National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

March 21, 2016

Topic: Governor and Sheriff

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Ecclesiastes 3: 1-15

Brent Winters will be our special guest speaker after we read the paper.

NLA did send out all of the sheriffs papers. They went out today

It is a powerful and timely message.

There is disturbing news of things going on out West concerning getting rid of sheriffs

The sheriffs paper is the beginning of the solution.

NLA has worked hard for three years

Others are hell bent to take this nation down this year.

The sheriffs are waking up.

NLA wrote another paper

It was completed last night

It has been printed out and mailed out with the sheriffs paper.

This one is going to the governors.

It is closely related to the same paper.

It is time for them to do something. Time for them to wake up.

If they don’t act with the information and evidence that we supplied them then clearly they are the enemy of We the People. Clearly they are the enemy of liberty.

The sheriffs need to make the necessary arrests.

In order for them to make arrests they need to understand what laws are being broken.

Enforcement is necessary for us to achieve.

This is the letter NLA wrote to the governors , closely related to the last paper.

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org Click on News scroll down to federal court filings

This letter went out to every single governor

Sheriffs and governors should be getting these papers in two or three days.

NLA personalized every letter

It is dated today March 21, 2016

It is personalized to whatever the governor’s name is

NLA also put a DVD which is the evidence that is attached to this

(17 min)

The letter begins as follows:

“ RE: THIS IS AN OFFICIAL REPORT OF CRIMES: Therefore, it is a crime to intercept this communiqué; and, it is felony rescue and aiding and abetting if the recipient fails to act. We will not hear your plea if you pass this to a BAR lawyer or BAR judge and he advises you to ignore it. Most of the criminals we are reporting are these very same BAR lawyers and BAR judges.

Dear Governor;

The purpose of this communiqué, a/k/a INFORMATION is to report subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic and to remind the Governors, Legislators and Judiciary of every State of the Union of the ‘Law of the Land‘

and their duty to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution for the United States by securing

our Republican form of government. It is the duty of the Governor of each State to inform the State Legislators and Judiciary of the subversion and their like duties

to obey the “Law of the Land” and thereby secure the Republic.

Your attention and consideration of “all” the supporting evidence on the enclosed data disk

addressed in this Information is required. Because WE ARE OFFICIALLY REPORTING CRIMES OF SUBVERSION, as Governor you have a sworn duty to examine and

consider all the evidence and act upon it. “

The paper concludes with the following:

“We will be communicating with you soon via fax and e-mail. If you have not been getting our faxes and e-mails, please send your updated fax and/or e-mail to US@UCLGJ.org. We will soon set up a tele-conference so that we can have a special open forum to answer questions from Governors, Sheriffs and Legislators. You can visit us live and ask questions at our regularly scheduled Monday night open forum held at 9pm EDT to midnight. For details on calling in, go to <http://nationallibertyalliance.org/mondaycall> In closing, we want to encourage you to start communicating these things amongst yourselves, not only within your State, but nationally, because ‘United We Shall Stand’ and ‘Divided We Will Fall’. Thank you for your time. “

The reading of the paper ended at 50 min.

It is an eleven page paper.

The paper going to the sheriffs is also eleven pages.

It will be posted at the NLA News page with the evidence on the data disc

NLA will post all of that stuff up

NLA is extremely busy.

NLA has achieved its goal to be able to send all of these things out.

They all went into the mail today.

We have a lot more papers to do

There is so much that is going to happen and some things we cannot talk about at this point.

Brent Winters, the guest speaker joined the call.

(54 min)

The subject tonight is office of sheriff.

Brent began:

Anyone who’s watching what’s happening in our country, both locally and abroad, across the interlands of our several states, will notice, if their brain is engaged at all, that we live in a country of lawlessness. And the only remedy to lawlessness is true law. Jesus Christ said, “Depart from Me ye workers of lawlessness” He is not talking about people that are just haphazardly lawless. He is talking about people that work lawlessness. People that are conspirers with others. Co-conspirators to destroy true law and bring about lawlessness. How does the sheriff fit into this situation of lawlessness that we now see ourselves faced with?

When Brent was a little boy back in the 50s, he had a fellow in his locality, his name was James Barber, and he had homesteaded in Oklahoma before it became a state. He used to say that every cut throat on the face of the planet in the western world had found their way to Oklahoma and the reason Oklahoma drew lawless men , and lawlessness, by the way, did reign in what is today Oklahoma, then called the Indian Territory, was because the federal government was the only law enforcement power in that part of the world. But, to make matters worse, he didn’t settle in the Indian Territory, as such, he settled in what is now the Panhandle of Arizona. By an oversight of Congress, that strip of land was not included in any territory, it was inadvertently forgotten. And so no political process, no law enforcement, there was nothing there from any power to govern anything, state or federal, whether it be a territory or state. And the stories that he told introduced Brent’s young mind to the idea that men have a serious problem. They’re incurably, as Jeremiah says, wicked. They’re black hearted. Later, Brent began to think about some of the things he said and a movie came out, and Brent was still young, and the name of the movie was True Grit. It was one of John Wayne’s last movies. It was about a U S Marshal that had the responsibility of enforcing the law capturing criminals in the Indian Territory, now called Oklahoma. And in that movie is depicted the real life representation of a man named, Judge Parker. He was a federal judge appointed after the war between the northern and southern tiers of the states appointed to have criminal jurisdiction over white men, not red men, in the Indian Territory, his court sat in Fort Smith, on the edge of Oklahoma and Arkansas. Years later Brent went to that courthouse. Brent did some research into the matter and discovered that Judge Parker had committed over 160 men to hang while he was judge. About half made it to the gallows, the rest were dismissed on appeal or died before they got to prison.

(1 hr)

But Judge Parker, for all of the executions by hanging he ordered of men in the Indian Territory, that were caught there, committing crimes there, crime did not assuage, it only got worse as time progressed . From that time after the war in America, the Civil War, as some people call it, until Oklahoma statehood, I believe it was about 1907, things only got worse and culminated in the federal government’s attempt to capture a man , he may have been half white and half red, he mounted a canon on top of a mountain down there and they had a terrible time smoking him out and they finally got him and that was the last of the criminals the federal government attempted to capture. But upon Oklahoma becoming a state, about the year 1907, Oklahoma went from one of the most dangerous places on the planet to being one of the most peaceful and productive. Things immediately eased out, eased up, and settlers by the thousands moved into the land that was there. And the reason for that was the institution of sheriffs.

In our own day, sheriffs have the same ability that they had in those early days

A well known common lawyer, William Blackstone said in Commentaries on the Laws of England often called Commentaries on the Common Law Volume 4 page 418 “ The most remarkable of the saxon laws we may reckon is the election of better magistrates by the people, even that of their kings. Election of all subordinate magistrates, their military officers and heretochs , their sheriffs, their conservators of the peace, their coroner, and their port reeves continued til the Norman conquest. Others for two centuries after and some remain to this day” (that was put in print 1765)

Notice that he says “the most remarkable of the Saxon laws”

The saxon laws of which he is speaking is the laws of the Saxons before Magna Carta. That would be the year 1215. Blackstone is telling us that Sheriffs in those days were elected locally. And he mentions here the office of port reeves. A sheriff is a shire reeve. A port reeve has authority, an agency, over the ports. The shire reeve has an agency in the shire. The shire was later called the county because that is where the count had power. Before the year 1066, before the Normans arrived from the coast of France and conquered the Saxons, before that, sheriffs were elected. After that, the king appointed the sheriff, and he appointed him in each shire, at that time they were called counties. Many things happened between then and now. But the sheriff did come with the English speaking settlers to America. And America, early on, in the middle colonies especially, notably Pennsylvania . William Penn divided that grant of land called Pennsylvania into counties and divided it into hundredths . The hundredths became townships. Hundreds had about 100 families Tithings had about ten families. Tithings is a precinct. A county is a shire. A shire is a county. All of these had a reev. Reev is an old Anglo Saxon Anglo Dane word that means agent. Agent of the people. The shire reev was the agent of the shire. We in America elect the sheriff just as the ancient Saxons before the year 1066 AD.

There is not a county sheriff in the United States that does not make a minimum of a million dollars a year off of the federal government for housing federal prisoners.

That is how the feds control the local sheriffs.

Tip O’Neill, Speaker of the House, was known for saying, “All politics is local.”

Brent takes that a stretch further. The reason all politics is local is because all government is local. I don’t care how much they try to distract you away from local government, it remains local. And the only true government that God has given to the sons of Adam is the government that he dispenses, as John Wycliffe says, individually to each and every man, direct from God, government over his hands, his feet and his tongue. And it is that government that will make us or break us. And it goes also for the sheriff.

Brent recommended a book:

The Doctrine of the Lesser Magistrate

A magistrate is a government official.

This book was written by one of the less known reformers of the Christian Church in Europe.

His argument was Everyone in government has a duty. A bounded duty direct from God. No matter what rung they occupy on levels of authority they have a duty to obey the law regardless of what someone else tells them.

The sheriff is the chief law enforcement officer of the county

Oaths don’t bind anybody to do anything.

An oath just additionally binds you to a duty that you already had.

They already had a duty to do that before they took the oath.

You as an American have the duty to support and defend the Constitution of the United States.

Every man and every woman has a duty before God.

Federal money coming to sheriffs has weakened the office of sheriff in the United States.

The object is to receive so much per prisoner from the federal government.

Feed the prisoner for half the cost.

Then pocket the rest. Tell the voters that you made this much money for the county.

QUESTIONS:

Caller 1: Rebecca Georgia

She went to a Republican Party meeting on Saturday and she has been invited to meet one of the sheriffs. Any advice or paperwork to take? She will try to get him to go to the website.

Go to [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com) click on the button that says books find a book called Militia of the Several States

In the back of that book is a model state militia state statute

The militia clause has been ignored for about 175 years

The militia clause is precise

Since the history of ancient Israel and the delivery of the Five Books by Moses to Israel outlining in detail the duties of the militia of the twelve separate tribes of Israel

never has any people had a law as clear all though fundamental as we had in our Constitution concerning the militia. It is taken from the old fyrdfare of the Anglo Saxons

The duties have never changed

And even our oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States is the oath of the militiaman.

His duties are two: Jury duty, and armed defense

That means enemies foreign and enemies domestic.

Willing to defend the law of the land and jury.

(1 hr 22 min)

Caller 2: Donaldson from Northern California

Jeremiah Donaldson

He works with the Common Law Advisory Committee

He gets to the Law Library all of the time.

He wanted to read case law from Words and Phrases

John said that we would come back to this call after questions for Brent.

Caller 3 Melissa from Idaho

Question regarding oath of office.

About a month ago she asked the judge on one of her CPA cases for his oath of office.

Caller lost her connection

Caller 4 Jo Jo from PA

Question refers to the previous talk.

We will get back to his question.

Caller 5 Scott Palmer

In 1970 they did the Trading with the Enemies Act which basically makes us enemies of the state. Therefore we have no rights.

Brent suggests that you never say that you have no rights. To say that is to deny what God has said. God said that He delegated them to you. That means that you got them. Reicht is an old Germanic word that means responsibility. It stresses that portion of the law in the German tongues of responsibility to duties. You have duties. God gave them to you and God gave them to me and we better do them. We tend to talk as though the government has taken our rights. The government can’t take our rights It is impossible. They are inalienable, that means that they cannot be separated from us. We cannot separate them from ourselves. When anyone is born God endows them with certain responsibilities called rights.

They may try to interfere with the responsibilities that God has given you.

It is incumbent upon us, we have an obligation to know the fundamental law, the fundamental will of God as it says in the Book of Hebrews, Chapter 5 Learn it and keep it and do it.

And if we don’t then we ignore that at our peril. God is not playing games. People that ignore their responsibilities will pay a horrible price. And those who attempt to interfere with my God given responsibilities and yours, they will pay a horrible price also. God promises that in His law. His law says that the consequences will be horrific.

National Liberty Alliance takes the position that they are defacto. That they are fiction. It is fraud. They have no authority, no jurisdiction, no power.

Caller 6 Frank no response

Caller 7 California Dave (1 hr 29 min)

Do you or the people you work with have any experience with recruiting one of the gun toting fictional agents of the corporate government to arrest via citizens arrest other rogue agents of the government.

If we witness a felony, in most states , in common law you have the power to arrest if you witness the felon in the act of committing the felon. And the sheriff has that too. And you don’t need a warrant in such a case to do that. You, yourself, if you are not a sheriff or a policeman, you don’t have authority in common law to arrest a man because you saw him commit a misdemeanor.

Law enforcement officers in most cases are taught to arrest the fellow in a fight or dispute , you arrest the fellow that looks like he will give you the least resistance. That will stop the fight or dispute. Government does that a lot. It’s natural to do. You don’t have to be trained. I’m not saying that it is the right thing to do. But that is what happens in many cases.

I’m not saying that this is a lawful law but the law is on the book

28 USC section 564 United States marshals, deputy marshals and such other officials of the Service as may be designated by the Director, in executing the laws of the United States within a State, may exercise the same powers which a sheriff of the State may exercise in executing the laws thereof.

Magna Carta puts it this way, magistrates such as sheriffs and judges and bailiffs must be required, if you appoint them, they must have two qualifications:

they must know the law

they must mean to observe it well

Caller 8: Peter from New York

Elaborate on BAR judges’ pensions. For everything they do there is payola

The counties have become dependent on federal government money and now that they have spent it they don’t want to go back and not have that

This was twelve years ago the sheriff was running for office He had been in for four terms.

He ran on the fact that he brought in four million dollars a year through housing federal prisoners.

The federal prison population has grown exponentially since then.

Federal crimes are upwards of 6,000 different federal crimes

Our Constitution only provides for four categories of crimes that the Congress has jurisdiction to pass and enforce.

Federal prisons are double capacity

Brent will be back next week

[www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

Book: Excellence of the common law

Caller 9 Returned to Jeremiah from California

When you say “We the People” from your letter to the sheriff

are you talking about “We the People” of the United States?

“We the People of the United States of America” We take it right out of the Constitution.

It says We the People of the United States in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, and ensure the domestic tranquility , provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

We are the people.

We are speaking as the people under the authority of the common law grand jury

We will remain seated as the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury until we deal with the problem which is subversion against the United States of America by enemies both domestic and foreign

Until that is accomplished and the people are back in the courts, the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury will continue in seating until how ever long it takes.

We are talking on behalf of the people.

We are the people.

What standard does the government use?

We the people don’t care what the government standard is because we are the people.

We are the ones that ordained and established the Constitution

We are the children of the Founders.

We are telling them two things

First of all, they are not obeying the law

Secondly we are telling them to obey the law.

Caller said that you are on the right path because there is no standard that he knows of for any court to determine who the people are outside of the Articles of Confederation

The people of the United States has been determined by the Supreme Court in 1892 in a case Boyd v State, Nebraska, which is 12S.ct.375 the phrase people of the United States is synonymous with citizens

When they pull a fraud on us they try to create a situation they use the word citizen

Our Founding Fathers called themselves citizens.

As time went on, these elitists, they do magic with words

Over time they try to change the meaning of words.

They turned Blacks Law on its head.

We use the Fourth Edition.

They play games with words

They do their magic

They pay people off

They commit their fraud

They get in unconstitutional codes or statutes

It is a fraud It means nothing.

Caller 10 Jo Jo from PA

Earlier this evening you were talking about the 17th amendment

When did you find out that the ratification didn’t happen?

On page 27 of the Constitution of the United States book that you give out to people or they buy from you it says in the footnotes that the 17th amendment was ratified

Did you find out after the printing?

We should have found a way to correct that before it went in for printing

John was at a position where he thought that everything after the Bill of Rights which is the first ten amendments was suspect.

John recently did a study and we put together a Constitutional Course and John has come to the conclusion that most of those amendments are right and just and that they belong there.

The 13th amendment the freeing of the slaves wasn’t really necessary but from the perspective of a repented country then it was necessary. It should have been called the 14th amendment.

The 16th amendment had never been ratified

John has the book by Bill Benson , it is a two volume system, he has done all of the research.

John never saw the proof that the 17th amendment was never ratified.

Then John did get the proof and he put it in the DVD data disc that NLA created.

Those documents will be put online.

 (2 hr 16 min)

Caller 11 Steve Oregon

Stuck in a town in Oregon

Stopped him for a DUI

He was parked off the highway just hanging around in his car.

He had car problems and was letting it rest up before going home.

They got him for DUI

He has no money

He is in the front entrance to the catholic church.

The catholic church gets money from the government to take care of people.

They refer people like him to St Vincent DePaul and they close at 5:30

Caller drove to Burns and the place is locked down tight.

Steve 503-756-5241 Call out from Steve if you can help

His intention was to make videos

Caller did not take a breathalyzer

If you are in your car even in a driveway visible to the road, you need to have the keys out of the cab of the care

You need to get out and put the keys on top of the back tire or hide them some place so that they are not within your reach.

If those keys are not within your reach and are not on your body then there is nothing that they can say.

Put your keys on top of the back tire or something and then go in and lock your doors.

Then they can’t bother you and there is nothing that they can do.

Caller 12 Jim

Did Gerard receive Jim’s e-mail that he sent to QUESTIONS

All of the land owned by the government

RhodeIsland Jim

He is doing transcriptions for NLA

Caller 13 Rhonda from Washington state

NLA has been talking about having KrisAnne Hall on the Monday Night Call.

NLA would love to get her on.

She is very busy

She is doing a two night talk in a town close to the caller

Caller will be going both nights.

If NLA could give her the book that they printed out in Burns for the Committee of Safety that would be nice.

Get her mailing address and we will mail her a book

It is more impressive and easier to read in hard copy.

Get her address.

We want her to comment

We would like her on the call.

The key papers in the book are the papers that NLA filed in the courts.

You could download those PDF files.

There are 13 or 14 of them.

Print them out and staple them individually.

These are the papers that NLA has been filing in the courts.

Give her the website.

You could also print out the papers for Oregon

It’s all on the news page

They did this silently, they got the Hammonds to agree through their lawyer, that they did not want the habeas corpus.

They can’t overrule the grand jury.

When NLA does a paper for someone to get them out of jail, whether they like it or not, it goes through.

The Hammonds were told when they were originally arrested that if they did not go along with what was going on and buck the system then they would send the powers that be out to the house and raid the house and put them in a prison that they did not want to be in far away.

John heard the same thing.

They made the Hammonds sign paperwork and gave the government the first rights to buy the property.

If someone would bear witness to that then NLA could get that in writing for the courts too.

KrisAnne will be speaking in the callers area on April 21 and 22.

Caller 14

Are there more people on the call than usual?

124 is showing

Caller spent hours posting for tonights meeting and she wondered if it made a difference

Caller said that one comment asked to show exactly in the Constitution where the Sheriff has authority above and beyond federal laws

NLA has that in their paperwork

John is working on a paper and a chart to simplify it. To clearly show where the sheriffs power and authority comes from.

The Constitution calls for the common law

The makeup of a common law court requires certain groups of people.

Three groups are absolutely required

Four is preferred.

There must be a sheriff there must be a court officer someone who is the constitutional caretaker

There must be a coroner

There must be the tribunal, that is the people, the jury.

A court can continue with those three parts. The tribunal, the coroner, the sheriff

There is also the magistrate and he acts as a traffic cop.

Without the sheriff there is no common law court.

There is no protector of the Constitution

If you do not have a constitutional officer then you do not have common law

If you have town police they owe their allegiance ultimately to the town council or mayor.

They owe their allegiance to them

The town council or mayor can fire them.

If you have state police, the governor can fire them.

They all owe their allegiance to a political animal.

There is an individual that is political that can get rid of them

And that is a very dangerous situation.

We need a common law officer that answers only to justice

Nobody can get rid of a sheriff

He is elected by the people.

His job is to be a constitutional officer

He shouldn’t be giving out tickets or dealing with any of the statutory

He should just be focusing in on his job.

If the FBI comes into his district to execute a warrant, or any federal agency, it is incumbent upon them to contact the sheriff to let him know that they are coming into town and they are going to be executing a warrant.

And it is the duty of that sheriff to go and view that warrant and make sure that there is a signed wet ink signature from a judge and also that there is a sworn affidavit.

If those two things are missing he cannot permit that warrant to go forward.

The sheriff works directly for the people

And the only way he can be removed from office is by the people.

Through the ballot box or through an indictment for breaking the law.

Nobody else can get rid of him.

Once we lose that then we only have political police.

The sheriff is the only constitutional officer.

The sheriff , not knowing his job, is incarcerating people illegally.

He is participating in the crime and he doesn’t know it.

Once the sheriff stops accepting those people because he understands his job , and once the people start suing the judges for violating their unalienable rights because they have no jurisdiction on them, there is no due process there because it is not a court of record, once that happens then all of those courthouses will close. When those courthouses close then the revenues will stop. The towns will feel the pressure of that. The police departments will disappear. And you will get back to the purity of what it should be and that is the sheriff.

The county would have to put out the money according to the population. Now you would have a county operating under common law.

You would not be filling jailhouses with people who break statutes.

You would not need state prisons anymore.

There would be a lot of money saved from state prisons.

You would get rid of a lot of corruption from money making deals.

The whole thing about common law is to pay restitution.

Your punishment is to restore the individual that you damaged.

The system we got needs to be brought back to the purity of common law.

(2 hr 45 min)

Caller 15 Jeremiah Donaldson calling back from Northern California

The Act of June 7th 1939

Natural resources of the United States in certain strategic and critical materials are deficient or insufficiently developed to supply the military, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States for national defense.

Caller said that if you say that we are the people of the United States then you are saying that you are a citizen of the United States.

John responded that it says very clearly in the Constitution: “We the people of the United States” now at the end of the it says “establish this Constitution for the United States of America.” Our Founding Fathers did not have to play the word game that we play today.

John does not play that word game.

We ignore the game. It is a fraud and fiction.

They are defacto we are dejure.

Caller read: “California truck owner was not among the people of each state whose right to free ingress and regress to and from any other state was acknowledged by the Articles of Confederation since California was not one of the state in the union or a state as that term was used in the Articles of Confederation.” This is from an 2012 case in California

The appellate court decision does not give any thing that has precedence per se.

The only thing that may have precedence per se would be from the United States Supreme Court.

They can rule under two situations:

 Concerning equity courts

they cannot rule anything in a common law court with the exception of the violation of someone’s unalienable rights by a jury in some way

 When a jury makes a decision there is no appeal to that

The only way there can be an appeal to that would be for example if we did not have DNA back then and the person looked guilty but this proves they weren’t

Is your letter to the Sheriffs an appeal to the Senators as well in an administrative process so in that way you can exhaust that type of remedy if they ever bring it up?

NLA has sent papers to the Congress, both Houses.

We haven’t done it individually because we don’t have the money.

That is our next project, we have to get one going to each one of them individually.

There is 540 of them.

We have sent faxes individually. These guys are hiding from us.

Because they are hiding from us, it doesn’t matter that they didn’t get it.

We sent people to their doorkeeper and they sent that paperwork back.

They were elected They have a job to do Don’t tell me that you are ignorant to the job that you have. Don’t tell me that you are ignorant to the fact that you need to be available to the people.

We are here on Monday Night to inform and to teach.

The cannot say they did not know.

They didn’t know because they didn’t want to know.

The Articles of Confederation established freedom of movement

The Articles assert the separate states. Each state retaining it’s sovereignty.

That’s why we have the nullification process

Regarding the United States Seal, Jefferson and Franklin were on committee to bring up the seal, and they both created their own seals, and both of them, the seals that they created, were the Exodus.

That was suppose to be the seal.

The enemy was already in there and they pushed that off to the side

The moved into another seal and they got the eagle.

From the Kentucky Resolutions

The friendless alien has indeed been selected as the safest subject of the first experiment but the citizen will soon follow or rather has already followed, for already has a sedition act marked him as its prey: that these are successive acts of the same character unless arrested at the threshold, necessarily drive these States into revolution and blood, and will furnish new calumnies against republican government, and new pretexts for those who wish it to be believed that man cannot be governed but by a rod of iron: that it would be a dangerous delusion were a confidence in the men of our choice to silence our fears for the safety of our rights: that confidence is everywhere the parent of despotism--free government is founded in jealousy, and not in confidence; it is jealousy and not confidence which prescribes limited constitutions, to bind down those whom we are obliged to trust with power: that our Constitution has accordingly fixed the limits to which, and no further, our confidence may go; and let the honest advocate of confidence read the alien and sedition acts, and say if the Constitution has not been wise in fixing limits to the government it created, and whether we should be wise in destroying those limits.