National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

April 24, 2017

Opening Song: Eye in the Sky

Topic: untitled

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

We have a new business partner. Ameriplan. If you don’t have a dental plan you might be interested in looking into this You can find their banner on the right hand side of the NLA website

Scripture Reading: Matthew 7 : 21-29

(9:10)

No particular topic tonight

We have put a lot of paperwork into the court. A lot of foundational paperwork.

We did lots of memorandums. We alerted every level of government concerning subversion.

And now it is time to show subversion against We the People subversion against the United States of America subversion against the Constitution

We got quite a few cases We got a couple of cases out West that everybody knows about.

New information has come out from out West and Robert is going to talk about that. But basically they did come out with a ruling.

We are going to be filing papers on that judge out there.

Also the judge up there in Oregon that has made some decisions we are going to be filing some papers up there also against those judges

We are going to start working on, we got a few other cases we’re working on to get into the courts.

We also want to go after the judge in the Hammond case.

We also want to go after the judge in the Joe Robertson case.

We also have about thirty judges that we want to go after that have refused to give the people due process by ignoring habeas corpus.

We are going after the clerk in Albany that required us to give him $400 in order to file a case.

We already filed the papers on default on that clerk already.

We will be going after the judge very shortly. The judge in our case is going to become a defendant. We will send him one other letter to let him know what he needs to do. We’ve already given him a command to write the default and to pursue that default. And he hasn’t done it yet.

By the time we get all these other papers together, which will take two or three weeks, when we file all that paperwork and this judge has not responded by then then we are going to make this judge a defendant and we will get another judge here in Albany we want these people to know that we mean business. We got a lot of papers to be filed. We are also mounting up quite a few nonjudicial foreclosures. All these cases prove conspiracy. The fact that they are consistent from one state to another state to another state, from county to county, they’re all doing the same thing.

We also have been unable to find one Article Three court in America.

Although we have opened one in Albany, New York

And we will not allow that to become anything less than what the Constitution says it is.

And we will go after the President himself if he turns against us

He’s made a few errors. He’s done a pretty good job. We will have to educate him in a few places.

It’s just a matter of lack of understanding.

We’re doing the best we can to give him all of the information that he needs to have.

We are giving him plenty of information in all of the paperwork we are writing

We’ve given him lots of footnotes to explain everything.

The attorney general might be a problem for us because he is a lawyer.

When we lay this out to him we expect him to give us a prosecutor to start prosecuting these cases here in Albany.

We are trying to organize and get everybody involved.

We got over 6,000 members

We got 1,000 administrators

We have about 1200 county organizers.

We have quite a few people involved with us.

(15:41)

We’re coming together on Mondays at 8:00 P M for a board meeting

All the people that are active come together for organizing

That’s at 8:00 p m every Monday

We need people at all levels

We have to organize the people We have to get active

In two or three weeks when we file all of these papers we need the people behind us

We need people to be active

It’s your choice what you want to do

We have all kinds of committees

Just call Jan get ahold of Jan you will find him under the directory

At the state level and the federal level everybody has gotten copies of our papers.

That’s about 16 papers that they all got copies of

We have been copying the President everything that we are doing.

When we file all these papers in the court in the next couple of weeks we’re going to get a complete copy of everything up to the attorney general and require and expect him to give us to talk to the U S attorney down here in New York and make sure that he contacts us to work with us because we’ve got a lot of indicting to do. We are going to bring them up for indictment. We want a U S attorney that is going to start prosecuting these cases because we got a lot of cases to go after. We got subversion against the people There is a conspiracy against the common law. They have just ignored the law and put something else in it’s place. Congress has been complying with this for after all they have been writing these silly laws. Somebody else has been writing them and sending them to Congress and telling Congress to pass them. And they pass them without even reading them. If you don’t have time to read them, then how about not passing them? We gave Congress the power and authority to write law. We didn’t give the elites, the New World Order , BAR attorneys, or someone else to write the law. We didn’t give to them the power and authority in the courts to write the law. We gave that to Congress. Elected individuals. And yet they don’t even do that. Their laws come from outside. Who knows who writes these things? More conspiracy. More subversion. More problems.

All we need to do is to get into the courts where the people have the power and authority and game over for them.

We will move through this nation with indictments

Either they will obey the law or we will indict them and put them in the jails that they built for us.

Please volunteer your time and donate money.

(22:52)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: In  "A Treatise on the Law of Sheriffs"  by Walter Anderson:  "When the State statute makes the Sheriff liable for an escape of a prisoner, the United States may sue the sheriff in such cases for escape of a federal prisoner".   State v Hill Supra.      How can a county Sheriff be liable for the escape of a federal prisoner?

What court made that decision?

Clearly that’s not a United States decision to begin with.

It’s just a federal district court.

The United States Supreme Court has final say in equity courts.

They do not have any say in courts of record.

Courts of record are final.

When the jury makes a decision, it is final.

The United States Supreme Court cannot overturn that decision.

Has this ruling mentioned in this question affected any sheriffs?

If there is a sheriff out there that is being affected by this decision then NLA would like to help that sheriff.

NLA would bring that case into our case as part of subversion.

You can’t put that kind of restriction on a sheriff.

You can’t make the sheriff responsible for that kind of thing.

Question 2:

Can you expand on this charter that you say judges follow when they should be following the Constitution and where did this charter originate?

You’re talking about jurisdictions unknown.

If Gerard is correct then all of these judges are falling under a particular kind of charter that is out there and they’ve given their allegiance to them and they haven’t been reporting , that in itself is a crime and misbehavior.

(31:31)

Somewhere these judges have given themselves over to some authority that they are following over and above the Constitution.

There’s the Organic Act of 1871 That’s where a lot of this stuff seemed to have started.

Some of it stemmed right out of the Judiciary Act of 1789

There is quite a bit of legislature that is built on all of that that has taken these judges in a different direction

Question 3

Could you say a word or two about jurisprudence?

American Jurisprudence ultimately is the principles of common law

(38:00)

The United States Supreme Court has laid a lot of rulings

Out of that has come American Jurisprudence

Things that have been in the common law courts for centuries and things that are logical and work with proper conclusions and justice , you can read about this in American Jurisprudence.

It is almost an impossible book to get.

These judges are supposed to be acting under American jurisprudence. This gives them solutions on how they are to rule.

It’s all about what is right and what is wrong.

And that is why the jury system is such a good system.

Jurisprudence is a process it’s a science.

They have this law that they have been trained to that says it’s their law, their court and they have judicial independence. And they have something in there that says the judge has a right to belong to a private organization. Who’s running the prisons? Private organizations. Who’s part of the private organizations? They are. They’re feeding their own prisons that they’re part of. Not only do you have a judge that is violating his oath and everything else that he is doing, but he is making money on his decisions. They’ve been doing this since the ‘70’s. This is deep seated and deep rooted and they’ve gotten away with it more and more and more and more. And that is why they are so confident that they will keep on getting away with it. They got the court so locked down. They’re following a plan that somebody already put in place so we are probably already two generations into the plan. That’s why it’s so bad

They probably think that it is perfectly fine. They follow that charter and they run you down the road and they tell you all these things because they’ve been taught that that’s their job.

They’re ignorant . They don’t know the Constitution.

When you have a corporate charter there’s things in there that you are expected to do. That is an allegiance to something foreign.

If you take the Constitution course and you know the Constitution. and you know that that violates this section. The Constitution says one thing and he’s doing another thing, and he’s saying another thing. Completely outside the Constitution.

Jurisprudence, by which all judges are bound by oath, is the science of the law. By science here, is understood that connection of truths which is founded on principles either evident in themselves, or capable of demonstration; a collection of truths of the same kind, arranged in methodical order. In a more confined sense, jurisprudence is the practical science of giving a wise interpretation to the laws, and making a just application of them to all cases as they arise. In this sense, it is the habit of judging the same questions in the same manner, and by this course of judgments forming precedents” . In Short Jurisprudence is the philosophy of common law. The jurisdiction of a court falls under two categories (1) courts of record, and (2) courts not of record; a court of record is a court of law which proceeds according to the course of the common law; whereas courts not of record are nisi prius courts that proceed according to statutes. A court of record is a "superior court." a court not of record is an "inferior court." “Inferior courts” are those whose jurisdiction is limited and special and whose proceedings are not according to the course of the common law. “Any court proceeding according to statutory law is not a court of record (which only proceeds according to common law); it is an inferior court. Acts and judicial proceedings of courts of record are enrolled, or recorded, for a perpetual memory and testimony, and which have power to fine or imprison for contempt. Courts not of record are those of inferior dignity, which have no power to fine or imprison, and in which the proceedings are not enrolled or recorded .” A court not of record has such power to punish for a civil contempt as is specifically granted to it by statute, since there is no authority (People) for such power all such legislation is null and void and a violation of due process.

From the 16th American Jurisprudence Second Edition section 177: The general misconception that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U S Constitution is the supreme law of the land. Any statute to be valid must be in agreement . It is impossible  for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. This is succinctly stated as follows:

  The General rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of it's enactment and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted.

 Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no right, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it.

A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, in so far as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.

Any court, government or government officer who acts in violation of, in opposition or contradiction to the foregoing, by his, or her, own actions, commits treason and invokes the self-executing Sections 3 and 4 of the 14th Amendment and vacates his, or her, office. It is the duty of every lawful American Citizen to oppose all enemies of this Nation, foreign and domestic.

That is American Jurisprudence.

And you can find that in the 16th American Jurisprudence second edition section 177.

This really lays out the law so beautifully.

This is so perfect

This is the genius of our Founding Fathers.

Think of the genius of the Bill of Rights with the Ten Amendments.

It was a bill of prohibitions. You can’t do this You can’t do that And you can’t do this And you can’t do that.

It is genius in the sense of what it really focused in on. Our basic, fundamental, unalienable rights.

If a law violates the Bill of Rights then it makes that law null and void.

But these judges refuse to bend. They refuse to back off. They refuse to acknowledge They refuse to obey the Constitution.

That is our battle.

The BAR Association is guilty of teaching subversion against the Constitution.

They teach that the common law has been abrogated and that it is not to be upheld any more.

It violates every single one of the Bill of Rights.

That’s what we’re up against and that’s what we got to battle.

We can build this case in courts and we can win these cases only if the people are behind us.

We are about to take the judge down that is ruling on this case.

We’ve given him an Order of Ruling.

We’ve given him the authority in order of a ruling through default on the clerk who has defaulted and there is a process and we have ordered the judge to go through that process We’re not going to go to the clerk We want the judge, the administrator of this court, to do what he has to do, his due process. He has to do what is his duty.

He has to write the default and execute it. And he hasn’t done it yet.

We only wrote this up about a week and a half ago.

We’re going to give him two or three more weeks.

Right after we file the next series of papers that’s when we’re going to go after this judge.

If he hasn’t made a ruling by then we’ll give him one more opportunity we’ll give him a three day notice and if he doesn’t then he’s going to become a defendant in this case.

And he’s going to have to come and show cause, by what authority he thinks he can deny the lawmakers of this nation which is We the People. These are our courts. We control them Not them. This is a court of record. We’re coming in with valid arguments, valid cases. They cannot argue it . They cannot deny it. There is no way that they can throw this out.

In order to throw one case out they would have to throw out a hundred cases because by the time the month is up we’re going to have a hundred cases in it. It’s all one Subversion against We the People subversion against the Constitution, subversion against the United States of America by enemies both domestic and foreign. The judges are running courts of jurisdictions unknown. They just make it up all together.

That’s American Jurisprudence.

(1:02:30)

Robert’s Report:

Last Thursday the jury had questions concerning what constitutes conspiracy. Before lunch they met with the judge at that time they made a decision because the jury is running their own schedule they made the decision that they were going to leave on Thursday at 1:00 P M. and be gone Friday, Saturday, and Sunday, and come back today. They just probably was at the courthouse this morning an hour when they announced that they had a partial verdict. That would seem to say that maybe they got together over the weekend to hash it out. Now what they had is that they had two people that they had guilty charges on One of them was Burleson, the FBI informant. They found him guilty on eight out of ten charges. He tried to use his position as an informant to do some other things that were illegal with the thought of getting away with it being an informant. And the FBI kind of at the last when the word came out they cut him loose So he’s gone through the trial and he was found guilty on eight charges.

(1:04:47)

The other guy, Todd Engle, they found him guilty of two charges.

The charges are minor enough that he might get off with time served.

Nobody’s found guilty on conspiracy charges.

There were 7 defendants in this trial.

Two were charged with things. The other five were hung jury.

They invoked the Allen rule and sends the jury back in to deliberate some more from a different perspective. They weren’t in there very long and they came back and it was still a hung jury.

The judge declared it a mistrial. And they reset the trial again for June 26th which is the day the second trial for the next group was supposed to happen so everybody’s been pushed back so that they can retry these same people again. However these two people that were found guilty, that stands.

 A hung jury is an acquittal jury, one that acquits, because if they can’t come to a conclusion then their conclusion is they don’t have a conclusion.

The only thing this judge could have done would have been to send them back again and tell them that you’re not leaving until you come to a conclusion.

(1:07:28)

If we can’t come to a conclusion then we’re going to have to acquit.

She declared it a mistrial.

There was an increased population of police officers immediately after it was announced that the jury had a partial verdict.

They brought in tons of more police officers.

The judge thought that she was going to get a guilty verdict.

There was two helicopters flying over and you could see the snipers hanging out the doors.

Maybe that was for a not guilty verdict?

They were probably expecting the guilty verdict and an uprising out of the supporters.

John passed the controls to Gerard

(1:11:34)

Brent Winters is author of Excellence of the Common Law

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

The opinions expressed are not necessarily the opinions of NLA but often they are.

The subject was brought up of sheriffs being liable for the escape of federal prisoners.

There’s a small county before this they had a nice little courthouse and the jail was in the courthouse because that was all they needed.

All of a sudden they wanted to raise some money and build a brand new courthouse in this little tiny county. And they did. They built a big one. They were housing federal prisoners.

That little county was making over a million dollars a year housing federal prisoners.

Then they wanted to make two million dollars a year.

The feds want to do it because that is the way to control the whole country.

You control the sheriffs, then you control prit near everything

They control the sheriffs in every county in America.

One sheriff said that if it wasn’t for the federal money we’d go broke.

He was getting over four million dollars a year housing federal prisoners.

Sheriff Mack said that the last time he was sheriff we got nine hundred thousand dollars in federal funds housing federal prisoners. And I told my men this I said We’re not getting this for nothing. We’re not getting a handout from the federal government We’re doing something.

When anybody goes to federal prison the first thing the other prisoners ask him is Did you come from county or did you come from off the street?

If you came off the street then you had it easy

But if you came from county and the bigger the town the more this is true you get up in the morning in county jail if you are a federally housed and you’re under contract if you’re under contract and you escape the judge is liable to the federal government.

If you’re there and you’re being housed awaiting trial if you’re doing that and you get up in the morning and you get a stale donut for breakfast maybe. And then at noon time you may get a sandwich with some cheap fake cheese food on it two pieces of white bread and then for supper you might get a TV dinner. If the county gets $50 or $100 per prisoner per day and that’s all they spend to feed him. Then they make a lot of money.

That’s what it’s all about How cheap can we feed these men?

Did you come from county or did you come from off the street?

If you came from county we know that you were starved to death probably and treated a whole lot worse.

(1:17:37)

Worse than that is the control that the feds have over the local county sheriffs.

They say You either support our policies assist us in supporting our gun control laws or we are pulling our money We’re not going to send you any more federal prisoners.

The only way to stop it is cold turkey.

How are you going to get over three thousand sheriffs to quit?

Once people get addicted to money they’re in government

Most people don’t have a clue where that money’s coming from

If all of a sudden it disappears they’re going to vote that sheriff out of office.

Also jurisprudence was mentioned.

Jurisprudence means the prudent thing to do.

If the law is not quickly graspable by the man out on the courthouse square that’s doing business out there, setting on the bench out in front of the courthouse , or one of those kind of fellows, if he can’t grasp it in thirty seconds then it’s no law at all.

It’s just a lot of talk.

Justice Story said: He who draws the labored link of reasoning out put straws in line for when the world about and He who tells the tedious tale of learning or counts but sand on the ocean’s boundless shore.

The brevity of our expression of law is it’s strength.

Jurisprudence is just doing what the True Law Giver says to do.

The reason prosecutors like conspiracy so much is because it is so easy to prove and it is so easy also to accuse people of.

The reason that it is so easy to accuse them is because it’s so simple. Simple group.

Elements as a matter of law for conspiracy are only two

Number one: you have to prove an agreement between two or more persons

Number two : you have to prove that that agreement was to commit a crime

Conspiracy is by definition an agreement to commit a crime

(1:28:16)

Conspiracy is used to send lawyers to prison.

You advise your client in a tax case to plead the fifth.

The federal statute says it is a crime to hinder, delay, or impede the payment of a lawful tax.

The lawyer tells him to not say anything. He takes the fifth.

They say that that’s impeding us

So they indict the lawyer.

We talked last week and the week before about Mr. Mumford.

They tased him in court. How do you defend people and they try to kill you in court when you’re arguing or you’re asking for a warrant?

When government uses force, that’s the only tool they have, the only tool government has is force and the threat of force. They’ll try to deceive you but if that doesn’t work they use threat of force and if that doesn’t work they only have one option. Force. And if that doesn’t work then more force.

That’s conspiracy.

I’m glad that all you fellows bring all of these things up.

You are asking questions that are near and dear to my heart.

The jury was hung in LasVegas.

John made the point that that means that the case should be over.

They called it a mistrial.

Brent agrees with John it’s not a mistrial

If they don’t get a unanimous all twelve agree that the man’s guilty , well that means that the government didn’t prove their case. And if the government didn’t prove their case then our common law says their innocent. They remain innocent. They’ve always been innocent until proven guilty and the government didn’t prove them guilty. Why then are they put in jeopardy of life , liberty, or property a second time with double jeopardy. Double jeopardy which means you can’t be tried twice for the same crime.

There is not any principle among men that delivers what we call justice any more efficiently than entitling twelve men to decide the facts of the case.

Another thing about the jury, once the facts are decided that’s the power

The facts are the power.

Back to the Declaration of ‘76

Natural law is the way things are

Natural law is not logic it is not rationality

It is fact It is the way things are

The laws of nature are what we observe

These are discovered through a common consensus

It’s self evident

You plant in the spring and you harvest in the fall

Natural law is the inborn knack to sense right from wrong and this knack sleeps in every person until threat of harm, righteous outrage, or passion for kindness arouses it It is the ability to discern law standard once one realize the need

Natural law depends upon facts and nature.

The only remedy to lawlessness is true law.

Brent concluded

News from Ollie: that jury was hung by 2 jurors all of them said innocent but two of them hung the jury

CALLERS

(1:58:00)

Caller 1 : Crystal

Crystal would like to talk about the court system, the jurists, but she doesn’t think that she should discuss this right now.

We are getting people involved from the school

She just came from the board meeting

People are all excited

Some crazy things have happened in her case

Her case was basically thrown out but some good things came out of it.

Everybody understands that there is a problem

And you (Crystal) gave them a solution

And they are excited

And you’re (Crystal) speaking the truth

Crystal has been going to the court for the past three years.

After the trial was over , because I filed for bankruptcy, I said this is a fraud, and I have proof of it, so when I filed my bankruptcy I put everybody’s name down that said that I owed them money. Then I said I want proof of claim no due process.

They were so nasty and rude to me.

When I said that I wanted a common law grand jury they said it’s not your call.

I said But it is my call.

After my trial was over I called my attorney and I said that I wanted an appeal.

He said I’m not doing nothing You get yourself another attorney.

So then I contacted my trustee

They said You’re not going to get anything back because you owe this and you owe that.

And I said I want proof of claim I said By the way who is the injured party?

I said Who is the injured party?

They said You are.

I said Isn’t there a law for the injured party?

My trustee tells me he’s done with me.

He wants nothing more to do with me

He’s going to file the paperwork and everything is going to be dismissed off the bankruptcy.

Dismissed in Crystal’s favor.

I don’t want anything to do with you Don’t call me no more.

Caller 2 Ollie

(2:06:40)

The way all these important trials end up with a hung jury maybe the feds are planting two or three people in there.

All these trials have a common thread

There’s no bail

The defendants seem to spend a lot of time in solitary

They’re subjected to physical abuse and psychological abuse

There is a commonality in all of these important trials.

People look into my eyes

They sense a spiritual awareness in my eyes They want answers

I’ve talked to Cliven and I’ve talked to Ryan

If you could see the look in their eyes They’re looking for answers

They’re looking for hope

It’s frustrating You walk out of there and your gut is just wrenched.

It’s hard to go through all of that on the outside

Just what are they going through on the inside.

They’re going through hell

(2:10:00)

Caller 3: David from Missouri

Brent talked about how the sheriff compromises himself for monetary gain.

Brent also talked about asking Sheriff Mack a question.

Caller thought that his sheriff was onboard but has come to find out that his sheriff is the poster boy for what Brent was talking about and describing what the average sheriff has become.

The Committee of Safety is pretty much the silver bullet.

The role of the constitutional sheriff and his power and responsibility play a big part in that.

What are the prospects of continuing to rely on the sheriff to work with the committees of safety as a viable option or should people just start change direction and start looking to replace sheriffs ?

As long as they are receiving this money from the federal government the smallest county in America is receiving at least a million dollars a year that there is no way that they are not going to do the bidding of leviathan. It’s not going to happen.

Our Congress has observed and made it official that our national motto is “In God We Trust”.

That means that we do not trust in men.

That means that we do not trust ourselves.

We don’t even trust our own spouse.

We are to be loyal to our spouse. Loyal to our friends. Loyal to men of kindred spirit.

But trust does not go to sheriffs.

It doesn’t go to presidents.

It doesn’t go to any man at any place.

It makes no difference what sheriffs do or don’t do

Sheriffs aren’t running things.

Sheriffs are important Sheriffs are key

The court is the last resort among men.

The agreement of the twelve man jury in individual instances

The jury set the facts they even decide whether men live or die in cases of capital crimes.

The sheriff is not the bottom line. He’s not the savior here. He never was and never will be.

The sheriff gets addicted to the money

Everybody’s hooked to this money

If the people don’t change themselves then this system will never change.

People got to be starving before they start thinking about changing their party vote.

They’re that entrenched

There’s a certain mindset amongst professionals such as lawyers or doctors. They have a kind of tunnel vision because of the schooling that they received and their minds have been closed.

You have to learn how to unlearn before you can relearn.

That’s not easy.

It ain’t what you don’t know that gets you so much. It’s what you think is so It’s what you think you know that ain’t so . That’s what gets you.

You’re making decisions based on false information.

The only way you’re going to control a big population center politically is when there is hunger and strife and a charismatic guy gets up and hopefully somebody will get up and preach the truth . He’ll use that strife and give them a solution. They always sell us a solution to the problem that they created.

It’s not going to be easy to get good sheriffs.

But if your community has had enough of the baloney and the people get educated then you can do it.

A French philosopher,  Alexis de Tocqueville, came here about 60 years after the Constitution, and America had made great strides said that the thing that made America great was not it’s amber waves of grain and it’s big forests or it’s steel industry What he saw was the fact that every pulpit in America was on fire with the words of one nation under God.

That was what was going on back then. That’s not what’s going on today

Everybody says that the church age is dead.

I wouldn’t go into a 501c3 church if you dragged me with wild horses.

I understand that they don’t understand what’s going on.

They were spoon fed this and they didn’t understand the evil that they were biting onto.

They didn’t realize that they were selling their soul to the devil.

They’re doing it in a certain ignorance

Don’t say that the church age is dead. Get yourself somebody who really knows how to preach. That really knows the principles of God.

Rebuild that thing.

That’s the only way it’s going to happen

That’s kind of like what the Committees of Safety have to fill that gap there.

We have to bring that law back into the court

The truth will prevail but only if somebody proclaims it.