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Scripture Reading: Matthew 13 : 1-17

(3:42)

This week we did get together. Quite a few people showed up to vote yea or nay on the indictment.

It was unanimous for indictment

We did write up the papers for the indictment They were mailed out today

We also sent a copy and a letter to President Trump

Gerard will read the indictment

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org Highlight “Grand Jury” Click “Docket”

* [1024-02 Indictment Judge Kahn](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1024-02%20Indictment%20Judge%20Kahn.pdf)

[1023-04 Information to President](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1023-04%20Information%20to%20President.pdf)

(6:16) Gerard began reading the indictment

The indictment reads as follows:

“UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

We the People - Against - Lawrence E. Kahn

CASE NO: 1:16-CV-1490

GRAND JURY INDICTMENT FOR CONCEALMENT

Prepared by: Grand Jury Foreman

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

COUNT 1 – Concealment

COUNT 2 – Felony Rescue

The grand jury charges that on or about June 14th 2017 at Albany, New York, in the

Northern District of New York, Lawrence E. Kahn defendant herein, with the intent to

commit Felony Rescue by Concealing: murder; torture; manipulation of evidence,

witnesses and juries in order to incarcerate innocent People who are a threat to exposing

judicial and law enforcement corruption and RICO on a national level; conspiracy to

supplant the Law of the Land with statutes, thereby replacing our Republic with

Corporatism; political incarcerations; Denial of due process; robbing Peoples’ homes and

estates; taking Peoples children; running debtors prisons; covering up and protecting

pedophile rings, etc... in violation of 18USC §4, 18USC §1001

COUNT 3 – Trespassed upon the case

COUNT 4 – Denial of due process

COUNT 5 – Acted under Color of Law in an attempt to seize control of this court

COUNT 6 – Manufacturing an Unlawful Order

COUNT 7 – Aiding and Abetting

The record shows that on June 14, 2017, without any

Constitutional authority, self-appointed U.S. District Judge Lawrence E. Kahn, ignoring the random selection process that appointed Magistrate Daniel J. Stewart to this case, trespassed upon the case conducting his own court without notice or concurrence of the parties; without notice to the administrator Magistrate Daniel J. Stewart; without jurisdiction; without due process and under color of law acted in an attempt to seize control of this court, an act of a tyrant, by manufacturing an UNLAWFUL ORDER to dismiss this action without prejudice,

thereby aiding and abetting.

The law provides that the judiciary is to respond and not conceal as was attempted by Judge Lawrence E. Kahn. Furthermore, without proper authority, self-appointed Judge Lawrence E. Kahn trespassed upon the case and, by his actions and statements, assumed the cloak of a tribunal. Judge Lawrence E. Kahn then rendered a ruling under color of law by seizing control and applying rules from jurisdictions foreign to this court without leave of court. “

We followed up with an information to President Trump

(9:34)

“INFORMATION TO PRESIDENT TRUMP Case NO: 1:16-CV-1490 Magistrate: Daniel J. Stewart

We have sent numerous communiques to Attorney General Jeff Sessions concerning a corrupt Judiciary that is participating in and concealing and covering up innumerable crimes on a National level including murder and cruel and unusual punishments. Attorney General Jeff Sessions has a duty to speak but we have only been met with SILENCE. “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . .” -- U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932. Attorney General Jeff Sessions has a duty to Prevent under 42 USC §19861 . Attorney General Jeff Sessions has a duty to Act under 18 USC §42 .

We would like to remind you Mr. President that Greatness can only be equated with Justice, without which there can be no blessings from God. America “Was Great” because we exercised Common Law which is God’s Law, without which there can be no Justice. Thereby, America will only be as Just or corrupt as its courts and presently Justice cannot be found in our Federal Courts and it appears that Attorney General Jeff Sessions is not interested in Just Courts. You can repair healthcare, repair the economy, protect our boarders, return business to America and you can drain the swamp, but if we don’t return justice to the courts the bottom feeders will only regain their tyrannical rule after your administration leaves office. Only the People can Save America by enforcing Justice in the Courts. We respectfully request that you direct Attorney General Jeff Sessions to obey the Law of the Land and do his sworn duty or resign.”

(11:30)

Both of those documents are at NationalLibertyAlliance.org Highlight “Grand Jury” Click “Docket”
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This indictment is the first indictment that we are doing on a federal level

It’s a timely paper We’ll see how they respond to it

We got lots of other papers to file and continue to do certain things if they don’t react and respond in the proper way

That will unfold as we go forward

When this magistrate took control as administrator of the case he has been very quiet

We’ve given him an order to sign some papers and he hasn’t done that

All of these cases that are defaulted he needs to sign

And before we send a paper for him to show cause by what authority he failed to act we thought that it would be strategic to do this indictment first

Reason being mostly is that it would put more pressure on this guy

Clearly this magistrate does not want to throw this case out because he knows it’s wrong

Possibly he is afraid to act and do the wrong thing

So his only choice is to either do the right thing or not act

Also we copied the President of this indictment

We copied Jeff Sessions

Jeff Sessions has not responded

We got three other individuals that we copied three congressmen

We’re saying to the President either this guy is going to respond or he’s not and you got to get rid of him He needs to resign because clearly he’s not standing for justice

And he is participating at this point in concealment

So we’re going to go after Jeff Sessions shortly also if we have to We’ll see what happens.

We’ll give them time

Our next real move will be for this magistrate to show cause by what authority he fails to act.

And giving him a threat of being brought before the Grand Jury for indictment also for the same thing concealment, felony rescue, and so on.

So we will see where that goes

QUESTION

Question 1: After filing a judicial cognizance to a judge in the state of Maryland and the judge does not respond how would you suggest one proceed?

They’ve violated due process

I would make a federal case out of it

Move it into a federal court for cause

You want to write your papers without straight out telling the judge that you’re going to hold him responsible and go after him too but you kind of want to signal that in a roundabout way.

(19:40)

John wants to read a short paper and make a few comments

This letter is from a person who caused some problems a while back

NLA did not remove Lydia’s membership

There was a lot of problems with this individual and a small group

She thought that we were doing things incorrectly and that John’s position should be voted in on

She thought John should give up his position

You can’t just vote somebody in to do what we are trying to do

To write these papers, plan the strategy, and move things along

This letter was sent to Terry

21:48

She starts off

“You need to tell John Darash and others at National Liberty Alliance that they are making fools of themselves and otherwise setting themselves up for a legitimate civil and criminal prosecution because as crazy patriot idiots they never bothered checking any of their leads. Writs of Error were abolished by an act of Congress

by acts of the people and replaced by rule sixty motions federal rules of civil procedure.

John Darash and the other crazy patriot idiots never bothered to go to a law school library or even a public library and reading Moore’s Federal Practice

Tells you about everything that you need to know

Never bothering to check corpus juris secundum

Never bothered to do a little research on Lexis or WestLaw

Never bothered doing research using any of the other legal encyclopedias readily available in law school libraries Never bothered reading the CPLR or the practice commentaries

Everyone has access to a law school library because as taxpayers we have the right to use any law school library as federal depositories of federal documents and it is not too hard to figure out a way to gain access to Lexis or WestLaw in a cost effective way either

In short these crazy patriot idiots did not do their due diligence to do their research and they should take Doctor Graves online class and there is another online class for as little as $49

In addition John Darash intentionally deliberately read here with criminal intent sets up the membership for failure and prosecution because the membership wants forums to discuss their cases and the things that they can do to get remedy and John and his mindless henchmen shut them down with stupid excuses that John is terrified that he will be criminally prosecuted for practicing law without a license and that they are practicing law without a license when all that they are doing is having a study group and a simple disclaimer made along those lines would stop any further prosecution for practicing law without a license. In sum John Darash is doing a disservice to the membership Please tell him what I said. I am sure that John Darash will make up some excuse why it’s OK for him to ignore acts of Congress.

PS In criminal prosecutions petitions for abatement for misdemeanor were abolished too by acts of Congress

John has a short response to this

Apparently this is an assault

We did stop some time ago getting together and discussing cases

There are places people can do that We need to stay focused and we don’t want to get lost.

Also if we did something like that we would have to allow people the ability to run these rooms.

John doesn’t have the time to do anything like that.

We’d have to have somebody that we could trust and count on that they’re not going to bring in things that this woman brought in

Also the man on the land stuff they could cause problems

You can’t control what people are going to say

National Liberty Alliance is not here to give advice in that way

We do try to assist in a general way because most of these cases could be dealt with for lack of due process.

Every single case that I have seen anywhere since I have been studying and involved in the courts for about ten years now and I have never seen a case that has not violated our due process rights every single time

Also the rules constantly change They never stay the same

You have to sue for due process

And that’s what you do when you go to federal court

People notice that I am constantly talking about taking it into federal court for cause

for violating due process

Our purpose here at National Liberty Alliance is to spearhead the battle for liberty and for justice into the courtroom That’s our purpose

Everything else can and will be dealt with after we achieve that point

In order to do that we have to set up administrations in every county across the nation

We have to train people

We are also putting together a handbook to assist the people

It’s all about justice

It’s all about remedy

People do all kinds of stupid things and sometimes they injure people They’re not necessarily criminal and they don’t need to go to jail for that They need to pay restitution to the individual or the individuals that they have injured.

Remedy is the focus of common law

For every injury there must be a remedy

The people in the jury have to come in with a sense of honor, justice, and mercy

That’s the only way we will be able to get our courts back and do the right thing

Yes I do ignore the acts of Congress

I do ignore anything that is abolished by acts of Congress

The only cases that John uses are United States Supreme Court cases and any case that supports our position, we’ll use that

There are a lot of great decisions from the United States Supreme Court over the past two hundred years.

My answer is Marbury vs Madison

“All laws, rule, and practices which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void”

“Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.” Miranda vs Arizona

(30:16)

“Those statutes which would deprive a citizen of the right of person or property without a regular trial according to the course and usage of the common law would not be the law of the land.”

Hoke vs Henderson

That’s why I would ignore codes and statutes.

I would use those codes and statutes if they benefit us

Whenever it is appropriate and beneficial to our position to use a statute or code we will.

We will ignore any and all codes that are repugnant to the Constitution.

We will ignore any and all decisions that are repugnant to the Constitution.

We will ignore and reject any and all legislation that is repugnant to the Constitution.

All of that is null and void

Let’s focus in on our unalienable rights and the violations thereof

If our unalienable rights are not being violated then we are in a just court

If we are getting due process we are in a just court

If we have a jury that is unshackled which is free and not a puppet jury then we’re in a just court

If we have jury nullification and the jury is fully aware of this and understands that then we’re in a just court

If the jury understands that it is them who decides what the punishment might be with the eye on restitution and not jail then we’re in a just court

That’s the focus of where we have to be

When the jury has that power and authority and understands that power and authority then we’re in a just court

And that’s why We the People need to take control of the administration of the juries

And these Grand Juries should have access to an investigative body that works on their behalf and if they actually want to go out and look into books or computers or question people

it’s their right to do so and we will facilitate that.

That is the making of just courts

(37:17)

Gerard just got this Dismissal from Court from the Northern District of New York

NLA should post it

It says Judgment in a civil case Docket Number

It says Plaintiff Grand Jury , Sovereigns of the Court

It is great that he called us that

So now he made a decision against the Grand Jury, Sovereigns of the Court

against US Congress et al defendants

This is a form letter that was signed by the clerk announcing this dismissal by the judge

This action came before the court for trial by jury the actions have been tried and the jury has rendered a verdict

This action came to trial or hearing before the court the issues have been tried and the decision has been rendered

Gerard did not know that we had a hearing before the court

That’s what they’re claiming

Clearly they’re not reading our paper

They don’t care to read our papers

They’re sweeping it under the rug

Karl just found the above on Pacer

It was actually filed today

They’re acknowledging us as “Grand Jury, Sovereigns of the Court”

We are going to send a Writ of Error to the clerk who forwarded this

We will figure out a paper to write directly on this one along with our indictment

(42:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Crystal

Crystal got a letter from the court

We can talk about that later

Right now we will focus on the indictment that we have done

Caller 2 Dionnie from Maryland

She has a question about the filings at the NLA site

NLA conglomerated all of these filings together that NLA got via affidavits and filed them all together This is the case that we’re dealing with with the judge not wanting to hear all of this Should we also include those filings that are our own locally in the court in our state?

If you have an affidavit that you’d like to add concerning misjustice in the court not getting due process or anything of that nature you can write your affidavit and we will add it into the case At some point in time it will have to be dealt with

We don’t want to get any traffic violations or anything like that

We want to get into the more serious cases

People that are in jail or going to jail losing their homes nonjudicial foreclosures .

Should we file that writ in our local court?

You could take a copy of that and file it with the county clerk to let it be known that this case is open concerning your home so it will be attached concerning the information on your property You take it to the court which they have already been notified take it to the court and file it with the clerk to make sure it gets into the court and isn’t concealed .

That it is part of the court case going on that is attached to your court case

And that should be something enough to stop these people at least while it’s in the court

They’re going to default on these nonjudicial foreclosures

In the default is to return the individual back to the original state before this was brought up against them

So if you already lost your home the default would require them to return your home

If that is a difficult task the individual may be willing to work something else out

They could get whatever the cost of their home would be

That’s what we are writing up in the wherefore clause should they default

And they will default

We got numerous defaults that we will be filing soon.

Caller 3 Eric Georgia

(47:45)

Last week Eric told Gerard that he would write something up concerning this indictment

Eric wrote something up

Was it a unanimous decision to indict?

Yes it was unanimous

Not one person went the other way

Eric will drop it in John, Gerard, and Jan’s Skype

Eric just wanted to get approval on it

People should not drop anything into John’s skype unless John approves it first

John ignores a lot because he has no choice

Lots of things get lost

John doesn’t have the time

Often you can get to John through Gerard or Jan

Sometimes they can help you

We want Eric to get that blog out there

We will try to respond tomorrow

We will put in the newsletter concerning the blog

Caller 4 Fred California

(51:09)

Wouldn’t it now be appropriate to get the Courtroom Observers and Investigation Team of the Grand Jury with indictment in hand walk right into that guy’s courtroom and ask him why the People weren’t summoned to be there during some litigation and why this all happened by mail ? It is all a fraud I’d be very careful about physically going up there or sending people because they would love to find a face and a name.

Basically we have a paper We’re going to respond with this on this particular paper and we’ll probably do it this week and read it next Monday.

And we’re going to ignore it.

We’re going to serve notice onto the clerk and make it clear to him that his unconstitutional illegal unlawful decision without any authority this self appointed judge just walked into the room this is null and void we’ve already given a Writ of Error and we’re going to let the clerk know that if they remove , destroy or conceal any papers that we continue to put into this case that we will indict that clerk also. Plus we are going to be pushing the magistrate we want to serve him notice on his failing to do his administrative duties. And what the consequences of that is going to be

I don’t believe that the magistrate is going to go along with this guy

I can see that he’s frightened

And he’s just being completely silent

At some point that silence turns to fraud

Now is the time to let him know that his silence is becoming fraud and we’re going to deal with him and bring him before the full grand jury to consider an indictment for fraud and concealment

They should be shaking in their boots because they don’t know how to deal with us

We’ve been there so long we’re eight months in that court case

This case is going to continue There is no way that they can throw it out

And we’re going to continue to pile papers in there

Fred noticed that in all of the NLA writings he has never seen the phrase “time is of the essence”

Time is of the essence , of course.

We do have to give them the time to respond

NLA is looking for volunteers to assist in the habeas corpuses Possibly paralegals

If we could get someone with that kind of experience John would love to continue doing habeas corpuses

With habeas corpuses they got three days That is “time is of the essence” because you got an individual that is incarcerated and they need to respond

That is what is agreed to even statutorily Three days is the maximum

They can request more and we can give up to 20 days

There would have to be a really good reason

You never mention attorney fees or court costs I understand that you believe that that is part of the fiction and the fraud but as long as we’re playing in their arena we need to point fingers at the money

We have and we went after the clerk Nothing has happened with the clerk They never responded to the paperwork to show cause They didn’t show cause They just ignored it

So they defaulted and we sent the paperwork to the judge for a signature He hasn’t signed it That’s one of the things he should have signed

They have defaulted and we have to move on It’s time to look for a show cause for this judge and with that show cause if we have to we need to go forward with an indictment. And even if our indictments pile up it’s OK if the indictment goes through and the indictment doesn’t start for a month or two or three or more That’s OK It’s still there in court

Records are being kept

Fred was wondering about courtroom observers where the Bundys are involved.

Where they’re being drug through another court case

We have put up alerts for that We have more paperwork that we got in on the Bundys

We got so much stuff We just haven’t been able to get around to it

We need volunteers We need help

If you can help get ahold of Jan You can find him under the directory and let him know that you can give us some of your time and that you can assist us in some paperwork

The only one that needs to be reading the papers is the magistrate And the magistrate only for the purpose of finding out when they need to administrate Until that point in time we don’t need anything Now that we have first indictment now the pressure is on for a prosecutor and that’s why we sent that letter to the President Information to the President concerning Jeff Sessions that we’ve communicated with him three times and he has remained totally silent Silence is a fraud when you have a duty to speak These things take time You do have to give them so many days to respond We got to be reasonable

We are also looking for one of two things which haven’t happened yet

Critical mass or the President letting his cards be shown that he’s behind us

That would give us critical mass

Critical mass is the key to the success of this project

We have sixty two plus hundred people

You can find our membership on the front page

You can find it on every page on the right hand side

Our membership is 6,220 people

We have 1,003 grand jury administrators

We have lots of other leadership

We’re building

On the Courtroom Observers we have a gentleman that likes to talk about FOIAs

Freedom Of Information Act

In charge of the Department of Justice and everybody associated with it is a little branch it’s the office that does the accounting he’s an oversight committee he does auditing of the Department of Justice that would be where you file your FOIA and find out the progress of these court cases and how come there isn’t a prosecutor yet

Fred is talking about the Inspector General

John will look into the Inspector General’s role.

The Inspector General is part of the Department of Justice The Inspector General is the one that can audit and allocate money and funding and look for any kind of problems there might be.

If the United States Attorney General is not going to do his job then we can’t expect any Attorney General anywhere to do their job

We’re on the federal level

This is only one United States Attorney General

There are U S Attorneys

Fred said that the Inspector General is the one that looks for fraud

John will look into that and we’ll send him a copy of the case

and we’ll send a letter to him if we feel that this is something that he should be dealing with

We’ll talk to anyone.

First you get a FOIA on the Inspector General and his powers and obligations and duties

We can just look that up

From that you can take action

We spoke directly to the President and copied Jeff Sessions

He is the guy that should be taking care of this business

He is the guy that should be making sure that our courts are just

He is the guy that can deal with judges

The Attorney General can go after judges for bad behavior

And he doesn’t He hasn’t

The Attorney General should be doing an investigation

and calling a grand jury and looking for an indictment concerning these judges

But they haven’t done it

Congress can go after these judges as well and they’re not doing it

They’re probably afraid because they probably have something on them

The Oversight Committees are a joke

John will look into the Inspector General to see if we can get help from him

(1:09:50)

Caller 5 James from New Mexico

Was the dismissal by Kahn with prejudice?

Has 30 days expired?

I don’t think it has They’re just trying to get us out of the court

That paper’s not going to do anything

It’s not going to stop us from filing

It’s not going to stop us from communicating

It’s not going to stop us from indicting

This guy is a fraud

He does not belong in that case

This is not his case

He doesn’t belong in that courtroom

We’re also looking at felony rescue in all these cases

With all these judges it makes it conspiracy

Is their intent to have you appeal?

They’re not reading our papers if that’s their plan

You would think that if they want to get a good plan to deal with us that they would read the papers

Even if they did read the papers there is no way to deal with us other than give us justice

That’s the only way that they can deal with us is to give us justice

They’re not going to do that because they fear jail.

Robert had an announcement

The trial in Vegas They had their opening statements now so the trial is starting

They’ve ruled that the defense can’t talk about a lot of different subjects such as what the BLM what they did. They’ve almost curtailed the defense into having nothing.

They’re allowing the prosecution to have free reign of whatever they want.

This is status quo for them

They do this all the time I’ve seen this in so many cases

Joe Robertson was supposed to be released in June

That didn’t happen

They delayed it now to release him in September

We also have a court hearing in Seattle on Joe Robertson in August at the end of August the 29th

We did a habeas corpus for Joe Robertson

This judge needs to show cause why Joe Robertson has not been released yet

By what authority he holds him

Robert has the full list of all the P 3 prisoners but Robert can’t find a list of who all has H Cs made up on them. John has a whole list and will get that to Jan

John did not want to post that stuff at this point yet

For strategic reasons at this point

John will give Robert a full copy but we don’t want to post it

We will start posting the show causes concerning the Habeas Corpuses

That we will post

We should charge A J Sessions with Jury Tampering

First let’s see if we can get him to do the right thing

He went to Nevada and he went to the courthouse and he made a statement that he would not take sides in the Bundy case

But then he turned right around and he praised the District Attorney on his handling of the Bundy case

We’re going after him next him and potentially the magistrate

Those are the two targets at this point

We want to give them the show cause

We want to give them the ability to respond and tell us why or just do the right thing

We’ll tell them what the right thing is

If they default then we will start talking about another consideration for indictment

There are some videos coming out now about the opening day of the trial

Robert will get some of that to Jan

(1:17:50)

Somebody mentioned shouldn’t we be doing presentments and not indictments?

The reason we are doing indictments is that first of all people understand that

But second of all remember that the grand jury is coming in ultimately as the People

The prosecutor should take this information to bring it before the grand jury for consideration

We can’t seem to get a U S prosecutor

That’s what we’re working on That’s what we’re talking to the President about

That’s what we are talking to Jeff Sessions about

We’re not getting anywhere yet

We’re moving towards it

We have to act as prosecutor as far as bringing the case before the grand jury

That’s why we’re doing an indictment

I don’t want to confuse people with a presentment

Presentments are almost a request to the Justice Department for consideration and execution of the indictment

The Justice Department can decide not to prosecute

There is no justice anywhere in any of these courts

John moved on to Crystal

Crystal did not respond

John moved on to Brent

Brent did not respond

Caller 6: California Jeremiah

(1:22:11)

This is about issuing letters of rogatory by federal courts

The common law is a totally different jurisdiction than what is being applied

NLA will use any statute that will benefit our case

Most of these statutes the 18 the 42 and numerous others most of those were written concerning people with authority and power

They abuse it and act under the color of law

Any case on a state level or below all the way down to the village level those are all administrative courts anyway all of those cases any decision that they make even the New York State Supreme Court any decision that they make concerning anything that affects our due process or any unalienable right is null and void they don’t have the power and authority to make a decision in those areas

That has been reserved to Article 3 courts alone That’s their jurisdiction

When there’s a violation or a constitutional issue they can’t make a decision there

They can only be told what they need to do and if they refuse to obey you take it to a federal level. And then you bring it before a federal court.

You have to keep your jurisdiction You have to focus on due process and the cause

They will always try to throw you out of the federal court

Remember you have to go in for cause

And cause is very simple to be met Any constitutional violation

Any violation of an individual’s inalienable right is cause

By going in friendlier with a letter of rogatory from common law to the civil law authorities that would be an excellent way of addressing some constitutional violations without putting out everything in the open and potentially causing upheaval

Letters of rogatory allow the people to represent themselves without an attorney

When they open up a case they do it in two ways:

The People versus

or the United States versus

Who is the People? Who is the United States?

We’re coming in as the true people of the United States of America

We’re coming in as the people and how could they possibly shut down a case coming in as the people

They don’t give due process ever

Jeremiah wants to give a shout out to Charles Stuart Charles 8854 on Talk Shoe He has Constitutional Gov dot U S where he talks about the justice of the peace and the organization of local townships to build a power base from the bottom up

There is also a Talk Shoe call every Friday where you can join

Talk Shoe’s phone number 1 724 444 7444 10929 that’s the activation code

It’s every Friday at 4:00 Western time

and we talk about constitutional form of government

(1:33:00)

Caller 7 Harley

They picked and chose a magistrate for the NLA case

Now the judge has to come in to do what everyone else is afraid to do

They’re trying to move us into an appeals system and then we lose control of our court

Mr Sessions is a busy guy

Mr Sessions is not talking to us

We’ve been copying the President

Now we are going after the President

The President needs to go and tell this man to bring justice back into the courts

Join NLA find a nitch join a committee take the NLA free courses

Caller 8 New York Michael

A friend sent in an application for courtroom observers for Friday the 21st

There hasn’t been any response

Get ahold of the committee chair

You will find them on the directory

In addition to filling out the form you got to make contact with an individual

Sometimes we get a request for assistance and nobody shows up because we don’t have anybody in that area.

We have over 6,000 members

Everybody should be on alert when there is a call to assist in a court case if it is in their area and they can attend.

Assist someone because one day you may need help

Get ahold of Robert

Get ahold of whoever is chairing that

Often people want help but they won’t do anything to help themselves

They think we’re going to take care of it That’s not how things work

We’re here to train and teach and assist

When going to court they are walking into an environment they have no understanding of

unless they’ve been working at it It takes time

Caller 9 New Hampshire Joe

In a court of record you don’t go to the appellate court.

You sue directly And we are going to make that clear to this judge

Their mistake is not reading our paperwork

By reading our paperwork they would understand this clearly.

Caller 10 Crystal

no response

Caller 11 Jeremiah California

(1:54:25)

The term magistrate through popular usage includes the term justice of the peace.

A justice of the peace is a constitutional officer of the Constitution Article 6 Section 17 and is a town officer who can have no existence as a public officer independent of his town organization And a town officer’s duties are limited to such that are given him by statute .

A justice of the peace cannot try a case before a jury in an adjoining town where he has an office even by consent of the parties The true conception indicated by the term justice of the peace as disclosed by our Constitution and statutes is that of an officer having both judicial and political functions. Judicial in that he holds court and decides matters of litigation arising between parties . Political in that he is a member of the quarterly county court which is the governing agency or legislative body of the county but that in performing all of the duties pertaining to these two functions he is in the main dependent upon his civil district which creates him which must be his home which he cannot remove

The Supreme Court has made it clear that all judges are magistrates

They serve two different roles.

If they are in an equity case where the individuals choose to do a case without a jury and they’re going to let the judge make the decision then they move into the appellate process . That requires a judge

Not a magistrate

Once you move into common law court of record so it’s a court of law once you move over there anybody coming in to sit on that case has to act as a magistrate A sheriff could come in Any judge could sit as a magistrate any magistrate could sit in as a magistrate the coroner could sit in as a magistrate That particular job requires someone to keep things moving and do the administrative work and make the signature and seal on the papers and move things along according to the will of the Tribunal

(2:02:00)

Gerard had an announcement

We were talking to someone who knows Pete Hendricks He wrote the book “Cracking the Code”. His wife went to jail after the IRS held her in jail for contempt in order to file a false W4 Pete was in jail for his book, they said it was an illegal tax dodge thing. He has since got out He is teaching a methodology and his students are having good success. He is up for an interview and Ollie will tape it. He has come around to common law after fighting with the IRS He understands how they take people into this fiction.

We will do it over skype and Ollie will edit it.

Then we will post it

It should be a very interesting interview

(2:08:03)

Caller 12: New Mexico James

Caller asked if they were familiar with Congressman Bob Barr?

He was on Alex Jones

He was specifically talking about the Bundys and how they’ve been locked up

They haven’t been able to bail out

He sounds like he has his head in the right place.

He is a good spokesman for the right causes

He was a congressman in Georgia

Caller was also thinking about Joe Robertson

He dug a hole in the ground for a pond and then got in a bunch of trouble with the EPA for polluting downstream.

How in the world did they prosecute him?

His water never went into the tributaries

It was sixty miles away to the next water where they could convict him on

The whole thing was a fraud from the judiciary and the prosecution side

Even if you did something like that it’s a code violation which at most is a fine.

He also had all of the necessary permits

Maybe he’s sitting on uranium

It’s got nothing to do with pollution

He has the state permit

Caller inquired about Mr Girod the Amish fellow

He is an Amish man that made salves.

This Amish guy did a couple of stupid things that pissed them off

He was selling across state lines and he didn’t have any licenses and his facilities weren’t inspected

He did change some stuff and changed his labeling but they went after him anyway.

When he was making the changes then they should have left him alone

If you are making stuff in the family and in the community then the government shouldn’t have anything to do with that.

You are selling it to people who are coming to you for it.

They are doing it with full knowledge of what they are doing.

When they first came in he kicked them off the farm so he got off to a bad start.

Once the guy started to comply they should have worked with him.

If they had the authority they could give him a fine

The violation came after he started to comply

He was having people give testimonials

They’re never going to let that go

The AMA and the Cancer Society are evil It’s a multibillion dollar business

They’re not going to let anybody cure anything naturally

(2:18:40)

Caller 13 Culpepper Terry in New Jersey

In the Washington Post it says Jeff Sessions wants police to take more cash from American citizens “ Sessions said in his prepared remarks for a speech to the National District Attorney's Association in Minneapolis. ‘With care and professionalism, we plan to develop policies to increase forfeitures. No criminal should be allowed to keep the proceeds of their crime. Adoptive forfeitures are appropriate as is sharing with our partners.’ “

You can’t get more than $2,000 out of the bank when you try to withdraw some

They limit you to $3,000 to $6,000 at one time

Bank tellers have to report any suspicious activity

Tellers are sending reports to the Federal Reserve

Every bank is required to have one individual working in that bank doing nothing but looking at accounts looking for inconsistencies reporting large sums of money

They are spying for this agency that is not even federal

(2:29:33)

Caller 14: California Fred

Crystal does not have a computer

Her check was delayed

She has to communicate by telephone

Fred told Crystal about the Writ of Error

Crystal told Fred right before we had our 9:00 meeting that she had filed it and that she had wanted to get it notarized and she said that they were running around like chickens with their heads cut off and they refused to notarize her Writ of Error

Fred told Crystal another thing that she might want to try is to go down to the county and file a lis pendens on her property because that could put a crowbar in the gears of auction

John said that NLA could give Crystal a form on that

We were going to do a lis pendens on that

Going down to the county and filing it with the real property the court case attaching it to the property shows that there is a court case happening

Also filing it with the court clerk puts the judge on a stronger notice

When we do this for people we go after the judge the judge already knows but getting it into the record is something that the individual might want to do So getting it filed into the county clerk next to the property no one will buy the property You can’t sell

The game these people play is that they like to change the name of the property during the process while they are fighting with you They’re already working in the background with the process that they use to change the name on the title So that is what they are busy doing That would prevent that from happening because that would show an open court case on that property and they wouldn’t be able to go forward

They could do a lis pendens on that Which we have a form for that I think filing the actual case is probably good because we write papers specifically for this case with their name on it in the federal court and it’s moved there for cause The other thing is making sure that it gets filed in the court itself. Go to the clerk Those are the two places

Even get a copy to the sheriff to let him know this is a federal case

Fred wanted Crystal to interface with NLA about it

(2:42:27)

Caller 15 Crystal

They did not respond to her first request

So Crystal did the second one today

Crystal wrote “Second Request”

They were all very nervous today

They took her papers and they disappeared

Something was going on

Crystal got her paper in Let’s see what happens

File the paperwork that NLA gave you on your behalf file that with the county clerk

File it in the real property area so that it is connected to your property

Then there is a court case attached that will prevent the changing because they like to play this magic game of changing ownership while they’re doing this process on you

One day you look and your name is not on there

You can prevent that from happening by filing that paper

They will stop because there is a court case pending it

Make sure you filed it with the court clerk

We served the judge so the judge has it

You have to file it with the court clerk

Right now we’re doing the nonforeclosures directly

We don’t see one for Crystal

We have a real good one that the judge will default on

We will serve Mr. G E and we’ll serve the judge They are going to default it We will do the default paper and behind that a Show Cause We will be pressing the magistrate in the court to sign the papers for the default and you can file that in the court with the sheriff for execution

When we file a default in the court why can’t we just go to the clerk and have the clerk give us the default ? It doesn’t have to be the judge

We could but because of what we’re doing we want the administrator of the court to put the signature on there We’re not messing around with the clerk

That magistrate needs to sign it We’re going to press him on that

(2:51:31)

Caller 16 Felicia from Florida

Felicia submitted her questions via e-mail because her call kept on being dropped

Is the IRS illegal?

The IRS is not illegal

The IRS plays a game

Everything they do is illegal and a fraud

When the 16th Amendment was supposedly ratified and that’s questionable the Supreme Court said that it did not confer any new taxation

The way it’s written is constitutional

You consent into it

According to the definition of income you and I don’t have income

They have a presumption that we do and if you don’t rebut the presumption

If you don’t get on top of the case when you’re going after the IRS if you don’t get them into court first they’re coming after you in a criminal case with power and authority even though they don’t have it It appears that they do They come in under the color of law