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(5:30)

Scripture Reading : John 8:31 - 47

(8:30)

We do have the course open

The questions are drag and drop

When you hit the verify or check button it will tell you what’s right and what’s wrong and then it disappears

It will take some time so that when you take a check to see if you got the answers right that the right questions will remain there and the wrong questions will fall off and then you will only have to contend with those questions

We’re trying to break it up into 10 – 15 questions per section

The course is open

I’d like everybody to get into this course

If you’re running for administrator, administrator is a full time job, it’s a paid position, starting salary is around $45,000/year it may go up as high as $85,000

This course is a prerequisite This course is a requirement for anybody who is taking those positions

We need to fill about 15,000 positions across the United States

Four people minimum per county

Some counties that have a higher population we will need to have more than four administrators

to work with the juries the grand jury and the trial jury they’ll administrate for them and they’ll be the investigative body for them they’ll be the connection between the people and jury the grand jury so the people can speak to them

We are going to make sure that through this process the jury sits free uninhibited by anything that the court has to say or the prosecutors

We need to make sure that the jury understands that they have the power of nullification

and that they are to proceed with a sense of honor, justice, and mercy

And in this course that we’re writing , I think we will include this in the course, but if we don’t include it in the course we will definitely include it in the book it could be included in the course maybe is a chapter focusing in as a handbook for the jurist administrators

It would be a good education for everyone to be able to get this information any way other than just the administrators

There is a minimum requirement of understanding that’s necessary for anybody that sits on the jury. Whether it be the grand jury or the petit jury

The administrators get to spend a day with the potential jurists to orientate them

and give them a brief understanding of what they need to know and what kind of attitude they have to have

The course is open People should take it

Definitely if you’re going to become a jurist administrator you have to take it It’s a prerequisite.

If you’re going to become a committeeman you should take it

We should require them to take our course That’s up to the people to make that requirement

If you’re going to walk someone’s papers because you’re going to support someone

You got to be walking them because they’re good statesmen

And a good statesman will know the Constitution

They’ll understand our founding documents They’ll understand common law

the difference between equity and law

what a court is how a court works They should know these things

And if they don’t then they can come here and learn these things

You can quiz them when they come and ask you to support them to get them on to the ballots.

Committeeman is probably the most powerful position in our political structure

It’s a position that every elected individual goes and tries to get a relationship with these people

They’re not getting into office unless they are supported by the committeeman

They’re not getting on to the ballot

We the People are supposed to put the people on the ballot within our own election districts.

That has been taken over

We need to change that and bring it back

We do intend on fixing that as soon as we win back the courts That will be the first order of business that we will press across this nation in every court in this state if necessary but we’ll do it on the federal level so it won’t be necessary to fight it 50 times.

We will win this back for the people and give them back control of the nation

Government by Consent Course up and running

Everybody should consider taking it

We are writing the rest of the course

We have five chapters up

Chapter 6 will be going up shortly

Hopefully by the end of the week we will have seven chapters up plus the intro

Maybe even 8 chapters

Chapter 8 is the Constitution itself

Everybody should take this course

Everybody should consider becoming a committeeman at least once in their life

It takes about forty or fifty hours a year

Not all at one time

Maybe one meeting a month

Two hours in the evening one meeting a month

Another 24 hours around election time

That’s when you get to meet the potential candidates

and ask them questions

discover if you want to help get them on the ballot

It’s a powerful position

If the people want to take control of their government and have government by consent

we need to control the political process

The committeeman also has the power and the authority to be able to recall

If these people don’t obey and respond we can recall them

We can prevent them from taking any of that funny money

They’re being paid to do the will of special interests groups instead of the will of the people

They should go to jail

We will be able to accomplish that once we take back the courts and give them fair warning

That’s bribery Don’t touch that money And if you do we’re going to indict you

And again taking back our courts

This is where the biggest problem is and the biggest battle

We are writing the papers right now as we speak

(20:45)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: Courtroom Observers request. Waukegan , Illinois tomorrow morning at 9:00

This would be my first event and I reviewed the NLA website for information about the case, charges , defense , and deprivation of the man’s constitutional rights

Does NLA perchance proceed a court appearance of this nature with a friend of the court brief or same to the sheriff petitioning them to follow the Constitution? Or would these types of contacts be the responsibility of a courtroom observer?

He’s wondering if we send in anything prior to that court date for the court to consider.

No we don’t That would be interesting to be able to get that involved in cases with people if we could

We don’t have enough people volunteering

If we get enough people volunteering we need to get enough people with the knowledge to understand how to approach and what to do and what to say to write these papers

We are hopefully soon going to get back to doing some papers and we’re going to do what’s called **amicus curiae** That’s when someone else outside the argument within the court comes in

In our case we’re coming in as the grand jury to bring up issues in support of one side or the other of the court and bring potentially not only some issues to light but maybe even some evidence to light

Any evidence of course in this case that we’re talking about for the nonjudicial foreclosures the fact that it’s a criminal activity that the court is now being taking process getting involved with becoming used as a tool but they already know they’re being used as a tool The one that doesn’t know particularly is the sheriff unfortunately

Now as far as speaking and talking with sheriffs we have sent a lot of information to every sheriff across the nation via faxes

A lot of our papers are foreign to these people

We always try to keep it down to one page

It’s legal stuff to them

They probably talk with the county attorney

That has been a problem

We’ve done a lot of things for the sheriffs

We’ve sent packages out to them

It gets costly trying to mail things out to them

We have the Sheriff Program going on

We’re trying to collect enough money to get into the hands of every sheriff

20 books that they can pass out to their deputies it’s a Sheriffs Handbook

We have a copy of that up on our front page

We’re going to update that As soon as we get the course started there is going to be a big update on that book because we have a lot of new things we’d like to add in there and maybe a new approach on how we may structure that book

It will be a good handbook

It will be mostly of course focusing in on our founding documents

Giving them understanding and knowledge of those documents and important things that they should know

Other than that we can’t get involved in all of these cases

It’s up to the people to help the people

If you live in an area and the call goes out if you live in an area where someone is going to court and you can potentially make it to that court to assist that person as an observer then you should

As an observer you make sure you bring pen and paper take notes lots of notes

At the end of the hearing write an affidavit on behalf of the individual and pass it on to them

of what took place in that courtroom

(26:00)

2nd part of first question: Have we been successful in using any common law methods to free prisoners unlawfully held For example the witness must appear in testimony of injured man or woman and so forth

No we haven’t We’ve had a couple of successes They won’t admit that they’ve given them the habeas corpus process to take care of things properly but we did get a couple of people out over the years

But mostly rejected

We did recently go and get approval on indictments on all these judges that ignored the habeas corpuses So we will be running the indictments and filing them when we can get around to doing that paperwork but we did have the approval for those indictments

We are trying to find the time We got a good paperwork process for habeas corpuses

It’s really well structured It’s a good plan A series of different papers that gets delivered on to the court

We need to update some of this stuff because we’re learning some new things

that may help us to get that wedge into the court

to make things clear concerning the fraud the court is committing

Hopefully we will be able to get that paper updated and open up habeas corpuses again

We’re definitely working very soon to get the NonJudicial Foreclosure paperwork updated

And we will be doing them as an **amicus curiae** from the position of the grand jury on behalf of the individual who’s losing their home and make it clear to the judge that they need to do something and if they don’t we’re going to indict them

We already got the approval from the grand jury that when we file these papers anybody or judge that ignores them and continues in the fraud and in the crime that an indictment is well deserved and will be applied

(28:36)

Question 2: A woman whose daughter lives is PA was stopped for drinking and driving

and did a breathalyzer test and a week or two later was charged with something

She doesn’t have the citation So she can’t tell us yet

Resubmit the question when she gets more information

Wait until we get the details

Drinking and driving is not a good thing

Drinking and driving is a crime You’re putting people in jeopardy

John has some ideas on how to deal with that

We the People have to figure out what we are going to do with that once we take back the courts

Jail is not the solution

There are other things that could be done to motivate these people to not get behind the wheel of a car when they’re under the influence

We need the details on this case

(30:33)

Question 3: There’s apparently a fair amount of land in California they have a lot of highway construction land that was purchased in the highway when they were built

So they’re selling them off and apparently this couple agreed to buy one and they have this declaration of covenants conditions and restrictions

So they took that and they went down and got a bank loan approved.

A day or two before the closing they changed all of the stuff

And now nobody will fund it

It looks like they’re going to lose their place

Is there anything that they can do about that?

Any particular thoughts regarding a brief that would stand out as red flag and do any suggestions come to mind or what would be the best way to make a criminal complaint against

the cabal that conspired to perpetrate a fraud

They were about two days from purchasing the property and then they changed all of the regulations and now no bank will fund it

Research is going to have to be involved in that

(32:14)

COMMENTS

If you’re taking the quiz You fill in the blanks Punch the magic button and it tells you how many right you have And then it erases them all So until they are able to fix that

it might be wise to jot down your answers so you don’t have to go back and rethink every one of them

We’re working on this problem

It’s a flaw in the program that we’re using

Karl is trying to get it where the answers that are right will stick

and only the answers that are wrong will fall away

Eventually this will be solved

We’re still looking for grand jury administrators

We’ve been requesting volunteer callers for the Welcoming Committee

We have scripts and can get you set up and started

We have no volunteers to date to staff a new committee to arrange for interviews for John and Gerard by the news media

We can still use some more speakers for the Natural Healing call

We did have someone last week who volunteered to transcribe audio files for us

Robert Bristow has comments on Oregon

(38:50)

Robert Bristow gave his report:

Back in March, my son, my developmentally disabled son, came from Colorado out here to Oregon to live with me

In April his fiancé came out She’s also disabled mentally and physically

She has very serious seizures and epilepsy She’s Chinese

As soon as she got here my neighbors they would stand over there in their yard hollering across at her calling her all kinds of derogatory names for no reason

It was causing a lot of concern

It got to where she was having seizures everyday or every other day we would have to call the ambulance to come get her and ship her to the hospital

It kept getting worse and worse

We had a deputy sheriff from another county living in the same community

I approached him thinking law enforcement maybe I can get him to talk to them

He got angry too

She was out walking one day on the road that goes into the community it’s a public roadway it’s paved at the end of the pavement is where this deputy lives

It’s a Saturday morning and she walks down there

He comes out and asks her what gives her the right to walk on that road

She said that it was a public road and that she was out getting some exercise

He said that that road belongs to him and he doesn’t want her walking on it

He pulled his gun on her and tried to order her on to his property so he could accuse her of attacking or trespassing on his property

It kept on escalating

Robert drove down the road and he turned around at the end of the road and had to use part of the deputy’s driveway to turn around because it’s the only way a person can turn around

He went to the courthouse and filed a stalking order against Robert

The deputy and three other people are harassing us

One is an older gentleman and his son that lives across the street

And then another older gentleman

They’re waving shot guns at us and threatening to shoot us

A deputy from our county came and investigated and the deputy from the other county said that he had his body cam on and here it is go ahead and look at it

It showed the whole thing how he had pulled a gun on her ordering her not to walk on the road He said it would be best not to say anything to anybody about this

Our deputy said You know better than that I have to make a report now that I’ve seen this

So he did He went back and he made a report

Well as it turns out we had just lost our sheriff our D A and mayor all decided that they were going to retire early and not run for re-election

So we had a temporary sheriff

He took the report from the young deputy

He made a decision that we’re not going to go in there It’s too dangerous

He calls Robert and his son up and tells us if any of you guys get shot sick injured whatever you best just drive yourself on in to the hospital I’m not sending an ambulance in to get you

Things are getting frightening

Here’s neighbors threatening to shoot us

The deputy pulling guns on us

Other guys making a big show of loading their shot guns and waving them at us

I had to get my son and daughter-in-law out of there

It was too dangerous

I did that

It kept on escalating

I was going to run for sheriff

One of the other people that decided to run was very much constitutional so I decided that I would help him

I also went to see the D A’s advocate and told her the story of what was going on

She made arrangements for me to get in to see the new D A

He came and spent an hour he brought his assistant D A with him

and spent an hour talking with me finding out everything that was going on

He said as you know I may not be able to do anything too quick but I am going to look into everything

I said to him In the meanwhile I have this stalking charge filed against me they ransacked my home and took my guns

He said that he would be in the courtroom with me

We’ll see what the judge has to say

Come time for the court sure enough the D A was there

the victims advocates were there

The judge was late

But this is where we got a big surprise

The judge comes walking in He is not in a black robe He’s in a suit and tie

The tie was so bright that it was blinding

And the first thing he said was Is Mr Bristow here?

I held my hand up and he said Good good great to see you sir

We spent an hour just the judge and I He ignored the deputy who was there

He ignored the other witnesses and stuff

This judge and I sat there and chatted for an hour

Obviously the D A had spoken to the judge prior to the hearing

He said Tell me who you are?

I said I’m Robert Bristow I’m a veteran with 20 years of service Vietnam Veteran

And he said Thank you sir for your service

I am so pleased to meet you

He wasn’t saying a word to the deputy or any of the witnesses

He said You know I signed that order not knowing who you were

and just figuring that the deputy comes to me and he’s law enforcement so surely he’s got to be decent and so I signed the order right now I’m not going to make it anything but temporary and he said you sir looking at me you have an open door to my office any time that you need to speak to me you just come on in and let’s talk

I want to hear about everything that’s going on

It was just totally common law

There was no formality It was just the judge looking for solutions looking for answers and wanting to know what’s going on He wasn’t trying to hammer anyone

Every time the deputy tried to say something the judge would just ignore him

and start talking to me more

This drug on I was seeing the judge quite often

The deputy and these other guys was stalking my son and I

Following us wherever we went

I would go some place and look in my mirror and there one would be following me

They followed me 100 miles to an RV park

I left my son and his wife there

They brought in a motor home and started harassing my son and daughter-in –law there

I’m telling the judge all of this stuff

The D A kept updated

He had the victims advocates talking to me a lot

I knew everyone in the courthouse by the time it was all over

So then he says the judge was talking to me one day and he says We’re having a problem with Homes for the Homeless Do you got any suggestions?

I said I got lots of suggestions

He said Love to hear them

We got involved in working for the homeless and involved in all this other stuff

Meanwhile my daughter-in-law is going on with seizures

and ambulances being a problem

In order for us to get an ambulance into the home the ambulance people had to disobey the sheriff’s orders and police had to disobey orders to escort the ambulance

Things were getting a little hairy

We were still being threatened

They were going to shoot us shoot our dogs They were sneaking around my property

They were cutting my fences

I had charges against them for trespass and for cutting my fences

It didn’t stop them they felt like they were above the law

They kept on and on and on Nobody could do anything

Then I found out that this deputy from the other county had talked with one of the candidates

and that candidate decided that if he won that he was going to hire this deputy as his undersheriff

I’m backing this other guy that’s very constitutional

I called him up and told him what’s going on

I had been doing a bunch of research and I found out that this deputy had an interstate forgery charge on his records

He went to the other candidate and told him about it

that caused a big ta-do

Putting the deputy and the other candidate to where it blew their chances of winning right out of the water

So far I’d won every battle

It seemed like things were getting a little bit dangerous with all the threats and violence and everything

We didn’t let it get to us We just kept hanging in there

This judge kept his open door policy to me

I just kept on going in and keeping him abreast of everything that’s going on

Today we had the final hearing on the stalking order

The deputy and I were both there

The deputy was expecting to win

They were accusing me of being a level 3 sex offender and totally insane

So mentally ill that I was a danger

They were calling up doctors and investigators

They said Mr Bristow is mentally ill and he’s a sex offender

The judge had already proven that to be wrong

Today the judge asked the deputy What do you got to say? Anything additional?

The deputy was mumbling this and that

He was making his final effort

The judge looked at him and he said Well I don’t think that you’ve proven a damn thing

and so I’m dismissing everything

Gave my guns back to me

Gave me all my property back

This other deputy now is awaiting trial not only for the forgery charge but he is awaiting trial for pulling the gun on my daughter-in-law and threatening her

and a few other things

With my research I found that he had been involved back in 2004 with molestation, handcuffed a young lady to a pole and molested her under age

That had been covered up

Also he had killed a man and set it up to look like an accident

That was covered up

He’s in a little bit of trouble right now

Mainly because I had a judge and a D A willing to listen

But the biggest thing that I found out about this DA when he came in is he don’t like crooked cops

And in the last three months in my county alone 47 officers have either retired suspended or fired by this D A

My county is going to be in pretty good shape because we got a judge that in my book he’s doing everything right

We got a D A doing everything right

We got all the corrupt cops being taken out

I’m feeling pretty good about my county right now

It was looking pretty dark for awhile

By staying in there and standing up to it we’ve been able to make a big difference

We’re being thanked for our efforts now

It can be done

One or two people can make one hell of a difference if they’re willing to stand in be honest and stand their ground

(1:01:00)

Gerard has an article

This is from the “New American” They were the John Birch Society

I generally find their stuff very accurate

## It’s called Acting AG Whitaker: States Can Nullify Unconstitutional Federal Acts

Written by  [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/constitution/itemlist/user/52-joewolvertoniijd)

“Media elites have gone into full-throated freak-out over the fact that acting Attorney General Matthew Whitaker supports the right of states to refuse to enact unconstitutional acts of the general government.

Known as nullification, this principle recognizes the retention by the states of all aspects of sovereign authority not granted by them to the federal government in the Constitution. The Constitution is the document wherein the states set out the metes and bounds of the national government’s “few and defined” powers. Beyond those constitutional boundaries, the national government was powerless, leaving the states with their undelegated “numerous and indefinite” powers intact.

In a speech delivered in 2013, Whitaker said of nullification:

As a principle, it has been turned down by the courts and our federal government has not recognized it. Now we need to remember that the states set up the federal government and not vice versa. And so the question is, do we have the political courage in the state of Iowa or some other state to nullify Obamacare and pay the consequences for that?

“The federal government’s done a very good job about tying goodies to our compliance with federal programs, whether it’s the Department of Education, whether it’s Obamacare with its generous Medicare and Medicaid dollars and the like. But do I believe in nullification? I think our founding fathers believed in nullification. There’s no doubt about that,” he added.

Ignoring the ignorance of CNN, *USA Today*, and other self-professed “news” outlets, let’s analyze AG Whitaker’s claims regarding the Constitution.

First, Whitaker asserted that the states “set up the federal government.” There is no logical way to dispute that historical fact.

When the Articles of Confederation (our first constitution) came under criticism from influential statesmen, Congress was compelled to invite delegates to a convention to be held in Philadelphia “for the sole and express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation.”

Congress’ invitation was sent not to the people, but to the state governments. The state legislatures were invited to send a delegation to help repair rips in the constitutional fabric. This historical fact is irrefutable evidence that a functioning agreement for a government of the United State was the goal. That government, if it was to exist at all, would be the creation of the states that participated in the formation of it.

Additional evidence of the claim that the states were the only interested parties in the compact of the Constitution is found in the way votes were taken and recorded at the convention in Philadelphia. Representatives voted as states, not as individuals. In fact, the journal where those votes were recorded catalogs the yeas and nays according to the name of state, not the name of the delegate.

Another clue to the identity of the parties to the Constitution, is found in Articles V and VII of the document itself.

Article V requires that amendments be “ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the states or by conventions in three-fourths thereof.” Not only was the Constitution a binding contract among the states, but any alterations of the provisions of that contract had to be signed off by a super majority of the parties.

Next, the prose and purpose of Article VII makes the issue so clear as to permit no reasonable alternative interpretation. In this brief statement the role of the states as the *sine qua non* of the Constitution is established. Article VII reads, “The Ratification of the Conventions of nine States, shall be sufficient for the Establishment of this Constitution between the States so ratifying the Same.”

Plainly and purposefully the framers of the Constitution recognized that the document they signed in September 1787 was an agreement among the states represented. Every article was written by the states, voted on by the states, accepted or rejected by the states, ultimately approved by the states, and it would only become binding upon states who ratified it.

Why were the people not polled or asked to vote up or down on the Constitution? Because this was neither a popular nor a national compact; it was a compact creating a confederation of sovereign states.

As constitutional attorney Kent Masterson Brown explains, “The idea that the constitution that they [the framers] had drafted and ratified was entered into ‘by the people,’ as opposed to the states, and was irrevocable once ratified was absolutely unknown to the framers and ratifiers.”

I would add that had these men been convinced that such an arrangement was advocated or even so much as contemplated by those pushing for acceptance of the Constitution, it never would have been ratified by the requisite number of states, and the embryonic American republic would have been stillborn in Philadelphia.

If nullification is to be successfully deployed and defended, states lawmakers must remember that the Constitution is a creature of the states and that the federal government was given very few and very limited powers over objects of national importance. Any act of Congress, the courts, or the president that exceeds that small scope is null, void, and of no legal effect.

Not once during the deliberations at the Constitutional Convention was there a proposal that their work be presented for approval to the body of the populace acting as individuals. From the beginning of the process that culminated on September 17, 1787 with the signing of the Constitution, it was understood that the ratification by at least nine states was the *sine qua non* of the start of the new government.

Still, the establishment and their media mouthpieces obstinately deny one irrefutable fact: The Constitution never would have gone into legal effect and the federal government never would have been created if state conventions had not met and ratified the document.

In fact, the first congress would never have considered a single bill if their authority was recognized by a supermajority of Americans, but rejected by the state ratifying conventions. Not even the most zealous supporter of the Constitution would have assumed the new government would have been authorized to act by an affirmative popular vote.

On to Whitaker’s second assertion: that states may constitutionally refuse to enact all acts of the federal government that exceed its delegated authority. This claim is equally as easy to prove from a cursory perusal of the historical record.

If an act of Congress is not permissible under any enumerated power, it is not made in pursuance of the Constitution, and therefore not only is not the supreme law of the land, it is not the law at all.

Alexander Hamilton put a fine point on the matter in *The Federalist*, No. 33:

But it will not follow from this doctrine that acts of the larger society which are not pursuant to its constitutional powers, but which are invasions of the residuary authorities of the smaller societies, will become the supreme law of the land. These will be merely acts of usurpation, and will deserve to be treated as such.

Acts not authorized under the enumerated powers of the Constitution are “merely acts of usurpations” and deserve to be nullified by states.

Thomas Jefferson summed up the relationship very succinctly in the Kentucky Resolutions:

That the several states who formed that instrument, being sovereign and independent, have the unquestionable right to judge of its infraction; and that a nullification, by those sovereignties, of all unauthorized acts done under colour [sic] of that instrument, is the rightful remedy.

James Madison did likewise in his companion bill that would be offered in the Virginia state legislature, known as the Virginia Resolution:

That this Assembly doth explicitly and peremptorily declare, that it views the powers of the federal government, as resulting from the compact to which the states are parties; as limited by the plain sense and intention of the instrument constituting that compact; as no farther valid than they are authorized by the grants enumerated in that compact, and that in case of a deliberate, palpable and dangerous exercise of other powers not granted by the said compact, the states who are parties thereto have the right, and are in duty bound, to interpose for arresting the progress of the evil, and for maintaining within their respective limits, the authorities, rights and liberties appertaining to them.

Finally, Whitaker said in his 2013 speech that “there was no doubt” that “the Founders believed in nullification.”

Despite the anti-states’ rights agitprop broadcast by the Establishment media, unbiased investigators into the historical record will find one after the other statement by the varsity squad of Founding Fathers promoting the power of state governments to force the federal beast back inside its constitutional cage.

In addition to the several statements of Jefferson, Madison, and Hamilton, included above, I’ll add the voice of Patrick Henry to the chorus of Founding Fathers who understood the proper relationship of the states and the federal government.

During the Virginia ratifying convention, Patrick Henry spoke of a time when Congress and the courts would collude to rob states of sovereignty and citizens of liberty. Said Henry:

If there be a real check intended to be left on Congress, it must be left in the state governments. There will be some check, as long as the judges are incorrupt. As long as they are upright, you may preserve your liberty. But what will the judges determine when the state and federal authority come to be contrasted? Will your liberty then be secure, when the congressional laws are declared paramount to the laws of your state, and the judges are sworn to support them?

To constitutionalists, it is encouraging that acting Attorney General Michael Whitaker recognizes that the creature has grown so large that it threatens to consume the creator. If it isn't brought to heel soon, this beast will devour all within its grasp, leaving nothing behind but the bleached bones of our once valued liberty.

If we are to remain united and free, all future and former unconstitutional acts of Congress can (and must!) be nullified by state legislators and governors. The power to negate any act of the federal government that exceeds the constitutional scope of its power, though dormant, is yet possessed by the states.”

He ends the article he says so now we should hear no more talk about the need for a constitutional convention

If the states want to rein in on the federal government they should just go ahead and do so

If the state legislators do not have the courage to nullify unconstitutional acts of Congress now then we can be assured that they will never produce a new constitution that is better than the one we have now.

So if they’re not going to fix it now then they’re not going to fix it after a constitutional convention.

We are being told that states cannot nullify unconstitutional federal usurpation but has been done over and over again

There’s a time when Congress passed a law call the Fugitive Slave Act of 1850 which mandated

that states to which escaped slaves fled were obliged to return them to the slave masters.

This law also subjected persons who helped runaway slaves to criminal sanctions In 1854

the Wisconsin Supreme Court declared The Fugitive Slave Act to be unconstitutional

without regard to the rulings of the federal government

the Vermont legislature approved the habeas corpus law requiring Vermont judicial law enforcement officials to assist capture future slaves jury nullification took effect as local juries acquitted people who were accused of violating the Fugitive Slave Act

Ultimately the Fugitive Slave Act was not enforced in any state where slavery was not condoned

At one time there was an Alien and Sedition Act which made it illegal made it illegal to criticize the President

In 1798 Jefferson and Madison drafted the Kentucky Resolutions and the Virginia Resolutions which declared the Alien and Sedition Act to be null and void

Prohibition was repealed because it was unenforceable and widely ignored

Prohibition was constitutional because it resulted from an amendment to the Constitution

Regardless of this prohibition was nullified because it was not the will of the people

The important and obvious reality is that the Alien and Sedition Act diffuses the Slave Act and the myriads of other outrageously unconstitutional usurpations were perfectly acceptable to the Supreme Court The most outrageous unconstitutional usurpations of our own time are Obamacare, the Patriot Act, The NDAA (National Defense Authorization Act)

Any semiliterate with an IQ above room temperature could have quickly determined that

none of the usurpations mentioned here are even close to being constitutional

If our state legislators had the backbone to stand up to federal government they could do it right now without a constitutional convention

This whole article is what we are about

It’s what the grand jury is about

It’s what the juries are about

It’s the purpose of why they are there

The Constitution was a compact of the state

It wasn’t a popular vote

It was only a compact between the states that ratified it

(1:20:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

It’s no mystery that Ron Paul after all of his years beating around in politics he finally boiled it down to one thing He wrote a book about it The name of the book and the cry of his campaign at the end was “End the Fed”

That’s the key to it all End the fed

The Resolutions of ’98 Kentucky passed them and then Virginia the year was 1798

They passed the Resolutions of ‘98

The Resolutions of ’98 said this:

They said what folks were afraid that would happen is happening

The general government in Washington DC is exceeding the boundaries that Article 1 Section 8 set for the jurisdiction of the activities of the United States Congress

The states created the general government in Washington DC

The Resolutions of ’98 said that there are only four areas our Constitution mentions that are crimes that the federal government can have criminal jurisdiction over to pass laws and enforce.

They are as follows:

piracies and felonies committed on the high seas

counterfeiting the securities and coin of the United States

violations of international law

and treason against the United States

That’s all the criminal jurisdiction the federal government could possibly have

I’ve been trying to work my way through the Constitution

Article 1 Section 7 Clause 2

“Every Bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall, before it become a Law, be presented to the President of the United States; if he approve he shall sign it, but if not he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the Objections at large on their Journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If after such Reconsideration two thirds of that House shall agree to pass the Bill, it shall be sent, together with the Objections, to the other House, by which it shall likewise be reconsidered, and if approved by two thirds of that House, it shall become a Law. But in all such Cases the Votes of both Houses shall be determined by Yeas and Nays, and the Names of the Persons voting for and against the Bill shall be entered on the Journal of each House respectively. If any Bill shall not be returned by the President within ten Days (Sundays excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the Same shall be a Law, in like Manner as if he had signed it, unless the Congress by their Adjournment prevent its Return, in which Case it shall not be a Law.”

Brent’s comments are his own and not necessarily the position of NLA

(1:53:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Crystal

December 6th, they’re going to be having, I guess the people are so fed up with the politicians, Republicans, Democrats, Independents, and all the courts the injustice so I guess they’re going to be having a big rally here in Connecticut on December 6 I guess at 10:00 in the morning up in Hartford I sent the papers over

The foreign agent that’s the 1038 and also the I R the Internal Revenue Code

that’s the 6065

Everything I’ve been doing I’ve been putting my docket number whatever cases I file I put that on there and I send them to each court all the attorneys and also when you fill anything out what I did the chief judge et al chief clerk et al chief attorney

et al and do each one for all the courts

So this way it covers everyone

Also like with the Social Security people that if you’re sixty-two years old if you’re sixty-two years of age you can start getting your Social Security now.

I’ve been having a hassle with mine I got eleven different answers

with seven different figures

I found out when you call Social Security when they’re jerking you around you ask for the technical expert to handle it

I said No I want a technical expert

We are so misinformed and you got all these incompetent people that have these fake jobs that don’t know what they are doing

People are calling me I tell them get online and learn at National Liberty Alliance

I’ve been dealing with this going on five years now

I’ve been basically representing myself

Everybody that had an attorney everybody lost the shirt off their back

I also found out I was going through some copies of the deeds what have you and this is what is a foreclosure foreclosure is when a person abandons their house

and on our deeds when they are taking our homes they write abandonment and then they take it to the court with an affidavit and the judge says foreclose on it

So on my last paper that I put together when I got out of the hospital I said

I am not abandoning my house and I do have my warrantee deed and all that

And like I kept on asking Who is going to sign and change my name?

So when I went back to the court two weeks ago right after I got out of the hospital and I filed the IRC 6065 and I had it amended and all that

The attorneys did not even show up

The judge she called three times I said I’d like this case to be totally dismissed and discharged and she didn’t want to do that

The other attorney the one who started all this mess she was there she was all smiley She came in after the judge had called my name The judge came back around and called my name again She goes I guess they’re not here And I said I have it marked ready I would like this case to be totally dismissed and discharged

And so she goes I’m not going to do that

So the other attorney got up and ran out and I knew she went to call to see where the other attorneys was

So they must have told her what I filed

And she came back and her face looked like it hit the floor she looked so depressed

You told them that you were ready and the other side is not here it’s a default

The judge has no choice

I am going to submit another paper for the default

The judge refusing to default them If they’re not there They can appeal it later

There’s only a few good reasons that they can use for not showing up

They have to have a very good reason and they have to prove that they probably would have won

There’s two things that they have to prove in order to overturn that default

I filed from the bank I filed the state of Connecticut the Consumer Protection about

I filed with Consumer Protection for the state of Connecticut and they dismissed my case

They said they found something wrong with it So when I put those papers together the same papers they sent me I in turn sent them it right back

Now I get a call last week and she goes Why are you sending We had nothing to do with that

I said Oh You have everything to do with it because this is your paper and your response stating that you found no fault with it This is perjury

And they said It wasn’t us that did it

And I said Yes it came from your office with your address with your fax number and this is the person who signed off on it and I said By the way I said this is for the attorneys And she goes those attorneys represent you

I said No They represent you

I said Oh By the way I would like to speak with Mister State of Connecticut and I want his signature in blue ink

Have you ever went in to court with a prepared default document for the judge to sign if they don’t show up?

Here I have the default right here for you to sign, Your Honor.

I want to enter it into the court

I will do that tomorrow

You go in there with the document already prepared stating the default because they didn’t show up and you put it on the judge’s desk to sign

You fill it out in the proper form and give it to her to sign

I went right back to the beginning again

Am I a public servant of this court?

Is this my grand jury?

Anything over $20 I am entitled to a grand jury

Any case that they have you got a year and a half to put your case together

If you do not on either side cannot prove your case due process proof of claim the case is kicked out

This is four years

I said you have no jurisdiction over me

Everybody’s discovering the fraud that they got going here

and their little secret language games are designed to confuse us

(2:16:30)

Caller 2: Valerie

I am trying to get you guys on the SGT Report X22 also Dave Janda and my friend Andrew is working on getting you on Greg Hunter show

Gerard and caller talked about the corruption of judges and politicians

Hopefully we can come out of this with the rule of law

Somebody needs to be an example of what a truly lawful society looks like

Did you see what happened in California with those unnatural fires?

It’s almost like targeted One house will burn up and the house next to it will not

This is a massive amount of property throughout the whole state

It matches the Agenda 21 map

The houses remind me of what happened to the World Trade Center Building

You didn’t see big pieces coming down it was pulverized

Completely pulverized into ash and dust

The cars are melted completely

There is a bush next to where the car is and the bush is untouched

That’s how stupid they think that we are

The Rothschilds own PSE&G

If you go on to stopthecrime.net Deborah Tavares has all of the information all the documentation

She has all the information about it

Maybe they’re setting themselves up for bankruptcy

They’re playing a game It looks like they’re going to go bankrupt

It’s all part of Agenda 21 and it’s all part of the Georgia Guide stones Thing to get the people and move them out to the shores and get them out of the country and into the small cities

They’ll declare it a wasteland

If you can’t get power They don’t want people living off of the grid

It may be some crazy plan that they have in order to keep those people from moving back in

by letting the electric company go bankrupt

They sacrifice two or three hundred people on a plan just to get one person or whatever their agenda might be

They take people out to accomplish their agenda

(2:35:25)

Caller 3: Chris Pennsylvania

I just joined National Liberty Alliance a couple of weeks ago

Do you know anything about Operation Hot Musket?

I heard in a few places about troops being called up and nobody is being told what it’s about

With everything that’s going on and all of the executive orders that Donald Trump has signed these are things that we were told to expect

The military does that all of the time

They usually do it in secret because you don’t want the enemy finding out what you’re going to do

Q said that sometimes they let information out because they want that information out

That may be part of it just to throw the enemy off

On your site I see that NLA says that we don’t want to be connected with the sovereign citizen thing. What’s with that?

Law enforcement has taken that term sovereign citizen and equated it with terrorists

In the Patriot movement being sovereign is opposite to being a citizen

Anything the cabal does they always come up with these crazy terms

All this Masonic secret stuff that they do

The Southern Poverty Law Association seems to be some kind of authority to law enforcement on so called subversive groups in America

They label people sovereign citizens certain groups They had us in there

They got everybody in there

If you read our cases We’ve addressed that in some of our cases

We had the New York Gun case and the Assistant Attorney General basically said that we were using sovereign citizen language

We should sue him for labeling us like that

Did you hear about the Red Flag Legislation

Some states already passed it

If somebody calls the cops and says that you got guns and I think that you’re unstable and you might use it They kick your door down they come in

Somebody’s relative did that They come in pounding on the door at 5:00 in the morning

He come down with a gun and they killed him

The government is calling everyone crazy

If you go into court the first thing that they do is say that you need a mental evaluation

Get you into the system and call you crazy

That’s a big tactic of theirs

They’re pushing all of the doctors to give people antidepressants

Then they can claim that you can’t have a gun now

Have you read the UCC Connection?

I got it from Amazon

Towards the back of it it’s talking about what’s going on with the courts

The Rothschilds how they own the country

The trouble that we’re having with the courts and judges

They’re telling you how to proceed in court

Don’t come in and demand anything

Start asking questions to box the judge in

Lead him to where he has to admit that you’re in admiralty law and he won’t do it so you can box him in that way

Admiralty law is banker’s law that the Rothschilds are head of

They’re admitting that the country is broke

With people being armed it’s not prudent for them to take over yet

That’s where the big push for anti gun laws trying to disarm all of us

You’ve heard of the Jade Helm exercises the FEMA camps it’s for when they come to take over

If Hilary had got in we would have been in those FEMA camps

They had the best made plans Now it’s been turned on it’s head

I don’t think that they are so stupid as to come door to door to take guns

If they did that then they would start a revolution

The militias would self activate It would be a hundred years war and they would never get done

There are militias all over the country they’re armed they’re organized

The juries that they have empanelled today the problem with them is they are puppet juries because they school them and direct them in a bad way

They tell them that they can’t rule on the law They’re tainted

The prosecutors and judges are running the juries and grand juries like a bunch of puppets

That’s one of the things that we’re trying to fix