National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

May 1, 2017

Opening Song: no opening song

Topic: untitled

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 8: 1-13

(4:50)

NLA is responding to a letter that we received from some lawyer. The US Attorney who is trying to protect a criminal who we’ve already done a default paper on. It’s the clerk trying to subvert or con us for $400 in order to have justice done in our courts. And they have defaulted. The U S Attorney responded with a letter. They like to do this let it to the judge and their will will be done. We’re responding to that letter now. We should probably have it done this week. We will read it next week. If anybody wants to read the actual letter that the attorney has written you can find it on the NLA docket page. Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org and highlight “Grand Jury” and click on “docket”. Or you can put in NationalLibertyAlliance.org/docket It is paper number 1017-03 U S Attorney letter to dismiss . We have a lot to say about that. Since all of these attorneys are having problems reading our paperwork and figuring out how common law works, we’re writing a paper that we are going to file to the courts and we’re going to file to all the people that we have been serving papers on on exactly how the court system is working since they don’t know how an Article 3 court is supposed to operate .

And they don’t know what common law is . And they don’t know what the Constitution is and what the Bill of Rights is. We’re going to explain it to them.

We’ve been filing a lot of memorandums which explains a lot of different things.

We gave them a memorandum on the Second Amendment. We gave them a Memorandum of Law on Law. We gave them a Memorandum of Law on Standing. We gave them a Memorandum on support of jurisdiction. Memorandum on Jury Tampering and Stacking, Memorandum on Article 3 Courts, Memorandum on the Founding Documents, Memorandum of Facts, and Memorandum on Grand Jury Authority. We also gave them a Statement of Jurisdiction. And we also sent a special letter to the governor. Not the governor, the judge, the magistrate of the court, to make sure that he doesn’t act as a judge. That might have been inside the jurisdiction paper. We’re going to make reference to that. A lot of these memorandums we’ve been writing is in order to build the case. What a common law case should be. And what the real law is concerning a common law court. We’re going to put a paper together. I’m not sure what we are going to call it. To bring all these memorandums together and fit in the missing pieces so that they can understand better what the rules of the common law court is. And anything other than a common law court under the authority and jurisdiction of Article 3 is null and void

(8:53)

We want to make that clear

This is the perfect time to respond to a lot of the problems and start pursuing indictments.

We’re doing Show Causes first. We’re going to give them an opportunity to respond.

But this U S Attorney in Albany , New York, we’re getting ready to put together a lot of our papers as soon as we write these first two papers we’re going to package everything up and send a copy to the Attorney General . And since the new US Supreme Court Justice has been approved by Congress in the past day or two , we’ll send him a copy too.

And we’re going to talk about the subversion and the problems in the court and the fact that there is no Article 3 court. What their duty is. We’re also going to go after Congress and talk to them, what their responsibility and their duty concerning the problems in the court. And there are problems everywhere. But we’re concerned right now with the problems in the court. If we can fix that problem then we can fix all of the other problems.

We need to go after Congress to press them for impeachment. They need to go after judges and start impeaching these judges. I don’t think that they are going to do it.

But we are at least going to inform them that they need to be doing this and we are going to start pointing out all of these judges that are involved in the conspiracy across this nation in every single federal district court. It’s the same thing over and over again. No due process.

Tainted juries. No Constitution in the courtroom. We need to bring it to an end.

John listened to the speech that the President made. John was impressed by his speech. It was yesterday that he made the speech. It was the 100 day in office and he made his speech concerning what he is doing and where he is headed. We did post that at our website under “news”. Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org then highlight “news” and then click on “Trump in the News” then you will find his speech. President Trumps first hundred days.

Give him time. He’s got his hands full. He’s going to get to things little by little.

He made a point that Common Core is going to be done away with. He mentioned that at the end of his speech.

John believes that this president wants to make America great again.

John believes that he understands what NLA is doing.

We have been copying him for quite some time.

We are going to copy him this final set of papers and make the point to this Attorney General he needs to send us down an honest law abiding US Attorney General because this one here that is in Albany is a fraud and he is protecting aiding and abetting a criminal.

We’re going after them with criminal charges.

Instead of going after the clerk for fraud he decided to go after the grand jury, We the People.

He makes the same error that many other people make thinking that we’re coming in as the plaintiff. We’re not coming in as the plaintiff

We’re coming in as the Sureties of Peace We’re coming in on behalf of the People.

When the prosecutor comes into the court and they go after someone criminally they have The People versus Whoever. The prosecutor comes after these people over criminal things.

They’ve been using our name in vain. They’ve been using our name unrightously. Supporting things that are criminal. Not justice So here we are the People finally coming in under our own name, We the People.

On behalf of many people.

We have about 30 habeas corpuses that we need to go after judges and clerks and we are going to do Show Causes we’re giving them an opportunity to respond.

We are going to lay out how this court case is going to go forward.

Since they won’t give us a hearing or voice in any courtroom we can’t bring in all these criminal judges because they aren’t going to do anything about it. Prosecutors won’t hear. You can’t get any grand juries

They’re all puppet juries anyway.

They’re led by the nose to do the will of the prosecutor.

The trial jury is led by the nose to do the will of the judge and the prosecutor (15:00)

There is no justice in these courts

We’re going to make that point very clear.

We got quite a few potential papers coming up on nonjudicial foreclosures.

We have tax nonjudicial foreclosures and we have mortgage nonjudicial foreclosures.

And this is a conspiracy because it’s happening in the courts exactly the same way.

There is a process and they’re all working on the same thing.

All these judges that are rejecting and refusing due process that’s a conspiracy.

They’re all doing the exact same thing. The responding the exact same way.

Removing the papers and ignoring. That’s a conspiracy. That proves conspiracy.

They’re consistently operating in the same way. It’s called collusion.

They’re subverting We the People They’re subverting the Constitution. They’re subverting the United States of America. We got a lot of other court cases. We got the court cases out West. We’re going to bring those cases in.

We’re working on those now.

There is a lot of evidence that we have accumulated.

We’re going to start hopefully in the next week uploading this evidence.

We already got a lot of evidence up there.

People should be reading it now. Because there’s a lot more coming up.

Read what we have now and get ready for the forthcoming of a lot more paperwork.

We have a lot of evidence coming.

We’re going to file charges first again we’re going to be doing things going forward with Show Cause and of course we’re going to alert the Attorney General , President of the United States, and also this new justice of the Supreme Court of how we’re operating and what our plans are. That this is criminal cases that we are bringing together since they won’t allow them into the courts we actually opened a case ourselves got a number and we’re going to be doing indictments. But first all of these people will have the final opportunity to confess, repent, and turn from their ways, and start doing the right thing.

If they don’t do that within the time period that we give them then we are going to move toward

indictments. Again we want to try to push the Congress to do their job and go forward after these people with impeachments. But again I don’t believe that they’re going to do it. We’re going to communicate while we are doing this paper we will be communicating because we want to know exactly what is it that the attorney general is going to do? Is he going to respond? Is he going to obey the law? Is he going to enforce the law? Is he going to stop all of this injustice in our courts? All of the subversion against the Constitution?

Is he going to step up and do the right thing?

And, Mr President, if he doesn’t do this, what are you going to do about it?

That’s how we’re going to try to handle it to force these people to do the right thing.

We’re going to remind the President that he said that he wants a lawful government.

He said that his Attorney General is going to enforce the law.

We got the tapes on that, that is what he said.

We are going to remind him of what he said and require that he proceed the way that he said he was going to proceed.

It’s going to take two or three weeks to get all of this stuff together.

We have a lot of writing to do.

Within 30 days after we accomplish these goals and get the paperwork in we’re going to start moving towards indictment. We want to set the stage up.

We want to get the agreement from the attorney general and the acknowledgement from the President that he’s going to do the right thing.

We want them to give us a prosecutor.

We want a U S Attorney that’s going to obey the law and we will watch him like a hawk.

We’re going to need more than one because we got about 50 cases.

We have the murder of LaVoy Finicum.

We have the sufferings of all of the Patriots out there that have been standing up for their rights, they’ve been trampled upon, they’ve been abused, they’ve been put in jail and there’s no real charges against these people. They’re making everything up.

We’re going to make these cases very clear. The evidence we have is just overwhelming.

We already put the judge on notice, don’t even think that he is going to act as a judge, he is a magistrate. He doesn’t get summary judgment unless we order him to do so.

We did recently order him for the default, to put that default out.

He hasn’t responded yet.

The U S Attorney responded with a letter.

The magistrate is waiting to see what we are going to do with that letter.

We’ll let him know and we’ll let him know that in that response to that letter , we’re not going to respond in a letter, we’re going to respond on record, and we’re going to bring that letter into the record. This is the second letter we’ve gotten. We got the same thing from the Attorney General in New York state. Again, there’s no standing business, this other lawyer talks about us not having the ability or authority to be able to come to court to fight this case.

If you are an injured party then you have standing.

If you have one of your unalienable rights oppressed somewhere, they refuse to give you due process, that brings us right into entering the federal courts immediately because that’s their jurisdiction.

We have a lot more papers to be revealed in the next couple of weeks.

Next week we will start reading some of them.

You’ll see lots of evidence start to appear up on our page soon.

We got lots of evidence against these judges.

It’s unbelievable what these judges are doing and what they’re saying and how they’re treating We the People.

QUESTIONS

There was a question but it was not available at this time.

(26:13)

Robert made an announcement:

On our Thursday night Committee of Safety calls, we’re only getting about 28 people in the room. That’s not satisfactory. If there is anybody on the call tonight that signed up to establish Committees of Safety, you need to come into the Thursday night call at 9:00 PM Eastern time. Start learning and get an education on how to set up the Committees of Safety. It is the most important thing that we can be doing right at the moment. If you’ve signed up to establish Committees of Safety in your area, your counties, then let’s come on the call. Learn how to do it. Let us help you. We got to get it going.

Robert concluded

(27:42)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law.

Politics is not the answer.

The right education may be the answer

The wrong education is the problem

Natural law is based on facts and nature

You plant in the spring and you harvest in the fall.

Facts are the basis of common law not logic.

Logic, the foundation of the law of the city that governs every country on the face of our planet, the Code of Justinian except a few common law countries.

The first university revolved around the Code of Justinian, logic not fact.

The phrase “natural law” is not found in the Bible

It is referenced in Romans Chapter 2 verses 14 and 15

(39:05)

Romans Chapter 2 verses 14 and 15 does not teach that every man, much less every Christian man, knows right from wrong by nature. He does not. But it does teach that every man, Christian or not, is endowed with the natural ability to discover and distinguish right from wrong. And if he doesn’t do it he is going to get himself in trouble He is responsible to discover the difference between right and wrong.

He has been delegated the duty to do that and he has the duty to do that and he better well find out right from wrong.

Natural law is the ability to discover right from wrong That is a developed ability.

It’s a discipline that a person puts themselves through to learn the standards of the True Lawgiver, to keep the standards of the True Lawgiver , to safeguard them, and then to do them, that takes discipline to apply them in the right way. The facts change the application of the principle. The principle never changes .

That’s the thing that is important learn the ability to know right from wrong in any given situation, to be able to recognize it when you see it because it is not always clear as crystal.

The Evil Empire and useful idiots are good at masking evil.

The bailiff in the back of the courtroom he heard one lawyer make an argument and he was listening to the proceedings and the judge looked at the lawyer and he said “You know that makes sense you’re right.” So the other lawyer made his argument and when he got done, the bailiff was listening to all of this, the judge looked at the other lawyer and he said “you know what” he said “ you’re right too”. And the bailiff , in frustration, said aloud, “Judge, they both can’t be right.” And the judge looked at the bailiff and said, “You know, now that I think about it, you’re right too”

We haven’t honed our ability to discern right from wrong in any given instance.

Arguments sway us.

It is our job to find the truth in fact and find the truth in law.

The jury has the power over the facts and the law

But there is a massive move in America by every device you can imagine by prosecutors and judges and courts and legislators and people in power to thwart that effort right now. One of the big things that it comes down to is that people don’t understand what an oath is and how it differs from a vow. And whether or not an oath should be obeyed. When should an oath be obeyed and when should it not be obeyed. There is a time, a responsibility, a lawful duty to not obey one’s oath if one discovers that the oath that he took was to do something not lawful.

(1:02:03)

Brent concluded

QUESTION for Gerard

Regarding Trey Gowdy’s two investigators that were reportedly murdered in Missouri while attempting to serve a summons. His family had been threatened and they were in protective custody. Has Gerard investigated this and found that this is not true?

Gerard was not available to answer the question.

CALLERS

Caller 1: Mary has a question for Brent

You were speaking about the role of judges in a capital murder case and Mary’s question is:

If indeed the original Thirteenth Amendment has been authenticated, as I understand, anyone who accepted a BAR card is actually a foreign agent How can we justify giving that kind of power and authority to a foreign agent?

They are saying that the attorney is the foreign agent. Is that correct?

Correct

I don’t understand how these errors about lawyers get started

I understand that lawyers can be crooked as a dog’s hind legs

Judges have been sent to prison for taking bribes.

To say the lawyer, licensed to practice law, is a foreign agent is a stretch beyond stretches. I don’t know where that came from.

To be a foreign agent, it seems like the argument is they’ve taken an oath to a foreign sovereign of some kind. I’ve heard that lawyers take oaths to the Queen of England and to the Pope of Rome.

That’s not true.

They don’t take any other oath, unless they belong to a secret society, outside of their BAR license oath. And I’m sure that some of them do belong to secret societies. Secret societies are everywhere. Always have been.

Lawyers are required by the terms of the Constitution of the United States to take an oath to support and defend the Constitution. The reason they are required to take that oath, says our Constitution, because they are officers of the court, and that’s why they take that oath.

Back in March of 2013 Mary watched the New Hampshire State legislature hearings. Five constituents had presented authenticated copies of the original Thirteenth Amendment along with supporting documents to State Reps and the state legislature called hearings. She was the first to present to the state legislature the authenticated copies. Two had been found in Great Britain. One in the law library in DC the National Archives. One was in Georgetown and one in another university in this country. As a result of all of that all of the original ratifying , it was authenticated, it was validated that it was ratified apparently that was part of the reason for the War of 1812 was to prevent it. It was signed and ratified in 1819. Subsequently the documentation was then presented as well as the testimony that the BAR is actually an acronym for British Accredited Registry. And it is in our Constitution that any lawyer who pledges to a foreign country is no longer considered a citizen. This was what was being explained during the hearings. This has all been suppressed. They came forward and presented their authenticated ratified documents that the original Thirteenth Amendment was indeed ratified and no person with a title of nobility may hold public office along with not accepting any royalty from foreign government.

Brent is a lawyer and he is licensed to practice in more than one jurisdiction and he has never been asked to take any other oath other than the one that the Constitution requires.

We swear to uphold , to support, and defend the Constitution of the United States against enemies foreign and domestic . Brent has taken that oath six times. Military service, prosecutor, I’m just giving you my personal testimony. Brent has never known a lawyer that has had a title of nobility. Caller said that lawyer is considered a title of nobility. Brent continued: bar refers to that rail in the courtroom and when I go into court where I am not licensed, walk into a federal court where I am not licensed, my client was on the other side of the bar and I stood behind the bar and the prosecutor said “His lawyer is sitting back here” and the judge said “where ?” and I held up my hand and he said “You come up here in front of the bar and set with you client.” I wasn’t allowed to come in front of that bar because I wasn’t licensed in that state until the judge said I could. There’s a bar between those that are licensed to practice to argue for other people

Brent has never seen any sign of British Accredited Registry in the court.

Caller’s understanding is that Lawyer Esquire is a title of nobility.

We live in a pagan world if you look at the names of the days of the week and months.

Those are pagan names.

All about worship of pagan deities.

That doesn’t mean that lawyers have titles of nobility.

Brent wishes that he could stop that crazy ugly rumor

There are serious battles to fight but fighting that one is not a hill that you want to die on.

It’s a red herring of the Evil Empire It’ something they dangle in front of our noses to thwart us from focusing on the kill. The kill is the thing that really matters. We must focus on the thing that will control all other things.

The courts is the branch of government that must be focused on.

The banking fraud , the mortgage fraud , the foreclosure fraud was investigated

It was investigated and determined through the investigation , this was the outcome of the investigation, the bankers hired several companies to falsify the documents fraudulently foreclose on people form their own LLCs take people into fraudulent sheriff sale and then be their own collection company

This is exactly what has been done to her and her husband for four years.

The extortion of money is despicable.

Every single lawyer, even the one we hired, has followed right along and played right along.

The court system is under admiralty law, the law of the sea.

There has been a conditioning going on for over a century

They have dumbed down America horribly

They send you to school and they teach you a lot of lies.

We are not taught the truth in school

We are not taught the truth in medicine

Once you take down the problems that are obvious you deal with the judges you deal with the people in the court that are anticonstitutional you get rid of all these people forcing them under fear of indictment to do the right thing to obey the law and to do their job what they were originally elected or appointed for once you get to that point and you get that fixed now you can start to see the truth

These people are empowered and can get away with what they are getting away with because they’ve filled the whole system up with minions if you can take down the minions and that is what we’re headed for take down the minions and get the fear of the people through the judiciary and judicial process especially on the federal level and state levels once you can get that going all these minions will be taken out

Once you can take down the minions and the key place is the judiciary whoever controls the law controls the nation.

It gets back to due process, it gets back to jurisdiction, and it gets back to your unalienable rights. Those are the three major things.

Once they lose their minions then they will be exposed and then there will be a lot of evidence and then we can find out the real truth

If justice is actually applied in our courts if judges got to a point that they applied justice and they stop tainting the juries once you get to that the other stuff will start to open up

There are a lot of ignorant people.

If you get justice in the courts and you clean it up you’re dealing with jurisdiction you’re dealing with due process and dealing with people’s unalienable rights

those three points at some point you will get to the more deeper things which become very complicated but can be dealt with the facts are brought to the surface and can be seen and judged by the people.

You got to fix the foundation first

We’re in jurisdictions unknown

You look at jurisdiction and you look at due process and you look at unalienable rights and that’s where your battle is.

Once you clean up and shore up the foundation, get justice back into the system, everything will fix itself.

Caller 2 not available

Caller 3 California Jeremiah

(1:58:00)

People v Santa Barbara

When it came to reporting on local issues there was no restriction on the authority

In other words, the case law states basically that the grand jury is not necessarily a free wheeling entity. It’s part of the judicial branch and it’s constitutional but it’s not completely freewheeling per se except in situations where the issues are local.

“A grand jury is not a completely freewheeling entity separate and distinct from the judicial branch. The grand jury serves as an integral part of the court system subject to the court’s general supervision. However the grand jury enjoys full independence when investigating and reporting on activities of local government. “ People v Superior Court of Santa Barbara County 1975

That’s an opinion and null and void in the mind of common law.

The people are not to be controlled by the judge or anybody in the judiciary.

We the People control them.

Any time there is any kind of control over the people when it comes to the grand jury or the trial jury, it’s a problem. If someone is trying to fix the jury someone is trying to taint the jury

 because we get to nullify we get to investigate anyone we want nobody is above the law.

And how do you get someone to a point where you get them to a criminal court system is when the grand jury indicts. And if you can’t look into the doings of the people at the highest level then obviously they’re above the law.

And nobody is above the law.

The whole thing is null and void.

They want to keep us ignorant and dumb because if we don’t know how the court system works and we can’t get in and do anything about it then they can keep themselves protected and keep breaking the law and get away with it because they control it.

The people that are BAR taught can’t digest this.

We’re not going in as the plaintiff.

Each case is it’s own plaintiff

They have to get a jury for each case

Judges believe they have immunity, they proclaimed it themselves, it’s nowhere in the Constitution.

No man is above the law

If you’re going to break the law once you move away from the law it becomes bad behavior. As long as they don’t come out of their jurisdiction and as long as they don’t deny due process they should be pretty safe.

You don’t deny due process, you stay within the jurisdiction then that judge is very safe.

(2:17:32)

It is all about subversion

Let’s get down to the basics

Let’s get due process in the courts

Let’s get the proper jurisdiction

Let’s get people’s rights

Everything else is going to take care of itself

Once we get the beginning going then it’s up to the people

We ask the people who become administrators to take a vow to God

Come in with honor, justice, and mercy

(2:27:16)

Caller 4: Eric

In a recent interview with Fox News Channel Martha McCallum

President Trump said the following

“I’ve always been a closer We don’t have a lot of closers in politics and I understand why

It’s a very rough system It’s an archaic system You look at the rules of the Senate, even the rules of the House — but the rules of the Senate and some of the things you have to go through — it's really a bad thing for the country, in my opinion. They're archaic rules. And maybe at some point we're going to have to take those rules on, because, for the good of the nation, things are going to have to be different because you can’t go through a process like this. It’s not fair It forces you to make bad decisions. You’re forced into doing thing you normally would not do except for these archaic rules”

If Trump doesn’t understand the Constitution, and I don’t think that he does understand the Constitution, because if he did he wouldn’t allow a federal district judge to thwart his executive decisions but if he doesn’t understand the Constitution the idea of him saying …

John thinks that President Trump got lost on bad advice

We gave him some advice but our advice came late

When we bring the committeeman process back we are going to bring the rules back into play.

We were the only elected committeemen in New York State in 60 years

The same rule system that they got working in the committeeman process is also working in the House. In both Houses. They break the people up into committees and then give power a few, there’s a couple of people who decide what’s going to go up onto the floor and what’s not going onto the floor and people get angry. They are being controlled by a couple of tyrants in the whole process. This is in all the state legislatures. And in the federal system also. This has got to be broken down. You elect a chairman by popular vote. At any given time if you don’t like the chairman and what’s going on you can take a popular vote and get rid of him. You got to keep things moving. Most of the discussions they get involved in they shouldn’t be discussing and they shouldn’t be involved in because they don’t have the constitutional authority to make these decisions. Most of what Congress comes up with is unconstitutional.

They don’t have the jurisdiction to make these laws or statutes.

Statutes are things created by men.

If we can stop them from doing unconstitutional things, that’s all we need to do.

We also need to take away the money involved in politics.

That will get rid of a lot of the criminals also.

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org click on “News” then click “Trump in the News” and listen to President Donald Trump 100 Days Rally

We have to support Israel

We have to support some of the Arab countries too

If they’re willing to work with us then we need to work with them

We’ve been causing all kinds of havoc over there.

It isn’t us We the People it’s all these tyrants

It’s peace commerce and honest friendship will all nations entangling alliances with none.

Look at all the problems we have caused in the Middle East

How are we going to fix it?

We can’t fix it.

How could we possibly fix all the damage that we’ve done out there?

This is a huge problem

But you have to support the people.

The people of those nations have been just as abused as we have been.

We have to go after the evil in the nations but ultimately we have to support the people.

The debt is not ours

And the unjust things that have been done to these people, We the People are not doing it.

The two private organizations, the IRS and the Federal Reserve have done all the evil. Go after them.

From the Zero Hedge regarding Trump:

Trump Flips on Five Core Campaign Promises in under 24 Hours

Goodbye strong dollar and high interest rates

 What can a man do in 100 days? Look what he’s done to the market . The markets are

 rallying and going crazy Business is getting excited

Labeling China a currency manipulator

 Apparently he said in his campaign that China was a currency manipulator

 You can’t attack China if you want China to help you

 One of the reasons China does not want to hurt us is that they have so much invested

 here.

 Like it or not it is a global economy

Yellen’s future

Export Import Bank

NATO

We got to get through to Trump if he is really going to transfer the power back to We the People. The NLA plan is the only one that is going to work. I hope that he is listening.

Caller 5: Ollie

[www.ibanet.org/conferences/washington2016.aspx](http://www.ibanet.org/conferences/washington2016.aspx)

The state BAR association is a member of the United States BAR Association that is a member of the International BAR Association

If you make it to this site you see that the IBA has annual conferences. The last conference was in Washington DC US Attorney General Loretta Lynch gave the keynote address at the IBA conference Ollie wants to know why the US Attorney General is addressing the International BAR Association and he would like to know what is the purpose of the International BAR Association and maybe Brent could discuss that next week?

Also touch on the International Association of Judges too?