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Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 16 : 21 – 28

(3:00)

The sheriffs’ project is still in motion and still moving along

Hopefully the website will be up soon.

We have a lot of material to put up for the sheriffs.

We want to set up a place of education for sheriffs.

We’re writing a handbook and plan on getting 20 copies of the handbook to every sheriff in the nation. There are 3,133 counties so there are approximately 3,100 sheriffs, roughly speaking. And we want to get 20 handbooks at least into the hands of each of these sheriffs so that they can pass them out to their deputies

We also want to create a website where the sheriffs can come and it will be a place where they can learn more It will be free membership for sheriffs and deputies.

Anybody else that wants to join, we’re thinking of making an honorary membership for anybody who is not in law enforcement . A police officer that joins, we will let them join for free. We want to get our sheriffs and deputies educated in the true law of the land. Educated in the Constitution. Understanding the power and authority of our unalienable rights protected by the Bill of Rights.

We want to get this information out to them We’re not going to charge them anything.

We want them to come in and take our courses.

We are also going to put up a PDF copy of the book and people can download it for free.

They will be pocket handbooks where sheriffs and deputies can keep it in their shirt pockets.

It will be at least twice as thick as our last handbook.

It’s going to have a lot of information in it.

It is going to be straightforward and focusing on the power and authority and the duty of the sheriff. It should empower them.

We want to create a website that stands all on it’s own separate from National Liberty Alliance.

We want to get a goal set up there on how much money we’re going to need and a plan on how we plan to get all these books out to start right away to start getting some books out to these sheriffs as soon as we finish writing it and get it out to print. It may take awhile to get it out to print. Last time we did handbooks there was about a four week turnaround. Once it is set up it will take about four weeks to get them to delivery. Maybe five weeks. We will be sending them out to sheriffs

During that time period hopefully we will accumulate enough money to start that project.

There is nothing more important than getting knowledge to the sheriffs.

We are looking for liberty groups to partnership with.

We will support what they are doing and they will support what we are doing.

We want to make sure that we are compatible.

We need to get funds going with this.

National Liberty Alliance needs funding.

We are still working on the Committees of Safety

Regarding the sheriff project , anybody that joins up that is not part of law enforcement , we will probably do an honorary membership. Anybody can join. We are thinking of charging a yearly membership fee for that. It would be a donation. It would go towards paying for the cost, putting the site together , to get the books printed, to cover the overhead, and shipping, and everything necessary.

The whole project will be close to $75,000 or more to get 20 books into the hands of every sheriff.

The website is relatively cheap. The big cost is in getting the books published and shipped out. It might take a year to get the whole project done.

We need more people to make phone calls. Everybody who joins up for the phone bank process, we give them a script. We will start by calling our own membership We have over 6,000 members. We will give a script Each call should only be a minute or two. The new website is not up yet As soon as the website is up and the book is completed , we will put a PDF copy of the book at the website. People will be able to download the book and read it. We will have a gauge and a plan of what our project is all about. Hopefully we will get something up there to show how much money is flowing in. It will be like a thermometer to show how close we are to reaching our goal. The goal is to reach a certain goal amount and then start sending books out to some of the sheriffs. We might look to where we have Committees of Safety started and send to those counties first. Then when we reach another goal we will be able to order some more books. This way we will constantly be moving towards getting these books out.

It would be cheaper if we could order all of the books at one time.

It is not realistic to think that we can get that kind of money quickly.

We are considering what kind of background we would like for this website

It anyone has any ideas , you can send your ideas to Karl or Jan.

We are also looking for different icons that we can put onto that website.

National Liberty Alliance has the minutemen on the right and left side and the Liberty Bell in the center.

All of our education will be free We give free courses We have a tremendous amount of other free education.

Everything will be able to be downloaded free of charge just like at National Liberty Alliance.

We want to get liberty groups to come in and support what’s going on.

That will be another phase of our plan for this project is to get ahold of other liberty groups that will come in and give us a donation and continue to help us get support and get the word out. And as long as their website is appropriate we will put a link to their website on our website. We will have a place where liberty groups can be found. We would also expect that we would exchange links and they would put us on their website.

Maybe there are other ways to make money and people may have some other ideas on how to get donations. Any good ideas, get them to Jan.

The key thing here is educating the sheriffs.

We’re being blessed with an opportunity to do something.

We have a man in the White House who is just turning things upside down. Draining the swamp to make America great again. He seems to be doing all the right things. He is an extremely educated individual.

It’s a difficult task for him to deal with all these problems. He’s all alone up there.

He’s surrounded by the enemy. At some point in time he should be able to get rid of the enemy that has surrounded him in his administration. That’s a difficult thing for him to do. That’s his battle. But eventually he will figure out a way to deal with that problem and get different people in.

We have to get the sheriffs educated That has been one of our goals for quite some time.

The enemy is so deep seated around them that we just can’t get to them.

These books are exactly what will get to them.

By delivering to them free of charge with a letter on top introducing ourselves as ThePowerOfTheCountySheriff.com We have to make sure that these pocket handbooks are powerful. We have to make sure that the first few pages capture them.

Once we get it done it will be posted at the website and then we will have some meetings to discuss that book before we even go to print so people will have the opportunity to add comments or recommendations and opinions. First we got to get the book out there then we can start working on it. We will have meetings up until the time the book goes to print.

We will be perfecting it.

Once these books get into the hands of the sheriffs, it could make a huge difference.

Support needs to be built behind it.

There are three basic mindsets of sheriffs:

There is the one where he is just a criminal himself

There is another group which are trying to figure things out. They don’t have the education and the tools and the information.

There is another group that is totally ignorant. They think that they are doing a good job but they don’t understand their office at all.

This is an extremely important project. It will educate the sheriff.

We facilitate an education. We facilitate a place for people to communicate.

We all should be self educating.

Education is the key

Let’s not think that Trump is going to handle all of this on his own.

Don’t think that the next guy is going to do it. You got to do it.

We need money seriously bad

Don’t think that the next guy is going to do it.

There is nothing more important than getting justice back into the court.

(40:00)

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Jan got an e-mail from Wayne Padgett the sheriff of Taylor County in Florida

He asked Jan to send him six pocket handbooks.

He didn’t say if it was the new ones that we are working on or the old ones.

Jan will send him the Jurists Handbooks and let him know that as soon as the new Sheriff Handbook is complete we will send him a link for downloading.

One of our members is upset by the way that our flag is being treated.

“I’m not waiting for Veterans Day.” Apparently they are asking all veterans to not watch NFL games on Veterans Day. “Starting now I’m not watching any more NFL football to show my protest over the overpaid NFL players taking a knee during our national anthem I have put up my flag put a light on it and plan to leave it out 24 hours a day until the NFL announces that they respect our flag, what it stands for, and the soldiers that died defending it. I am requesting that Congress reverse the NFL’s tax exempt status and cancel any further funding which was $54 million last year. These overpaid NFL crybabies must realize that they are being used by the far left to divide and destroy America. “

(43:00)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: When a case is appealed to the U S Supreme Court, is the ruling of this highest court enforced by the federal chief executive or does the enforcement revert back to the state where the case originated?

This kind of decision we need to bring to the people . There needs to be a jury trial

There is no appeal for a jury trial. The jury trial is final and must be enforced by the sheriff. Any trial that goes on definitely cannot go in where a judge is going to make a decision

That makes it political If you’re going in on any kind of state level this issue we can make a federal case out of this it’s about the American flag. We could make a federal case out of this. But let’s just say it’s on the state level it must be done with a trial by jury. On a federal level also it must be done with a trial by jury. State judges are elected. Your state judges are elected Supreme Court and state are elected individuals political animals

Your town courts Your city courts Village courts They’re all elected.

On the federal level they’re appointed.

They get appointed by the President and approved by the Congress.

It gets back to the political game

The only process that is not political in our judicial process is the people the jury.

We have to decide this We can’t allow our servants to decide such an important issue. When we took our gun lawsuit here in New York up into Albany which by the way they defaulted and so far we have not been able to move the court to honor that default But they defaulted And we’re working on that It’ part of what we’re doing They defaulted That was going to be a jury trial We would not allow or permit any judge political animal to come sit up there and decide for us what our liberty is about. We the People we’ll decide what our liberty is . Not you Mr. Judge. Not you, Mr. Politician.

It seems that all judges are corrupt.

Every time I walk into court I have to fight the judge for the jurisdiction.

I want the jury I want the people to decide.

We have to make it a jury trial.

There will be no appeal from it.

It should be a federal trial.

The gun issue we had we moved it into the federal court

It’s got to be a federal issue.

You can’t allow it to be a state issue. Especially the flag.

The question was not pertinent to the flag

but just in general

When a case is appealed to the U S Supreme Court, is the ruling of this highest court enforced by the federal chief executive or does the enforcement revert back to the state where the case originated?

Whatever it is it goes to the Supreme Court for decision If you’re arguing a case and you have a problem in the court and the judge violates an unalienable right He or she does something wrong and goes the wrong direction with it you immediately take it into the federal court for cause to get a decision.

That decision will be made by the federal court but if it is necessary for the rest of the case to continue on then it will be sent back down for the case to continue on.

Why deal with any of this ? Why would anyone take any issue into a court for a political animal to decide? You don’t want a judge to decide

The United States Supreme Court, the one Supreme Court, is to oversee all the federal district courts. To oversee the tribunals. Tribunals are the judges They are the singular judge that decides on a case and then if you appeal that case you take it into three or four judges that decide over that Then there’s another level of appeal If the case is powerful enough you can get it into the Supreme Court. Then you get it into the Supreme Court to make a final decision. That final decision has to do with judge decisions. Not with people decisions. The United States Supreme Court has already ruled numerous times they can’t touch a case that has been decided by the people. It’s already been concluded.

It cannot be questioned. It’s done No matter what the issue is.

The people have spoken There is nothing else to discuss There is no appeal.

If there was an error in the case then you can appeal that to the people and reopen the case.

If someone’s unalienable rights were injured during the process of that court case that particular instance and situation could be dealt with And the Supreme Court could get involved with that But other than that it’s a final decision.

It is what it is It cannot be overturned It cannot be changed It’s a court of record It exists eternally forever People need to understand the difference between the two.

You can’t trust judges at this point in time. They seem to be all corrupt.

They want to get their claws into you and not allow you to go forward with your case and they’re not allowing to go forward and get a jury They’re doing everything they can to prevent that.

The day will come when the people will control the administrations of the jury and we will have juries that really understand what their job is at that time and how they ought to rule with honor, justice, and mercy looking for justice and looking for restoration to restore the individual. The ability to get rid of all these corrupt people through indictments in our government. At that point in time we would then have judges who will act under American Jurisprudence. Who will act under the Law of the Land. Who will exercise the common law in that court.

If it’s a case that is going to go through equity and you have a single judge, that’s fine

As long as the judge is acting under American Jurisprudence

But they are not.

I would say to anyone last thing you want is a judge to decide any case, you want to immediately open a court of record you’re looking for a jury

If it does go up to the Supreme Court you are only going to deal with the issue at hand , not to try the case, not end the case and send it back for the trial to continue.

But in some cases it’s so powerful that it ends the case there.

If there is enforcement needed, who would do that enforcement?

If the Supreme Court ruled such and such who would enforce that ruling?

The court enforces it by sending it down with a Writ of Mandamus to the lower court saying we demand you and you better do it because if you don’t we’re going to send the marshals to get you to the judge.

Brent had a comment:

(54:34)

The way that Brent understood the question was who had the power to enforce the rulings of the Supreme Court is it the states themselves when it comes down?

Traditionally if the federal courts makes a ruling and the U S Supreme Court is a court of the general government in Washington DC and the rulings are as a matter of common law stare decisis , let the decision stand, or binding on all the courts in the land Their decisions are generally binding and the principle of them And the U S marshals will be called out , the courts will call them out to enforce the rulings.

If the President of the United States wants to enforce the ruling he could call out the National Guard as President Eisenhower did and also Kennedy did it too.

Call out the National Guard and do it before the state governor calls the National Guard out because whoever calls them out first has control of them That’s the law of the matter.

When it comes to the National Guard or the local militia. That particular principle would apply the same. So it depends upon if the President wants to help U S marshals work for the court and they’ll usually do the enforcing if there’s enough power to do it.

(56:14)

Question 2 (for Brent)

Can Brent develop a plan for governors that would support the militia’s implementation so the governors would know what is going on with that lawful aspect of the Constitution, just one generic plan for all 50 states?

Yes, I’ve drafted a model state militia act. It’s in the back of the booklet called “Militia of the Several States Our Constitution’s Answer to It’s Enemies” That booklet is available on Amazon. It is also available at CommonLawyer.com The last thing in that booklet is the Model Militia Statute Everything in the booklet before supports and explains and expounds the meaning of the four militia clauses of our U S Constitution. There are four.

The Second Amendment which is known so well as the fourth in the order that they appear, the fourth militia clause of our Constitution. The first three clauses describe the division of responsibilities respecting the militia of the several states and the four classes of people:

Presidents of the United States, Congressmen of the United States, and then the state legislators and governors of the states. And the last militia clause recognizes the responsibilities of the militia themselves. Doesn’t grant anything to anybody. The other three are delegations of power from people of the United States. And the People of the United States, We the People, that phrase signifies the militia. The Militia of the Several States. That’s what that phrase has meant anciently in our common law tradition That’s what it also meant in the Older Testament Those three militia clauses are unpacked in that booklet.

The reason Brent put that in the back of his book is that somebody would send it to their governor or send it to their state legislator That it would be introduced.

Some form of that State Militia Statute would be introduced into the state legislature.

The militia clauses have been ignored for 175 years if not more.

We’ve ignored the militia clauses of our U S Constitution.

Our Presidents, our congressmen, our state legislators and governors have not taken up their responsibility the most fundamental of responsibilities of government

Government has two fundamental responsibilities The militia of the several states is the class of people that is supposed to fulfill both of them

Number one: Justice Jury duty is for the militia

And also from that same class armed defense

And that is the foundation of our oath that our Constitution requires of all office holders state and federal that they would defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic

Foreign means militia duty willing to take up arms in armed defense

And domestic means jury duty

It’s an oath to the law of the land and the land itself.

Maybe Brent can help NLA to get a book together , a simple handbook, for how to create a militia and give the people a basic understanding and ideas on what to do and then a further education for those who are capable of getting into a higher education of the militia itself.

That is fundamental to what we are doing and to get rid of the oppression and the police state that would cause it to fade away if the militia clauses were put into action.

If we empower the sheriff and he understands who he is and what his duty is and if he is patriotic enough to stand up and say “I’m going to do it” and we get that in the county and you get an educated people coming up in the county with these Committees of Safety we’ll take back control of the political process through the committeeman process and you get on top of that the administration of the jury the administration process together that education process right there is exactly what could bring this whole thing together.

And we should create a book to hand down on that to be the next spark that people would ignite themselves in county to county across America turning the lights on creating these new militias to come up and upspring out of there. But they definitely should be working together and it’s all on the grassroots level.

(1:08:23)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is CommonLawyer.com

We’ve been going through the Declaration of ‘76

Paragraph 10

“He has obstructed the Administration of Justice, by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary powers.”

The reason he didn’t want judiciary powers is because to have judiciary power that means you got courts. If you got courts you got juries. If you got juries you got juries then government can’t get away with everything that it wants to do. It stands as a possible barrier to the will of government. And the tyrant forever tries to replace common law’s adversarial spoken, that means oral, and public jury trials with the law of the city the civil law’s inquisitional secret tribunals. And the way that they do that, and they’re doing it all over the world, trying to do it to this country, is to use martial law courts, admiralty law courts, canon law courts, administrative law courts they are fundamentally the same thing the law of the city the civil law. The law of the city is fundamentally martial law.

Martial law demands that everyone conform to the same thing uniformly. Everybody even has to dress the same. Everybody has to move the same. Then they have to think the same. It they can think together then they can act together. And if they can act together then they can whoop the enemy. That’s good if martial law is applied there. On the fields of battle, on the high seas , and on warships and those kind of things But to take that law and apply it in a nonmilitary context is oppressive It is to be a tyrant. They use different labels, like the Evil Empire does now, to disguise that martial law. Administrative law, bureaucratic law, use labels like that.

It comes under many labels Expediency is one “We got to do this”

“You got to give up some of your rights so that we can protect you”

The government wants to get rid of public jury trials.

A public trial, by definition, must be an oral trial.

It must be spoken out loud.

If a trial is conducted by paperwork and secret filings and sealed documents then that’s not a public trial.

In the federal courts especially that is increasingly becoming the case.

How are you supposed to defend yourself if you don’t know what the lay of the land is?

That’s what government does and increasingly our government the law of the city the civil law doesn’t have trials it has inquisitions.

That means that the judge and two lawyers decide what they’re going to do with the poor guy going to court. There is no meaningful way to protect a defendant

The inquisition is conducted largely through filing of papers

There’s nothing oral The judge that finally makes the decision is not a lawyer. He is trained as a judge

He’s a civil servant dependent upon the government for his job and his salary.

He’s there as a cog in the machinery of government.

The judge is an employee of government.

Being tried by the jury is different than being tried by the government.

If the government is the accuser the government has the prosecutor how are you going to get a fair trial if you are tried by the government?

That’s what happens

Juries are the badge of our mistrust of government employees

If someone is an employee of government , state or federal, they should be utterly barred by law from being on a jury.

But yet we do it.

If the government is buttering your bread then I would expect you to be loyal to the government.

It would be contrary to human nature that you would be anything else.

As long as juries decide cases then freedom is alive

Without the jury the government is total

The jury is a creature of our common law it is part of our common law due process

Our jury is the final arbiter of right and wrong. The decider of facts

Not to be appealed.

By disallowing courts, the king does away with the jury thereby putting all litigants at his mercy in other tribunals such as the admiralty tribunal another label for the law of the city another label for martial law On the spot justice Without the jury

Even in cases like the Bundy case where the jury is stacked the judge was intent on obeying orders from somebody else doing away with the effectiveness of the jury but the jury came through any way. Why? The jury is not a majority institution It has nothing to do with democracy All 12 go one way or they don’t go any way.

That’s the genius of the jury

It works even when it’s stacked.

It only takes one person to say “No”

The jury has the power to say “No “ to the government or to say “Yes”

“No you may not take this man’s life, liberty, property or combination thereof”

or “Yes, you may”

A quote from the First Continental Congress of the United States from October of 17 and 74

It said this:

“The several revenue acts which extend the powers of the admiralty courts beyond their ancient limits deprive the American of trial by jury”

The king and his cronies’ goal was to keep their monopolies of power over trade, namely through the East India Company, and banking, namely through England’s Central Bank in London, these are the beasts fed with tax revenues collecting money using British bayonets and Hessian swords to collect that money called taxes. Sound familiar? Federal Reserve Bank. Bank of London. Same animal. Just different places

Our common law doesn’t tolerate monopolies because it is against the liberty of the individual.

They were using tax legislation to “ extend the powers of admiralty beyond their ancient limits”.

What were the ancient limits? The ancient limits of the admiralty courts they were confined to ships at sea. Ships on the high seas. Parliament said “We’re going to extend that jurisdiction to ships and their cargo in warehouses off the high seas tied up in court and we’re going to extend that admiralty jurisdiction to the warehouses on the docks where the stuff that is shipped on ships is stored. “ They got to think that people were hiding taxable goods in their homes and buildings so we’ll extend that admiralty jurisdiction to people’s homes. We’ll look for contraband stuff that got into the country through shipping without having paid the tax. You’re saying admiralty extends clear into people’s houses and we can send soldiers and sailors to search houses at points of bayonets and then arrest the people that we think are guilty and then try them in admiralty courts well that means that you don’t get a jury because the law of the city doesn’t have a jury.

We don’t want juries to play lawyer We want to get 12 men that are not so used to it Not so hardened to the stupidity of law.

It’s an instinct something that comes with age and experience

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law.

(1:38:20)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Greta from Michigan

The correction in her case was with a jury trial.

The problem that she has is the problem that everyone has, there are no juries out there that are free. They’re all stacked juries.

For any case you could do a habeas corpus to get them out of jail

But to get it executed is a big task

Anybody should be able to be taken out of jail with a habeas corpus because they never had due process.

They found her guilty supposedly of undue influence that forced her husband to marry her.

The gal that sued her is a court employee of a nearby courthouse

There was a connection between her and the judge

They accused her of stabbing her husband three times in the shoulder

This gentleman died in the hospital after being there a week and a half

If there were stab wounds and he was a patient in that hospital then somebody should have called the police?

Shouldn’t there have been documentation of this on the death certificate?

It was to strip her of over $700,000

She has been asset stripped five times

It never stops

The bench warrant does not exist on paper but the police department says it does.

She has been licensed to carry a weapon since 2010

Her $59,000 attorney sat and let her defend herself

The judge told her to shut up and answer only the questions being posed to her

She is not a criminal

Three years ago no one knew where Flint, Michigan was but now everybody knows, because they are corrupt.

She does not want to fear incarceration

Her last attorney was arrested in the courtroom and put in jail and then fined $400,000

as incentive to drop her

This judge is completely rogue.

A lot of our members are the walking wounded because that’s what we’re here for, to restore them.

People are starting to wake up and they understand how corrupt it is.

Caller 2: Colorado Randy

Reminder to John and Brent : They are scheduled on the radio for Thursday 6:00 PM EST

This Thursday

Gerard is welcome to join in

The best way to listen in is the website www.AmericaNews Net.com

Caller asked John if he has reached out to Sheriff Mack and his organization.

John replied that we’ve tried numerous times with no response.

John explained that Sheriff Mack has confused John with someone else.

Caller will try to make the connection with Sheriff Mack

A quick story from 1997:

Dr. Eugene Schroeder Constitution Fact or Fiction guy The American Agricultural Movement We put together a common law citizens grand jury here in Colorado We presented the evidence to the legislature

We had hearings In 1998 the legislation was put forward here in Colorado to examine what Colorado had to do to end the national emergency within the state

It will carry some weight

But people have to get involved

Caller 3: Albert

(1:58:00)

He has a concern about the significance of judges’ oaths of office as required by the state law

He lives in the state of Oregon

Most of them don’t have their oath of office and they sit on the bench and they pass rulings

What is the significance of the judge’s oath of office and what if a judge does not have an oath of office?

What is the legal ramifications?

That’s the problem, getting the court to address that.

A lot of judges are not taking an oath

They’re getting educated and they’re belonging to these private organizations that go right down into the town level but they’re an international association of judges

A judge that joins these international associations is already violating his oath of office because they’re a foreign association and entity. They’re not supposed to be joining these things.

Albert has been establishing his court of record for the last four and a half years, when he got sued by the bank and homeowners association

If you’ve been in court for four and a half years then you are lacking something and you got to figure out what you’re lacking. You got to move the court in your direction and don’t take “no” for an answer.

Almost three years ago he went through the summary judgment on two different properties.

The judge denied on one.

Unbeknownst to Albert, the judge did not have an oath of office

The judge did not have jurisdiction to proceed.

That you can file in the court and have the whole thing overturned

Caller 4 Terry

Caller has not heard anything the past few weeks about the docket case that you opened in New York The last thing the caller heard was that they were dismissing it and you sent in something saying that you can’t do that. Show cause.

We filed the paper and went after the magistrate of the court who has been very silent

Everything has gone silent.

They’re not saying anything at this point.

We went after the magistrate We told him to deal with the judge This is not the judge’s court.

We demanded him to sign certain papers of cases in default

It has been deafening silence

That’s a good thing It is better that we have silence and no response than a quick response with stupidity.

We’re close to 30 days

A little longer and we will make the next move

We will move for indictment on this magistrate and remove him from the bench

Before we do that we might chase Jeff Sessions

Maybe it’s time to do a Show Cause for him.

We did get a couple of letters from his office and it’s ridiculous It’s criminal

We also sent in four nonjudicial foreclosure cases into the case last weekend

That went through They have returned nothing

The moment they return anything is the moment that we go after them for potential indictment

If the movement with the sheriffs become successful in the next three to six months then that’s going to be huge pressure

And also on top of that linking up with other liberty groups growing and sharing our memberships we will put their links on our page and they will put our links on their page.

We will grow there.

The momentum will grow The pressure will grow

When we get critical mass, that’s when we win

Our membership continues to climb

Caller 5 Marge from North Carolina

(2:25:21)

There are two kinds of grand juries

There’s a statute grand jury

They are a stacked or puppet jury. They really aren’t a jury at all

They’re just captured people doing the will of the state.

There should be 12 jurors and two people sitting in as extras.

Every jury is a puppet jury and that’s a problem.

When we get in power we will have to release every single person that has been given a jail sentence by a single judge.

We need to take those people out and put the judge in in their place.

Judges can’t do that Only the people can sentence people to jail or take property away

And only a sheriff can seize that property

Caller 6: Felicia

For the nonjudicial foreclosures, have any of the judges or mortgage companies responded yet?

No

We have not defaulted them yet.

We’re about ready to default a whole bunch of them

Felicia wrote a letter to the sheriff and had it certified

He has not responded as well

This is an uphill battle

BAR attorneys are minions of the New World Order

They’re preventing everything from moving forward

They’re poisoning the sheriff They’re poisoning everybody

It is going to take time

We are moving toward the next step

We want to deal with Jeff Sessions first

And maybe at the same time we will deal with the nonjudicial foreclosures we’ll go after the judges that haven’t done anything yet and just ignored it we’ll do a default

with a default judgment on them

The mortgage company considered the summons from the grand jury a letter

That proves conspiracy That proves collusion

Read the papers It’s not a letter

They know it’s not a letter

By saying that it’s a letter, they’re at least acknowledging that there’s something here.

Early on when we went to court we used to get a lot of stupid responses from the judge and the lawyers and the prosecutors and we used to love getting their responses because at NLA we have the Constitution behind us

Once they answer, they reveal their hand and then you beat them over the head with the Constitution

What’s happened, as of late, they’ve gotten to know us, a lot of times they will send stuff just to see what you know , and then when you respond back then what you get is silence. At that point they don’t want to talk any more because everything that they said, you just used against them. They don’t want to give you another opportunity.

The word went out, “Don’t answer these people” “When they make these fundamental issues that you can’t answer, then just don’t answer them”

On every level there’s corruption

It’s an uphill battle

Felicia’s bank sold her house to Fanny Mae , Fanny Mae sold it in a private auction to a guy, he sent her notice that she had to get out or he will get the sheriff to kick her out and that she was a tenant. Her house was illegally seized unlawfully through the court.

John believes that on the Title they refer to you as a tenant.

That’s a thing that they have been doing for quite a few years now.

They try to make you a tenant and push you out as a tenant.

That process takes one or two years, at least.

They committed fraud to make that happen. That whole thing was fraud.

The paperwork is all fraud.

Felicia has the original title and a copy of the original title, the original deed, and her name is the only name on it. When she went down to get a copy of the title of her address she looked

and the same guy from the real estate company and she looked real close and there were new signatures on it it looked like somebody had used White Out

Somebody erased her name and went over it with another name

(3:01:05)

Caller 7: Jim New York

He was looking at when we asked Mr Cuomo from New York when we asked him about the Safe Act

So then we issued a Decision of Order that’s supposed to go to Mr Daniel Stewart, the magistrate

We just recently sent another one in

As Assurities of the Peace we should be able to restore people’s rights immediately

We shouldn’t be stymied by the fact that he doesn’t sign this order and adjudicated the default judgment

We should put Mr Cuomo and the other two put the whole New York State Majority Leader and Assembly Leader and Mr Cuomo and tell them that they are in bad behavior and tell them that they are not to affix their legal signature to any documents relating to their job because they are in bad behavior. And then in the future if they want to pass some law and they want to do it and if somebody wants to challenge it then it’s right there.

And we can do that with a bunch of things.

There is no way we should be stymied as Assurities of the Peace we should be able to take whatever actions we need as long as they’re not violent to ensure that our will is upheld.

So this Decision and Order Mr. Stewart holding us up there and we should also and I’m sure we’re going to issue him the same thing We’ll just tell him And Mr. Kahn too

We should be handling all of these people that just disrespect the court we should tell them that they are in bad behavior and if they don’t their signature is not needed on any legal documents.

And if they’re caught doing it then we will indict them for forgery.

We are heading in that direction

Bear in mind that our first paper was a Quo Warranto

They may have forgotten that

It’s in the court record and we haven’t forgotten it.

So we’ve already moved that step to the point where they really are no longer a government any more.

Of course we can’t just take it all away

The necessary things are in place.

What’s going to happen though is we’re going to have to start continue indicting indicting people mostly judges That’s where we have to break through , in the court.

Those are the people that need to be indicted first and foremost.

We’re putting that pressure on The moment we get momentum when we get some power and authority one way or another we get a prosecutor that’s where we have to get to. We have to get a prosecutor.

We have to go through the U S attorney process there.

We have to go through Jeff Sessions

NLA could put together a Bad Behavior paper and send it to these people and let them know.

We have to publicize it

We want it to look very official and very respectful and “We the People”.

People will look at it and think that it really is an order from a grand jury and it is.

Jim will try to come up with a draft idea.

We can only go so far with things until we get pressure from the people.

We’ve done a lot of papers and we should be holding a lot of people for bad behavior.

You’re still in bad behavior Don’t be affixing you signature to anything or we will be indicting you for forgery.

Instead of doing the bad behavior paper that’s exactly what an indictment would do maybe we should just be doing a lot of indictments

Any federal prosecutor can pick this up but they have been taught not to

They’re all BAR taught

We may have indicted Mr Kahn before he actually dismissed the case. We will have to go back and look. We indicted him for concealment because by trespassing on the case and entertaining a letter motion he conspired to conceal the case. And that’s what we indicted him on. And then the 30 days passed and we didn’t get one of their BAR lawyers in there so they went ahead and dismissed it. Your Writ of Error may have said this case is still ongoing and they may have accepted your stuff but they’re probably putting it right into the circular file. The fact of the matter is that everybody else in that courtroom is still thinking that he is still top dog there. Our case is not being entertained by anybody. So by putting him in bad behavior and telling him that his signature is worth nothing and then sharing it with the President

We could do it in reverse

We could do the indictment and then write a paper concerning the fact that he’s been indicted and that he’s in bad behavior and his signature is worthless but make a point that he’s been indicted pending the process

We want to have this case reopened

Then we go after the Chief Clerk for concealment

We already went through that and they defaulted on that

We did a Show Cause

We should do an indictment now.

I don’t think that we did an indictment on the clerk either.

We’re going to have to review all the paperwork

The attorney general covered the clerk He said that he was going to dismiss the default on the clerk too

They claimed that they answered but their answer was another paper a letter motion

They misread it and they responded when they didn’t have to

We have this default judgment all written up we just got to get Daniel J Stewart to sign it

Maybe we should be moving it to the King’s Bench

There is a couple of things that is preventing John from doing some things at the moment

He is working on two papers and he is working on the book for the sheriff and he is working on the Sheriffs’ page. All of that has to be done.

We’re still trying to keep up with the nonjudicial foreclosures

We need to pursue this thinking

We need to do the indictment first and the letter after that a reminder and send it out to all the people that would be appropriate in other words the court itself that this guy has been indicted and in dishonor and his signature is worthless we want to let you know because whatever he is ruling on at the end of the day it’s not going to hold up

Let’s work on an idea

NLA needs your financial help