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Scripture Reading : John 9 : 1- 16

(7:30)

Our course is open We’re moving forward on that

It looks like we’re open to the beginning of Chapter 6

We got quite a bit loaded up on that study

If you haven’t signed up for the course you really need to do that

It will require the people to save the nation

If the people don’t take control and bring justice into the court we’ll only end up back from where we started

Our nation will be as just as our courts

A lot of evil things have been going on for quite some time now in our government causing all kinds of havoc

There has been a lot of evil going on and our courts are a mess

We have a lot of crooked judges and attorneys and they control the whole process. Nobody is ever going to indict them

We don’t have justice in the courts It just doesn’t exist and hasn’t existed for quite some time

(11:10)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: What if any difference is there between a lawyer and an attorney?

That is a question for Brent

Many of our found fathers were lawyers

We will need Brent to answer this

Question 2: Our township and school district had in place a $15/year head tax or occupation tax The township received $10 and the school $5 This year the township did away with this tax but the school district still continues to collect it Our school and property tax are very high in our district and I want to contact the school board and ask them to eliminate collecting this tax

It’s a nuisance tax on top of our school property taxes

I’m not sure if it would be a fruitless effort to contact them since it’s such a small amount but it’s the principle. If I fail to pay they will put a lien on my property

Any suggestions?

It’s a battle we’re all fighting

The tax problem property and income

That’s one of the reasons why we’re here fighting to take back control of the courts

It’s a local tax for that school district

They don’t have the power and authority to do that

Only Congress can make tax

Challenge the authority

I would try to challenge the authority first through letter writing and see where that goes

You could do a show cause

Make them look into the law and see what the requirements are for taxing

Challenge their authority

What is a lawful tax?

If you discover that they don’t have authority then you can challenge that authority with a show cause by what authority you can file that with the local court

A person has to do their homework

ANNOUNCEMENTS

WE NEED VOLUNTEERS

We need:

1. Grand Jury Administrators.

2. Volunteer callers for the Welcome Committee.

3. Speakers for the Natural Healing Call that would like to give a 35 to 45 minute talk on their healing expertise.

We’re also looking to get the word out as to what we’re doing here

Education is the key We have to get people educated

If we could take back the courts we could take back the political process

We could bring law and order back into our courts and therefore back into our neighborhoods and force our leaders to obey the law and to not go beyond the authority that they have been given

We need to take control of this Once that happens we can then start pushing a heavy education throughout our entire nation starting within our schools

We do have a plan for that

Right now the big focus is taking back the courts

Get an education to the people

Over time we can move a proper education into our schools concerning Civics and the Constitution and the law

The only way we’re going to be able to take control is through education

(26:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1 Chris from Pennsylvania

Have you read the book I guess it comes from you I got it at Amazon

“UCC Connection How to Free Yourself from Legal Tyranny”?

I got it from Amazon and it’s stamped in the back from National Liberty Alliance

It’s got the Founding Fathers on the front like your other books

That’s not our book

The front cover looks like the ones that you do have

John asked if there was any way to send a link on where this book is located Is it on Amazon, you said?

Yes, Amazon

What’s the name of the book?

“UCC Connection How to Free Yourself from Legal Tyranny”

It’s $5

Gerard: I think that this is from the guy up in Maine

John: You said it was “The UCC Connection”?

Caller: Yes It is authored by Freeman

 The front cover looks like the one that you got on your site

 In the middle it shows the Founding Fathers below it says We the People and it’s

 got the shadow form of all the people standing there

 On the back cover down towards the bottom it’s got your website

 nationtionallibertyalliance.org

Terry: On the back it says the ten maxims of commercial law

Jan That’s David Robinson that does that He does the cover and puts stuff out

John: He’s talking about a particular subject that we don’t get involved in

 The UCC is law It’s misplaced law They’re applying it in places where it

 shouldn’t be That’s the commercial code

Caller He said to put on your driver’s license Without prejudice UCC 1-308

 and that reserves your rights

John We’re familiar with the idea We’re familiar with that particular code

 It has nothing to do with us so why we would quote anything or use anything as

 far as the codes It would bring us under the authority of the codes ultimately

 We’re bringing ourselves into a jurisdiction that we don’t want to be in

Caller What this guy talks about is how to avoid being brought into their jurisdiction

John If I get a ticket I’ll send in a paper a short paper challenging their

 authority as far as having any jurisdiction Half the time when I send the paper

 in I never hear anything back from the court about anything, It’s gone. The other

 half they start back and I get a date At that point it’s not worth fighting You

 can only get yourself into deeper deeper problems If you fight it they will set

 another date for you to come back and you’re going to frustrate them more .

 People will find themselves in jail We’ve seen people who have pressed the issue

 on certain freedoms and they got to the point where they pushed then into a psych

 evaluation It’s not worth the battle I wouldn’t push the issue too far

 I wouldn’t battle it with the man on the land thing Take our course

 Anybody who goes through this course will have enough knowledge to go though the

 courts and deal with your legal needs They have concealed the courts of law

 There’s two jurisdictions law and equity Equity is when a judge sits and

 makes a decision One jurist There is an appellate process through there

 The other is law and that’s when the jury rules Unfortunately they’ve taken

 control of the jury and they call it civil courts They’ve made it a statutory process

 Where a court of law is not to be a statutory process It’s supposed to be under

 the common law The people decide without being infringed upon by the judge

Caller This books gives a lot of examples of how to go into court Don’t go in there with a chip on your shoulder Go in and play dumb If they ask you about the charge then tell them that you don’t understand

John What you’re going to do is frustrate the court They will keep calling you back

 and cases can go on for over a year If you have time to keep going back

 Am I willing to go to jail? at some point you piss them off enough and then they

 won’t let you go

Gerard: There was a time when the judges understood the Constitution They’ve taken these judges and they’ve trained them When somebody like you comes in and starts asking questions about jurisdiction and Is this admiralty? Right away they go into their backup mode which they trained them to do They’ll start asking you questions

And they’ll try to confuse you They’ll say I think you’re confused I think you need a mental evaluation The first thing they’ll try to do is stick you in a mental hospital

That’s the beginning of a long path They will not give you justice They’ll say you’re crazy This is where we are in America today

They’re not operating under justice

I was in court with my daughter and it was a clear case and right away the attorney that she had who is a public defender says Why don’t you take her for a mental evaluation ?

If my daughter needs to go for a mental evaluation because you had two cops beating her up for no reason then I think the cops need to go for a mental evaluation

I’ll send my daughter for mental evaluation after the two cops are declared mentally stable.

They understand how to get you upset and put you under pressure Pretty soon you’re over there stuttering and stammering The next thing you know the bailiff is taking you away

You got to be very careful

You can do a lot of fighting on paper

You can’t get in contempt of court on paper

I would try to fight most of this stuff on paper

John: Start your paper off that you’re responding here by special appearance

You’re there to test whether they have jurisdiction

The first thing you want to do and you do this on paper you need to get it on the record

You want to make sure that there is a recording of the court and also a record that you could get at the end of the hearing A full record of what’s been said

The first thing you want to do is that you’re here by special appearance

Then you want to say something like For the record

I wouldn’t do it that way

I would come only by paper

I would not get into a challenge with the judge back and forth

I would tell them that they don’t have personal jurisdiction

They don’t have jurisdiction over the person

And they don’t have jurisdiction over the subject

They have to respond

You have to get some U S Supreme Court rulings out there that you can grab hold of and put in there

They must prove on record that they have jurisdiction

Let them try to prove it on the record

They don’t have the jurisdiction

You can demand that the case be dismissed for lack of personam jurisdiction and even subject matter jurisdiction

They’re not going to do that

Then you can move it to federal court for cause

As long as there is a number on that case you don’t have to pay to move it into federal court for cause So you can move it into federal court for cause

The cause is that you’re challenging jurisdiction They don’t have it

You’re only going into the federal court to get a decision on whether they have jurisdiction or not

You have to have a good Wherefore Clause

You don’t need to discuss the case just the fact that they don’t have jurisdiction over you

On your Wherefore Clause you want a cease and desist order.

That’s one way to win the case and beat it

You want to get the court record

You also want to get a copy of the audio file

If you want to learn all of this then take the course

You don’t have to use their forms

Forms are there to keep things uniform to keep you on point

We can use forms as guidelines We can add and take away

You can create your own forms

There is no way to open up a true court of record

They trap you as soon as you come in they’re not going to let you come in unless you do a number of things Number one, they want that statutory fee

If you don’t pay that statutory fee then you’re not getting in

Once you pay that statutory fee you just gave them jurisdiction which is a statutory jurisdiction

They also have a civil paper to open up a civil complaint

You fill that form out There’s nothing common law about it

There’s no way you can open up a court of record in that

So you can change the words on that and add

Then you can challenge the fee

You can’t charge me for justice

You can make an affidavit concerning your conversation and the way you tried to get into the court

First order of business once you’re in the court you want to file a paper with the judge saying I want my money back

I don’t want to be in a statutory court

The judge is going to tell you whatever he wants to tell you

We have a record of the fact that the judge refused

So now you’ve got evidence here of the fact that you’re trying to open a court of record

You’ve written it up properly

I’m working on a case and that’s one of the arguments being made

And we’re working on a gun case and that’s an argument that’s going to be made

We’re also preparing to do another case to sue the judiciary

And the case will be made in that case too

The whole purpose of that is to prove and show the concealment of a court of record or common law court or court of justice

If you read those cases you’re going to learn I got to write my papers around my facts

You can use a lot of the language and the quotes and the law and everything else that’s used in these cases

If you want to learn how to write a case you read cases

Once you understand the anatomy of a court case which we are going to lay out in the course

what paper work is required in order to file a case what paperwork is required to make a motion They will use Rule 12 to have the case thrown out

We’re developing a new strategy on that

Everything is a form

We’re going to create forms that will help people get into a common law court

We have to achieve that We haven’t achieved that yet

People haven’t achieved that because of ignorance

You go to the penal code which is UCC 18 Title 18 That’s where the penal code is found The jurisdiction is maritime law

They’re utilizing maritime law on the land here in the federal courts and calling it law

Caller: The book here The UCC Connection talked about courts today don’t want to hear common law

He said that the thing to get it into common law is the UCC Without prejudice UCC 1 -308

John: That’s like walking into court and clicking your heals. It’s not going to happen

It’s going to piss them off

What you do want to do is to learn to play the chess game

Use their language Use statutes against them Make it clear that they don’t apply to you they apply to them and you do that in a very subtle way

Caller They don’t want to hear anything about common law They’re using statutory

Gerard: Speaking of subtle It works both ways They have subtle ways of getting their stuff through They wrote the UCC They have loopholes for themselves

John We had a member that filed some paperwork under UCC and was able to get some money out of it about $100,000 After he cashed the check they arrested him for fraud

He was able to escape because he wasn’t home that night. He was still on the lamb

Gerard: There’s a lot of misinformation online and it’s on purpose

to mislead you

John : When you go into the town court if you’re in jeopardy of jail if you’re in jeopardy of losing your home then you got to fight The only way you’re going to fight these people is to challenge jurisdiction personam jurisdiction subject matter jurisdiction get a copy of the record take the number that’s on the case You have to understand how to file papers and forms and move things over Then you move it to federal court for cause

The argument there is jurisdiction You’re not arguing about driving without a license

You’re there saying that they don’t have jurisdiction and you’re challenging that

You should be able to win with a good Wherefore Clause to cease and desist

Caller Speaking of court cases your suit against all these branches you said that they just ignored it Now you’re doing something again What’s happening there?

John: It depends on what suit you’re talking about. We have one case that we’re doing on the state level against the governor and the legislators concerning the gun issue

It’s a federal case We took it into the federal court We moved it over to a panel for reconsideration under Rule 35

We’ll use their rules to do it You move it over into a panel for another decision

If we fail there we will move it into the appellate courts

We have another case that we’re getting ready to file

We have another case we filed it’s been open for a year or a year-and-a-half which is really a depository for us a place to deposit all of our paperwork as a grand jury

so that we’re under the auspices of the court

They don’t send our papers back They keep telling us to stop We keep sending them anyway

We’re opening another case which is suing the judiciary itself for robbing the people of their courts of justice

They have concealed courts of record

If you want to get in it’s called a civil case

If they’re going to come out after you they’re going to call that a criminal case

The Supreme Court has ruled that civil cases and criminal cases they don’t have any authority

they’re not courts of law They don’t have any true authority They’re both statutory So they both operate under equity I just wrote a paper recently concerning that issue I discovered some very fascinating wording inside of their own rules

In a common law court there are no statutes controlling it

Winning the case that we’re getting ready to file against the judiciary is the key to getting justice back into the court There’s no one else out there that I know of doing this

And anybody trying to get justice use lawyers and they’re controlled to some degree

They’ll get a scolding by the judge in the back room if they do something wrong or bring up issues that the judge doesn’t want

He’ll call for them to go into the chambers and he’ll let them know we’re not going to have that in this court we’re not going to talk about this or that and so on and so forth

The threat is the loss of their license That’s a problem for lawyers

Criminal courts are not courts of record They’re not courts of justice

The United States Supreme Court understand all of this

They understand jurisdiction

They know that there’s a fraud going on

I just recently had a judge in one of the papers that I’m writing saying that we failed to go through the administrative process first Well only slaves do that

We the People don’t do that

We go straight on in and open up a court of record

and the decision of that court is final The problem is opening up a court of record

That’s been the battle

With the man on the land thing They may be correct but you’re not going to win any battles using the strategy that they’re offering

You’re only going to piss the judge off and they’re going to be looking to do something with you

If they can get a psych evaluation on you they’ll push you for that It’s not worth the hassle

Caller: The book says to go in there an act dumb and say I don’t understand

John: It’s a strategy that they’re aware of They’re going to teach these judges how to deal with this and to look for key words

Gerard: One of the first things they told us they said When the judge says Do you understand Don’t say that you understand because that really means do you stand under our jurisdiction

So people would say I don’t understand

So they turned that around and said Oh you must be mentally ill if you don’t understand

You’re confused We need a mental evaluation They turned it around

You could say Yes I understand but I don’t acknowledge your jurisdiction

John You move it into federal court if it’s a serious matter and stick to one focus and one focus only You’re not in a court where you can get due process

They don’t have jurisdiction

That’s all you have to argue Nothing else You can win on that

Keep it simple They don’t have jurisdiction

You got to stay focused and simple I’m only here for one reason I challenge jurisdiction They haven’t shown on paper that they have jurisdiction

We are going to get very in depth in this course where we get into paperwork

Whatever forms and whatever papers we will talk about we’ll give a link on our website where you can download those papers and use them as an example

We’re going to create a form I got a lot of good ideas and I’ve read a lot of different things how to word a different kind of form to be offered to the clerk instead of their forms that they use for the statutory courts

We’re going in to a court of record

Jan: Referring back to those little pocket handbooks David Robinson in Maine has been selling them on Amazon If you look at the front cover on at least three of them they’re identical to our Second Edition of the Common Law Handbook

One of them is UCC Connection the second is Meet Your Strawman the third one is called The Common Law Handbook for Jurors, Sheriffs, Bailiffs, and Justices

It has a different ISBN number than ours

John : He plagiarized our work on that

Gerard: The way he got a different ISBN number is that he just made it a different size than ours He made it bigger and charged a few more dollars

That’s word for word our book

The handbook is ours which he plagiarized

We should sue him to get some of that profit but I doubt that he sold that many

(1:24:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent can start off with that question

What if any difference is there between a lawyer and an attorney?

A lawyer is like a sawyer A sawyer is an old word for a man that operates a saw mill

We used to have a sawyer and his name was Cornwell and I used to go and watch him He had a big round blade and he would sit with a little attachment that he would stick on each one of those tooths of the blade and he would crank it like an old telephone It had a little crank on it and it would sharpen the blade and then it had another little attachment on it

that would allow him to set the tooth of the blade He would bend it just a little bit

And he would bend that blade so that he could manipulate it or it would cut the way he wanted it to cut A lawyer is like that Sawyer Lawyer A sawyer is a man who makes the saw cut He tries to make it cut the way he wants it to cut That’s what a lawyer does

A lawyer is a person who knows the law He’s not a person that is licensed to be in any jurisdiction necessarily to represent other people He’s a person that knows the law

Every attorney that is licensed Every attorney should be a good lawyer

He should know the law

But a lawyer is like a sawyer A sawyer is a man that can make the saw cut just like he wants it to cut The lawyer is a man that ought to be learned enough in the law that he can make it cut the right way because law can be used unlawfully To use the law unlawfully is called legalism Legalism is using the law unlawfully

Working the system not for the sake of what is right but for the sake of getting what you can out of it

A lawyer is someone who knows the law well enough to make it cut the way he wants it to

the way that is right

An attorney by contrast is a little different the word attorney is an old Anglo French word The Normans invaded England on the fourteenth of October in the year 1066

And when they invaded they brought with them the French tongue

They had conquered the coast of France 166 years before

Then they invaded England

A lot of the words in our common law are Norman French words

The word attorney is a French Norman word that means agent

An agent is a man that has received authority from another person that the law calls a principal

You have insurance agents they act under the authority that’s been delegated to them by another person

FBI agents are folks that are called agent because they have authority to do what they do

Any one that has authority from another a scope of authority to do something he’s an agent

He’s an attorney

That’s why we have in our law what we call power of attorney

It’s a piece of paper one fellow gives to another fellow that by words expresses a grant of a certain authority

When you sell a piece of real estate you hire a real estate agent that’s an attorney

An agent is someone who has scope of authority to deal with another person to sell your house

Real Estate Agent

An agent is a fiduciary that means that his relationship with the principal is a fiduciary relationship It’s a contract relationship but it’s a special kind of contract relationship where the responsibility is great

An attorney is a lawyer that is an attorney who practices at law attorney at law

Attorney at law means that the attorney at common law the common law lawyer

All lawyers in America are common law lawyers whether they understand it or not

We’re a common law country

The attorney at law is called that because that’s short for at the service of the law

I live by myself on the side of a hill in the basement

I don’t go anywhere I just read and write

I need this kind of interaction if I can get it

Lawyers always has been a fraternal group

Attorneys are lawyers too

Those lawyers those attorneys they have a commonness among them

They’ve all learned the same thing They’ve all gone through the same grueling experience of law school

They’ve all gone through the same grueling experience of the BAR exam

They’ve all gone through the same grueling experience of being abused by judges who are too haughty for their own britches

It’s fraternal That fraternity has been eroded a lot in the last ten or fifteen years

because of the presence of the female in the courts

That really did not come on until thirty years ago Before that it didn’t amount to much

Law school now has more girls in them than boys

That has destroyed the fraternal feeling that men have had

Men have a lot in common that they don’t have in common with the female

Chivalry in the courts has gone away

Honor and respect all because of that

I go to court I see it

That fraternity is slowly being destroyed

Judges on the bench are females and not males

That changes everything

It changes the whole dynamic

You can observe it for yourself and see

The rules of our courts arose from chivalry

Chivalry had to do with trial by battle

In America we call it the duel

All that we do from service of process right on through are the rules of chivalry

applied not to trial by battle but to battle by trial and those are going away too

There is not the same honor Men can’t battle They look at the female different and the female looks at them different

To say that there is no difference is buffoonery

Lawyers have had this fraternal feeling for centuries

The common lawyer arose because of the differences in language

The courts operated in one language

Most of the people in England didn’t speak that language

This class of men began to arise they could translate between both languages

Then they saw the Roman priests that what William appointed to the courts when he got rid of the church men from England

They were inserting the law of the city the canon law of Rome which was the Code of the Roman Empire adjusted to an ecclesiastic organization the church of Rome

And so they said we got to stop this We’re going to lose all our rights

We’re going to lose the jury We’re going to lose due process

And so they began to secretly form societies

These men that could speak Anglo Saxon and Norman French

and they said not only that Rome has control of the only education in the country of higher education Oxford University We got to form our own way to train lawyers about our common law our law of the land this is the same thing as saying due process

And they began to form these organizations so secretly they could learn the procedure of our common law

Common law is a law of procedure Due process is our common law

Common law is not result oriented it’s process oriented

Our common law says stick to the process

The law of the city the canon law civil law the code of Rome it’s the opposite It’s result oriented It doesn’t make any difference what the process is This is the result that we have to achieve Process doesn’t matter That’s like torture

Our common law is about procedure It forbids those kinds of things

The Anglo Saxons formed these institutions of learning

They began to call them ends of court

There were four ends of court that they formed to train common law lawyers practitioners of our common law

The ends of court were private organizations

They had no government funding

Oxford University that was under government charter controlled by the government

It was a tool of Rome

All the universities of Europe always have been and were founded by the Roman C

I’ve taken seven oaths in my life seven times to support and defend the Constitution of the United States against enemies foreign that means willingness to take up arms and

enemies domestic that means willingness to serve on the jury

That’s the duty of every American whether they take that oath or not

An oath does not create any new duties

An oath only strengthens the duty that you already have as an American

I’ve taken the oath twice as a lawyer and five times as a military man

A sheriffs’ department had me on the list as a dangerous man

The word that they applied to me to prove how dangerous I am : He is a constitutionalist

That’s the wacky world in which we live

The only way to defeat that kind of wacky silly childish madness is to use the right words

Do not even think about using words that are politically correct

Don’t even go there

If you’re not a constitutionalist then you’re not an American

Our Constitution is the supreme law of the land

I’ve never met a lawyer that took an oath to the Queen of England

I’ve never met a lawyer that took an oath to the BAR Association

I have never belonged to a BAR Association

There are some states where you have to belong

It isn’t the things that men don’t know that hurt them

It’s the things that they think they know that just ain’t so that hurts them

That’s why we’re trying to learn

I want to pick up where I left off on the Constitution of the United States

Article 1 Section 8

The reason there is a pocket veto it allows the President of the United States to stick that bill that Congress sends him to sign to stick it in his pocket

And if he sticks it in his pocket that means lays it on his desk and doesn’t do anything within ten days it becomes law whether he does anything or not

He can let something become law without saying anything at all

If he vetoes it our Constitution requires that he put in writing the reasons for his veto.

Our Constitution’s Article 1 Section 7 clause 2 talks about veto

The reason for veto is important

Our Declaration of ’76 paragraph 4 reveals the reasons for this clause this ten day rule pocket veto

The Congress sends each bill that passes both houses of Congress to the President of the United States for his signature If he disapproves of the bill he has ten days not counting Sundays to return it to the house that originated it along with his written statement of objections and law calls this objection a veto which means in Latin to say No

Congress however can pass a law over the President’s veto by a 2/3 vote of each house of those members present

The President can also allow a bill to become law by merely letting ten days pass without signing it

If however Congress sends a bill to the President during the last ten days of Congress’s session it will only become law if the President signs it

Consequently if a bill the President dislikes reaches him within the last ten days of Congress’s session he can veto it by simply never signing it

but to ignore it in such a case is to take a chance Congress kills the bill only if it adjourns within ten days Commission calls this a pocket veto

By a pocket veto the President can avoid revealing what he thinks of a bill

and that may help him politically

Sundays are excluded from the processes of law

because our common law has incorporated the practice from Christianity

The case Story V Elliot from the year 1827 in the state of New York makes that point

holding that the first day of the week is holy

The old English word holy means separated out from the other six days for celebration of the Resurrection of Jesus Christ That’s part of our history

People say our Founders weren’t Christians

Many were

We were at that time a Christian nation regardless of what the political people were saying

Whatever I say is not necessarily the opinion of National Liberty Alliance It is my opinion

No one else’s

(2:04:35)

Caller 1 : Crystal

I put the affidavit together I’m giving them ten days to respond

I also sent you the papers on that the IR code 6065 where they have to prove everything otherwise they’re perjuring themselves I also put in the foreign agent form of 1938

And if they’re not on file with the W9 that means that they have no jurisdiction and it’s all fraud what they’ve been doing

This is all of the papers that we’ve sent in

They basically try to disregard

And that’s when when I sent all the other papers in the other attorneys had recused themselves So I put another one together again

Today I was at court The attorneys didn’t even know what these forms are about

I am a living soul I got to send you the paperwork

She read that in the court today

The attorney didn’t know what to say and what to do

All of a sudden he started to babble on about the 1938 Foreign Agent

for him to fill out

I can’t believe the incompetence of some of these attorneys the games they’re playing

When the other statement was read the judge basically looked at me because I was with the party there And she said Oh It’s nice to see you And I said Likewise

He could not answer the questions

Then all of a sudden I don’t think she was sure what was being read to her so she pulled out her book and she was looking She goes I have to get back to you

I have to get back to you She would not give the party the answer

But I will put that paperwork together also because we have to put something together

because they want me out the 19th By the way on the affidavits I wrote ten points it was eight points the last 2 I wrote I will not vacate my property I am the sole heir

When you are in foreclosure and I got to get the other paper is when you abandon your house So what she was telling people she kept us there til five o’clock and my car had broken down so I was very tired She told the other people that were in there that was jotting my notes down She said Well this is going to be a strict foreclosure and we don’t have to put the sign on there so what’s going on the attorneys are taking the people’s property and they want the people out of the house by such and such a date

I think that this person has to be out by April 2nd She told the attorney She goes

So you don’t have to put the sign up or nothing and she goes I’m going to give you four months and that’s plenty of time so get your act together and be out of that house

She goes You got from now til April 2nd to be out of the house

We just looked at each other Oh my God It’s going to be strict foreclosure and it’s going to be signed over to the attorneys

And that’s what they were trying to pull over on me back on November 5th

So on my paperwork today I made sure that I had it notarized and everything I did my affidavit I said I will not leave or abandon my home and they have to answer

several questions prior to that

So I gave them ten days So today is the second So they have to answer by the twelfth

That’s basically it And also there is going to be a big meeting in Hartford , Connecticut

and it’s about the courts attorneys about the judicial system it’s going to be in the capital and it’s about the rulings and the unfairness about the grievance about the illegal foreclosures about the children that are being taken from their parents it’s quite a few issues I believe it’s on the website Connecticutbar.org rules of law

There’s a number to call It’s CBA Members Service 844-469-2212

It’s up in Hartford, Connecticut

It’s a number of things and all the corruption that’s going on in the superior federal appellate court and a lot of the judges

The people are getting together

In my case they said We’re going to do a strict foreclosure now we’re not going to put the sign up and we want you out of your house by the 19th of January

So my rebuttal to them was the proof of claim due process and all that

I have everything basically in my name so I put on the paperwork that I submitted today

I will not because I sent in some other papers today I said I will not vacate my home or abandon my home ever

and I had it stamped and they have to answer the other questions and they got ten days to answer If they do not answer or respond they are in default

and what I wrote and swore to is the truth and I want this whole thing dismissed

This time again I put all the names the chief judge

You know they’re not going to answer right?

When they don’t answer then you go in and file a default with the clerk

Once they default try to do it with the clerk

She may not want to do it

She may want to do it through the judge

You have to draw the paper up for the default for the judge to sign or the clerk to sign

The clerk knows that you put a paper in because she stamped it

You go in with the evidence and you say Here’s when I put it in they defaulted They were supposed to answer You need to acknowledge the default

I’m filing the default You need to record the default in the record

She should do it but she may be scared to do it

She may want the judge to do it

He can sign it

Technically he has to sign it

Unless somebody has a real good reason for why they defaulted

He could not sign it because there are extenuating or mitigating circumstances

Make sure that you follow up on the default

If they don’t answer then you need to send out a notice of default to everybody all parties

You have to tell them that they defaulted

That’s a requirement Notice of Default

You go right into the clerk and get her to stamp the thing

and notarize the paper that said that they defaulted on such and such a date

and see what happens they’re going to fight you every bit of the way

They have to prove that there was a very good reason why they didn’t answer

And they have to prove that if they had answered then they probably would have won the case

They have to meet those two standards to get out of a default which they can’t do

Be careful and make sure that you follow that default up

These courts sit on their hands and they wait and see what you’re going to do

If you don’t do something in a timely manner they’ll say she doesn’t know what she’s doing and then they’ll move on you

I have another case today also where somebody had falsified and tried to steal a family member’s estate I’m handling that

The Connecticut BAR Conference to address the attack on the judicial

I guess the media is going to be there too

So anybody that wants to come up here

It says organize on the upcoming Connecticut conference

It says they want to discuss judicial increasing of facts and criticism

The Connecticut BAR Association  is reviving its Rule of Law Conference to address the pitfalls of these attacks, and discuss what lawyers and judges can do about it

Jonathan Shapiro bar president and principal of Middletown-based Shapiro Law Offices

said the media and rule of law of the judiciary have drawn the ire of people from all political persuasions. It’s not accurate, Shapiro said, to put the blame for heated rhetoric solely at the foot of President Donald Trump. Rather, he said, everyone can work on ramping down the heated comments.

 Shapiro said: “We are governed by laws and not people. No one is above the law. Lawyers should be the defender of the rule of law. We are the front line of defense and should make sure the law is followed by all people.”

The conference, the first one in five years, will be held from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Dec. 6 in the Legislative Office Building in Hartford. It will feature a who’s who in the world of law, media and politics. Those taking part will include Connecticut Supreme Court Chief Justice Richard Robinson, at least four Connecticut Appellate Court judges, Secretary of the State Denise Merrill, House Majority Leader Matt Ritter, House Minority Leader Themis Klarides and Asha Rangappa, a former FBI agent, senior lecturer at Yale University and CNN contributor.

About 250 people are expected to attend.

Alexandria her number is 203-644-6693

I have no transportation My vehicle broke down

This Thursday December 6

It would be interesting to go there

Too bad we couldn’t write some kind of paper and have her read it

From the Common Law Grand Jury

That we’ve witnessed these cases and as far as we’re concerned they’re outside the rule of law

Maybe we could make a statement and we could send it to her and that would be the official statement of National Liberty Alliance into this issue

It would be good for us to make a statement on something like this

I got to go back to the court tomorrow to fax these papers

My case is a lot different from everybody else’s because I have my warrantee deed I have everything I have life use I have everything I even patented by land

In order to foreclose on you they have to ignore all that stuff

They have to have a judge sign that the warrantee deed doesn’t mean anything life use doesn’t mean anything They might find somebody to do it

Three of the judges recused themselves

The attorneys all took themselves off the case

The one who started all of this who is a retired judge which everybody has complained about this judge so now they scrambled the eggs and they got him I guess he’s still in the court

they said he resigned and he’s no longer there Well guess who I ran smack into around 1:00 today? That same judge

He turned his head I looked him dead in the face He just turned his head

They usually sneak the judges out the back

They sneak them in and out

They’re trying to protect them

Everybody started talking and his name came up over and over again

He’s been doing his dirty work for the past eight years

It’s important to put those complaints in against him

They don’t like too many complaints

You got to keep the people quiet

If the people complain too much they got to do something

Guess what else I found out?

I said wait a second their oath and bond like I found out this one particular judge

who signed off on my social security he has been disbarred since 2007 He’s still ruling He’s not even a judge He has no jurist number They’re trying to backpedal on that one

Another thing I found out the best way to find out answers is when you start asking questions

I said Who is insuring them? Well I contacted the attorney general’s office last week and I said I would like to know Who is in charge and who is liable doesn’t the attorneys and judges have to carry insurance liability insurance and also because there was a case

I remember this was back in the eighties nineties where a judge was a probate judge and what happened I guess he was over all these estates and he ended up blowing maybe ten million dollars of the people’s estates and then he ended up committing suicide

I asked the question again so I said Who is liable when a judge or an attorney is held liable how do you get if the people want repercussion

So they said Oh well every year once a year the attorneys and they judges they put in a kitty under the grievance committee so they have to pay you out and if they are wrong the grievance committee goes back out after that attorney and get their money back

And I said Oh so what repercussion do we have?

And there’s one other thing What Trump said about a month ago

He goes Let me speak the truth now and everybody got upset he said

I am an American nationalist and everybody’s like Oh my God

and if we say we’re a United States citizen or we are a citizen of the United States that means under state or federal so when you say you’re an American nationalist that means that nobody owns you

It’s the interest of the country first and it’s not a bad thing

They’re globalist They don’t want nationalism

They want global one world new world order

He said he was an American nationalist and I said Oh my God

We learned this about seven or eight years ago American nationalist

Because it’s not under state or government

One thing he did say was that he wanted a national ID and that’s bad

I don’t know why he said that

He might have just been throwing that out there baiting

It was signed into law in 2006 and we have to comply with the Real ID by October 2020

It’s on the books now They voted it in when they did the Patriot Act and the NDAA

If you don’t have it you won’t be able to get on a plane without it

People are stupid They’ll comply

I’ll see if we can come up with a statement

Call her and ask her if they’ll read a statement from us

The media is going to be there and also on YouTube

The remedy for this is the Common Law Grand Jury

Let the Common Law Grand Jury go in there and review this and review the judges and review the courts

That’s what the problem is they’re not getting reviewed by anybody

My only reservation is that we don’t have enough people to do a review

How do you get them on the Common Law Grand Jury to do this stuff?

There’s people out there

People have to start getting educated in order for the system to work

You have to know the Constitution If you don’t know the Constitution how can you uphold it?

How can you do an investigation to know if they broke the law? If you don’t know what the law is

Everything over $20 you can have a common law jury

Any case that’s brought before a court you have to have it done within a if you bring a case you have a year and a half to present that case otherwise it’s a default and has to be thrown out

A lot of cases have been going on for five years I said This is bogus

as a matter of fact what they’re doing on some of the docket numbers and stuff it’s all deleted and it’s like nothing to back this up and they got the same docket number and they’re resurrecting new cases under the old docket under somebody else’s old docket number

So the speedy trial thing is out the window

All my records they sent back and I said Wait a minute and I have my records which they sent back which I said You can’t tamper with evidence and Oh by the way

there’s been a big turnover in our courts down here

The clerks everybody’s jumping ship

There’s probably investigations coming from on top

This whole thing with Donald Trump and the new prosecutors he’s putting in

You’re going to start seeing reverberations from that

This is the time for your case to be going through with all this stuff going on in the nation

Otherwise they would just ignore you and do what they do best steamroll you

(2:41:23)

Caller 2: Veronica from PA

It’s moot now When John was talking at the beginning of the call and it was recorded he mentioned Bush rather than Trump in something that he said

It’s understandable because today is the burial of former President Bush

I imagine that he must have been on his mind

By the way The UCC Connection was by Howard Freeman is the fellow that put that forth

back in the nineties

In Pennsylvania it’s the municipal authority has taken over the power of the people as far as Article 1 Section 2 of the Pennsylvania Constitution

They did that in 1968 with the Constitutional Convention that had here

Read the first paragraph from the municipal authorities that I sent you

from the Legislators Desk Book here in Pennsylvania 2017

Nevertheless, for reasons of public policy and convenience, a municipal authority is NOT the creature, agent, or representative of the municipality or municipalities organizing it; but rather, it is a separate and distinct entity. A municipal authority is an independent agency of the Commonwealth, a part of the Commonwealth’s sovereignty. Defined as “[a] body politic and corporate,” a municipal authority may be said to be an independent corporate agent of the Commonwealth, exercising governmental, as well as private corporate power, in assisting the Commonwealth in meeting the needs of its citizens.

The BAR Association took over the authority of the people to do the political process that the people are supposed to do in Pennsylvania

Furthermore what it did was under Article 1 Section 12 it gave the members of the BAR Association the ability to suspend laws

(2:49:00)

Caller 3 Daniel from Utah

Lawyers are supposed to represent rule of law but attorneys at law are more like outlaws or barrister bankers there that collect your revenue like the banks do So when you sign your citation if you don’t sign it right challenging jurisdiction you’re basically giving them permission to access your (inaudible) social security account to pull funds out of there just like getting a bank loan Does that sound accurate?

A lot of people don’t understand that whole process about how they float a bond pulling funds in your name That’s something I discovered under the in power with Cal

He talked about using the bond that they create in your name and laying it up to set off the charge that they have in the court with you

I think he made the judge the trustee

You’re not supposed to know about that

They want to charge you twice instead of once

If you don’t know about that bond they’ll go ahead and give you a fine and you pay the fine and that bond will still float forward and they’ll trade it on the open market

and that’s where they get this millions and billions of dollars from

They trade them on the market

They sell them and trade them

The birth certificate is a national ID in a sense that they use to trade securities and profit off of

without telling you about it When you become an adult you’re suppose to have a right to access that and get interest paid on it and stuff

People do it and a lot of people end up going to jail I don’t know that you can take money out of it People get in trouble when they try to take money out of it

They’ll send you a check because you’re putting a bill in if it’s not a tax bill and it’s not a municipal bill As long as it’s a bill that supports the corporation then you can use it for that

As far as taking money out that’s when they get in trouble

A comment about the national ID I’m thinking that the birth certificate is a national ID but maybe they want to put a chip in us so that they can track us and everything we do and all our transactions

We shouldn’t have a chip because that’s a violation of privacy

If that was based on common law and rule of law it wouldn’t be so bad

but even then we shouldn’t have a chip because it’s a violation of privacy

If we had a national ID without any electronic tracking and it was based on allegiance to constitutional natural common law rights caller thinks it would be a good thing

but that’s not what they want to do

They want to use us as property of the corporation

This plan is so diabolical that only the devil could have dreamed it up

It’s all fraud because they don’t tell you what they’re doing to you

As people become more educated in this stuff I think the nails are going in their coffin

Their biggest fear is people waking up

When people wake up you can’t put them back to sleep

We have a president talking against the Federal Reserve the central bank we’re back in the Andrew Jackson days This is very exciting People should support that when it comes up We have to show that he has a mandate of the people They’re acting like he’s a kook

Meanwhile this is exactly where we want to be and every other president that ever talked about it they got a bullet

It could happen tomorrow if we had good media The media is the biggest obstacle

They’re lying and they’re not reporting things

People are not getting the proper news

There is no real reporting going on