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( 5:15)

Scripture Reading John 12 : 1 - 11

( 7:30)

We had quite a few people over the past week or so join up at National Liberty Alliance we have the Government By Consent Course

We will be adding some new chapters soon

We are working on two chapters at the same time

We got the Constitution which will cover collectively both the Constitution and the extended part which is called the Bill of Rights

The Declaration of Independence , I think that that was Chapter 7

That’s pretty much complete

We should be posting that shortly if we haven’t already

We’re working on the other amendments 11 thru 27

We’re working on that right now

Hopefully by next week we will get that done

as far as corrections, punctuation and so on

If we can get that all done maybe by next Monday we will post it as soon as possible

We are working on at the same time: the Constitution, the Amendments, and the Bill of Rights

Hopefully by next Monday we will have the next couple of chapters up there

There’s quite a bit up there right now. I think that it’s six chapters

We gave an index of what we’re going to be covering

We will make corrections on the index after we get this next section put up

QUESTIONS

Question 1:

(11:32)

ATF Form 4473 is replete with language surrounding 18 USC subjecting purchasers of firearms to this jurisdiction background checks and fees

What might the Founders have to say about such protocols obstructing our unalienable right of gun acquisition and possession?

None of the Founders would have ever gone along with that

That’s one of the issues that we want to deal with And we are dealing with it to some degree here in New York

That case is still open

The judge in that case unlawfully without our consent decided to make a decision in the case and close it under Rule 12

We expected that because that’s what they do

If they can’t answer something they just shut you down

We did appeal that

We asked for Reconsideration of the Decision by a panel of judges

I have asked for Reconsiderations in the past it never worked out good for us

We have after that the appellate so we can appeal to the Court of Appeals on the federal level

That could turn out good for us

Question 2:

Can an attorney represent a living breathing natural man?

(17:00)

Of course they can

Some people are incapable of articulating themselves well

Very few people really know the law

A lot of people think they know the law and they don’t

You have to study these things

We’re going to cover this in our course

No one who gets caught up in a situation under the USC Titles if you need a lawyer

if I were doing some commercial business and I got hung up on something and I had to answer in court about something you can be sure that I’m going to see a lawyer that knows that title and that has studied that title

Some of these titles are a thousand pages long

You really have to study the law

I would have to have a lawyer if I were stuck in a situation

In natural law going in to a court under common law natural law it’s a whole different ballgame

People can have assistance

They could have representation too someone could speak on their behalf

A common law court should be focusing in on the facts

Who could defend yourself better than you in a court that is under natural law common law

You don’t have to have any knowledge of the statutes or any thing

It has nothing to do with US Titles

It has to do with maybe some contract that you agreed with

or some injury that someone is coming out after you for and so on

It’s a statutory process not a common law process

You don’t get justice out of it

They have all these loopholes

Justice is never served there

(21:00)

Question 3

Can an attorney represent a public servant in the public servant’s individual capacity?

They all do that They have their filters which are lawyers to answer for them

If they’re not in court and the people are asking questions I don’t want to hear from an attorney We hired those people to do a job and they have to answer to the people

If they refuse to answer That’s fraud

When someone has a duty to speak and they don’t that’s fraud

If they’re in court and they’re being accused of something if they’re being accused by someone and they’re violating someone’s unalienable rights unfortunately the government gives these people all these lawyers and they’re all connected

They shouldn’t be given lawyers They should go out a purchase a lawyer just like everybody else if they want to be represented by a lawyer

Or go into court and tell the truth

(22:40)

Question 4

Does Fed R. Civ. P. Rule 36 undermine federal rules of evidence 602 603 and 802 by allowing the attorney to sign it or is the attorney’s representation assumed to be for that of a corporation ?

I presume that he’s talking about Title 28

It has the Rules of the Court and Rules of Evidence

Rules of Title 28 generally speaking or Title 28 generally speaking sort of blankets all of the titles gives the procedures the rules and all these things

If we were to be able to open up a court of law a real court of law Title 28 has no bearings on it

It doesn’t come to play in any way it’s statutes

Forms are good forms try to help you to make sure that you cover all of the possibilities on something that you’re going to do

They shouldn’t have to be required

Everybody should try to get along with some basic rules

I wouldn’t have a problem with some basic suggested rules for common law court

We can’t even get through the door into a common law court

Although that is our intention That is what we’re working forward to get to

Our plan is to go after the federal judiciary and sue them

One of the things we’re trying to get through first is trying to get this course finished and the book completed and it will give us more time to understand things

I’m coming up with a lot of ideas on how we can go about and corner the court

into forcing them to give us a common law court

(25:29)

They boxed us in to coming into either a civil court or a criminal court

Criminal court through indictment by a statutory grand jury and you will be decided upon by a statutory trial jury

and a civil court being forced to pay money

We’ve tried to get our money back on different cases saying we were forced to pay that fee to get into the court

We’re going to try something a little different

We’re going to go after these people in a little bit of a different way

If we’re going to sue the entire federal judiciary and that’s what it’s required to do

what judge can sit as a judge in the court?

We need a magistrate who can’t make any judicial decisions

So that becomes a problem for them too

This is where we’re headed to

At some point things will break and we will get in

We have to be persistent

The rules in 28 don’t apply to any of us going into a court of law

Equity court statutory court that’s a different story

(27:24)

Question 5

If defendants are not wards of the court infants or incompetent persons can an attorney represent them in their individual capacity?

If that’s what the person wants

We the People do not have access to the court They’ve closed the doors

You just can’t get in

You’re boxed into corners and you’re forced to do certain things

They always love to use the Fourteenth Amendment

It’s a play on words It’s a game in there

You have some people that are not good at articulating themselves

In a common law court natural law it’s really about what’s taking place what’s going on

The Constitution doesn’t give us any rights to have attorneys speak for us It gives us the right to have access to attorneys

(31:20)

Question 6

Are the FRCP applicable in a civil suit in an Article 3 Court?

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

It’s contradiction ultimately because a civil suit is a contradiction in terms

Any court running under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure depends on what the case is about it usually would be a criminal case which would probably come up under US 18 Title 18 That’s where you find the penal code but you’re talking civil court

anything operating there is not an article 3 court It’s not a constitutional court in any way shape or form

The whole process was set up in order to give us a court and make us think that that was the court that we need to go to

All the time they close the doors people don’t know what used to exist before they have no clue

Hopefully in our course we’re going to make this clear

It’s a problem

The Federal Rules RCP goes back to Title 28

Title 28 has nothing to do with natural law

It’s a problem these Federal Rules for Civil Procedure

Until the people realize that we’ve lost our common law courts one hundred percent

We have one hundred percent lost our courts of justice

They’re not there

We have our Consent by Government Course

It’s up online

We ask that you financially support National Liberty Alliance we ask for $5/month or more

with a 2 year commitment

That’s $60/year

Your money will go to supporting what we’re doing

Those that are financially strapped and can’t get into the course call Jan up and we’ll flip the switch and get you in

We want this available to everyone

So if you don’t have the money we’ll let you in for free

There are people who mail us money on a monthly basis

For those people you’re not showing up online to be able to get into the course you’re not showing up as someone who is already giving so call Jan and let him know that you mail in donations on a monthly basis and he will flip the switch to make sure that you get access

into the course

(39:33)

Question 7

To evoke an Article 3 court must it be stated in the initial complaint?

We have a lot of language and the language is focusing in on common law court of law as per the Constitution And we use a lot of footnotes In our paperwork we are very clear on what we’re looking for what we want and what we expect

But that doesn’t mean that they’re going to give it to us

We’ve been at this for years

There was a lot we had to do before we could even do anything

We took a long time of developing a membership with people all across the nation in almost every county in America so that we can have credibility

It’s just not a couple of people

This is the People

Our numbers are greater than Congress’ number the federal government all those people that we have elected

We’re far greater in number than them

We are the People

We are looking for understanding of the law and expecting that they obey it

They’re not obeying the law

They’re not obeying the Constitution

They’re not obeying the Bill of Rights

It’s all about the status quo

The grand jury is against you they’re statutorized

You got the judge against you he’s already got an opinion he’s not impartial

He’s got to go with the status quo

The judge that made the decision on Sheriff Mack he was assassinated

How many people know that.

They’re controlled

They get paid they’re taking the money

They’re playing the game

They will pay the price

The whole system is totally corrupt

At some point we will break through the system

The swamp is being drained

Things are going to change

Everything that we’ve been taught to believe is a lie

They start working on you when you’re in school

They put us in a position forcing mothers to have to work

It’s all about mind control

(49:24)

Question 8

Is it necessary to evoke the appropriate US Codes in the jurisdiction section

For example USC 42 Section 19 83 Deprivation of Rights

If you’re going to exercise those things first of all you got to be clear

that these are the rules the procedures the regulations the law that they have to follow

It has nothing to do with me

They’re bound by following certain things

It’s a trap You get caught into that thing

It’s all statutory

I make the point through footnotes that this has nothing to do with me

This is applicable to those people who are elected

The one who is appointed or employed

For the bureaucrat not the people

They fixed the jury

They tainted the jury

And even though the jury is a statutory jury there’s no such thing as a statutory jury

There in a statutory court which is under USCs Titles

That is law and if you fall into a category where you are stuck in one of those courts and have to defend yourself in one of those courts you got to find a way to get to the jury if you think you can do it to make sure that they understand that they still have the power to say

No We don’t agree with this court

They’re not told that they have the power to nullify the law

They don’t know that

If we can get the courts of law going then these people are going down

If we can get the courts of law going we need to go after those involved in the Tenth Month Abortion Rule. That means that the baby can be born and in the tenth month from the point on inception the baby can be born and they can decide to kill the baby.

Unless I’m misunderstanding it that’s the way it seems.

What kind of people are we that we’ve come to that

What kind of people are we that we’ve elected people that think that way

Are we that blind? Are we that dumb? Are we that not paying attention?

Everybody should not vote for that person

We should recall them We should indict them

That’s murder They need to go to jail

(55:42)

Question 10

When evoking jurisdiction in federal court under USC are you relegating yourself to the status of a federal employee and/or US citizen?

All of that is a fraud

The last thing you want to do is to make an argument with those positions

I used to make those arguments

There are certain parts of the statutory prison that haven’t been executed yet

All of these statutes that they have in order to statutorily imprison us all of that has been well thought out a long time ago

They’re constructing something

The construction is to end us up in corporatism

You’re seeing the laws of corporatism

We’re not there yet

There’s still a little fear that’s holding them back

Tenth Month Abortion is a stepping stone

That’s demoralizing

Who thinks that God is going to give us any kind of blessing when we go along with that?

Our liberty is a blessing from God

We’ve become so complacent so demoralized and we don’t even know it

To go into court and make your position like Man On the Land you’re not going to get anywhere.

You’re putting up a flag on who you are

I followed a lot of those positions and they have no landing place

They make a fool out of you in the court

They laugh at you

You’ll get no one outside the court to agree with you

You’re all alone

When they got fiction tied up so much that people believe it’s reality

they can do anything that they want and they do

That’s why they’re getting away with it They like when you go down those roads

Be very careful with your wording as you go into court

You put your footnotes in there

You do your memorandums to keep the paperwork clean and focused on where you want to go

The other side is trying to muddy up the waters and confuse everybody

When you go into court if you don’t have these two things under control then you’re going to lose:

One is the narrative If you are not controlling the narrative of the court you’re going to lose

You have to get it in the beginning keep it and maintain it

The other thing is to be on the offense

Never be on the defense

When you go into the defense you got to immediately find your way back into the offense

And you got to beat them down into things that they cannot answer that they cannot respond to

Our paperwork is that powerful and they cannot answer us

We do control the narrative

If you come in as plaintiff then you control the narrative Don’t lose it

If you’re coming in as the defendant then you better get ahold of the narrative and not lose it

When you know that they’re violating an unalienable right of yours due process you move it into federal court for cause and the only argument that you have to make is that these people have deprived you of due process Your Wherefore Clause is for them to cease and desist and not come after you no more

So if you can win that issue that one single issue you win the whole case

When evoking jurisdiction in federal court under USC are you relegating yourself to the status of a federal employee and/or US citizen?

Yes you’re going into that game but that fact that you’re realizing that and recognize that you should use it to your benefit You can signal the judge that you know the game by using footnotes and explaining certain things without getting into the debate of the argument

Don’t use the crazy language Man on the Land Flesh and Blood and all that stuff

They call it crazy language They will convince the uneducated to go along with them

So don’t get lost into that

The fraud is a lie

(1:07:33)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

This is a conference that’s coming up on February 16

It runs from 8:00 to 4:00 pm

It’s sponsored by the Barry County Sheriff

Barry County Church Safety Conference

It’s held at the First Baptist Church of Middleville, Michigan

Northeast of Kalamazoo

They have about eight speakers

They talk about a wide variety of things First Aid , CPR & AED, legal issues Smart 911 Active Shooter Severe Weather Insurance Team Building Violence Intruder Response NonLethal Responses

This is designed for church administration and members with the focus on those that will be involved in setting up that sort of thing

We have two members in Michigan that plan on having a table there

We have some Sheriff Manuals for them and they’ll be handing those out

and providing information on NLA there

It is free

but they ask that you RSVP to the church by gmail so they know how many people are coming

I put the announcement in the Newsletter this week that came out today

It has the address for the church and the dates and times

If you want you can go and help Robert and Paul

That’s the only announcement

Crystal is going through a situation

I’m going to open her mic and we’ll talk to Crystal and maybe Brent has some thoughts for her

After that we will move on to Brent

and then back into Q & A

Crystal did not respond

Let’s go over to Brent

(1:11:36)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

This is Brent Allan Winters

My comments are my own and may or may not agree with the management of National Liberty Alliance

We’ve been going through the Constitution of the United States

First we went through the Declaration of ’76 clause by clause

Now we’re going through the Constitution of the United States

We into Article 1 Section 8 Clause 6

The Congress has the power to provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;

That’s an incredible power

There is no expressed power given to the general government in Washington DC no expressed power to prosecute crime except in four areas

to provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States

put it in a word counterfeiting

Congress and the federal courts have jurisdiction to prosecute criminals people who do that

The other three areas are

Violations of international law

Piracies and felonies committed on the high seas

Treason

Treason is very precisely defined

There are only four areas of criminal jurisdiction that our Constitution expressly delegates to the general government in Washington DC

The general government in Washington DC has no powers that are not expressly given in the words of the Constitution of the United States

Congress has criminalized the criminalization the federal criminalization of law in America is out of hand

Six thousand nobody knows how many because there’s so much overlap of the statutes

Six thousand separate criminal statutes

Federal that doesn’t count state

You add up state you got thousand upon hundreds of thousands

Just federal

There are only four areas this is one of them though

Tampering with weights and measures is closely related to this

Congress has the power in the Constitution to set weights and measures

Counterfeiting is tampering in a very violent way with weights and measures

A security is a written promise to pay a debt

This clause here Section 8 Clause 6 applies only to counterfeiting the United States government’s written promises to pay debts

It says the securities of the United States

This clause further empowers Congress to punish the counterfeiter that mints imitation coins

intending that others accept these believing that the United States government minted them.

This clause does not empower Congress to punish nongovernment coin of noncounterfeit money

To confuse the matter federal courts have said that a security is anything that Congress or the President’s bureaucrat says is security

It’s a written promise to pay a debt on a piece of paper

The government is not suable

The only time the government the sovereign the earthly sovereign is suable in our common law tradition is by the sovereign’s permission

In the United States if you make a deal with the government don’t count on them keeping their word

Our Constitution empowers the general government to punish only four kinds of crimes

treason

counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;

Piracies and felonies committed on the high seas

and offenses against the law of nations international law

These four and no more

Of these four the Constitution only defines treason

therefore the power to punish all other crimes are reserved to the states respectively

or to the people

Thus federal punishing of any crime other than these four is unconstitutional

These 6,000 criminal statutes that they have are unconstitutional

The government does what it wants

The evil of mankind the inherent wrongness of all men it runs awry

This clause empowers Congress to pass laws against counterfeiting the United States securities that is written promises to pay debts and it’s current coin

not against any counterfeiting of nongovernment written promises

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 7

Congress has the power to establish post offices and post roads

I’ve never seen a case where this is addressed

Law schools don’t teach anything about it

Law school examinations have nothing about it

The postal department of the United States is unconstitutional

This clause does not say that the federal government has the power to operate post offices

It says that they have the power to establish post offices and post roads

That means that they have power and authority to make sure that post offices are set up and roads are kept good enough that mail can be carried

When I was growing up I lived on roads that mail couldn’t be carried on in the winter time

They’d get so muddy and deep with mud

We had to go a half mile to the end of the road it was a public road you had to go to the end of the road to pick up the mail

There are two things unconstitutional about the postal system in the United States

There is no authority in the Constitution that grants them the power to do that

There’s no authority to give the federal government a monopoly on carrying letters

And they have it by law of Congress

Monopolies cannot exist anywhere in any country without the force of government behind them

The post office monopoly is by the force of government

The reason that the post offices became so powerful in America was because when we had the terrible war that ripped the country apart back in the 1860s post offices were established in every little teeny tiny place in America

And the reason for that was to keep track of who was communicating with who

And the post offices have been used for that ever since

Now that we have the internet the post offices are suffering

When they go out of business and then this monopoly is gone letter carrying will be more efficient there will be better service service with a smile

Since the internet postal workers are afraid that they will lose their job

They don’t have to compete with anybody so because of the monopoly they have a lock on the business

The internet has enabled us to have ready access to communication that we didn’t have before

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 8

To promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries

This clause has gone a long way to make sure that America would prosper

There was a time when America was the only place in the world that was able to invent and use the invention that they made to any extent

This clause about inventions and copyrights and patents it protects only authors and inventors

It does not protect governments it does not protect government charter sham persons such as corporations and other facades by legislative decree No corporation under this clause is allowed to patent or copyright anything

Corporations can buy the rights to copyrights and patents and they do it often

Science in this clause means knowledge

The phrase useful arts means technology

(1:47:40)

About three years ago I was forced to take down Black’s Law 4th Edition

which was posted online for free download

We just wanted to give people access to the dictionary

This group of lawyers called me telling me that I had to remove that

Under copyright law it is 70 or 90 years

That 70 years would have been even it was 90 years that would have ended in 2017

I should be able to be free to post that back up again

Black died in 1927

add 90 years to that and it brings you to 2017

It is past that 90 year period

I should be able to post it and give it for free

I posted it at National Liberty Alliance as a free download

People claiming to be lawyers claimed that it was still under copyright

That was three or four years ago

Now being past 2017 the 90 year limitation after his death

I should be able to put this dictionary back up for people to download

Jan announced that Robert got the handbooks to be distributed at the conference

Brent will also be at the conference if you would like to meet him

Brent announced that he would not be able to make it to the conference but Paul would have Brent’s books there if you want to look at them.

(1:54:16)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Crystal No Response

(1:55:08)

Caller 2 Maria from Wisconsin

There’s going to be a guardianship event taking place in Tampa, Florida

and the address is 711 Franklin Street

There’s going to be a showing of the guardianship movie

It starts at 2:00 PM in the afternoon

and it will go until 11:00 PM at night

There will be a group of speakers there

It’s put together by America Against Abusive Probate Guardianships

The date is February 20

at the Tampa Theater

711 Franklin Street

The movie that we’re going to see is called The Guardian

It won several international awards

It is a documentary

Knowledge is the key to this whole thing

People seem to be blank not paying attention

They don’t want to understand what’s going on

We’ve been brainwashed and trained to be that way

Regarding the Tampa event It’s free

It’s put together by America Against Abusive Probate Guardianships

Everything National Liberty Alliance does is free

We try to get donations to support what we’re doing

Even our Consent By Government Course we ask for a donation if people can’t afford it then we will give it to them for free

Maybe you can pass my information to them and we’ll try to get a connection and discuss things

 (2:13:00)

Caller 3 : Connie from Connecticut

I am very interested in what that woman is doing because I spent the last year getting people out of psych wards, psych hospitals and even jail

I am a targeted individual

What do you know about the cusit account ? I don’t know if it is C U S I T or K U S I T

Maybe Tawnya is on the line She told us about the cusit account She paid somebody $250 to a company and then they tied her court case to a cusit account It’s worth 2.5 billion dollars

She was told about this by another person

Another guy who is having IRS problems his case is worth His cusit is 1.5 million

He entered it into evidence when he went to court

I don’t know if she entered her information

But they don’t want to be entered into court because it exposes that a lot of money is being made in the background when they have a case and the longer it goes

Tawnya’s case has been going about two and a half years

She violated a restraining order She was never served that restraining order So she wasn’t aware that she was breaking the law This has been going on for two and a half years

It should have been dismissed two and a half years ago

because she didn’t know she was never served the restraining order keeping her from her daughter

What do you know about the cusit account?

I’m wondering if you are mispronouncing it

Brent was unclear as to what Constance was talking about

It’s a cusit account and if Tawnya’s online She told Benjamin about it

Is this where people go and try to claim a certain thing off of their name birth certificate and try to use it to pay bills or to monetize in the system some how?

Their getting the court case number every case has a number then you give that to them then they tie it to this cusit account This gentleman Tawnya went to court with this guy Jim two weeks ago and was sitting there listening to what was going on in his case

but he entered his cusit account information into his case and they didn’t like that

Tawnya at one point a year and a half ago she said I want to know what this court is getting for my case the performance bond the bid bond and the thumping bond

That money is being made in the background 1098 or 1099 because once there’s a case then it goes out for bidding And they bid extraordinary amounts of money on the cases

The performance bond And he didn’t deny He didn’t give her the information But he didn’t deny that there are bonds

the performance bond and the bid bond

I guess Tawnya’s not on the line She’s in California

She was telling me all about this cusit account

And that he entered it into his case

She’s going to enter it into her case

She’s spreading the word

I wanted to know if you knew anything about it?

John thinks that she is mispronouncing the name

Maybe Tawnya can call in next Monday

She’s from Canada and she’s been here on a green card for twenty years

She’s learning this stuff she’s forced to fight for her life

This is a very dangerous thing to play with

You really got to know what you’re doing

A member of ours used this they lived out in Connecticut they applied this to a situation with the IRS or something and they actually sent them a large check Unfortunately he cashed it and thirty days later he had his door knocked down and he was arrested.

Going in for this kind of thing and playing in their sandbox it’s a moneymaking deal for the players for those involved in this rico business

It’s a dangerous game to play in their sandbox

They’re not doing it to benefit They’re doing it to expose corruption

She found out that one of her judges got four hundred thirty thousand dollars in three years in county benefits above and beyond their salary they got a lot of money

So what some of these people do they turn in their judges to the IRS and they got the proof they try to turn them in to the IRS there’s a lot of money exchanging hands

They prolong cases Her court case has been going for two and a half years

The other guy his just started

The cusit account is 1.5 million

I think that you’re talking about the cestui que accounts

She said cusit K U S I T or C U S I T

The longer they prolong the case the more it grows

That would explain why they would get people into cases that go on and on and on

A lot of money is being made in the background

Their strategy is to expose it

(2:26:00)

Caller 4 New Jersey Terry

I was looking at Article 61 of the Magna Carta

I found an interesting website called veronicachapman.com

She basically interprets the language of the Old English

Article 61 talks about the 25 barons

She basically says here that a baron is considered to be someone with an estate

Any person possesses an estate in legal terms by making a will without using that word

This would satisfy the wording of Article 61 enabling the essence of it to be put into practice

These government grand juries are usually between 12 and 23 people

The Magna Carta is the first known expression of the grand jury

25 is the key for a grand jury

They have to start out with 25

In light of the fact that U S v Williams says that the grand jury is not a part of the court

What’s to stop 25 interested citizens from issuing a presentment

regarding corruption whatever their target is?

Absolutely nothing and that’s exactly what we’ve been doing for quite a few years now

We’ve been doing indictments

Recently we agreed on indictments on every judge that allows these NonJudicial Foreclosures to go through

Any judge that we notified that they’re breaking the law that this is a crime

and we explained it to them and if they continued and went forward and allowed this to happen the grand jury has agreed that an indictment should be given

We have quite a few judges that have done that

We haven’t filed the papers yet

We are still filing papers

They are not sending them back

We’re doing exactly that right now

She has an interesting suggestion

She says How about a clause or Article 61 political party dedicated to implementing this portion of the Magna Carta No other portion of that document conflicts with any other

so it is possible to focus on one aspect and then widen the scope to encompass Clause 39 and the Bill of Rights at a later date

It’s an interesting idea because when you vote you vote Conservative Party Libertarian Party

but a lot of times you don’t understand what they’re about

They’re never what they claim to be anyway

How often do you meet a Republican that’s a true Republican?

Who even understands what a Republican is?

I think that this idea of an Article 61 political party would be a good idea

The problem with all these different parties is that they all have platforms

With an Article 61 political party you would know that it’s about common law courts

They will be infiltrated

There is a problem with parties

If you read his farewell address three quarters maybe 2/3 or ¾ speaks about the problems concerning parties and the corruption involved

The parties were going to destroy everything that we got

If you haven’t read Washington’s Farewell Address you definitely should read it

We have it up at our website

We got to get rid of parties

We do have a plan and an idea but people need to be educated

If we can get the people educated in understanding our Founding Documents the history of our founders the history of the documents and how they came about then people would wake up and we would be able to deal with these problems

 (2:42:00)

Caller 5: Ali

I have information regarding the caller who mentioned the cusip account

It’s the cestui que account

It is the same as the social security number without the dashes

There are places to go to see how much has accumulated in the various bonds that they have

The social security number which is the registration of the birth certificate and social security number creates the registration

One of the places that you can go I heard is to the Treasury Direct site

enter the social security number or the red letter number on the social security card

You’ll be able to pull up how much money is in the various bonds that are created by that registration which creates a cestui que vie account

It’s all related to House Joint Resolution 192 that in 1933 said that a remedy was created basically for the taking of the gold and silver from the people

They’ve given unlimited credit through this cestui que vie account and they’re allowed to discharge debt

Anybody who goes into this better be careful

One of our members in Connecticut ran into this thing and they raided his house and he’s in trouble

Any loophole they’ll come out and get you

We wrote some papers that connect to the cestui que accounts

It is something we will deal with on the other side

If we can get a committee together to study this issue and really dig up the facts so that we can understand and we can get a construction of law and really understand this thing it might be something beneficial

Contact Jan if you are interested

We filed a Writ of Quo Warranto back in 2015

We need to write a paper and send it to President Trump concerning this and referencing the Quo Warranto

We’re going to go into a bankruptcy

And we got the perfect person the most qualified person to handle this

He was able to benefit from his bankruptcies

I’m sure that everybody has heard by now that because Trump went past the 30 day period and then he re-opened the government again that gave him some special powers

He might shut it down again which may re-enforce those special powers

One of those special powers is to be able to go into every agency across the board and fire as many people that he feels is necessary to reduce the size of the government

I would think that that would be something that he would exercise

if it could be extended out that long

Caller recommends people look into what’s going on with geo-engineering

geoengineeringwatch.org

That also we are looking for people to come together as a committee to research on that

We do have some very knowledgeable people that could articulate the case

We need to bring those individuals together and collect information as a grand jury

so that we can then bring it into the court and deal with that from the grand jury’s perspective

We need people to research that

We need research with two different things

If people want to volunteer and meet with other people who will volunteer

and come together and research and compile information

The cestui que accounts is one

We need someone to research it

(3:00)

Caller 6 CLGJ1 No response

Caller 7 Michael from New York

Thirty days after Trump has shut the government down he has the right to get rid of everybody.

But then once he gave them back their back pay that cancelled it

Now if he shuts the government down again he has to go 30 days in order for him to do that

I got this information from one of the Second Amendment websites

You can’t put too much weight on conclusions without the evidence

There’s a lot of stuff on a lot of websites that’s not true

and they don’t have references from where they’re getting their information from

We have a lot of people that are doing a great job on reporting on the revolution

right now behind the scenes between Trump and the military

There are people that are covering it responsibly

They’re very careful on what they’re saying

They give proof on where they’re getting their information

A lot of people have jumped on that bandwagon because they want to get notoriety

You get a lot of people who are not so honest

Find out where you got that and e-mail it to me

You were talking about the cestui que trusts

Rod Class did an exposé on it

He’s a good source of information

He did a lot of research on it

Rod Class We’ve had conversations with him

Some of his conclusions are wrong

His homework is great

His research is great

He does really good work

He has some faulty conclusions

That cestui que trust under the Reconstruction Act that was the way that the government gave the people to pay their obligations and bills

There was a big battle in 1938 and 39 they tried to expunge everything they couldn’t get everything expunged and once you leak the truth on to the internet it’s floating out there we just got to find it

You may want to volunteer to get on a committee to help research this thing

If you want to volunteer get ahold of Jan

The key thing is going out and collecting information and bringing it together

It’s a trust account And we did not create that trust account

That is why we cannot get in to it

It’s in our name They used our name converted it into capital letters to create this trust account The cestui que trust and cusip numbers are on the back of our social security cards and on the back of our birth certificates

If we can get duplicates those numbers are on there

That lady was right you can find out how much money is in that account

Brent said that all trusts are cestui que trust

Cestui Que is Norman French for the one for whose benefit the trust was established

The word means beneficiary

There never has been a trust account for social security

All the noise that they have been making about it all these years are just lies and hypes people up

When our money goes in for social security the social security tax is 15 percent of your income

it goes into a general fund and they do anything that they want with it

A good book on the subject “Loring a Trustee’s Handbook” there’s a section in there

It comes out every year It’s authored by a man and his son The man is he’s a trust professor at Suffolk School of Law in Boston and his name is Charles E Rounds and his son is Charles Rounds II One of the sections in there he goes into great detail into his research

into whether or not there is a social security trust account

He says there never has been one and this is all political hype

Politicians use the whole idea of a social security trust account to get people hyped up and mad and fighting

The worst trustees in the world are governments because what is entrusted to the government nobody is responsible for

If you buy that book new it’s going to cost you $200 - $300

It’s easy to read

It comes out new every year

Brent finished his comment

Anybody who wants to help us and go and search out and find and go down there rabbit holes and pull together a construction of law on these issues so that we can understand exactly what it is how they did it and how it works

Knowledge is power

(3:22:00)

Caller 8 CLGJ

The CUSIP number is a unique identification number assigned to all stocks and registered bonds in the United States and Canada.

While assigned stock symbols are also unique, a CUSIP number is designed for use by most computerized trading record-keeping systems.

It’s owned by the American Bankers Association and Standard and Poor’s

The number and it gets into cestui que because if you go to an investment guy that’s selling stock and that type of thing if you’re lucky he might give you your CUSIP number and that’s used to track into the cestui que account or the account that they opened in your name

It’s hard to get that CUSIP number

They say you can look it up on Municipal Securities Rule Making Board

via electronic municipal market access system

The average person doesn’t have access to that

It’s only the people selling the stocks and that

The American Bankers Association was founded in 1875

It’s the largest banking trade association in the United States

The acronym stands for Committee on Uniform Securities Identification Procedures

If we do get a committee going from people interested in this subject matter and they will research it for us and document that research maybe you would want to join up with them

USC 26 is the largest title of any of the titles

It’s at least ten thousand pages a huge document

The acronym stands for

If we get a committee go