National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

February 13, 2017

Opening Song: Lord Is It Mine?

Topic: untitled

Call-In Number: 712-770-4160 Participant Code: 385698

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Galatians 5: 16-26

(7:00)

Announcement : Reminder:

NLA sent an e-mail regarding the event in New York with LaVoy Finicum’s daughter, Challice. This Friday at 6:00 p m Lomala Civic Center

This information is in the weekly newsletter

108 Lomala Lane Hopewell Junction, New York

The event starts at 6:00 p m

On Friday at 1:00 p m we will be meeting at Perkins it is on Route 9

Perkins is at 1576 Route 9 Wappinger’s Falls

It is also known as 1576 Sergeant Palmateer Way #11 Wappinger’s Falls right off Route 9

The phone number is 845 296 1575

We will meet there from about 1:00 to 5:00

At 5:00 we will head over to the event that starts at 6:00

This Friday, the seventeenth

You can find this information if you click back onto this call go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org and click onto “Weekly Call” We usually post it at the end of the night or the first thing in the morning.

Or you can call Jan You can find him under the directory

or drop Jan an e-mail at jan@nationallibertyalliance.org

That is LaVoy Finicum’s daughter, One Cowboy’s Daughter Standing For Freedom Event

February 17th at 6:00 pm

There is word out there that there is a court case and that the judge ruled that in every case since 1991 the judge has not taken a proper oath and therefore every case that they ruled upon is null and void. That is not true.

There is information that this is a filed case in the federal court.

It does not give us a case number or any identification to it.

The United States Constitution Article VI clause 3 says that

The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution

Congress did write a code concerning this U S 28 subsection 453 Oath of Justices and Judges, this is how it reads:

Each justice or judge of the United States shall take the following oath or affirmation before performing the duties of his office: “I, \_\_\_ \_\_\_, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as \_\_\_ under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God.”

That was originally set out there in 1948 and updated in 1990

The opinion of the person bringing this into court is:

 The only duties incumbent upon justices and judges of the United States to discharge or perform are provided in the statutes of Congress, i.e., the laws of the United States; the Constitution provides none.

Because there is no provision of the Constitution that requires a justice or judge of the United States to discharge or perform any duties, there are no duties under the Constitution incumbent upon any such justice or judge to discharge or perform; meaning: **Mention of the Constitution in the 1990 amended oath, 28 U.S.C. § 453, 104 Stat. 5124, supra, is superfluous and may be omitted from said oath without changing its meaning.**

This person is claiming two things

(16:36)

1. Because there is no provision of the Constitution that requires a justice or judge of the United States to discharge or perform any duties, there are no duties under the Constitution incumbent upon any such justice or judge to discharge or perform

That’s not true

They are saying that they got to obey the laws of the Constitution and the laws of the laws of the United States which is created under the Constitution

The only duties incumbent upon justices and judges of the United States to discharge or perform are provided in the statutes of Congress

They have to apply statutes

Anything that is not repugnant to the Constitution must be applied as law

(18:12)

1. Article VI Clause 2 the Supremacy Clause

This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

Under this weak oath, it needs to be fortified , they are still bound by taking an oath , and their oath is that they will discharge and perform the duties incumbent upon them as judges or justices under the Constitution.

Clause 2 is clear it is a duty that they are bound upon to obey and hold

(20:50)

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org and highlight “News”

There are four new pages here

One is the WhiteHouse briefings

There is the President’s Weekly Address

And then there is Trump in the News

And then we have the Lawless Government

Everyone should be looking at these four pages and be informed

We will have a blog station shortly and a news station shortly

It will be NLA news.

Gerard added a comment:

the reason he is saying that they don’t have an oath is because when he asked them by what authority they were taking his territorial property they went silent he wanted their oath and their bond and this has to do with territorial property that they have taken jurisdiction illegally over. People are taking this out of context.

This is very specific to his case.

John also had a meeting with another individual concerning a website mentioned a few weeks ago. The position also had to do with the 1787 Constitution. We have to check everything out.

The 1787 Constitution seems to be the same as the 1789 Constitution.

Maybe this individual sent John the wrong Constitution.

John has not seen the 1787 Constitution.

Perhaps the person that sent John the information can take a look and see if he sent the wrong information. They appear to be identical.

It seemed to read exactly the same.

No QUESTIONS this week

Additional Information about One Cowboy’s Daughter Stand for Freedom Event

LaVoy’s widow, Jeannette, has been travelling to some of these events.

Jeannette will be at the event on Friday, February 17th at 6:00 p m at Lomala Civic Center in New York State.

There are two other events this week

On Wednesday, February 15 in the Philadelphia area at King of Prussia It is at 170 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA That is a volunteer fire department that has a banquet room.

On Saturday, up in Massachusetts, on Saturday the 18th, at 6:00 P M at AM Vets Post 147 in Haverhill , Massachusetts It is on the New Hampshire border

Robert has information on the Western Patriots

(38:22)

Regarding the 1787 Constitution

Robert has never seen it

Robert believes that in 1787 they began writing what ultimately became the 1789

John tends to agree

They had to make some changes because the Bill of Rights being demanded to be put in.

They started writing it in 1787 and it wasn’t finished until 1789

John agreed that that was the same conclusion that he had

If the 1787 exists then it was probably an earlier draft

Regarding the court cases

They are into the opening arguments in Nevada

Cliven’s trial is not until the second one.

They’re having three trials in Nevada

Cliven will be in the second trial.

We have supporters in Nevada

The supporters start the day out with prayer

Judge Navarro has ordered the prosecutors to turn over all the information concerning the BLM

(41:03)

The prosecutors were trying to protect the BLM and not turn over the information that they had.

The special agent in charge at Bunkerville and at Oregon Malheur County Special Agent Daniel Love They investigated him and found that he was threatening other agents and different police department stuff to keep quiet what he was doing trying to protect himself. He is no longer with the BLM He is the key witness for the prosecution. The judge has ordered the prosecutors to turn over all the information on the wrongdoings of the government and the BLM

That could delay the trial.

It sounds like this judge is not willing to go along with the conspiracy.

She was in the beginning but she is getting a little concerned about the events after the election

Maybe she’s seeing that she got to protect herself a little bit with Trump in office.

Maybe she’s getting scared. Maybe she sees justice coming.

In Oregon tomorrow begins the trial in Portland

It may take two or three days to pick a jury.

Judge Anna Brown may be trying to stack the jury again in favor of the feds.

The supporters are gearing up with the Constitution Handbooks and the Jury Nullification books.

Come to the court and wave flags and hand out Constitution books and talk about jury nullification. There will be other supporters there waving flags and talking and blowing the shofar horn . We marched around the walls of Jericho the last trial blowing that shofar horn and the walls fell. They are at it again this year. In both states.

Even Anna Brown in Portland she’s been getting on the prosecutors case about things. The things they are doing are putting her in a bad light.

Robert concluded his report

NLA is trying to activate it’s committees

We have a lot of committees

The Investigative Research Committee needs help

The Law Advisory Committee works together with the Investigative Research Committee.

(51:33)

Ollie gave his report

LaVoy’s widow, Jeannette, will be at the New York event.

These are the End Times.

Old souls are being attracted to each other.

Old souls are meeting each other.

When you look into that woman’s eyes you are going to see an old friend.

You will realize at some point that this meeting was ordained.

Ollie told Jan that you need a camera crew there. We need video so that we can put it into one of our newscasts.

John replied that we got a guy up this way that records a lot of stuff.

He is coming to both meetings that we are having on Friday.

His name is Phil.

Ollie encourages everyone to come because it’s part of the Big Plan.

Also Ollie is making great progress with his Virtual Studio

He bought one the other day He paid $80 It has several camera angles.

Also Ollie got Dawson software It is professional software It will take Ollie awhile to figure it out.

Ollie will be able to livestream up to YouTube with his webcam

We will be putting out some good videos Some good newscasts

Ollie concluded his report

(56:00)

John introduced Brent Winters

He is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

His website is commonlawyer.com

We are still talking about the militia of the several states and the four militia clauses.

At the end of Brent’s booklet on the militia of the several states Brent has a Model Militia Statute. Under the four militia clauses of our Constitution there are four classes of people.

There’s the Presidents, that’s one class of people. Then there are the Congressmen. That’s another class. They have responsibilities the Constitution delegates to them concerning the militia of the several states. As do the class of folks called the Presidents. There’s the Presidents and Congressmen. And then there is the class of folks called the State Legislature. They have responsibility. The Constitution says exactly what they’re supposed to do . They’re responsibility is to pass legislation so that the militiamen in their state will have a law. The Constitution requires that. And it flat hasn’t been done. In a lot of states the state constitution mentions the militia, some in great detail. People are most familiar with the fourth militia clause called the Second Amendment

That’s the other class of folk.

The first three militia clauses delegate duties to Presidents, Congressmen., State Legislators.

The last militia clause delegates nothing but guarantees protection of the most fundamental element or part that enables the militia to be a reality. It enables them to do their job. And that is the right to keep and carry a gun. A right is a duty in Old Anglo speech.

It is a duty to carry a gun.

Government tries to license the duty and regulate the duty regulate, not in the constitutional sense, but in the bureaucratic sense.

We are going through this Model Militia Statue.

We will pick up where we left off.

Paragraph 10 of the Model State Militia Statute

You can find the book at common lawyer.com

Go to the “books” button “The Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to It’s Enemies” You can also get it at Amazon.com Just type in Brent Allan Winters

Paragraph 10 says this:

Organization and Choosing of Officers

For purposes of organizing this state’s militia the governor shall divide this state into three blocks of equally numbered contiguous counties Each of these three divisions having numerically equal numbers of counties as close as is possible. And with the boundary lines between these three divisions running along a line as true east and west as these counties boundaries shall allow a Northern Division a Middle Division and a Southern Division

Paragraph 11

The governor of this state shall be this state’s militia commander in chief and shall appoint with the advice and consent of the state senate one general militia officer to be his immediate commander of this state’s militia

Paragraph 12

After 30 days but before 60 days of the enactment of this state’s militia statute, the militiamen having been place on the roles of each township shall elect by majority vote their own township’s militia officers Each militiaman of each township shall be entitled to one vote for any officer this statute empowers him to choose. The bodily presence of three quarters of the township or county’s enrolled militiamen shall constitute a forum for purposes of any vote taken to choose officers Vote by proxy, telephone, mail, e-mail or any other way than by secret ballot of those personally present shall never be allowed.

Paragraph 13

The enrolled militiamen of each township shall elect and appoint at the time and place their township supervisor designates by secret written ballot one officer at the head of their township

Paragraph 14

The militiamen of each township shall be divided into companies according as near as possible to contiguous precincts within each township with the militiamen electing an officer for each company defined as follows

Paragraph 15

(1:06:06)

Each township supervisor shall divide his township precincts into contiguous groupings in fulfillment of this statute Each company shall choose it’s own officer to be under the command of that township’s officer

Paragraph 16

Thus any township enrolling one hundred militiamen or less shall constitute one company under the command of the township’s officer of their choosing. Any township enrolling one hundred one to two hundred militiamen shall constitute two companies of equal numbers or as nearly equal as possible Townships enrolling two hundred one to three hundred militiamen shall divide into two companies of equal numbers or as nearly equal as possible with each company choosing it’s own officer to be under the command of the township officer Townships enrolling three hundred one to four hundred militia men shall divide into three companies of equal numbers or as nearly equal as possible with each company choosing it’s own officer to be under the command of the township officer And so on as more numbers of enrolled militiamen require

Paragraph 17

Each company officer shall further divide his company into squads of twelve and he and no other shall choose and appoint one petty officer over each squad per squad leader

Paragraph 18

Township officers upon being chosen and appointed shall within one month of their appointment and by the governor’s writ designating the time assemble at their county courthouse and shall each cast one secret ballot for commanding officer of their county’s militia The bodily presence of three quarters of the county’s enrolled militiamen shall constitute a quorum for purposes of this vote Vote by proxy, telephone, mail, e-mail or any other way than by secret ballot of those personally present shall never be allowed.

Paragraph 19

As soon as the ballots are counted and the results determined the man receiving the most ballots shall be the commanding officer of that county’s militia Each ballot shall be counted in the sight of each of the militiamen having voted and he shall acknowledge the person chosen on each ballot

Paragraph 20

Within another 30 days the conclusion of the deadline for electing commanding officers for each county all such county commanding officers by the governor’s writ designating the time shall assemble at the state capital building where each officer shall cast his secret ballot for an officer to serve over his division of contiguous counties

Paragraph 21

The bodily presence of three quarters of the states county militia commanding officers shall constitute a quorum for purposes of this vote.

Vote by proxy, telephone, mail, e-mail or any other way than by secret ballot of those personally present shall never be allowed. No more militia officers other than these designated above shall be chosen and appointed.

Paragraph 22

(1:15:00)

Calling out and mustering into actual service

This state’s legislature shall provide criteria mandatory for the governor of this state to use and decide whether or not any purported necessity warrants calling out the militia of this state or any part of it.

Paragraph 23

The governor of this state may summon and muster the militia of this state or any part of it into actual service to execute the laws of the state , the laws of the United States, suppress insurrections , and repel invasions but only according to the criteria the state legislature has previously provided for the governor to use to make such a decision.

Paragraph 24

In no event shall any militiaman be summoned and mustered into service of the United States other than to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections, and repel invasions.

Paragraph 25 is all about training and how to pay the militia

and that is two paragraphs and we are done

and then some final words about the militia

We are almost to the end of this subject and then we can start a new one.

Brent concluded his lesson.

(1:25:14)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Mike

caller was disconnected

Caller 2: New Jersey Terry

It is often said that when you go before a judge and he says, “Do you understand?” that he is really saying , “Do you stand under me?”

Caller looked at a Black’s Law Dictionary

It basically said what the common understanding is not that you stand under the judge

Based on the caller’s experience with the court of record, they changed the definition between the third and fourth edition

When the judge says, “Do you understand?” What is he really saying?

He is covering his own backside so that he has it on record that you understand

He is not saying that you stand under him

Understanding means that you have a foundation for what you are thinking

It has always meant that.

The judges are not trying to fool you

What they are trying to do when they say that is cover themselves.

Caller 2: Mike

Last week Brent was speaking on the oath of office of the President and the Vice President . I think that you said that the President does not have control over the militia . Does the Vice President have control over the militia and does that mean the federal militia, the military, National Guard, or the unorganized militia? Who is in charge of the unorganized militia in New York?

The word unorganized militia is not in our Constitution. Neither is the word organized militia. All of those things arose within the last 125 years. It doesn’t exist in constitutional contemplation. Men do govern by words and the way we talk does make a difference. We should say what is constitutional and speak in constitutional terms. Brent did not intend to say that the President does not have control over the militia.

Gerard clarified that Brent said that the Vice President is part of the militia. But the President is not because he is the commander in chief of the militia.

Brent remembered saying that.

The President of the United States takes a different oath than the Vice President.

The Vice President took an oath to support and defend the Constitution against enemies foreign and domestic.

The President of the United States takes an oath that says that he will support the Constitution but it doesn’t use the phrase “enemies foreign and domestic”. The President doesn’t do that.

The reason that the President doesn’t say that he will defend the Constitution against enemies foreign and domestic because he is not potentially a member of the militia. He is the commander of the militia when called out. He does have the power to call them out. There are no federal militias There is only the militia of the several states. Each of the states independently. That puts the states in control. The President and the Vice President take a different oath because they have different responsibilities. The President is the one who does the calling out, the mustering. According to the criteria Congress gives him.

There are two fundamental duties of government.

National defense and Jury duty.

Those two duties have always been the duties of the militia.

In Old Anglo Dane England they called it the fyrd.

The fyrdfare was the militia a goin’

Fare is the old word for going

We’d say the militia mobilized The fyrdfare

The militiaman’s duty is to do two things

The duties of government are two

Against enemies foreign that is armed defense

Against enemies domestic is to be willing to serve on the jury

In New York State where would we be able to locate our local militia?

How would we contact them in order to be a part of it?

You are a part of the militia

The militia encompasses all able bodied males

That is what the militia is

If you try to contact the militia just go to your neighbor.

The militia are the People

Ha am is the Hebrew refers to the People refers to the males

If you go to Strong’s Concordance and look up “people” you will find that word (ha am)

(1:54:39)

Caller 3: John

Caller has a feeling that he may not adequately comprehend what we are living in

The reason for this puzzlement is that it seems to permeate every level of government and every activity that people in this country are taking part in.

It is important that we understand fundamentally who we are.

We need to go back and remind ourselves often

The thing that distinguishes us from all the rest of the world is our government

We have almost unbelievable corruption at every level

The court system is a very primary part of the government

That’s there to ensure justice

And if it doesn’t that adversely affects the whole rest of society

The problem we have in this country is that we’ve gotten away from the fundamental principles that would keep us within those guidelines.

When you have knowledge of the fundamental principles, that’s power.

If you give credibility to a fiction then that leaves corruption in.

Those who speak with forked tongues end up walking away with your liberties.

How can we expect justice when we go into a court that operates under the law of the sea?

People go in and they don’t know how to hold themselves on the land.

They are getting drawn away from that.

That’s why we talk about a court of record.

We are coming in under common law not under admiralty

The fundamental principles are on the land

Our Constitution has fundamental common law principles in it.

The all caps name is a problem. That is nothing but fraud

We finally deduced that this is something basically wrong here.

A lot of these things drag us into the wrong jurisdiction

Jurisdiction is another word for right

No man has a right to do wrong

Therefore no man has jurisdiction to do wrong.

No man has authority to do wrong

An unlawful jurisdiction is no law at all

It’s a façade, it’s a lie, it’s fraud

If it’s fraud then it’s not jurisdiction

There is power in the Evil Empire but there is not right, there is not jurisdiction.

There is no jurisdiction to do wrong.

The devil is clever. He uses useful idiots. Words are a big part of that.

Go back to that parcel of real estate called the Garden of Eden it was all about the twisting of words.

Go to commonlawyer.com and see when Brent is on the radio

(2:24:36)

Caller 4 Tim from Michigan

Since every man is automatically a militia member first does that prevent him from being drafted into involuntary federal military duty?

That is a question that our country has never totally resolved.

They’ve resolved it by force.

The only man to ever run for President of the United States from a federal prison took the same position. His name was Eugene Debs

Woodrow Wilson saw to it that he receive the result he wanted, he was thrown in prison for telling people that to submit to the draft in World War I was involuntary servitude

He got ten years in federal prison

The draft is not the militia

Therefore it is involuntary servitude

It is unlawful

The federal government has the power that the Constitution gives it, and that’s it.

The general government in DC is a government of limited power.

You are a member of the militia

Anybody who is able bodied and able to carry a weapon in battle or serve on a jury

Back in the sixties they tried to draft Mohammad Ali and he refused and they put him in jail.

He served some jail time. He ended up winning and they let him go. He refused to back down.

If we had an enemy that was attacking us First of all, the President has the power to call the militia out to defend the country

If it required going overseas in order to finish that war they would never need a draft.

If they attacked us and we had to go over there they would have more guys volunteer to go over there they wouldn’t need a draft

It’s just a control thing.

Stick to fundamental principles and those problems would go away.

Is voluntary federal military service allowable to militiamen?

(2:34:30)

Maybe the militiaman would need to be released by his commanding officer in the militia.

That’s why we have common law courts so that they can interpret the things the legislators haven’t thought about.

They might have to release you in order for you to volunteer to go over there.

It depends on who calls them up first. The President or the Governor.

(2:37:25)

Caller 5: no response

Caller 6 Philip

Caller is new this is his first time hearing the call

He has gone through all the classes and constitutional teachings

He took the course and listened to the videos on traffic court

They made reference to the five motions you have to file before if you don’t get your court case dismissed Where are they?

That was one of the first videos that we put up

Going back to the fundamental principles you could imagine what they would be

They’re challenging the jurisdiction

Any motions that you give would be the motions to have him define where he thinks that he has control or how his court has control over you

You would have to ask them in such a way that he would have to answer that.

There was a site Remedies in the Law and they had people come on and teach There was one guy on there that did nothing but traffic court He goes through the whole right to travel thing. Gerard never pursued it because we were trying to get into the courts with the common law grand jury and we were trying to get the court of record established which is our right to a jury NLA has a traffic court type course It gets you out of court without pleading

Another good option is Carl Lentz and Get Out of Jail Free if you google him or YouTube search him He tells you how to get out of there without actually pleading and doing the rest in paperwork so you don’t get held in contempt You move from stage to stage in that process You follow his methodology A lot of times they just throw it out

Caller is being sued by the Utah State BAR for the unauthorized practice of law.

Caller set up a nonprofit corporation under the name Hanley Law Group Nonprofit to help people He was trying to do stuff online to help save people’s homes

The fact that you set up a nonprofit has put you in a bad spot

You kind of entered into their fiction

Then you said that you are not practicing law but yet you are helping people in the court

You’re going to have a hard time beating that one

NLA can’t give you legal advice

The minute you are exchanging money for something then it’s a business

If it is a business that they think that they regulate then you are in their territory

Try to get out of there as quick as you can however you can

Caller lives in Wisconsin

It is a civil case

Don’t say anything to them or they will use it against you and say they have jurisdiction because you are communicating.

You’re in a different state. Let them prove jurisdiction

The less contact you have the harder it will be for them

They’re going to get the judge to restrict you to not do this again

His website is HanleyLawGroup.com and dot net and dot org

They want that website down

Pick your battles

Caller lived in Utah for five or six years

They didn’t come after him until he moved

They will have trouble proving jurisdiction

He has been in contact with them and they will take that as jurisdiction

Caller asked you suing me for what damages and who is the injured party?

Why doesn’t the judge dismiss it?

It should have been a summary dismissal immediately because they have no cause of action.

That demonstrates the craziness of legislation.

When you make an offense against the government damages aren’t necessary

It is only when you are in a dispute with a private party that damages become important

When the legislature makes a command then damages don’t have to be proven

That’s the danger of the whole civil law idea

The civil law idea tries to control the future behavior of people through government commands whereas our common law waits til there is a problem and then it goes to the jury and asks what happened in the past. It doesn’t talk about the future

Manipulation and control that’s part of the Evil Empire

Our forbearers our First Fathers they wouldn’t have legislation

They said We got legislation God gave it to us in the Bible

and all we got to do is establish courts and empanel juries to apply it.

Legislation confuses things

Caller 7: 1652 What’s your question?

No response

Thank you for coming

We will look for you next time