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Monday Night Conference Call

April 16, 2018

Lead-In Song: Out and In

(Gazing past the planets; Looking for a total view; I’ve been laying here for hours; got to make the journey out and in; Wonders of a lifetime; right there before your eyes; searching with this life of ours; You gotta make the journey out and in)

Due to poor audio quality, John ended the lead-in song.
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Scripture Reading: Matthew 26 : 57-67

(5:10)

We don’t have any particular topic tonight

Reminder We did start a Bible Study We had a Bible Study last year for a little while We did our first study this past Sunday If you are interested, it is at 11:00 AM EST on Sunday morning.

Jim is handling nonjudicial foreclosures All of the nonjudicial foreclosures that we’ve sent in we sent in quite a few of them as a matter of fact we posted them at National Liberty Alliance

People who have put in for us to work with them on these nonjudicial foreclosures might want to go up there and make sure that your papers are up there

We’re going to bring it before the Grand Jury

Most of these almost all of them except for the very beginning a few of them and actually we’ve re-done a lot of the beginning ones also so I think we got everyone that we’ve done a Show Cause with John will go through and check There might be a couple that we really didn’t get to a Show Cause

We will have to read the paper to see if it is appropriate to be able to go forward with a consideration of indictment on those papers

And if not maybe we will follow up with a one pager giving them the final word that they need to respond and show cause

Most of them have had Show Causes done.

They’ve ignored it They’ve robbed a lot of people’s properties We told them that this is a crime We warned them not to do it We told them that if they’ve taken these properties that they need to give them back to these people or restore these people somehow to their original state before they assaulted them and they ignored it

So we’re going to take it before the Grand Jury and ask for an indictment It will be quite a few judges

That’s what we need to do We will make an announcement on that

It’s under “Grand Jury” then click “Grand Jury Investigations”

then “NJF “ (Non Judicial Foreclosures)

These are the documents that the people should be considering if you are going to come in and sit on the Grand Jury We’re probably going to call the Grand Jury together within the next few weeks People need to take a look at these documents

They’re pretty much all the same

So you don’t have to read them all You can read a couple of them

It was a Show Cause and they just ignored us

We’ll see what happens with that We’ll call people together in a couple of weeks

Regarding the 9 11 investigation Jan sent out a query today There are five people working on it

(13:24)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Sunday Bible Study has already been announced

QUESTIONS

Question 1: If the Unified Grand Jury helps me file a federal lawsuit for deprivation of rights in New York Federal Court and I live in Minnesota do I have to come to a hearing in New York?

The only things that we are doing right now as far as filing are the things that can eventually become indictable. We’re filing papers that really show that there is collusion and conspiracy between these judges from state to state , county to county, it’s the same everywhere you go And they all do the same thing and they all operate violating the law

In Non Judicial Foreclosures they have taken away people’s houses without giving them judicial process without giving them due process

We’re letting them know that that is against the law

The way that these mortgage companies are taking these homes and then bringing them together and then monetizing them and selling them off to groups of lawyers or different individuals that will then get a monthly income out of this thing

They keep soaking the money out of this whole process

All of that is a crime it’s against the law

So we’ve laid this out for the judges and for the individuals who are doing these things Now we are going to take them up for potential indictment

We’ll see what happens once we bring the people together

We probably have thirty of them up there maybe more

We’re going to do the same thing for the habeas corpuses

We’ve done quite a few of them too

Again no due process People are in jail without due process

They’ve been set up the trial jury was stacked the judge tainted that trial jury

the prosecutor tainted the grand jury to get an indictment

Some people are in jail without an indictment

So all of this is a violation of law

They’re all doing it and they’re doing it all across the United States

That proves the conspiracy

Those are the kind of cases that we’re going after

We’re not doing the habeas corpuses at this point in time

We need more help to be able to do them

They’re very involved A lot of work involved in doing them

We might get back to doing them if we can get a paralegal or two working with us to volunteer their time to assist us with this

Also we’re seeking after indictments in cases that are national type cases

For instance the Bundy Ranch situation That involved a lot of people

When in the process of arresting these people they murdered LaVoy Finicum We did an indictment on that Went after a lot of key people Big name people

We’re pursuing the 9 11 situation We’re going to be considering an indictment

And there will be others We’re not working with people to go in for a civil law suit

We’re looking to get in for criminal We’re looking to get U S Attorneys in to work with us

We’re trying to work that out through the Attorney General’s Office

It’s his job to send us the necessary U S attorneys to do the prosecution and to take the investigation further and then come and seek more indictments for other individuals that they discover

We do have a lot of John Does and Mary Does particularly in the LaVoy Finicum murder.

There may be some other types of cases

We’re always looking for restitution

Every criminal case should be That’s what common law is all about

to find a conclusion For every injury there must be a remedy People never get a remedy The states and federal government continue to line their pockets with whatever money that they can get out of the case

They make money by doing different things with prisons

Everybody benefits but the individual who was offended who was injured

The injured party is then thrown out in the streets and that’s it

That’s what this whole process is about to get justice

That’s what we’re going to be pushing for

All these cases we’re going to be pushing for justice

as well as indictments and consideration as to what punishment is necessary to apply upon these people

The key thing is restoration

We’re not doing any other kind of a case

We’re trying to get to a place where we can get the grand juries going in every county in America.

Until we hit critical mass we’re not going to be able to do that

We do have 1,000 administrators to cover 3,133 counties across the nation

We need four administrators for every county

We need approximately 12 or 15 or 20 thousand administrators

We’re working on the education of these people

We got the course that we’re working on It’s kind of running slow

We hope to get a couple of chapters up as soon as possible

We’re working hard to get that done

If we could get two chapters up there then maybe we can stay ahead of people coming in to that course

Anyone who wants to sign up for that course you should register now for that course

That course does require the financial support of National Liberty Alliance

If you become a member and you’re giving $5/month or more then the course is free

There are a lot of people already giving $5/month or more so they already qualify to go in and take the course

To complete the registration for that course requires to go to our “Donation” page and give $5/month or more and then that will allow access into the course

The course is at a standstill

There is nothing up there yet

We hope to get a couple of chapters up there soon

(23:40)

Question 2: Can a case in federal court have both common law and commercial jurisdiction at the same time?

Equity law is really under the law of the land and ultimately common law the rules of the common law

Those federal courts are supposed to be ruled ultimately by American Jurisprudence.

If they read American Jurisprudence and act accordingly we’ll get justice

Those are all common law principles that we find in American Jurisprudence

Any case that you’re not getting due process, you can take it into federal court and sue.

You’re arguing the fact that the court that you’re in you’re not getting due process.

That can shut them down and make them cease and desist if they’re coming after you.

And also can give you damages for violating that particular right

(26:35)

Question 3: Just wondering how we can speed up our responses to the blatant fraud of non judicial foreclosures There is usually no demand from the court to have plaintiffs bear the burden of proof which is lawless In many instances the notice securitized and the original wet ink signature is no longer in existence Why can’t we go hard on these. They leave the victim in financial ruin , homeless, and mentally and physically diminished. They have no real leg to stand on so why are we so hesitant to brining this to forefront as the fraud that it is

We haven’t been hesitant at all We did Show Causes on these people

On the judge and on the individuals forcing the foreclosure whether it be the tax assessor or someone Also on mortgage foreclosures same thing

The reason why they don’t take it into a court and they try to do this as a walk around the court which they call a non judicial foreclosure the reason that they do that is because they can’t really win They don’t have the proper paperwork to be able to win There is no contract There is no signature that created a contract The whole thing is a fraud

The original people who made contact with them to get the process together to get a home through a mortgage system ultimately in most cases have already sold these papers and have packaged them together and monetized them and that’s a crime

We’ve let all of the individuals involved know this that it’s a crime We laid it out very clearly We spent 13 or 14 pages going through explaining the problem and the fact that they need to cease and desist They need to stop

They’ve ignored us The next step is an indictment

So that’s where we’re going next

We’re doing everything that we can and it is clear they have no proof of anybody owing anything to anyone

Question 4: A quote that I used when I was in a private conversation with my county sheriff immediately before he asked me to close off the conversation is a quote  that I have read but did not create myself.  However, now   I cannot substantiate this quote anywhere, but I believe  this quote was made by one of the Founding Fathers. The quote is :  “When does a man face the pointed end of a bayonet  for what he believes?”  Does anybody know who uttered this quote?

If you go to Google and put a couple of the words together and part of the phrase within that quote you should be able to find it

John has never not found a quote by doing that

He has always found them

(30:48)

Gerard had an announcement

He has been collaborating with quniverse and canary in a coal mine Those people from America, England , Australia They have a site that they recently put on that runs 24 7

I don’ know how many people know about “ Q” and Q-Anon

He’s supposed to be an operative close to the White House and he puts out clues and it’s viral

People following and trying to figure out clues

He speaks in riddles

He’s high up in military intelligence

He can’t just give military intelligence out

But he can say certain things and ask certain questions so that it enlightens people to what’s going to happen

People that are following it find out that most everything that he says comes true

There’s lots and lots of people following that

You can get to it on YouTube by putting in Patriots’ Soapbox 24/7

They get over 7,000 people on that livestream

Everybody’s watching it

It’s keeping track of what’s going on It’s keeping track of what the President’s doing

And it’s giving clues as to where he’s going next

Beside that they do interviews

Gerard had an interview they just released it

It’s a 38 minute video on YouTube

Its called “Quinverse Special Report Grand Jury Indictments Filed”

Gerard discusses our master case and what’s going on and why we filed it and it brings it out into the world This could be what pushes them to send us those prosecutors and judges that we’re asking for. We’re at the point where these judges are ignoring us

We keep filing papers and try to figure out how to move them and what we’re going to do next

One of the best things that we can do is put a light on it

Our case gives them credibility

They’re going to want to act on our case

This is We the People came together and made these claims and put indictments in and gave Show Causes on the very same subjects that they’re writing indictments on

We opened this case for creating a depository

That brings us under the court

That’s key to this whole thing We have to be under the auspices of the court

We operate at arm’s length

We operate in secret

And then we post publically

We can view these things as sealed indictments if we want

We sent a copy to Jeff Sessions for the purpose of achieving some U S attorneys

We continue to send him copies of everything that we’re doing

We also send a copy to Senator Grassley with the Judicial Committee

We send a copy to Donald Trump

We deposit it in that number and that’s what it’s for

This case was never meant to be opened in order to go sue

It was meant to be opened as a depository

A place to put our paperwork bringing us under the auspices of the court

We started off with the Quo Warranto

Then numerous numerous papers for information to correct these people

They haven’t corrected themselves They’re still running unlawfully

The day will come

We ended up having to buy a number because they wouldn’t let us in

The Grand Jury bought a docket number in order to make the record

Our indictments are not sealed They’re out there

Even if you didn’t think that we had any authority at all to do a grand jury or whatever we did an investigation, we filed evidence , and we made charges, and we put them in public and we put it in a court

This is prima facia it’s an affidavit it’s a sworn statement they should be running with it regardless if they think that we have the authority

Absolutely we do have the authority we’re the People

When these public officials get these papers and these indictments the fact that they ignore them is a crime

You’re making a crime known to a federal judge a federal agent these people have oaths of office they can’t sit on this stuff

Everybody’s on the take and nobody will do anything about it

It’s very important to pursue these things and support Quniverse and they’re going to support us

We will get more of a jump in our membership as this stuff goes out

They found our paperwork on the docket and they were all excited

They have 7,000 people on at any time

He puts a special report out and it gets 8,000 views in no time.

The one Gerard did today is just out there now

You’re not going to get this on CNN

It’s an inside look on what Donald Trump and the military intelligence is doing and why

It’s a good way to understand and when it goes down you won’t be surprised

Look for “Quniverse Special Report Grand Jury Indictments “ on YouTube

(44:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s comments and opinions may or may not be those of National Liberty Alliance

We’ve been talking about the Declaration of ‘76

The Evil Empire hates history knowing the past

It becomes apparent that things haven’t changed

The reactions people have had in the past to abuses to oppression to domination to lawlessness the response that people had we can read about it what works what doesn’t work What the results will be if you do this that or the other

The things that we face right now are the same fundamental problems that men faced when our country started

over 240 years ago

Paragraph 15 of our Declaration of ‘76

The Americans say of King George III using the pronoun he He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution and unacknowledged by our laws

Constitution with a small “c”

The Constitution of the United States wouldn’t be put in place for another eleven years

This constitution that they refer to here was their constitution

It remains our constitution It’s our common law

Our Constitution of the United States with a big “C” is a brief of common law government

This clause shows that not only did the colonists of America have a good constitution in their common law government

But it also shows that it was their zeal to keep this Constitution pure from strange law pollutants pollutants of strange law

Can you have in the same court commercial law and common law?

Sure common law trumps everything I don’t care what they drag into court

I don’t care what the flag looks like how much fringe it got on it what they claim about it federal courts have admiralty jurisdiction our Constitution grants it to the federal courts

It shouldn’t be no surprise that federal courts have an admiralty flag because that’s part of the jurisdiction of the federal courts

It doesn’t mean that they exercise that jurisdiction in all cases

They exercise it in admiralty cases

Brent dubs it as a bit of patriot mythology to say that a flag or symbol dictates what goes on in the court

That’s not true at all

The flag of the United States it is still the flag of the United States you would think that it would remind them that they are Americans and committed to a particular law and they’ve taken an oath to uphold it

Let’s concentrate on the principles of our law and not worry so much about the symbols.

You go into a federal courthouse you go into a federal court it’s worse than going into a Roman Catholic Cathedral all the pagan signs in there

All the Roman pagan signs from the Roman Empire

The fasces I was in a federal court there were 26 expensive brass symbols of that thing that Roman hatchet on the walls

What self respecting American would want to tolerate such baloney

Most of that was done mostly back in the late 1930s under the administration of Roosevelt.

Always the symbols of evil and those are symbols of evil

It was quite a fight to get Americans to accept the eagle as their national bird because that was the symbol of Rome

the national bird was the symbol of Rome They didn’t like that

Ben Franklin argued hard for the turkey to be our national bird

He said that the eagle carries with it everything that Rome was and if there’s anything that we’re fighting it was to get rid of Romanism get rid of the Evil Empire get rid of the Law of the City but they accepted the symbol

The symbol don’t dictate

The Lady Justice blindfolded that’s a pagan symbol from Babylon

We shouldn’t have it in our country We shouldn’t have it near our courthouses

It’s contrary to our fundamentals as Americans

The reason that we went to war with Britain was because of our common law.

History tries to mask it

The flag is not the problem

To believe that the flag is the problem is to believe in magic and genies and idolatry.

Symbols, especially pagan symbols , once you say that there’s power in a symbol you have become an idolater

Once you have given credence to the idea that by thought, action, or deed you have become an idolater

That’s flying in the Face of God

You’re just asking for trouble when you do that

So don’t worry about the symbols that overwhelm our courthouse Have been for centuries

Worry about the principles

Don’t pay attention to the symbols Get away from them when you have to

Think about the principle

Yes, our courts have admiralty jurisdiction but they’re abusing it just as they did in the days of our separation from Britain

The admiralty clause is a species of the law of the city

The law of the city is fundamentally a martial military kind of a law one man rule

How does the federal government take jurisdiction over the Second Amendment and the militia of the several states? How do we have a federal militia?

There is no federal militia under the Constitution only state militias.

The state militias can be called into the service of the federal government.

The militias of common law operated fundamentally the same

They called them the fyrds

People say that the Second Amendment only applies to the federal government

The right of the People to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed

The whole idea of the Bill of Rights was to have a limit on what the government can do and not interfere with the states

But the federal government since the Fourteenth Amendment has since World War II especially one by one applied different provisions of the Bill of Rights to the states

There’s one application of the Bill of Rights of one amendment does not thin at all as a matter of fact it’s required our Constitution requires by necessity that the federal government enforce this provision this amendment in our Bill of Rights apply it hard to the state governments there needn’t be any corporation to the Fourteenth Amendment and that by the way is the Second Amendment

Because it says right in the Second Amendment it’s the fourth of the four militia clauses it says right there a well regulated militia that means regular militia one that is regular day in day out The idea there the minutemen kind of arrangement where men are consistent always keeping and carrying a gun

The whole design of our Constitution can be said to revolve around those four militia clauses

And those four militia clauses are meaningless unless the federal government is willing to take a hand enforcing protecting the right to keep and bear arms against state government infringement. Because if the federal government doesn’t do that none of those militia clauses are operable. The reason that that Second Amendment is in there is so that the other three militia clauses will have meaning.

If men don’t have the right to keep and carry a gun then the other militia clauses are ciphers. They’re useless.

(1:11:00)

CALLERS  
  
Caller 1: Crystal:

They sent her an attorney and his name is Krasner

They’re all in a ponsey scheme up here

They’ve been stealing people’s homes left and right

There was a big meeting up here last week

Everybody showed up at city hall

They’ve been coming after Crystal for the past four or five years

Previously a judge said to Crystal, “I see that you have a lawsuit against me”

The judge asked Crystal, “Who’s your attorney?”

Crystal responded, “Jehovah God, Jesus Christ, and myself”

The judge asked Crystal again, “I said who’s your attorney?”

Crystal responded, “Jehovah God, Jesus Christ, and myself”

He goes, “You have a lawsuit against me?”

Crystal said, “I am here to answer the questions on subrogation”

Crystal asked “Am I a public servant of this court?”

The judge said “I don’t have to answer nothing to you”

Then the judge said, “I’m going to have to recuse myself from this case You got the transcripts. I sent them over to you”

Crystal said “I also asked for a sworn notarized affidavit from the attorneys and they received a letter and nobody has yet responded Here is the fourth letter from the subrogation and I would like this case to be dismissed and discharged And yes I would like you to recuse yourself from this case because you are being partial”

The judge asked Crystal “Is that all you have to say?”

Crystal replied “Yes”

The judge said “Well guess what I’m going to take your house anyway because you didn’t mention my name and I’m not going to recuse myself from this case”

The property is solely in Crystal’s name She has her warrantee deed

Also John has previously mentioned “Do no harm”

Crystal has put in over a million dollars on her house and they want to foreclose on her house for only two hundred. She said “Show me the Proof of Claim”

Crystal uses Trump’s Executive Order

If you’re going to file your own case in federal court they’re going to make you pay $400 to file it

You can move that case for cause into federal court

The case where they’re foreclosing your house you can use that number and move it into federal court for cause You have to show how they’re violating one of your unalienable rights The federal government will have to force the state to obey the Constitution

When the state violates your unalienable rights the federal government certainly is the higher court to put them back and make them do the right thing The only thing that they’re supposed to look at is not the ifs and buts of your case not the facts of the case they’re supposed to look at the violation of your unalienable rights that is not necessarily the facts of the case It’s due process Where did they violate your due process?

That’s the stuff you want to put in to the federal case in order to get it into federal court

Judge Kahn says that the NLA case is dismissed even though they are still accepting stuff and putting it in the docket

We gave him a Writ of Error and said that he couldn’t dismiss it

The master case that we put in the NLA case Judge Kahn said that it was dismissed because we didn’t get an attorney within 30 days

We didn’t agree with that

We gave him a Writ of Error and told him to back off

When 30 days was up he said this case is dismissed because you didn’t get an attorney

We wrote back and said that we don’t need an attorney

The clerk is still filing our paperwork on the docket because the clerk knows better

You might be better off doing your own federal number and paying the $400 to move your case through

(1:31:48)

Caller 2: Linda from Virginia

Her case is no due process no notice unwarranted search unconstitutionally vague violation notice and criminal summons no evidence submitted

Despite that she was found criminally guilty and fined

There was no administrative hearing given

She ran across another situation where there was another property and was told that code enforcement went in there and told the owner that all the wiring was bad and there was an issue with the garage they terrorized her She sold it at a fire sale price there’s a local group of contractors unethical They act like your best friend and find out everything There’s a network of these people

She was told that the owner was the man who bought it was a carpenter

She got the address and started checking in to it

It turns out that the owner is a banker who lives in a million dollar property close to DC

So we have these loose networks of people

Her own story is similar and connected to the same people

There’s networks of people

The courts when you go to defend yourself the courts are failing to exercise justice and in most cases even hear the facts of the case and it’s decided in the government’s favor

no matter what the circumstances are

It starts with code enforcement

Then it goes to the court when you try to defend yourself

They continue to treat everything with disregard

No due process no notice unwarranted search unconstitutionally vague violation notice and criminal summons

She is drafting this federal complaint She is following a case out in Florida

She put dogs in a car because they were going on a short trip Code enforcement came in and came on to their property because of the dogs then they went on the porch and looked in their windows and started taking pictures of the inside of the house

They charged her with animal cruelty

She won on appeal She’s a retired lawyer She wins on appeal but she is ticked off about the whole thing The way that she had to go through all of this She ended up going to federal court It turns out that she lost Not only that but they turned around and came back and billed her for $5,000 or $6,000 for having to defend the case and the transcripts and all that

You’ve been charged wrongfully You go to defend yourself You have to pay the filing fee And then you’re facing possible recovery costs from them billing you for defending yourself

She took this to federal court and she lost in federal court

Then the other side billed her for court costs

The government bills a citizen who tries to defend against their heavy handed trampling of their rights with their codes

The federal court is not charging it’s the defendant

It may not be a good idea to sue the city

How can the city be an injured party?

You’re better off suing the person personally

There is another case out in California that is similar

There is a case where a woman had a rental and the renters had chickens

They told her to get rid of the chickens

She told the renters to get rid of the chickens And they didn’t do it right away

But eventually they did The city came back and billed her $6,000

The city had hired a private law firm to do these things

It’s not an isolated case

It’s happening People have to be fearful for trying to defend themselves

If they’re going to bill you then they’re going to have to sue you also

If you lose a case can they automatically charge you the court costs?

Maybe if they put it in a Wherefore Clause

It seems that they would have to start a new suit with that clause in it

They shouldn’t be able to automatically do that

They’re doing it

It should be a separate case

Caller thinks that they just do it within the case

They’re expanding the system of extorting the people

The courts are complicit in this scheme of taking private property

I don’t know how you lose a case in federal court when they’re violating your unalienable rights

Brent added a response

If you remember the old song by Janice Joplin written by Chris Christopherson

“Freedom is just another word for nothing left to lose”

There’s nothing more scary in a fight than a person on the other side that doesn’t have anything to lose He may even think that dying is better than living

You can fight to your heart’s content if you’re not worrying about dying

If you don’t have any property to lose then you can go into court and fight and not worry about them suing you back and taking it from you

That’s why it’s important if you’re going in to that battle by trial because that is what they’ll do they’ll try to take everything that you have and come back and say that you owe them attorneys fees $200,000

The way to beat that is to not own anything that’s the way to beat it

They can’t get what you don’t have

That means that you have access and use of everything that you want to have use of but you don’t want to have title to it You want to put a plan in place for yourself to protect your assets And the way to protect your assets is to have full use of your assets but not own your assets Brent would advise entrusting your property When it comes time for you to leave this vale of tears you don’t have to worry about lawyers stealing all of your property through probate fees it passes naturally to the natural recipients of your bounty, your heirs You need to talk to somebody who understands such matters

Some people call it estate planning Some people call it asset protection

There are ways to do it yourself

If you go on the internet but you need guidance You need somebody to look things over for you if you try to do it yourself

We all need guidance

No matter how educated or experienced we are we need to help each other

Freedom is just another word for nothing left to lose

In the case mentioned the dogs gave them cause to enter the property

If there are no curtains they can look through the window

If she didn’t own anything then she couldn’t lose anything

Once you go in combat with them you can count on doing two things

Either winning or taking them for a long ugly ride

Winning is usually a little far fetched especially against the government

But you can take them for a long ugly ride

When you go into combat there are only two things that you can take from them

You can take their will to fight from them

Or you can take their means of fighting

In combat the level of success success equals will to win times means provided.

If you don’t have the means you don’t have the money the only thing that you got left is will to win

You can drag down the other side’s will to win if you just hassle them to death

You don’t have the means They got lawyers They got money

But you can wear them down to where they just fade away

Not always

You have the will to keep trying to make them go away if you don’t own anything

If you don’t have title to it but if you do have benefits to it

That the definition of a trust

Beneficial title but not legal title

Not the owner of the property

When it comes to a code enforcement issue if you don’t own the place do you have standing to go to court

You can be trustee of property like that and have standing

As trustee you can go into court

As a tenant you have certain rights

And if they come in and violate your unalienable rights forget about the property

what about your rights

If it was a code enforcement thing I would go through due process

Maybe they didn’t have probable cause to come in

You should not go into federal court unless you know that you are going to win

You don’t hear the cases that are won because they hide them

They don’t want anybody to win so when they win they settle out of court

Sometimes they pay them and give them a nondisclosure agreement

They are not advertising their loses

They are harming these people including myself they are charging criminally then you cannot pass background checks her line of work was accounting

She cannot get a job in accounting now because she cannot pass that background check

She cannot even fill out the application because it asks her

There is harm that is happening

They’re using codes to trump our Constitutional rights

That began with Franklin Roosevelt

This guy was dangerous to the hilt

And the things that he decided to do I don’t know who was pulling the strings he was nothing more than a political animal

Franklin Roosevelt pushed the idea that regulatory codes have the presumption of constitutionality

Lawyers don’t challenge codes in court You’re going to lose

In this country Brent does not see the fascination with the common law with young people over our ancient heritage the faith of our fathers and what has made us what we are

We ignore our past

Learn the true law

Learn, guard , and do

Let’s be prepared

The young people haven’t been taught There’s nobody to teach them

(2:11:00)

Caller 3

This is an old child support case Now that he is learning the common law over the past year or so he has found out that they have actually done this case illegally They violated his due process rights from the beginning He has been filing affidavits against the state courts He’s finding out that state courts can’t do nothing for you You have to go to the federal level

Caller has filed countless affidavits against the circuit court And then he filed a habeas corpus against his liberty being taken

This is for the state of Wisconsin

In the state of Wisconsin he filed for a habeas corpus and they denied him

That is my constitutional right they cannot suspend it They did it any way

They gave him a state statute and state case law of why they could

Caller wrote an objection You cannot do that it’s against federal law this is a federal procedure You violated my rights

Now they’re saying that they don’t want to hear from me ever again

They’re not going to take any more of my responses

Caller has been working with a guy one of our members

Caller wants to bring this to the Unified Grand Jury

Caller has an affidavit done We want to file it with the Unified Grand Jury for help

If it’s filed in New York would he have to go to a hearing would he have to come to New York for the federal hearing ?

It’s an affidavit that is going to go to the Grand Jury and the Grand Jury is going to file it

against the court for my due process violation

We have papers sitting there and we’re kind of stalled

They’re going to answer them eventually

You can file it there but it would be better to take them to federal court in your state

Make them come into the federal court and answer

The minute they get a subpoena from a federal court in your state that’s going to change their whole outlook right there

They may not even want to go into federal court with you

Sometimes they’ll call you in and they’ll have a conference and they’ll try to make it go away

when they realize that you are serious about taking them to federal court

Caller lives in Minnesota

He is not a resident of Wisconsin any more He hasn’t been for many years

He lives in Minnesota So he can file it in Minnesota against Wisconsin in Minnesota so he can make them come to Minnesota

That’s another thing that is going to make them not want to deal with you

They may settle with you because they got to go over there

That would be a hardship on them

Our case is a landmark case It was never meant to sue anybody

They’re trying to stall us just like they try to stall everybody else

We have a bunch of people behind us so that’s another thing that they fear

They don’t like publicity

That’s one of the reasons why Crystal is having some good luck

They fear her ability to amass people

Caller has been working with Jim at NLA

Would I have to pay that $400 filing fee?

You got a case going and you got an index number and if you word it right then you can roll it in for cause

You have to show the cause of due process right up front

They like to see the statute on the front

like 1983 It would actually be under the 42 USC 1983 the civil rights violation

Deprivation of Rights

Make sure that that’s up front so when the judge sees the front of the page he knows that that’s what he’s hanging his hat on

You cannot put a price on justice

From the circuit court I filed a dismissal because basically they don’t have a case

Somebody wrote on it denied failure to state a claim it was never signed

Caller wrote a letter to the Attorney General a US Attorney General from his state the state of Wisconsin and a US Attorney General he made copies of it and said

this is pure evidence of lawlessness of a circuit court of Wisconsin

He just mailed it today

Most of the time they don’t like to answer

Caller has never been in federal court ever this is a new territory for him

He has tons of evidence against them

The child support system is a ponsy scheme

Every dollar that they collect the federal government kicks in 66 percent of federal funding for every state.

He got that from the Federal Manual on Child Support

When they violate your due process then that’s the federal court’s job of putting them in place

Stick to that Don’t get lost in all of the other stuff

Stick to the federal jurisdiction which is that your rights got violated

(2:28:00)

Caller 4 California Fred

The last gentleman that just called needs to tune in to Captain John and find out about the birth certificate and removing that child from the court.

He needs to know that procedure where you nullify the birth certificate and the court loses jurisdiction in the state because you’re reclaiming that person

The whole birth certificate process is fraud

When Fred was on the 24/7 Q Anon today a lot of people were getting on through YouTube and they were getting viruses So anybody who is attempting to get on to that 24/7 Q line they should have their virus definitions up-to-date and use a browser that cannot be tracked and traced

Q Anon has their own way of speaking they have acronyms it’s hard to understand It’s referencing people like “LL” You got to find somebody who knows how to interpret it

You learn it after awhile

Anybody who goes to that website have your antivirus up and try to get on anonymously

(2:37:14)

Caller 5: Ed from Connecticut

Aren’t some codes unconstitutional? Like blight fining someone $100 to $250 a day

It doesn’t solve the problem It makes a lot of money for the government though.

Not every code is unconstitutional Just because it’s a code it could be constitutional when it’s written constitutionally It should empower It shouldn’t lessen your power

A lot of those codes were written for the people

It’s not an adhesion contract

Just because you use codes doesn’t mean that you lose your jurisdiction

It is not right that the fines go to the government they should go to the injured party

What right do they have to fine me and not restore you

They put governments in place to protect the weak from the strong

By collecting a $500 fine from me and not giving you anything then how did you get protected?

This blight thing is arising around this town and a few other towns.

That could be an unconstitutional code or law

Christ said to the Pharisees and scribes that taxes were sucking the life out of the widows

Most of the time they steal all of the equity out from under the widow

And they’re doing exactly what Christ complained about

Nothing has changed

That is exactly part of the problem that even back in the days of Christ with the Jews

they were making all these statutes and codes and putting them on the people’s backs

They’re stealing the equity They’re robbing the thing that they worked all their lives for and paid off

When enough people are affected then they will make the change

Challenging the code is hard

In the enforcement of the blight code they are probably violating rights in numerous ways and you can bring that in

They’re violating your due process right

Maybe not necessarily say that the code was unconstitutional but say what they did to me was unconstitutional

Focus on the action of what they did and say that there was no due process