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Scripture Reading: John 4 : 1-42

(13:00)

We’re still going forward with the Sheriffs Project

John has not sent out an e-mail yet He has been so busy

He will also update that front page to follow up that we have received enough money to go forward with our court case We want to use the extra money which is about $4,000 A little over that now to use towards the Sheriffs Project

We will be pushing more towards the Sheriffs Project

We’re going to ask people anybody that can afford $50 or more a one time gift or donation to National Liberty Alliance for the Sheriffs Project

The Sheriffs Project basically is that we wrote a book which we’d like to print out in a pocket handbook size booklet It is available free online as a PDF

You can download it It’s on our front page It’s called the Sheriffs Handbook

We would like to get that into the hands of every sheriff across the nation

There’s about 3,100 sheriffs across the nation Each one of those sheriffs has deputies

So our plan is to send at least 20 books to each sheriff so that they can hand them out to their deputies also

If they ask for more we want to be able to give them more

That project is going to run us about $50,000

We collected a little over $4,000 now

We want to keep pushing now that we got the momentum with money coming in

It’s an extremely important project

(15:00)

We’re getting ready to go out to seek to take control of the judiciary of the judicial process

We’re going to sue the judiciary

We have a good chance of succeeding especially with what Trump is doing

We’ve been keeping in contact with President Trump with everything that we have been doing.

We’re going to send him a copy of this lawsuit

We’re going to send it to him in the form of an Information

We’re going to request that him or someone in his administration answer the fact that he is getting these papers

We’ve been sending him papers for almost two years now

We started sending papers to him during his campaign when he was running for president

It was at his request

We’ll send him a copy and this one we’re going to ask for a response

Just the fact that he is getting it

John will be getting an e-mail out to break down the cost of these booklets and the mailing.

It’s going to cost about $6 for each sheriff and there’s about three thousand plus sheriffs

The mailing is about $6 It’s between $5.50 and $6.50

The cost of the booklets We’re going to have to run off at least 100,000

This is twice maybe three times the number of pages than the last booklet that we did which was the Jurist Handbook It’s going to be a lot more expensive

John will talk with the people that did our last one and see if he can get an accurate number on that

Between printing out the books and we need to print out 100,000 of them at least if we can get more money than expected then we will order more

Others will like to have a copy of that

Everybody should have a copy not just the sheriffs

People should read it You can see it up online

You can give comments and ideas still because it hasn’t gone to print yet

You can send comments to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org or mail@nationallibertyalliance.org or you can contact Jan

We have got a campaign going to re-energize the people that have joined at National Liberty Alliance

We are coming up on 7,000 members now

We need to wake up the membership that has fallen asleep

We do that with the Welcoming Committee trying to entice the people to wake up come take the free courses get involved volunteer time donate $5/month or more

and donate $50 or more for the Sheriffs Project

That will happen as soon as we get enough money to be able to order

Once we get enough money to order we definitely will order

We will get the specifics out in an e-mail

If we order 100,000 we think they will cost about 35 cents each

35 cents times 100,000 is $35,000

That is approximately how much it will cost to order these booklets

There are approximately 3100 sheriffs 20 handbooks 20 ounces a piece

The handbooks that we had for the jurists were one ounce each

This is going to be probably three times the number of pages

They got this new light weight paper out that is very strong

20 ounces of mail in the media mail

We may be able to go 30 or 35 ounces and still stay in the same price range

We’re figuring 20 ounces 20 handbooks in each box We will use media mail

at about $6.50 each So that’s $20,150

That’s a total of $55,000 for this project to get it off the ground and get these booklets into the hands of all the sheriffs

We need to educate the people take control of the political process of the judicial process the judicial process first of course and we need to train our sheriffs to be constitutional sheriffs

We need to make the point clear to our sheriffs that they raise their hand to swear to protect and defend the Constitution

If they don’t know the Constitution and they have to go and talk to a BAR attorney then were they lying when they raised their hand

because they swore to protect the Constitution

That lawyer did not swear to protect the Constitution

This sheriff needs to know and understand what the Constitution is

Never ever ever have We the People given Congress the power or authority to write law concerning our behavior

This Sheriff Project is an extremely important project

We must educate our sheriffs

We must educate our children We must get control of the schools

The parents on the local level must decide what kind of education they want their children to have

President Trump is going to accomplish his goal

And when that happens We the People need to take control

President Trump has quoted twice that We the People are sovereign

Campaign for Constitutional Sheriffs John will get an e-mail together

Everybody should be giving $5/month or more

Everybody should give $50 or more for this particular drive

Any amount is fine

(37:22)

QUESTIONS

Question 1:

He has gotten in trouble with the IRS

He was allowing them to withhold money but not filing 1040s for a number of years and then recently quit allowing them to take any money at all They gave him a call and said that he needs to take care of it within so many hours or they will create havoc

Could you kindly recommend a course of action ?

Would the best solution be for me to call a meeting with their local office and show them my status in the tax code either by residence outside of the US or as

cap n cap r cap a cap i if successful could this classify me so that I am not further harassed or do you have another recommendation?

Those things will not work

They will classify you as a sovereign citizen and treat you as such as to how they interpret it

Making the argument on those things don’t work

You have to come at them from a different perspective

In order to do that you need to understand how the court system works

You have to understand the rules of the court

You have to understand that you’re in a civil court and that you’re pleading constitutional issues

If you can get them into the court that’s a good thing

If I were you I would sue all of these individuals

Sue the county clerk Sue the sheriff of course, you’re going to let them go

But you need to sue them too

They’re going to seize your accounts

If you’re going to fight this maybe the easiest way right now is to pay the taxes

For most people that might be the easiest and best thing to do

Unless you have the knowledge and ability to fight these things in court

From John’s understanding Trump is going to take the bank down

He has a big picture of Jackson in his office

President Jackson was the one that killed the bank

It remained dead for quite some time

It’s a difficult path

You have to first of all get into the court

You got to sue them

If you’re not going to pay them if you’re not going to work out arrangements with them to pay

From what John hears Trump is going to take the bank down

He’s going to continue income tax but not on individuals

He’s going to take it back to where it belongs commercial

corporate taxes commercial taxes that’s where the income tax belongs

If you’re going to fight them you need to understand the rules of the court

you need to understand the processes and procedures of the court

you need to be able to write good papers

you need to stay focused on certain points

If you read the papers that they serve you you will read the authority upon which they act upon

Make your battle in their words

If you can show me that I am a taxpayer then I am more than happy to pay the tax

If they have some legal tax that we should be paying then by all means we should be paying

Show them using their law their words show them that this doesn’t apply to you

If you have been complying with them and filing papers then they will say that you agreed

If you sue them what it does it will give you more time

They’ll take 60 days to answer

They’ll try to throw you out of the court

It doesn’t matter if they throw you out of the court for one reason or another under Rule 12

You should read our cases concerning Rule 12

Especially our New York Case and the gun law that will give you some insight on what they’re doing with Rule 12

In our new case coming up we will be addressing Rule 12

There’s a lot of rules that are repugnant to the Constitution

They think that they can create what is called an Article 1 court

There is no such thing as an Article 1 court

We’re going to show that also in our court case

That there is no such thing as an Article 1 court

An Article 1 court is an administrative court

and a court that is to be used outside of the United States

Article 3 Section 1

“The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time [ordain](https://www.usconstitution.net/glossary.html#ORDAIN) and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behavior, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services a Compensation which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office.”

In Section 2 it says here are the judicial powers

They cannot go outside these judicial powers

The appellate process is a good process because the United States Supreme Court can’t hear all of the cases in the federal district courts

They do need assistance of lower appellate courts to deal with the problems

There doesn’t seem to be any authority to create an appellate court

But you can take the interpretation and logic and need and conclude appellate courts are good which is the courts between the actual court where the argument takes place and then the United States Supreme Court

So there’s an appellate court in between

In Section 2 it says here are the judicial powers

They cannot go outside these judicial powers

No authority is given for an Article 1 court anywhere in the Constitution

All your IRS courts are Article 1 courts

They rent the room in the federal courts

They rent the judges

So everything looks real

But they’re Article 1 courts

It is a difficult battle to make this point and argue this case

So I wouldn’t suggest anyone try to argue that case

We’re going to argue that case

But we’re not under pressure by anything going on

We’re going to argue that case in the case against the judiciary because that’s one of the problems that we have is these Article 1 courts

### Article 1 - The Legislative BranchSection 8 - Powers of Congress

Clause 9

“To constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court;”

President Trump is going to make things right

He’s going to take down the Federal Reserve

There have been seizures of gold to return back to the United States

A lot of gold is returning back

He’s going to bring down the Federal Reserve

If you can keep things slowed down in order to get past that point where all this business will be taken care of

Then we will be on safe ground and able to deal with these courts and argue and be in authority

Write a court case

Get it into court

Keep it in true court Article 3 courts

Use the process of the civil process

Pay the stupid $400

You will probably be ruled out by Rule 12

It will take them at least 60 days to do that

They might even ask for more time

Take as much time as you want

Maybe it will be 3 or 4 months

Once they finally throw you out they will throw you out

Once they throw you out then you appeal it

You have 30 days to appeal

Wait 20 days then appeal it

Make sure you’re certified your papers going in

Certified letter

Get a return slip from the clerk

Have the sheriff serve it

Once you file your appeal then you have 90 days to perfect it

You can buy yourself a year

I think that in a year you will be safe

If you run through the appeal you might win the appeal

If you win the appeal you’re back into court and you can argue your case

Make your case on numerous things Due Process

If you read through their papers very clearly they talk about a lot of strange things

They’ll say that they have power under this law and power under that law

Go read those laws and you’ll find that it has nothing to do with you

Make your case on that point

If you can write papers

If you can read through their junk

When we get the book out you can get information there

When we get the course out you can get information there

Bring it all together and you should have plenty of ammunition

(59:35)

Question 2 In the absence of something like a reclassification of tax status at this point jeopardize my Social Security We are approximately age 62 and have put into the system all our lives to evoke outrageous penalties

He’s worried that if they file against him is he going to lose his Social Security

No they’re not going to rob your Social Security

If you’ve been paying into it they’ll have to give you what’s due

Even if you haven’t been paying into it they’ll give you the minimum

That will not affect your Social Security

They can only take so much out of your Social Security

He was talking about reclassifying himself out of the country

That’s not going to help you

That is the wrong path to go

They’re going to go after you as a sovereign citizen

They’re going to drag you into their courts

Then they’ll put you in jail

That’s what’s going to happen to anyone who makes that battle

When John started looking into this the first place he went to was a lot of websites

There was a lot of information

He learned about the sovereign citizen thing

He learned about the man on the land stuff

and how to take yourself out of the system

making a declaration and filing it with the clerk

When you go into the court you go in in a certain way and say certain words

They’re laughing at you It’s a con job

These people are deceived

The enemy has been planting this stuff

They’re laughing at you You will end up in jail

Question 3 Most of us have heard of the heroism of Carl Miller

His videos give many techniques about responding in court by citing Supreme Court and Appellate Court cases Would these arguments be successful today in most administrative courts and tax courts?

I am not aware of him citing a lot of these appellate cases

He does stick strongly on the Supreme Court cases United States Supreme Court Cases

American Jurisprudence is key points he uses in order to make his arguments

That worked great fifteen or twenty years ago

Today it’s not working any more

They’re rogues They’re out of control

They don’t care They’re not worried about nothing any more

They just do what they please

We’re going to put the fear of the People back into these people

Carl Miller’s stuff could be used You should try it

We use it We use American Jurisprudence

We use United States Supreme Court cases

They ignore it

It’s tough It’s not like it was ten or fifteen years ago

It’s just got that bad

(1:04:50)

Question 4 I have heard that it is possible to request the IRS to complete one’s 1040

but when they will not sign under penalty of perjury you should reject it

Any comment?

When the IRS goes to take a look at your taxes and figure it out for you they’ll see $220,000

in your account that has gone through your account

And they’ll look at that and say that’s what you owe us in tax

They’ll look at your bank accounts and say this is all taxed

Whatever’s in your bank that’s what you owe us in tax

They’re trying to pressure you to sit down with them

Two things you can do

Proof of claim and Fiduciary Authority

I haven’t seen Proof of Claim I haven’t seen Fiduciary Authority

Form 56 and Form 4490

John waved that at the judge and said I didn’t see those things

You have to make your argument there but you got to be in court on your own terms

If you’re in an Article 1 court you’re not getting anywhere You’re not getting anything

The judge will tell you that the Constitution is not in this court

You’re going to lose in that court You cannot win in that court

If you get in that court you got to comply There’s no other way to get out of there

You got to beat them to the punch by being the plaintiff in an Article 3 Court

Make it clear that you are in a court of record Article 3

It’s an uphill battle

Unless you’re really good and lucky you’re not going to win

You got to get past Rule 12 and that’s a big problem

Everybody gets thrown out for Rule 12

It’s an uphill battle dealing with the IRS

If you don’t have a knowledge of the court system a knowledge of the rules some experience the ability to write your papers and to understand the game what these people are doing if you don’t have those skills you’re going to have a big problem

You might be better off to let them rob you They got a gun to you

It’s a horrible situation

Question 5 In order to reverse a trespass if we have to sue is a simple common law suit recommended ?

Should the wrongdoer be a man or is the IRS sufficient?

I wouldn’t go there You’re going to lose

You are right A lot of this man on the land stuff is right You think you’re going to get justice It’s not going to happen Not any more

These things have been going on in the courts for a long time

People got his strategy and that strategy

Maybe years ago they worked but they don’t work no more

Once something works in court they tighten up and figure out what to do with the next guy that comes in with this

They work out a new strategy

If it worked before in the beginning it worked for a very short time it doesn’t work any more

They’re going to come after you with everything They will have no mercy upon you

If you argue in the court stay within the rules don’t use the man on the land stuff

use their law you can beat them on their law it doesn’t apply to you

You got to be in the right court If you go into an Article 1 court that’s an IRS court they’re not going to allow you to make these arguments

The judge will forbid you

They’ll find you in contempt

Don’t make those arguments

It’s a fraud to begin with

Be careful You don’t want to go to jail At all costs stay out of jail

People ask John why he has a drivers license In case he decides to drive a commercial vehicle Also he has a drivers license because he doesn’t want to go to jail

He needs to drive

John will do everything he can to stay out

You can’t fight from jail

(1:18;05)

Question 6 You said last week you had proof that the tax amendment was never ratified

Are you able to share and could it be used by a man in advantage in one of their administrative courts?

We will be laying that out It’s a lot of information

We’re going to be putting that information into the court case to show that 16 and 17 was never ratified

There is a book out there It is a two volume book

We will have that information in the court case against the judiciary laying it out

As far as the Constitution is concerned the key point in this case is in Article 5 of the Constitution where it says and this is key

Right now no state is sovereign

No state has any say into what the federal government does

The federal government does what it wants and the states have no say in Congress whatsoever

Article 5 says no state without it’s consent shall be deprived of it’s equal suffrage in the Senate

Equal suffrage means vote

It must have an equal vote Every state was given two senators

It has nothing to do with the population

It had to do with each state having power and authority in Congress in the Senate

So the People have the Congress We have our Congressmen that’s where laws come up from the Congress some laws do come up from the Senate also

Most of the Bills come up from the Congress

Once Congress approves it now the states have to decide whether they’re going to approve it or not

They have their equal suffrages they have their say They’re sovereign states

They’re no longer sovereign

They no longer have a say

And they violated the heart of the Constitution

Question 7: Was the book that you referred to “The Law That Never Was” by Bill Benson

That’s the book John has two volumes

You can get all the information in that

He goes through each state He goes through it all

Yes It’s a two volume book by Bill Benson

(1:24:32)

Melaleuca

NLA joined Melaleuca

Anybody who buys through Melaleuca through NLA we will be assisted by the profits we can get from that It is a multilevel marketing program The products are great

If we could get a little bit of a monthly income from Melaleuca that would be great

John will get a page up and people who are interested in that product you can sign up under National Liberty Alliance Hopefully it will be helpful

(1:26:40)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s comments are his own Brent’s opinions are his own They may or may not comport with those of National Liberty Alliance

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law

Our country started not as a revolution that’s not what it was

Revolution focuses on vengeance

Revolution is called revolution because it is revolving

It never stops revolving round, and round, and round

When our country began it was not revolving violence

We weren’t out to wreck vengeance upon anyone

We didn’t form any new kind of government

We just said that we wanted our common law rights

We want to make sure that the jury is in place

Our country by force of arms it was settled on fields of battle when all that was over nothing much here had changed

We still had the same courts

We still had the same rules of evidence that a common law country has

We still had the same separation of powers idea

We still had a limited executive

It wasn’t a revolution

We didn’t try to establish anything new

Our Constitution is not a corporate document

Our Constitution doesn’t establish but affirms

They weren’t doing anything new

Our Constitution is a brief of common law government

Article 1 Court and Article 3 Court those phrases are not in the Constitution anywhere.

The Constitution just separates the powers of government into three offices

The three powers of government and there are only three

The legislative that’s Article 1

The executive that’s Article 2 of our Constitution

And judicial that’s Article 3

Article 1 is about the legislative powers

It says right there in the beginning All legislative power shall be vested or given to Congress of the United States

The President is now exercising the legislative power

Whereas the Constitution says All legislative power belongs to Congress

Congress doesn’t have any executive power

Congress has no judicial power

The executive the President has no judicial power at all

Every administrative court that we have in the federal government is the President’s court

That court does the President’s will

All judicial power shall be vested in the Supreme Court of the United States

Congress does have power to establish court of the judicial branch

Those courts are not of the legislative branch

There’s a separation

They established the courts but they can’t control the courts

The President appoints the judges

The three branches are utterly independent one from the other

It’s not a corporation It’s a common law trust

It’s an entrustment of rights and property and property and rights called fundamental rights

The beneficiaries are entitled to the benefits of those rights

The People of the United States are the beneficiaries

It is a common law trust

The beneficiaries in every trust have a very distinct duty besides being fortunate enough to receive the benefits of the trust property they’re entitled to them nobody else is

They have a duty on top of that

The duty of the beneficiary is to enforce the trust

That is to ensure that the trustees fulfill their charge under the terms of the written trust indenture Our Constitution is the written trust indenture

That is instructions How H O W things are to be done the course of our common law according to the common law trust

A trust does not exist in common law unless it has an enforcer

The enforcer of every trust is the beneficiary

The beneficiary is an indispensible element of the common law trust

If no beneficiaries are appointed clearly identifiable beneficiaries you may have done everything else right you may have a trust document you may have appointed trustees you may have shown trust intent trust purpose all of those elements that are necessary unless you forgot to identify the trustees clearly there is no trust

One of the reasons that there is no trust is that there is no enforcer

The government is not the enforcer of a common law trust

The beneficiaries are

If the beneficiaries don’t do it then no one will

The trust property is only for the benefit of the beneficiaries and the trustees are to make sure that that is true even to their own hurt

The duty of a trustee at common law in a common law country like ours is the highest duty of relationship known at common law is the duty of a trustee

The means justify the ends in our common law country

The ends does not justify the means as it does in the rest of the world

We have this national trust

We are the beneficiaries of it

It is our duty to enforce it

Our common law provides the machinery

It’s called the course If that doesn’t work we have the legislative branch

If that doesn’t work we have the judicial branch

The executive branch has been exercising its prerogative over the legislative and judicial branch

and pardoning people

pardoning them after they were convicted of crimes

Because Americans under the terms of the trust indenture are joint owners of beneficial title the beneficial title our Constitution bespeaks the common law trust binds each one under a duty to protect his interest his fundamental rights he has a duty to protect his rights against all enemies whether foreign that’s most often understood as a member of the militia of the several states by force of arms or domestic as a member of the jury

by force of independent judgment

So militia duty has to do with enemies foreign at common law

and jury duty has to do with enemies of our law of the land domestically

And that’s what that means when that oath is administered as our Constitution requires to all officers state and federal

they’ll support and defend the Constitution of the United States

The trustees at common law hold legal title

The beneficiaries hold equitable title

The beneficiaries protect their own equitable title because neither title can continue in property without the other

Our Constitution does not claim to secure liberty

It claims to secure the blessings of liberty

The liberty is the property the entrusted property

The blessings are the equitable benefits

The Preamble says the general government’s power is indeed general

That means without discrimination among the states or the people in its application

of the 17 powers and four kinds of crimes our Constitution lists

Just 17 powers

and four crimes that’s all that our general government in Washington DC has jurisdiction over

and leaves to the states every particular power that’s not listed therein

We’ll start again next time on Article l of our Constitution

(2:08:10)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Mike from Buffalo

Are you aware of a group called For the Children

It’s a parents’ rights group against CPS

They’re having a big rally in Washington DC September 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12

They’re going to have speakers at the mall at the capital mall

They’re going to have a concert on Sunday

They’re going to have congressional panels for the people

There’s going to be a lot of people there

Is there anybody in that area that could come down and represent National Liberty Alliance and talk to the people?

There’s going to be a lot of people there

September 8 thru the 12th Five days

On Sunday is going to be the concert

It’s going to be an open mic event

Other groups will be there as well

A lot of people from the other groups will be there if we could combine because we’re all in this fight together

This is specifically dealing with the family courts and child protection

Give Jan a call and let’s try to get a conference call going

We’ll think and talk about that

(2:13:00)

Caller 2:

Caller inquired if they received the article he sent with regard to Southern Poverty Law Center Makes Huge Settlement with Anti-Extremist Group called Anti-Muslim

“The Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) announced Monday that it would pay $3.375 million to settle a lawsuit brought by a British anti-extremism group that was put on a list of ‘anti-Muslim extremists.’”

Have you guys seen the Southern Poverty Law Center’s analysis of National Liberty Alliance?

They do a hatchet job trying to say how terrible you are

They say things about the terrible quotes that you make

How many of these kind of settlements can Southern Poverty Law Center sustain

We considered suing them with the judiciary but it didn’t make sense going after them with the judiciary

Caller 3 Crystal no response

(2:23:00)

Caller 4: Connie from Connecticut

She wrote a check to the IRS

She is self employed

She paid $15,000

She got a tax bill a couple of months ago and it said that she owed $13,800

She called She disputed it She told them that she paid $15,000 as an estimated tax payment

They put the check through for Fifteen Hundred

The IRS agent and his supervisor said that they never seen a mistake like this on their end.

Now there are interest and penalties

Also caller uses Melaleuca and they sell amazing products

For 33 years she has been buying their products

It has great healing powers and it’s great for the skin

We’ll put something nice together on a page to introduce people to it

and to drive them towards maybe buying the product

At the same time they will be supporting NLA

Caller worked for the federal government at the FDA for 8 ½ years

She sued them and settled out of court

To keep her quiet they paid her off The check went to her lawyer

She’s going to have a case against them again because they implanted her from head to toe and are directing seven satellite frequencies at the cell phone range and have her under tight surveillance because of what she knows from her job

She’s going to have another case against them

This time she’s not going to settle

She’s going to expose them

Just be careful

(2:36:00)

Caller 5 Crystal

She went to court last week She had witnesses

They called her in

She was there at 10:00

This judge the retired judge he came in expressly just for Crystal

The two attorneys now the GE woman showed up and the attorney and myself

Crystal had three witnesses

Crystal explained the whole thing

First she goes We’re tired of her playing the games

She goes I would like to re-enter it why we’re here because this has been going on over three years and nine months and we are tired of the games and she’s just trying to stall and we want her out we want the property because she has not paid

The judge said to Crystal What do I have to say for myself

Crystal said I swear to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help me Jehovah God and Jesus Christ

Crystal said First of all Who is the injured party?

Crystal added Secondly I have never ever taken a loan or nothing with GE Federal Credit Union

Crystal said For the past four years that you have been harassing me because it started in June 2014

Crystal said Can I see the contract or can I see the copy of the deed or the loan that I have taken to this day you have not prevailed anything I repeatedly ask each and every one of you with a sworn notarized affidavit also with the subrogation that we signed

Crystal said You have never answered me

Crystal said Oh by the way I realize that anything over $20 I can have a common law jury I have been asking you repeatedly

Crystal said Also I have asked you to recuse yourself from my case because you Your Honor said that I have a case against you I said Yes I do and I said I would like you to recuse yourself from this case You told me No, you’re not going to recuse yourself

I said that’s conflict of interest because you are the judge and you are the jury

I said from day one on December 14th I asked you Am I a public servant of this court? You told me No I said Is this my grand jury ? You said No that you were the grand jury I said I would like your resignation because you just committed treason I said How can you be the judge and the jury ?

I said: Another thing, no one here decides anything except for a judge and the jury

no one swears in or swore an oath You took a sworn oath you have an oath and bond to be obligated and we are to be treated fairly

I said: Since I have been coming in here to the court I said: the whole court is just between you and the attorneys I said: there is no one being treated fair

I said : Again, I’m not here for everybody I’m here for myself and I ask under my constitutional rights to be treated fairly

I said: I have my warrantee deed I have my life use I have my quick claim deed and I said and life use for a hundred years I said Who is going to change these legal documents?

And I said All this began with the WPCA which is illegal and also they change people’s names on their mortgages and if you’re in a fix for example

I was in a fixed rate when I did my mortgage they changed it

I said Who signed my name to change it? All of this is illegal

I said This is the work that I used to do so I’m very knowledgeable of it

I said Also the WPCA is a made up bill that they piggy backed on the water bill

because it’s like we’re paying two sewer bills

I said This is all fraudulent I would like you to discharge and dismiss this case

He didn’t say anything

He saw that there was other people in there

I got a call on Friday that he’s taking my property anyway They’re going to put me out of my house

I was on TV with Blumenthal about these illegal foreclosures

We’re going to follow through We were all coming up with a plan because everybody’s so fed up with this mess to write letters

We can have it notarized like an affidavit letter

We can make copies of it after we have it notarized and send it to the Attorney General we need to send this to the police department maybe to the mayor to the governors to NLA whoever it needs to go to

We’ll give them five days to answer us or respond in five to ten days

You could move it into federal court for cause and then get an injunction

If you moved it into federal court for cause there’s no charge for that

Then you move for an injunction

You have to read the rules if there’s a charge to move the court for an injunction there could be some times they’ll charge you a fee

Federal court for cause

The cause is not giving you due process

They’re doing a NonJudicial Foreclosure bypassing the system so there’s no due process

She already did that

Then you got to move for an injunction

The federal court said that I am prohibited from filing any motion against him

They’re all working together

The federal court sanctioned her

They said she was a serial bankruptcy

Then you appeal it

You have to do an appeal

There is a federal form you can find it online quickly

You can file that

As soon as you file that you now have 30 days

After you file that you have 9 months to perfect it

You can drag your feet on that

The problem is you got to get an injunction

How could they sanction her when they haven’t heard her case?

She puts a case in and then they come back and say You can’t file any paperwork

They think that they can do whatever they want

They never heard the case but they’re going to make a decision

How do they determine that you are a serial bankruptcy person?

Who determined that?

Where was the hearing on it?

Some of her hearings were postponed because of deaths in the family

Crystal asked Who is the injured party?

Crystal said People’s lives are being destroyed Who is the injured party?

Crystal asked Where is our human rights when we own our property?

They have been very friendly in the appellate process here in New York

Very different than going into the trial courts

You go up there and talk with the clerk and explain the situation and ask them what you can do She may have a form there that you can file with the court and the judge will send a note down saying Stop She’s in appeal Back off

If you’re going into court and they’re trying to take your home way and they bring your case up and it’s a NonJudicial Foreclosure

You don’t have power You don’t have authority You’re outside your jurisdiction

If they continue You got to move it into federal court

It’s the due process thing they’re not giving you due process

If these people want to take my home away from me and they think that they can do it let’s go to court

NonJudicial Foreclosure bypasses due process

They convert the deed but that takes time it takes a couple of years

If there’s no resistance and the people bail out then they can do it a lot quicker

They’re changing the ownership on your title as they’re battling

They’re trying to do it as an abandonment

Crystal asked them How in the world are you going to take my property when she has her warrantee deed her life use for one hundred years

She said I have life use warrantee deed quick claim and heir property who is going to sign my name?

He said Don’t worry we have ways we can change it

Go to the clerk at the appellate level and ask for advice on how you go about getting an injunction this judge we got the appeal but he doesn’t care

With all the people that you are involved with with this judge you guys got to put a complaint into the judicial department into the BAR

They have a place where you can put in complaints on judges

All of you can get together

He’s a retired judge When you start making noise it becomes politically uncomfortable for them They’ll get rid of him if they think he’s a liability

If they get more than one complaint If you guys all complain sometimes you’ll get good results from that

You got a better chance with him because he’s not a real judge he’s someone that they took out of retirement to do their dirty work

The minute he starts to get in trouble they don’t mind rolling him under the bus

You should at least make a complaint on him

It might buy you some time

Crystal needs to go and talk with the clerk

They should have some advice for her

The court is separated there There’s a separation between those courts

When you go up and talk to that clerk it’s an entirely different clerk and system up there

They have a whole different process You’re appealing something from the lower level

The clerks have been very helpful at that level

You might be able to get a good shake up there on this case but you got to get the injunction

An injunction isn’t necessary if there’s an appeal in process

Nobody should be moving along on this case

There’s an appeal

Go talk to the clerk

Keep in mind the Project for the Sheriff

We got to give them knowledge

Closing Song: The Eagle Will Rise Again