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( 6:00)

Scripture Reading John 14:1-14)

( 8:12)

Tonight we’re going to take a look at the Second Amendment

We did a memorandum on the Second Amendment that’s going to be filed with our court case

against the judiciary

(9:00)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: We’ve been hearing a lot about the meaning of liberty Can you comment on the distinction between the word liberty and the word freedom and the correlation with the word liberty with the Roman idol Libertas?

When we look at these two words we know that liberty really identifies with our liberty from control of a government control Freedom is something that people can receive You can be under any government and receive some freedom The best way to look at it is the difference between natural liberty and civil liberty Natural liberty is the power of acting as one thinks fit This is out of Black’s power of acting as one thinks fit without any restraint or control unless by the laws of nature So the only restriction to liberty is laws of nature

Whereas there’s the other side to that coin is civil liberty that which is given to you by government You’re restrained not only by natural law Civil liberty the definition for that in Black’s the liberty of a member of society being man nature liberty so far restrained by human laws and no further

The idea of freedom you’re still restrained by human laws

That of course identifies with civil liberties

That would be whatever the country or government would bless you with or give you

Natural liberty you’re bound only by the laws of nature no government

The only nation where people lived under true liberty was the nation of Israel

We’re the second nation in time in recorded history that actually lives under natural law

We’ve been robbed of that because we’ve become ignorant

That was the plan to make us ignorant They control our schools

They control our entertainment They control our religions They control our schools

They control everything What they put into us is what’s going to come out

We have forgotten what true liberty is People don’t realize that they are in bondage

(13:11)

Question 2: The governor of Utah wants to raise taxes for schools. This is against the constitution of original intent We want these oathbreakers or traitors prosecuted

not left in office

The government should get out of the business of schools

Any school that is controlled by the government can easily be taken over because they start to control the curriculum Unless you have a public school that is truly controlled by the people locally deciding on what they want their children to learn

Schools cost money they have to find a way to collect taxes to be able to do these things

Government should subsidize or make sure that there is schools available

The control of those schools need to be taken over by the people locally

by the parents of the children

The collection of taxes for that purpose needs to be done underneath lawful taxes

There are plenty of taxes out there that might be lawful

They can put a tax on the heads of the people The Constitution provides for that

Maybe it should be up to the states to provide for the schools

They can collect the taxes through lawful taxes

Probably the best way to provide for an education would be for the states to handle that

(16:54)

Question 3 Since the heart of man is deceptive and inheritantly wicked

is it naïve to think that we do not need laws to control people’s behavior?

We already have laws that control our behavior

It’s called natural law We don’t need our government giving us laws

If someone is injured by another individual common law provides for the restoration of that individual that was injured

We are all under law the law of nature’s God

We all know right from wrong We don’t need government to control our behavior

(18:11)

Question 4: My wife’s 82 year old grandmother just got a letter from the IRS asking for her 2013 tax return That was six years ago She’s an 82 year old woman

This is appalling Is there any way that I can help her?

Any advice that you could give would be greatly appreciated.

It’s appalling that they ask for anybody’s tax return that we have to fill out anything We owe nothing to the government

We need more information on this

I think that this is the year that we are going to get rid of the Federal Reserve

Once we go forward and start to go through the massive arrests we are so close

To some degree arrests are taking place as we are talking right now things are happening

At some point we have to deal with the collapsing dollar

Therefore we have to deal with the Federal Reserve

Trump is very clear that he wants to bring things back to where America should be

He wants to bring us back under the law

The law is to bring the government back under the Constitution

The people have to answer to courts of justice which would be natural law courts

That’s our battle

That’s what this next battle in the courts is about against the judiciary we are going to sue the judiciary in a very unique and different way

(21:42)

Question 5: We are making progress here in electing a constitutional sheriff

I as well am making great progress through the online courses My question to you is Once the new sheriff is elected what do I need to do for him as the grand jury administrator The other two administrators moved away and are inactive

I am a party of one here and I fear that I may become overwhelmed

Hopefully those two people who have moved away hopefully where ever they moved to they’ll sign up and become administrators in the new counties that they moved to

Right now you can talk with your sheriff and continue to educate your sheriff

We need to get these people educated

Right now is the time for your sheriff to become educated

Get him or her to take the course that we put up Government By Consent Course

which we’re working on

Once that course is complete once we complete uploading the entire course the book will follow right behind that I’m hoping to find a publisher that we can work a deal out with

I have someone in mind that might be very interested in it

If not we will do it off of Amazon or something

We would be much better off if we could get a publisher to work with us on this

instead of publishing them upon demand

They have to become educated

As far as working with the sheriff and getting a relationship with the sheriff once we get into the courts and we establish the fact that the people need to take control of the courts and we start to move in that direction that’s when on a local level you might visit your sheriff

once we have established our authorities

We only have a little over a thousand administrators so far

We need about 15,000 across the nation

People need to look into what a jury administrator is all about It’s a full time job

Put their name on the list to take that position

It’s a paid position a career position a full time position Four individuals in every county They will be responsible for orientating the juries Also being the investigative body for the juries also to make sure that the juries are not abused by the judge or the prosecutor to understand that they are in total control they get to decide not only the facts but also the law and also the final disposition of the case as far as restitution

This would be the job of the administrators

We have everything we need it’s just a matter of putting it into a form a booklet form

The book is something that every administrator must go through that course that we put together and the book will be written on the same material

The book will have more information than we will have in our course

We’re going to do a handbook for the administrators

We need to make sure the jury isn’t tainted by any government officer

(27:38)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Celebrations or gatherings that are going on this year

Trump’s July 4th in Washington DC

Tea Party in September

Sons of Liberty possibly March 28

We need to create a page with all the dates addresses places

We’ll get it up on the website

John will begin reading

Anybody who wants to follow along

Nationallibertyalliance.org Highlight Grand Jury the first one down It says Action Against the Judiciary on that page we’re posting the memorandums

Once the memorandums are completed and posted up there at that point and there’s going to be about twenty of them We’re going to read all these memorandums as they are posted up

As soon as we file the case we will read the action

We’ve looked at the Thirteenth Amendment

We’ve looked at Acts of Treason

We may have looked at the Tenth Amendment

Tonight we’re going to look at the Second Amendment

Let’s take a look at this paper

If you want to get a copy it’s on the Second Amendment it’s posted it’s PDF form

We’re going to read through this tonight

John began reading

(31:00)

The memorandum begins as follows:

“The purpose of this memorandum is to make a “clear case” that the People must guard and defend our Liberty, if necessary by the exercising of our unalienable right secured by the 2nd Amendment, when called upon. Liberty is a blessing from God that few have found, it’s “immunity from foreign control (government),” it is “the power of acting as one thinks fit, without any restraint or control, unless by the laws of nature’s God”. It “includes and comprehends all personal rights and their enjoyment.” It was founded in righteousness and when proclaimed it had to be defended with blood. “Its price is eternal vigilance.” Patrick Henry said, “Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take, but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!” God warned us that “We wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places. Wherefore take unto you the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand.” Liberty is twofold. First, it is deliverance from the bondage of the flesh and thereby liberates us in the spirit whereby we then walk in morality and live by natural law’s principles clothed with His spiritual armor. Second it is political liberty from the tyrants of this world whereas the latter is not possible without the former. And, as God commanded Israel to be armed and drive their enemies away, we too must bear the earthly armor to drive our enemies away.”

The memorandum concludes with the following:

“Conclusion: The 2nd Amendment is an unalienable right and therefore cannot be legislated or voted away and can never be denied the People. To try would be an act of war against the People. Furthermore, our founding fathers’ experienced the full danger of tyrants and thereby their intention concerning the 2nd Amendment also cannot be denied. Clearly in this world the right to defend ourselves via the sword against the dark forces in this world is a necessity.”

(1:10:00)

(1:11:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

This is Brent Brent Allan Winters [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

The comments that I make here are my own they may or may not be the comments of anybody else that’s involved in this call

We’re in our Constitution of the United States

We’re up to Article 1 Section 8 clauses 11 – 16

These clauses empower Congress 11 – 16 empower Congress

provide for the common defense that’s the stated purpose one of the stated purposes of our Constitution of the United States

promotion of the general welfare and provision of the common defense

It doesn’t say promotion of the common defense and provision of the general welfare

It’s the other way around

Provision of the general welfare is lawlessness

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 11 Congress has the power to declare War, grant [Letters of Marque](https://www.usconstitution.net/glossary.html#MARQUE) and [Reprisal](https://www.usconstitution.net/glossary.html#REPRISAL), and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water;

Our general government in Washington DC has no authority to do anything unless it is expressly and particularly declared in this writing called the Constitution of the United States

This Constitution says Congress Congress an no other Congress and no more has the power to declare war

There are many problems without declaring war

One of them is that if you don’t declare war treason is impossible to prosecute because if you don’t declare war then you don’t know exactly who your enemy is

there has been no declaration

If there is no declaration war crimes can be committed treason can be committed without any consequences to the people who are doing those things

There can be no treason unless you have an official declaration of your enemy

If we don’t take land when we declare war then we’re killing and being killed for nothing

War fought for anything but land territory dirt is worse than a waste of time It’s a waste of blood and treasure

Without a declaration of war we don’t know who the enemy is

If we declare war we must declare it on men

You don’t declare it on things

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 12

Congress shall have the power to raise and support Armies, but no Appropriation of Money to that Use shall be for a longer Term than two Years;

This clause of our Constitution of the United States is to keep the purse strings in the grip of Congress and out of the hands of the Commander-In-Chief of the armed forces namely the President of the United States

Congress has the jurisdiction to declare war

This clause bars Congress from funding the army for more than two years at a time

Congress’s power of the purse is now of no effect because the federal reserve bank now bypasses Congress by printing money for any war welfare desire to affect political manipulation and that’s what wars in the past have been for

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 13

Congress has the power to provide and maintain a Navy;

This clause accords with the Preamble of our Constitution

Our Constitution requires Congress to provide for the common defense

This clause empowers Congress to buy and build warships docks munitions shipyards and to train and to pay sailors

Floating and manning a war fleet requires much time to build and outfit

Our Constitution never limits Congress’s appropriation of money for the Navy for only two years

as it does for funding the army

The army and navy have different purposes

There’s a long term provision that must be required to put a fleet to sea longer than two years

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 14

Congress has the power to make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 15

Congress has the power to provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union, suppress Insurrections and repel Invasions

These three purposes are the only purposes that justify the calling forth of the militia of the Several States

And this is the beginning of the four militia clauses of our Constitution of the United States

This is first of our four militia clauses

The others are

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16

Article 2 Section 2 Clause 1

and Amendment Two which we call the Second Amendment

These militia clauses empower Congress

This first one empowers Congress alone to set standards and rules governing when and on what conditions and how the President shall call forth the militia to service

The militia being part of the people themselves actually it is the people themselves

This phrase the people is lifted from the Older Testament from the Bible it appeared also in the Newer Testament It’s a Hebrew phrase translated the people

‘am it signifies the militia of the twelve separate tribes of Israel

And each tribe each of the twelve tribes were numbered

That’s why the Book of Numbers is called The Book of Numbers

the fourth book of the Bible It’s called Numbers because in that book is the account of numbering to a man and it was done twice upon coming out of Egypt and then 40 years later upon crossing the Jordan to conquer the land of Canaan

and in each case it was over six hundred thousand footmen

This phrase the people is the militia

The people make up the male of the species able to bear arms

The militia has two duties

jury duty and armed defense

One is domestic and the other one is foreign

Our Constitution of the United States requires every office holder state and federal to take to support and defend our Constitution against enemies foreign that’s willingness to take up arms in defense and domestic that’s willingness to serve on the jury

Those are the two duties of the people of the United States the Several States

Congress’s power to set the rules for the militia is nondelegable

No President of the United States has the right to decide whether an invasion or local insurrection an uprising warrants his calling up the militia

(1:40:00)

John had a comment

After 9 11 Congress Cooley didn’t call forth the militia or provide for the calling forth of the militia

The National Guard which is really supposed to be our militia I really think that we need to change the name back to the militia instead of calling them National Guard

They used the National Guard to go overseas to fight a war and left us vulnerable left us open without an organized militia

There was no power and authority for that to do that

Brent added his comments:

It says that there are three things for which the militia the President may call for the militia

1. to execute the laws of the union
2. to suppress insurrections
3. to repel invasions

I don’t see any clear statement that says that the President has any authority to muster the militia and send them to the Far East or the Near East or any place outside the country

The National Guard does not fit the bill to be defined as the militia because the National Guard is under absolute federal control all the time

The National Guard is not the militia

The militia is the able bodied men of the Several States

Our Second Amendment is the fourth militia clause

It’s the only militia clause that does not delegate any authority or any right

It declares that the right to keep and bear arms that you have by delegation from God the most fundamental right that God has given man is self protection and protection of that that pertains to him and his property his family and his neighbors and his country

If he’s got a gun he can do that

The Second Amendment Americans the militia the people of the United States have largely fulfilled that responsibility We have more firearms per capita than any country in the world

The other militia clauses above that are delegative the Constitution delegates duties to them

above the militiaman is the state government in our Constitution one of the militia clauses speaks clearly to the responsibilities of state government State government is supposed to provide the choosing of officers for each and each of the states for the militia The federal government cannot according to the Constitution appoint officers to command the militias in the states The militiaman is the state government The third militia clause speaks to that And above that is the militia clause that speaks to the responsibilities of Congress

We have that one here And then the final militia clause is the militia clause that speaks of the responsibility of the President.

So we have four classes of people that the militia clauses of our Constitution speaks to

Presidents of the United States, Congressmen of the United States, those that fill the offices of state government the governor the state legislature, and then finally the militiamen themselves

For about 175 years now everyone in those four groups has ignored entirely their responsibilities except the militiamen themselves

Traditionally in America the only people that were allowed to have guns were people that didn’t work for the government Not until 1825 and more like 1940 did any policeman in this country did any policeman carry guns in the cities because the people wouldn’t stand for it

John: Where did this idea come from that there’s the organized and the unorganized militia?

Brent: That’s a false division. There is the militia and that’s it.

State government has not done it’s job by saying here’s how you choose your officers We’re going to make sure that officers are in place We’re going to make sure that there is a roll in every county of able bodied men

The militia includes those able bodied to carry weapons men

Only a nation of cowards would allow the most precious members of their society namely the female of the species to face shrapnel and bullets and get their legs and arms blown off

The government is promoting the idea that we ought to be allowed to do this

The militia does not include females Never has

It will destroy our society if we mix the roles that way

John: We can go back to 1400 BC when Israel was a nation and they clearly did not send their women to battle

We should be teaching our children their responsibilities and one of those responsibilities is at some point in time to go to a boot camp for the purpose of being part of the militia and get together every so often for the purpose of training

Brent: Not every man in America has a passage into manhood

I see aimless young men doing all sorts of aimless foolish things

Among men there are passages into manhood

The Book of Numbers is about the militia and the priesthood

You can get my book on the militia called The Militia of the Several States Our Constitutions Answer to Its Enemies Foreign and Domestic You can get it on Amazon.com In the back of that book is a model state militia statute to introduce to your state legislature

If every 17 year old boy in America or 18 year old boy would say When I’m twenty years old I got to figure out a way to get enough money to buy this particular kind of weapon that the state legislature says I got to have and I got to figure out a way to get this safety and marksman course out of the way

To them it would be a mark and a passage into manhood

It would force a responsibility

It would give them a camaraderie with all other men that have done the same thing

It would also give them camaraderie with the idea of jury duty

And all these things would help join us together

The militia has two duties jury duty and armed defense

Enemies domestic and enemies foreign

That’s the government of our country right there

(2:06:35)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Crystal Connecticut

no response

Caller 2: Connie Connecticut

Tania McCash is still in jail We’ve been doing a web radio campaign for her

She has no bail Judge Manley in Santa Clara said remanded when he asked her for a doctor’s note she pleaded the fifth He wanted her to go get a psych eval because of her legal strategy He’s infamous and on YouTube with a video that says Punishment has never helped anyone Treatment has but Tania does not need treatment

Doesn’t have any psych illnesses but they want to label her and they’ve been trying for years

and now they’re doing it through the court system

So I called the Court of Justice in Santa Clara and I said

Tania is calling me every day Why is she in jail? and they said because of sanity reasons And I said Really? If Judge Manley or anyone else thought that she had sanity issues would you put a person who has sanity issues in jail with people one girl was an accomplice to murder in a previous conviction She had 15 years She served 7

She was an accomplice to murder

These are the people that are in jail with Tania

The charge originated because she went through a nasty divorce

It had to do with a business that makes robotic parts used in robotic surgery

Government contractors were their clients

She was a stay at home mom for 17 ½ years

She is not an American citizen

She’s a citizen of Sylvania and Canada

She’s been here on a green card for twenty years

She’s a stay at home mom for three kids

They went through a nasty divorce

Her electronics were compromised She was being followed

She didn’t know who to complain to

She complained to NSA CIA and FBI

They painted her as delusional through her divorce

Her ex husband got a restraining order saying he was afraid that she would hurt or kill him

She spent over five hundred grand on divorce attorneys

Her attorneys were working for the other side

When the two year restraining order was up she appeared in court she was there three and a half hours she left and after she left her ex husband and attorney asked to tack on her daughter for an additional five years

So they did

The next morning she went to the school to say good morning to her daughter

The principal chased her off the school property

She went to the police to complain

And they put her in ankle shackles an orange jumpsuit and handcuffs

and hauled her off to jail

I bailed her out

It was a restraining order but she was never served the restraining order

They painted her as delusional and that’s why they were able to get a restraining order so that she could not see her child

They said she was delusional because she wrote letters to NSA CIA and FBI

She’s not from America and she didn’t know who to ask for help

They said that she was delusional and paranoid and part time psychotic

Connie said that Tania was calling her right now

Connie has Tania on the line from jail

Tania joined the conversation

They’re claiming that I violated a restraining order that I never received

When I removed my public defender as my council and I filed a counterclaim lawsuit against the county, the city, the judges , the sheriff

John: That’s why they’re not liking you

Tania: They’ve been coming after me way before then

Then what they did was they decided two weeks after that I needed a psych eval

It was the public defender that told the judge that I needed a psych eval

My public defender

I already removed him as my council and I told him this

So he went ahead and spoke for me anyways

John: If you can work with Connie and try to get us whatever paperwork that you can concerning the case Maybe do an affidavit explaining your position

We need the details

If you can get us the details of what they’re claiming you did that makes them think that they have to do a psych eval on you and that they have to put you in jail to do it All these points need to be made What the judge says Why he said it if you know Get us that information and maybe we could do an amicus curiea on your behalf which is where the grand jury intervene on your behalf

The grand jury would intervene on your behalf and file a paper on your behalf in support of your rights to be able to do certain things

We need to see all the facts We need to see all the details

We need to see their position and we need to understand your position

Maybe we can then write a paper on this and try to help you with this

Maybe we can try to do a habeas corpus

We were offering that to a lot of people

We kind of put that off

Maybe in your case we will work with you on that

We need the details

Connie: I called Judge Manley at the courthouse today and I asked Why is Tania in jail?

They said for sanity reasons

John: Your knowledge concerning that call do an affidavit on her behalf

Connie Then I called the DA office on their advice and I asked the DA Why is she in there? She’s in jail She’s calling me everyday We don’t know why she’s in there

John: If they want to evaluate her why does she have to be in jail for the evaluation

Connie: Because the court ordered her to go to a doctor and get a psych eval and when he asked her for the doctor’s information when she was on bail March 1 which was her birthday

They put her in jail on her birthday Her father could not wish her a happy birthday because she was in jail She pleaded the fifth

When she pleaded the fifth he said remanded

I called the District Attorney today transferring, transferring, transferring

John: We can write a paper concerning due process

I have to have the facts first

Connie You already filed a habeas corpus on behalf of Tania The federal court kicked it back down They remanded it back to Santa Clara She filed a federal appeal

The district attorney’s office told me the judge can do whatever he wants to do

Yesterday when I called Judge Hamilton’s office a clerk named Kelly told me the judge could do whatever he wants to do

To both of these parties I said They’re violating her constitutional rights

And they said The judge can do whatever he wants to do

John: That’s their mindset They believe that

The fact that we’ve already done a habeas corpus and the fact that I perceive at this point the judge did not call for the hearing that we commanded him to do from the habeas corpus ignored the habeas corpus We want the name of that judge and we want to know why

Connie: They remanded it saying she didn’t exhaust all her efforts

John: That’s just their story It means nothing We went in there for cause She did not have due process She’s in jail right now She’s obviously in jail without due process

Tania: My paperwork says that I’ve already filed paperwork two weeks prior letting him know that I’m pleading the fifth He wanted me to go to my own doctor You can’t have me go to my own doctor and self incriminate myself He knows the game and he knows exactly what he was doing

My doctor already gave him a doctor’s note a couple of months ago and said that he’s a doctor and that if the court should decide then maybe he could refer someone

So I gave it to the judge and said here’s a letter from my doctor

He said Your doctor says you need a psych eval

I said That’s not what the letter says

He goes Well I’m telling you

This is nothing more than harassment

I never got the restraining order they know this I went to the police department to file a complaint They arrested me there

I’m seriously thinking of leaving the United States

He’s telling me I’m supposed to be here for three months

Last year they had me in jail for six days

The time before that one day

For no reason

Every time they do this I have to get fingerprinted get my photo taken

I’m at the risk of being deported

Connie: Her ex-husband Jason McCash Jason and his attorney and his attorney is the father of one of the chief of San Jose police

David Luthima is the attorney Dennis Luthima is the father

Tania: Internal Affairs Sergeant That’s what he was

John: Connie, we’re going to ask you to try to get all the paperwork together

I’ll dig up the habeas corpus that we’ve done and see what the name of the judge is

A habeas corpus is very clear No one can be refused a habeas corpus

If someone’s sitting in jail without due process

Connie: She did get the form from the library some how

They were denying her access to the library saying it’s closed

She finally got the form

This DA has to be served

So she has to get the habeas corpus to the Hall of Justice Judge Manley from family mental health and drug court And then she has to have somebody else separately serve the district attorney

John: That’s already all of that was part of the process that we performed here Those people have already been informed They’ve been served already We served them We served all the necessary parties

Tania : They’ve all been served but guess what when I went to the courthouse to get the docket You know what the docket said I can’t believe it And I told Jim this

the docket states that there was a filing but not from National Liberty Alliance

It was Jason McCash for Tania McCash That’s what the docket said

John: Then that’s concealment

Tania’s time ran out on the call

Connie She has many people involved and many people have a few documents here and there None of us have the whole picture

John: You collect what you can You can collect what’s on the record

They’re going to charge you twenty five cents a paper

I would take a CD down there and give it to them and have them put it on that

And they shouldn’t charge you more than $10 or $20

That’s one way to do it

We need an affidavit from your position

Tania was able to rejoin in the call

Connie: The next court date is March 29

John: We could do an amicus curiea

We could also do a show cause We want to know why

When a habeas corpus goes through the court they have three days the judge must have a hearing in three days

Tania: I filed a complaint against them with the Judicial Commission

John: You have the right to do so

Connie This judge has a YouTube video and a website that says punishment has never helped anyone Treatment has Tania is going against him because she doesn’t need treatment

John: Even with all of this because she refused to comply at most and I don’t think that the judge has the authority to do this either I want to see the reasoning of this judge and why he thinks a psych eval is a requirement Even if he did require it there is no reason to send someone to jail They can order you to see this individual and if you don’t see this individual I will hold you in contempt If they have the power and authority to hold them in contempt If they’re not a court of record then they don’t have the power of holding them in contempt

If it is an administrative process which is what the federal court is saying if it’s administrative process that right there is going far beyond their authority They cannot put someone in jail for contempt They don’t have the power to fine or incarcerate

Tania: The federal court was saying that they sent it down to the superior court level stating that she did not exhaust all of her remedies

John: The Constitution disagrees with that

The Constitution says No The Constitution is clear This is the jurisdiction of the federal court when your right of due process is violated you have all the right in the world to move your court because you’re now in opposition and you’re moving it into a court of record

At that point it becomes a constitutional issue of due process that must be decided under the jurisdiction of the federal court

That’s what the Constitution says

You could go to the next court above them and pursue it But you’re not going to get anywhere there

Tania: I went to the police department to file a complaint And when I was there the police officer said You’re going to be arrested based on an order that I never received

This is documented

This is since the 31st of October 2016

They’re dragging it on

John: This is their game One of the things that judges do they get more and more the feeling of being able to take advantage of you every time you go back and nothing moves forward You’re not sure what to do They get more and more bold People don’t understand They don’t know They evaluate it that way

They think that they can do these things but they really can’t We have to show them that they can’t

There’s two things we could do One is an amicus curiea we could do on your behalf

And the other one is a show cause We want to know why they did not give you due process that we commanded them to do

That was a Writ Mandamus they didn’t have the power and authority to deny it

It’s your unalienable right of due process to be called before an impartial judge and for the other side to produce what they think can incarcerate you and what authority and jurisdiction they claim to have. Which they don’t have

Gerard Isn’t there two types of contempt ? One is a punishment the other is a performance loan where he can hold you in there until you perform the thing that he ordered

John If this is an administrative process that court has no power to fine or incarcerate

Gerard No jurisdiction in the first place

John When they pushed her to go get the doctor That was trying to get her agreement into the process

Tania I filed documentation to support why I’m not going to give them that information

I stated laws and cases everything I explained everything nicely so when I arrived at court and he knew this two weeks in advance what I had filed He said You keep filing papers and papers and papers Did you bring your doctor’s note ? I said I plead the fifth and he yelled Remand And that was it

John We need to know what papers you filed that really ruffled his feathers

Tania: They have been coming after me before this even started

John: By us knowing what you said and what you wrote and what you put into the court gives us the ability to go back and look at this and make the point that you have every right to be able to ask these questions You have every right Obviously the judge is biased

He should recuse himself We’ll put that in the paper

Right now we need to know the details so that we can intelligently write something on your behalf and try to help you

We’ve already decided that any of these judges that have not fulfilled their duty hear the habeas corpus case any judge that has done that and refused that they have already been indicted by us in a general sense We’ve already come together to agree upon that

We’ve done a lot of habeas corpuses and a lot of judges have ignored it

And papers have been removed It’s not in the record and all of that is a crime

Connie: If someone already helped her get into federal appellate court She did two to show cause she submitted two filings Can you still submit something on her behalf?

The habeas corpus was done with Phyllis Hamilton at the District Federal Court she remanded it back down to Santa Clara Then Tania filed and appealed to Judge Hamilton saying she didn’t exhaust all of her efforts

John We need communications between the parties understanding that

We need the communications of what was said that ticked off the judge that he decided that he was going to get even and make bias

Those are the details that we need Those are the details that will help defend her position

Connie Did she ever get a hearing before Judge Hamilton

Tania No

John They’re in violation of the law

Tania’s time ran out

John Get your papers together If you have any questions get a hold of Jan

Get everything together Then we will have a meeting you me and Jan

and anybody else

We will try to make a plan and strategy

I need to get all the paperwork first

Connie If she is in there for the duration til March 29 when she has to go before Judge Manley I will make arrangements to fly out there so she’s not standing before the judge all alone

John She’s in California

Connie She’s in California I’m in Connecticut

I will fly out there on the 28th to appear with her on the 29th

John Before you go out there you need to get us the information maybe we can give you some ideas

Connie: She’s been in jail since March 1st her birthday

Connie: She has a court date on March 29

The other thing is no bail

John You have to perform a violent act to be able to be incarcerated because someone thinks you have a psychological problem

Just because someone might have a psychological problem not that I think she does I don’t think that she does just because someone might think that she does she’s not a danger to any one If he’s done it for contempt what is the extent what is the performance that needs to be done to end that contempt We need to understand how this court case opened and how it evolved and understand the jurisdiction

If it’s a statutory jurisdiction it’s civil it’s not criminal if it’s not criminal what’s the jurisdiction of the case?

Gerard He’s holding her in contempt for not getting the eval

Connie I called the courthouse today and I asked was she back to jail for contempt

They said No Sanity issues

This is what the court clerk told me at the Hall of Justice Santa Clara County

John Let’s take this into a private conversation during the week

John inquired for more information about Tania’s court date on March 29 so the we can get the information out to our members in California to come to court to do affidavits on her behalf

Connie This judge has YouTube videos He says Punishment has never helped anyone

yet he put Tania in jail not once but twice

John He doesn’t have the authority to do that

If she disobeyed a court order maybe he’s got the authority depending upon the jurisdiction

If it’s administrative jurisdiction He has no power and authority to do this

How did she get into this court?

Connie When she said she was never served the restraining order they questioned her sanity They sent her to Mental Health and Drug Court They took away her family because of her ex-husband and cut throat attorney

She doesn’t do drug He put her in jail in August and then the only way he would let her out of jail in her file it say Must Be Released

John: Let’s take this to a private meeting

The person has to be dangerous to himself or someone else before you can just pick someone off the street and make a claim and throw them in jail

We need to understand what’s going on

Once we understand the jurisdiction

Once we understand the story of what took place

Once we understand the logic of illogic that this judge came up with to make his decision

and the powers and authorities that he thinks he has

We need to look at all of that before we can really see how to approach this

Connie Can I tell you what happened before they wrote Must be released?

On that Friday we did an online web radio broadcast for Tania exposing that the California pension invest heavily in large pharma for their pension

John Can you get us a copy of that you said it was on the radio

Get that to Jan and he’ll get it to me

Connie And I also called the Department of Justice comment line and I called FBI headquarters

and I said She is not an American citizen and all the key points

John Let’s move on Let’s take this conversation privately Let’s get the information that we need

(3:00:35)

Caller 2 Crystal

I went to court today

They were supposed to give me how many days I have left on my house

I was number six on the docket

I raised my hand and I said You did not call my name and I said I was here

They said We can’t do anything with your case because they’re investigating with the court

She said I put a motion in so I can’t touch this case I can’t do anything with this case

John: That’s good for you at this point Do you think that that’s because of the paper that you filed with the U S Attorney?

Crystal Yes and also what I put in with you and I got the response back

The judge I heard she’s off her rocker I just got the response back She can’t do nothing

So what I’m going to do tomorrow I’m going to amend that one and send it to the attorney that I filed the other one because the whole thing has been fraudulent

John: They have no other court date set at this point?

Crystal: I guess they put on there for April 1

John: I’d like to talk more about the paperwork you filed with the US Attorney

How you went about doing it It was a good idea We hadn’t thought of that

It makes a lot of sense

Crystal: I have something else I will send you tomorrow

John This is what we’ll try to do Next week if you get into the que early before we go on to our subject we’ll try to pull you up first

a lot of people need to know what you did and how you did it

They might want to do it themselves

Crystal: We did five papers today at the library for other cases

Write your points and have it notarized

John: Let’s pick this up next week Get into the queue early and we’ll pull you up

Crystal I was in the queue early today

(3:05:00)

Caller 3 New Caller I just joined and I have a question

I’m in a child support issue right now and I actually sent some affidavits to the court

and my next court hearing is on the 28th

It seems like I sent it on Saturday I’m tracking it It’s saying it’s back at the post office It’s saying it’s due for pick up

I wondering if they got it and they’re sending it back

John: This is for child support right?

Caller yes

John How many children?

Caller I have three kids with my wife one son lives in Connecticut I’m currently owing $5,000 right now

I’m not working because I’m a home dad

Went to court twice Since I wasn’t working it was hard for them to get around it

Rescheduling My next court date is the 28th

I decided to do some research

John: Here’s where it’s at They have to be reasonable to begin with

But you have a responsibility as I am sure you know

Is it possible to talk to this woman outside of the court and try to make an agreement or an arrangement without the court and see if you could pursue it that way

Caller She wouldn’t even talk to me I love my son He loves me She’s just trying to get at me I have my three other kids to take care of

John: She does have a right to try to get some support for the child and you have the duty to take care of that The courts have to be reasonable They never are

You have to figure out what is reasonable

Some how you have to figure out how you can help to support that child as well as you support your other children

Caller They suspended my license That was my way of working I filed some affidavits for my license I’m a driver and I work in the evening

My wife works when I am home with my kids

John: They do this on purpose in my opinion They want to destroy the family

They want to try to get the fathers in jail They want to make it so you can’t pay so they have more federal funds coming in It’s all about money and destruction really is where it’s at.

What sense does that make to take away someone’s license especially if they use their license for a living in order to try to enforce child support

They’re the ones that violated the whole process at this point

To make this case to them and turn it around it will not take you anywhere and it will make them angry

Maybe they’ll try to do a psychological evaluation on you too

You got to be careful of that

You got to try to figure things out what they’re trying to require of you

You need to bring up to the court I’m not working because you took my license and

when I get my license back then I’m in a position where I can do something

until then I can’t get to work

Caller She knows that she truly knows that She won’t even consider that and that’s what pushed me into doing that affidavit

Someone’s trying to help me out

He made me send some affidavit to the Supreme Court

I sent it to get my license re-instated I sent that letter Saturday two affidavits for due process they might have sent it back to the post office it was certified it came right back I’m not sure if that’s what it did I’ll call the post office tomorrow and find out

John: What I would do if I were you in the meanwhile I would study understand what’s going on You can come to our website We have a lot of stuff You can take our courses One of the courses you’re going to want to take would be the Jurisdictionary Course

which is not our course but we do offer it to people through our site because it teaches you the fundamentals of the court and how to file papers and what papers need to be filed

and how they need to be filed it teaches all the stuff that’s necessary to know

You have a right to a natural law court which is impossible to open these days

That’s what we’re battling

In order to fight back you need to understand the system

What you’ll learn in this course there’s certain strategies the process is the key on what you want to learn how to write a paper

The other thing is to take our Civics Course Take our Government by Consent Course

And then you’ll find a lot of stuff on our site to fortify the knowledge that you’re going to need

Don’t waste any time As this case gets more and more entrenched if it goes for three to six months if you haven’t prepared for the fight they you’re going to be stuck

Caller When I go to court next Thursday what do you suggest that I do?

John: This is what I would do if I were you

First of all you’re not going to be able to make any challenges because you’re not going to be able to back those challenges up and fight They’re going to get angry with you

I would go into the court and say Well look Your Honor He’s my son and I know what I have a duty to do and I want to take care of business but a couple of things

I can’t go to work because you took away my license I need my license back so that I can go to work I’m willing to be reasonable and try to work out a payment You got to negotiate that out

Try to talk to this woman’s lawyer and see what you can work out there

I would try to give them a call and ask to have a meeting to discuss the case

What are they looking for and how can we work this out

See if you can work it out with the lawyer

When you go before the judge lay the case out from that position

Once the government becomes involved it becomes a mess

We can suggest some things but you have to have knowledge to perform these things

Don’t waste any time

And even if you win this case because you’re able to negotiate a deal

Get yourself educated in this area There’s a good chance that these things can come up again

Something else that you need to defend yourself in court

You need to understand what the real law is

and what they think the law is

We are suing the judiciary for concealment of courts of record

concealment of a natural law court

Every federal judge is guilty of this including the United States Supreme Court

They haven’t done anything to fix this problem

They participated in the crime

They kept quiet about it also

Until we can get into court and win this case then we get to the other side of thing where the law is applied and so our position and strategy would be quite different in a court of law rather than a court of fiction

You have to deal with the fiction until we can get us back into justice

Educate yourself meanwhile

(3:15:08)

Caller 4: Dan

I was listening to Miss Connie She by the Freedom of Information Act have the right to public records to assist One thing that has not been mentioned that might be available to your team for an order of the transcript and the particular law that the judge would cite in a decision should be in there as to why this lady is being held

And the truth of the matter is no one can be held other than a lawful procedure and it’s called a Competency Hearing. This might help Miss Connie help that lady out.

The other thing is that I heard from Miss Connie is that the judge did not allow this litigant this lady that’s being held in California to speak

If that is the fact and she was not allowed to speak whatsoever that’s a violation of due process law Here is the reason why I’m pointing this out If that judge can be ascertained and he entered a plea for that individual it’s over with

He just practiced law from the bench

If anybody that’s helping her does put in a request for several transcripts they’ll be very limited That clerk is going to let the judge know that a transcript has been ordered

I will move away from that to help the other person

I had to work on legal briefs tonight

I listened to these people

My heart goes out to these people

I know where they’re coming from

That lady that Miss Connie was referring to sounded sane to me

The last person something can be said to him

In North Carolina and South Carolina there’s such a thing as a Schedule A

And that has to be filled out by both the husband and the wife

They use a mixture of a percentage of verifiable income by two parents

That could help that gentleman

Absent of which is a violation of law of holding his license the judge is in contempt or whoever took this man’s license if they didn’t have a proper hearing and just cause and he could complain to the licensing board of which ever state he’s from for a remedy

and for a hearing he could go straight to that hearing go straight to them and if he gets the power of that board behind him that judge will wish that he never messed with this man

having to do with trying to take care of his kids

One little minor thing for sure is that these courts and especially what’s happening right now actually what’s happening in 3133 counties tonight is a continuous situation that a sheriff sends out a hit list and the next thing they put that person usually they do it about Wednesday or Thursday then when it comes Friday No the judge has gone home Your arraignment is going to be next week And they hold that person until a lot of times a few minutes after midnight to get the next day of the federal money that is sent to all 3133 counties to pay that local municipality which is a corporation Motel 6 payments

This has got to be stopped This is exploitation

John: It is also monetization of the criminal system

The whole thing is a setup And we know that they do that because it happens all the time

Especially the IRS  
They love to transport you to states away

Dan: I just wanted to give you the idea of ordering the transcript

It won’t be expensive I would be willing to contribute

John: Because she’s in jail and they’ve turned this into a criminal thing at this point everything needs to be free You can’t charge someone to get information on the state is coming out after you and applying the power of the state against you using the court and then require a payment to see the paperwork They can’t do that

Dan: If you perform the habeas corpus for that individual Miss Connie, these guys are there to help that person and they know this like the back of their hand If that occurs and that judge does not respond that person better be turned loose the law against the county and state of California if it isn’t timely so there could be mental anguish after the fact for what this young lady that I heard here tonight pour her heart out and what she’s been through a series of trapezoids calamities all predicated around the dollar

John: We appreciate that Dan If you have any time that you can volunteer with us get ahold of Jan He’s the National Leader You can find him under the Directory

at our website nationallibertyalliance.org

We need help on so many different committees

The Welcoming Committee making some calls

There’s a lot of things

Next week we might go into details as to the type of help we need

Dan: Last week because this conference got to be late you guys just labor so ferociously and admirably I come across something in law that has a higher supra

and it’s been here before this admiralty stuff and equity stuff and all this stuff that come out of the high seas come on to our land

I am very eager to share this because of respect last week it was the first time I ever spoken to you or spoken on this call I wouldn’t do it because at that moment you said let’s get back together Monday night I got something that I can’t wait to share with you guys in law I promise you I have been many years and I’m in awe and it has totally been in effect and available for all of us here in America it is absolutely of total authority and it will put this criminal color of law just to make it simple procedures that are going on travesties miscarriages of justice going on everyday Monday thru Friday and then they carry it through with the sheriff on the weekend It is higher than any judge higher than any sheriff right on up to the President of the United States

This law that I’m talking about is available to us is unbelievable

The Unified United State Common Law Grand Jury absolutely I cannot wait for this information to be shared

Out of respect I want you guys when you have a chance when you’re rested up

This is all very professional down to the law

These laws are supra to anything the Supreme Court any court of appeals any state courts anything that you’ve ever seen They’re parallel and will be of assistance and you can call it a first cousin to what is the real law that we are given which is the common law

and the Constitution that gives us accordingly the Seventh Amendment the Sixth Amendment anything over $20 trial by jury

It will be completely complimentary to and will not in no way abrogate or put what you know and what we know is given by the Grand Creator concerning our common law and unalienable rights There cannot be a price put on with unalienable rights that our Creator has given us and our Framers have formed this republic for one and all

They cannot shut that part down You’ve been operating on that

It will be parallel to that

Gerard: We should give you some time to speak next week as a speaker

John Give a call to Jan and we can talk

We’re going to approach this case against the judiciary in a very unique way

Combining things that may not have been combined before

Give a call to Jan and Jan should be able to get me

Dan: I know this is going to be highly appreciated I want you guys to have this

I will be behind you guys

Once a person learns it it’s like riding a bicycle or skating

I do not want to take away from what I’ve heard tonight

We can get people encouraged to go to your website for accurate understanding and a lot of their answers

We need to respect John, Gerard, and Jan

Look at what they have labored on

They are teaching us

Let’s all be respectful

John We appreciate that, Dan Looking forward to talking to you again

We need to know the law and that brings us back to the spiritual beginning