark of a slave.

A right is a duty to exercise discretion.

That is the mark of a free man to have that kind of duty.

We now have up at the NLA website on the docket page the habeas corpus that NLA filed for Robertson

They did default NLA filed the default

John reminded everybody that we need four administrators for every county

It is a paid position The position is not available at the moment.

People need to become educated at this point in time to prepare for that.

NLA is also building committees of safety

Robert is going to give us an update on what is happening in the western states.

(46:42)

In Nevada Judge Navarro has said that she will not allow the Constitution in her court.

Another judge committing treason

If someone can do an affidavit on that then let’s do a show cause and bring her onto our case for making war against the Constitution.

One of the Bundy brothers, not Ammon or Ryan, possibly Mel, he has never been indicted yet.

He has been held in prison all of these months. He hasn’t been indicted. He asked to be released on bail. He was refused and the reason they refused was because he is a Bundy.

We need someone to do an affidavit on that.

That is something else that we want to pull into our case.

The excuse is that if she were to release any of the Bundy’s on bail then there would be hundreds of federal officials that would be in danger of their lives.

John would love to get affidavits on this.

That trial is going to start in February.

The second trial in Portland is going to be starting on February 14.

On the twentieth of this month, we had a status hearing this past Friday, we have another status hearing on Inauguration Day, on this past Friday the first defendant up was the attorney Mumford who at the end of the last trial was attacked by the marshals and had a stun gun used on him. He came to court and he had a pack of attorneys with him.

The prosecutors are not sure that the charges on him are going to stay the same as has been written. On the 20th is the judge telling the prosecutors get their act together.

We saw the not guilty vote on the first seven.

They figured that the second group would get the same thing.

They decided to throw in some misdemeanor charges.

We need to get an affidavit on that

Unless they go before the grand jury to get that approval they’re the ones that have to indict not the prosecutor they’re the ones that have to agree that there is some charge here that has merit

Of course the grand jury they get is a stacked grand jury anyway.

That is important to get in an affidavit so we can go after the prosecutor also.

(52:23)

Judge Anna Brown is saying that the main charges will have a jury

But the misdemeanor charges will not be discussed during that trial

But as soon as the main trial is over then they will dismiss the jury and she will handle the trial for the misdemeanor charges.

John hopes to get an affidavit about this

The Constitution requires a jury

Robert said that we are making sure that the defendants demand a jury.

John said that affidavits are the key

John heard that Trump will be speaking on the eleventh and he will be addressing some of these issues out west.

Robert concluded his report

John moved over to Brent

Brent is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

(1:02:00)

Everything that Brent says may or may not be the position of NLA

U S marshals arrested Marcus Mumford lawyer for Ammon Bundy

The U S district judge told Mr Mumford , this lawyer for Ammon Bundy, that U S marshals had a hold on his client who had just been acquitted. Had a hold on him for a federal indictment down in Nevada. The lawyer, Mr Mumford, said, no , he is released on these charges , he’s acquitted, Nevada does not have jurisdiction .

He said if there is a detainer for my client , show me.

And the judge chided for yelling in the courtroom and told Mumford that Bundy would be released on all federal holds in the Oregon case but he will have to take up any questions about the federal holds from Nevada with the U S marshal service. Mumford, the lawyer for Ammon Bundy said, if they want him they know where to find him. I don’t see any paperwork proving the marshals’ authority to hold him. At that point, a group of a half a dozen US marshals who had been standing or seated around the perimeter of the courtroom slowly moved in and surrounded Mumford at the defense table. The judge directed them to move back. But moments later the marshals piled on to him. They ended up tazing him a few times. And then the judge cleared the courtroom immediately He was taken into custody He was under arrest for disobeying a lawful order.

He has a duty to argue vigorously for his client.

If the judge doesn’t like the way he does it then the judge has the power to hold him in contempt.

(1:05:24)

The U S marshals were ordered to back off

The U S marshals didn’t they piled on top of this fellow

If there is not freedom of speech, the freedom to exercise discretion over your tongue in the courtroom and in the pulpit then freedom of speech is gone.

And a lawyer arguing for his client must have freedom to do so without fear of arrest.

We are talking about the four militia clauses

The four militia clauses of our Constitution are the answer to the police state bureaucratic minded attitude among government kept men

The policeman’s fundamental duty is to enforce the law

(1:10:12)

Americans throughout history have taken gun ownership to be an obligation

Fundamental rights are the very heart and reason for the militia clauses of our Constitution.

There are four militia clauses.

(1:13:25)

The alternative to not having guns which is the police state and inevitable anarchy it always brings is a thousand times worse

The police state includes disarming all men anarchy always ensues allowing false justification of more of the police state.

If you are a congressman , get a copy of “Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to it’s Enemies both Foreign and Domestic” Read Section 1

If you are a state legislator, get a copy of “Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to it’s Enemies both Foreign and Domestic” Read Section 2

If you are a President of the United States get a copy of “Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to it’s Enemies both Foreign and Domestic” Read Section 3

If you are one of the People of the United States able bodied to keep and carry a gun get a copy of “Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to it’s Enemies both Foreign and Domestic” Read Section 4

The militia clauses are designed to achieve freedom and security at the same time

The authority of the militia of the several states is to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections , and repel invasions

Brent hopes to read from the end of his book next time A Pattern State Militia Statute

Brent’s book is available at [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

Brent concluded

(1:25:41)

Opened for Q & A

CALLERS  
  
Caller 1: Eric from Georgia

NLA teaches A court of record has five requirements.

Keeping a record is only one of the five requirements.

It keeps a record of the proceedings. The tribunal is independent of the magistrate. The court proceeds according to the common law. It has the power to fine or imprison for contempt and sometimes the fifth thing sometimes it has a seal it doesn’t have to that’s optional.

The key thing to a court of record is that there is a jury.

(1:46:00)

Caller 2: Joe from the People’s Republic of Illinois

Has Brent ever considered doing books on tape?

There is a book available on tape

Brent tried that once.

It’s Brent’s Commentary on the Constitution

That’s available at Brent’s website

click on the button that says “books” at [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

There is a radio station that reads the kind of stuff that Brent writes.

They asked Brent for permission to read his stuff

Caller was looking up information about habeas corpus

He may be arrested soon concerning his criticism of malicious prosecution

He served this country for ten years as an Army Ranger

Caller believes our rights were given to us by God

and we have responsibilities to those rights

Caller has a loved one who is a victim and cannot represent himself

Caller does not feel competent enough to advise him.

Does a person take on a special status when they are represented by a BAR attorney?

When a person is represented by a licensed attorney he may be a member of the BAR and he may not depends upon the state, some states it is required and other states it is not, if the BAR of the state requires membership then the BAR is responsible for the discipline of attorneys.

It states when BAR is not required the Supreme Court the highest court of the state is responsible for the discipline and licensing of attorneys

The importance of having a lawyer , a lawyer creates a perception before the jury

It creates a perception that you got a licensed lawyer who believes in your case.

If you represent yourself then you are just you talking about yourself

The jury looks for a lawyer that they like

If they like your lawyer then they will like you

If they respect your lawyer then they will respect you

The perception of respect that a lawyer can create , the jury will transfer it to the defendant.

Brent recommended the book: “The Art of Cross Examination” by Francis Wellman

Brent has a chapter about cross examination in his book, “Excellence of the Common Law”

Once we get to the other side of things, when we bring our courts back under common law, and the People get control of the jury process and we have untainted juries when we get to that point a lawyer could play a very important role.

Caller spent ten years of his life to try to defend our Republic only to find out all of the bad things that have been going on

He doesn’t expect Trump to save us

He may help to empower the People

The Ron Paul movement had a lot of young people that were not mature enough

When all was said and done they just walked away

They disappeared They didn’t continue

We have to have critical mass

We take the court back we take everything back

Caller has one son in jail since October 2015

Another son, in June 2015, was threatened to be exposed for drug abuse and homosexuality and to keep himself in good standing with his family he accused his older brother, caller’s other son , of raping him. His mother told him to report it to the police The police took a care video. In the care video they ask him leading questions and coach him through it. Based on that they develop a complaint. A detective acts as the complaining witness. The judge’s name appears on the document but there is no signature. There is a rubber stamp from the prosecutor

Based on that the elder son who never laid a hand on the younger son, his brother, sits in jail.

In a real court of record, where you get a trial by jury, there’s got to be evidence or proof, if one person makes an affidavit and the other person swears the opposite way and there are no other witnesses One of them is lying That would be a standoff Very few people do affidavits People would never lie if they had to put their hand on the Bible and swear or if they had to write an affidavit Most of these court cases are done without juries

The People must decide Not a judge Not a magistrate

John thinks that this is the year we will make it to the other side

We may be able to flood the courts with justice again.

That’s what we are working for

NLA started off with a couple of people in New York

A lot of people started attending their meetings online

We started getting people from other states

They told people to copy what they were doing in their state

With more and more people they realized that this needs to be national

We went from county to county across the entire state and across the entire nation and people voted for common law grand jury It was almost 100 percent across the board as they went across the entire nation. We created Unified State Common Law Grand Jury Counties within the state came together All of the states formed the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

We are not a couple of people out of a couple of counties

We are the People in every state of the Union

We have thousands of members and many people who are followers

We have been filing papers in the courts in all 94 federal district courts

We have been doing habeas corpuses

We have now come to the point where we actually opened up a case

They don’t want to respond to the People’s report of subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic They don’t want to respond to that So we opened a court case In that court case we are bringing in a huge number of cases

There are 30 or 40 cases of habeas corpus that have not taken effect These people have to show cause why not.

We will be collecting juries for all of these cases.

Trials will be going on

We have the right of redress of grievances

We want to know why they are doing what they are doing and why they are not doing what they should be doing. We will do indictments within this court case.

We will get the juries together We will get the trials going We will be going through the process in the courts It will take the critical mass which we are close to.

We have almost 6,000 members now.

Tens of thousands of people following along

We have name recognition

As people understand what we are doing they get more and more involved.

You are not going to save America through the political process

United States v Williams empowered us

to realize the power and authority of the grand jury

John thinks we will be successful this year. We got to hit critical mass

Caller wanted to know if Brent does consultation services.

Go to Brent’s website and go to the contact page

(2:19:33)

Caller 3: Dave in Missouri

Have we got any feedback from Donald Trump on the information NLA delivered to him?

He recently just got it

We haven’t heard anything

We are expecting to hear from him in action rather than response

He is not president yet

He has shown an interest in NLA

We will be serving him this week.

We heard that on the eleventh he is going to be doing a press conference and he will be talking about some of these issues.

We expect to hear something in his inaugural address and what he does in the first hundred days.

What we do is education.

The head of the homeland security suggested government take over U S elections

Does Brent have any information on that?

Brent has read that too from a number of sources.

(There was audio problems and Brent’s answer was garbled)

It is the right and duty of every state governor to say “No”

It is not enough to write papers and protest.

It comes down to what does the Constitution say and how far you are willing to go

Try to get to the bottom of things and find the truth

In the mass of fake news that the mainstream media has been putting out Brent realizes now that they were all controlled.

A move of the evil empire to further enslave the American

(Brent’s answer was garbled)

Caller 4 Kenny from Florida

(2:31:12)

Can Brent go over the relationship between Roman civil law and the Pope of Rome’s papacy and is that what caused the Reformation in the 13th and 14th and 15th centuries?

The Code of Rome is called the Code of Justinian. It exists in two forms. One is called the Code of Bismarck, the German code. And the other is the Code of France, the Code Napoleon. Which are essentially the same code. The Code of Bismarck was put in place in the year 1900.

The Code of Rome, the Code of Justinian was adopted by the Roman Church.

One of the chapters in Brent’s book, Excellence of the Common Law, goes through the history of how that happened, what the Pope said about it , and how they put it in place. The Code of Rome, the Code of Justinian, became the canon law of the church of Rome. Every Roman priest is a Roman lawyer. A lawyer of the law of the city of the Canon Law of Rome. It has little to do with the Bible.

Caller 5: Eric from Georgia

(2:38:00)

Eric wants to know more about temperance and tolerance from the Bible.

How God wants us to behave as far as dealing with people.

Read Isaiah 14 verses 1 – 23 it talks about wisdom and it’s seven pillars.

Also 2 Peter Chapter 1 verses 5-11

Brent makes it sound like there is a rule book for what God is saying.

When Jesus was speaking He was speaking through time.

Go to the four Gospels.

Keep reading Mathew, Mark, Luke, and John over and over.

Something new comes out every single time.

At some point in time go through the rest of the Bible.

Brent recommends a book by James M Gray, “How to Master the English Bible”

He would read five to seven chapters in one setting.

The next day read the same five to seven chapters again in one setting.

And the next day do it again. Do it for 30 days.

You can do the whole Bible that way

Forget the universities, they are a product of Rome

Don’t read about the Bible.

Read the Bible.

Don’t read other people’s comments about the Bible.

Read the Bible.

The Bible tells us the will of God for man.

And how to get to where you can do what He wants you to do.

Matthew is addressed to the Israelites to show that Jesus is the Messiah.

Mark is addressed to the Romans whose motto was “Labor conquers all things” and in Mark, Jesus never rests. The word “immediately” occurs 43 times.

Luke was written to the Greeks to show what they were looking for I found the perfect man

Perfect mind, soul, body and spirit the Messiah

John did the clean up. His gospel is written to show that Jesus is the sovereign God.

It is the general gospel It is written to all men.

What a foolish race of men we are to not pay closer attention He’s given us a Book.

A book to tell us what right and wrong really is.

(2:50:20)

Caller 6 David Missouri

Regarding Pete and Doreen Hendrickson

Her appeal was denied

She is still in prison

Under the terms of 18 U S C 4013 was basically convicted of a single count of criminal contempt Disobedience to orders commanding her to make and sign a sworn statement under perjury containing content that was dictated to her by the government

It had to do with income tax

There is a book “Cracking the Code” by Pete Hendrickson

She was denied the appeal

The Department of Justice tried to prosecute her and her husband about the content of their book and get her to swear that they didn’t believe what they wrote in the book

They tried to get her to change her testimony about her income tax filing.

She was never convicted of any crime other than contempt of court

Can orders of a court commanding false speech and particularly false testimonial speech resistance to which has promoted a prosecution for alleged criminal contempt of court be shielded from appellate constitutional analysis and determination by application of the “Collateral Bar Doctrine” ?

Can a jury instruction removing from the jurors’ consideration and determination the statutory element of lawful and explicitly instructing the jury that the unlawfulness or unconstitutionality of a court order is not a defense to a charge of criminal contempt be properly shielded from appellate review by application of the same Collateral Bar Doctrine ?

If they can’t just make it simple so that I get it the first time that they say it it is probably not understandable at all. Is it lawful to force anyone to take an oath? Is that fundamentally lawful? Is it lawful to force a man to swear to something ? That’s what you do when you sign a tax return. That’s a forced oath. Forced oaths have been against our tradition

We’re back to doing it again.

Did this lady injure anybody?

The same people that run the Federal Reserve Bank also run the IRS because that is the enforcement.

This system will collapse once we get critical mass and into the court

Once the People get control of the court back all these other things will just fall apart.

They are breaking the law They are abusing people They are violating people’s right of due process

It’s a matter of time