National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

April 11, 2016

Topic: Enforcement

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Scripture Reading: Isaiah 14: 1-23

Tonight we are going to talk about concerns of people who are trying to change NLA’s course and veer NLA into different directions.

Most of these people had prior membership with NLA but were removed from NLA’s roles, they had authority and abused their authority.

NLA will keep its course and not allow that to hinder us.

These people have set up a system that is subversion against the United States of America.

They have set up their own courts and intend on trying to arrest people and to bring these people to judgment outside of the judicial system that We the People have set up.

They are trying to entice the militia to go forward with this to be enforcement for arresting these people.

If they arrest these people, where are they going to put them?

This would be nothing short of kidnapping.

This is subversion against the United States of America, trying to set up another government.

A shadow government, A quasi government.

NLA has been trying to keep arms length away from these people for a long time.

NLA is going to stay the course and work towards taking things back according to the processes of law.

NLA has some papers that are not perfected but we will read them tonight.

They have not been posted yet but will be posted as soon as we get good copies.

It might change a little bit.

We will correct it as we go along and clean it up.

Our intention is to get this out tomorrow if possible.

It is dated for tomorrow, April 12, both of these papers.

One is a paper for contempt of court directed particularly to the judiciary and the court offices in Oregon

The second one is a cover letter for the judge

Copies are sent to all 36 Oregon sheriffs.

And we will be following up the letter with a phone call to these sheriffs

Possibly some of the sheriffs will get on board early with NLA and make phone calls to other sheriffs.

They need to unite, to come together, to do what needs to be done.

To not only protect the people of their state , and to put these criminals who have taken control of our judiciary in jail. But also to assist and get the ball rolling across America where we need to get enforcement of all the sheriffs

We will be having conversations with these sheriffs concerning indictments

If we can work out an arrangement to trust each other and move forward with indictments and get enforcement then it is going to begin.

(14:37)

This is being sent to the United States district court for the district of Oregon

It’s coming from the tribunal which is the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

There are quite a few judges, the chief judge , a few magistrate judges, the chief clerk, the Harney County sheriff David Glerup but he is retired but he was in this process when this thing started with the Hammonds. a U S marshal, some attorneys , the attorneys for the Hammonds.

It starts off with the assurities of peace

16:00

“All respondents took an oath and when served with a habeas corpus, had a moral duty to speak

but instead uniformly acted under color of law in an unprecedented move to quash due process . Because it is apparent to the grand jury that there is a blatant widespread conspiracy among the respondents , U S marshals, and other federal agencies the Oregon judiciary has failed the people. And the only logical solution is to serve notification for enforcement to the county sheriffs…”

The paper concludes with the following

Ordered and adjudged

This tribunal finds respondents in contempt of court

This court is gracing respondents with opportunity to amend error by releasing Dwight Lincoln Hammond and Steven Dwight Hammond providing proof of file of the above said papers, time stamped, the title page of each of the aforesaid documents filed with the court and mailing to the above address

Respondents have seven days to obey or the grand jury will consider indictments for the aforesaid and following charges

(John did not read all of the charges)

18 USC Subsection 4 Misprison of felony

18 USC 1963 RICO

18 USC 241 Conspiracy against rights

18 USC 242 Deprivation of rights under color of law

18 USC Subsection 872 Extortion

18 USC Subsection 1349 attempting conspiracy

18 USC Subsection 1622 subordination of perjury

18 USC 2383 Misprison of treason

18 USC 2384 seditious conspiracy

42 USC 1983 Civil action for deprivation of rights

42 USC 1985 Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights

42 USC 1986 Action for neglect to prevent

And it is dated by the court April 11, 2016

(32:00)

NLA is sending this into the court and all of the people involved

NLA is also going to send a copy to all 36 sheriffs and as soon as we send this out we will start faxing to all of the sheriffs in America, we will also be sending to marshals, judges. 20,000 or more elected or appointed individuals in America.

This is the letter that will go out with this paper to the sheriffs

It starts off:

Dear Sheriffs

(33:14)

You are receiving a copy of contempt of court concerning the Hammonds case because

the federal judiciary in the state of Oregon has failed the people.

The genius of the American Republic is its judiciary system when free to properly function.

The paper ends with the following;

There is nothing wrong with our government

The problem lies with the criminals controlling it.

The problems out West are plaguing We the People in every state of the Union under the name of Agenda 21 and by BAR initiated and controlled mortgage frauds

America is under siege by enemies both foreign and domestic

federal agencies like the BLM

America is headed for an armed revolution

With the county sheriff working with We the People we can prevent it by simply working together and enforcing the law of the land

We the People through the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury are in the process of indicting the very people who are preventing the implementation of the law of the land and we are now turning to the sheriffs for enforcement

We can only accomplish our goal to save our republic if the sheriffs in each state band together with We the People to enforce the law

We will be contacting all Oregon sheriffs by phone either directly and/or through other Oregon sheriffs to initiate the reinstating of our Constitution.

As we copy, and fax , and e-mail other sheriffs across the nation and prepare them also for the initiation of arrests in their states

Once arrests are made, we, the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury will deliver the paper to one of the federal district magistrate judges for signature which will implement the trial process

If met with resistance an arrest will be initiated and we will turn to the next magistrate.

We will have success as soon as they, that is the judges, understand that we mean business.

Sealed, Dated April 12, 2016

Signed by the Grand Jury Foreman

(42:00)

That is the letter that is going to accompany the paper which we plan to send out tomorrow

By the end of the week we hope that every elected and appointed individual on the federal and state level will have a copy of it

We will start initiating the follow up phone calls with the sheriffs

There are forces trying to move things in a different direction

If the militia is listening now, and we will be trying to get in contact with them, if they go forward with these insane people who think that they can get the militia to arrest people which is kidnapping, and bring them over to a quasi shadow government which is subversion against the United State of America. They are going to start a war. They need to cease and desist from such action and let the judicial process take its course. Let the sheriffs do their job. Let the people come together to do what is necessary to save this nation.

Once we apply the law and enforce the Constitution in every court in America we will then have accomplished the goal 100% of the saving of America.

And from that point forward it is just a matter of cleaning house.

Were there any questions this week.

No questions

(45 min)

Brent began to speak:

Brent Winters author of the Excellence of the Common Law

Brent like others would like to see things brought back to sensibility without some of the outlandish methods that he hears about.

A fellow in the Caribbean named Aristide was popular for what he called neclacing.

And he ruled the country by putting old tires around peoples necks that he didn’t agree with and lighting the tire on fire. It was an ugly form of execution.

The only remedy to lawlessness is law.

(48 min)

The answer to the militia problem is that our state legislators need to do their duty under the Constitution which they have ignored now for about 175 years , do their duty under the Constitution, and pass a constitutionally mustered militia act that meets constitutional muster

A militia act, that is the duty of every state according to the four militia clauses of our Constitution. And if our state legislators will but do that , that will give an outlet for some people, able bodied, to bear arms , to bring balance to the madness in a way that will avert the violence that those holding the levers of power appear to be trying to insight people to. The confusion, the madness.

(49:24)

The lawlessness of what is going on. And it can only lead to something worse.

The are a good number of people out there that are willing to agitate as provocateurs .

When the government is done with useful idiots then they put them away or convict them of some terrible thing.

It’s dangerous out there

The only remedy to lawlessness and the danger that comes with it is law

Habeas corpus means we have or we shall have or you ought to bring us the body.

Bring the body before the court Bring the body of the prisoner

Now in England they don’t do that any more

The just go into court and argue it and the prisoner sits in jail.

One case regarding habeas corpus is about a fellow named Somerset.

He was a slave that lived in the colony of Virginia

His owner took this slave that he claimed to own to England.

And when he got to England with his slave, somebody there filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus for him.

He got to England, the slave said this is a free country, I’m leaving, the government went after him and jailed him, and a friend filed a habeas corpus on behalf of this fellow named Somerset.

Eventually the case got before the Justice Lord Mansfield , the Father of Uniform Commercial Code, the UCC.

Mansfield gave his tentative ruling:

“A slave according to American law

we cannot at any of these points direct the law

the law must rule us.

The state of slavery is so odious , that means burdensome, that nothing can be suffered to support it but positive law.”

He discharged this fellow from Virginia, the slave by the name of James Somerset and declared him free because he said that slavery had never existed on the Isle of Britain because even though people did claim to have slaves there but he said that as a matter of law it never existed. It was unlawful because our common law, the law of the land, the law of nature, and of Nature’s God is the common law, it was not permitted. This case of Somerset came to America.

A fellow was looking at an old document that he had dug out and Brent asked him what it was.

He said that it was the order of the court in the case of the Matson slave case. It was the order written out by hand in 1847. It was the case of five slaves. A woman and her four children. And the purported owner, a fellow by the name of Matson lived in Bourbon County, Kentucky, and he owned a large farm on the prairies of Illinois. He went up there every year to farm. Crossed the river, come north with his slaves , five of them, and then they would plant, and then he would go home and they would come back, and he left one slave there, he was the husband of the woman slave. He was the caretaker of the place. He’d come up there and harvest in the fall. Then he would take everybody back in the winter. The man’s wife and four children, back down to Bourbon County, Kentucky. He got up there one year and the housekeeper who was white, she was an immigrant from Ireland, and her and the black slave and an Irish servant got into a fight. This Irish servant said that she had influence with the owner, a fellow named Matson, she was going to talk him into shipping her, her name was Mary Bryant, ship her down the river. That scared Mary and her husband too. They didn’t know what to do, they knew this woman did have some influence with Matson. She took up her four children and fled. She fled to a nearby village, nine miles away, in Coles County. A man named Gideon Ashmore owned the inn there.

Ashmore hid them. He eventually got scared and transported them back to Oakland to a well off doctor’s house, a guy by the name of Rutherford, and he hid them there in his house. The owner, Matson, went to the sheriff of Coles County and talked him into going and getting the slave, finding them and bringing them back. He knew where they were and he had tried to talk Doc Rutherford into giving them back and he wouldn’t. The sheriff came, and said he had to have them, and he took them back to the court house put them in jail until further deliberations were made concerning them. Rutherford, the doctor, went to town, and looked for somebody to file a habeas corpus for these five slaves. He went to Abraham Lincoln who happened to be in town at the time. Lincoln said that he could not help him because Matson had already approached him and had taken him into confidence although he did reject the case, he told him some of the facts. So Lincoln couldn’t take the case. Lincoln ended up representing the slave owner in the case. And a fellow by the name of Lender on the other side represented the five slaves. The case drew a lot of attention. Two justices from the Supreme Court travelled to Charleston to hear the case. The slaves were bodily brought before the court just as habeas corpus means. The court issued the writ of habeas corpus to the jailer which was Sheriff Hutchison. And the sheriff produced Jane Bryant and her children before the court to show the court lawful cause for having jailed them. And if he didn’t show lawful cause, the habeas corpus required that they be released immediately. Arguments were made. Abe Lincoln argued for the slave owner. The case went in favor of the slaves. And the argument that was put forth on their behalf for the unlawful jailing of the slaves was that the land in America , this is the origin of what is called the free soil doctrine, the land in America was free soil, just like the land in England. They argued the Somerset case from England. They argued that just as Somerset left Virginia , which was a slave state, and went to England which was free soil, therefore Somerset could not be a slave. As soon as his foot touched the soil of the Isle of Britain, he was forever free regardless of his former condition of servitude. That is just like this case. He was in Kentucky, a slave state, and he crossed the river over into Illinois, a free state, therefore the soil here is free, and as soon as those slaves feet touched the soil they were forever free regardless of their former condition of servitude. The chains fell off upon touching the soil.

This is the order that Brent saw in the Coles County courthouse. Brent copied it.

October 16, 1847 the court said this:

In certification of freedom from slavery now at this day come the said applicants and presented by Gideon Ashmore their petition for the writ of habeas corpus directed to Louis Hutchison Esquire Sheriff of Coles County held them in custody and the court being satisfied on the premises order this said writ to issue returnable forthwith before his Honor Chief Justice Wilson assisted by the Honorable Justice Sam Treat , Associate Justice of the Supreme Court and the said writ having been returned and the said Louis Hutchison Sheriff having returned upon the said Writ the causes of capture and detention with the said Negroes into court and the cause coming on to be heard after testimony and argument had and the court being satisfied what judgment to render herein it is finally considered and adjudged that the said applicants Jane Bryant, Mary Jane Bryant, Mary Catherine Bryant , Sally Ann Bryant, and Robert Noah Bryant be discharged from the custody as well of the said Louis Hutchison Sheriff as of Robert Matson, owner, and all persons claiming by them through and under him as slaves and they be and remain free and discharged fall servitude whatsoever to any further persons henceforth forward and forever. And it is signed

That is a real life case of Habeas Corpus. Nothing has changed.

(1:05:22)

Habeas corpus is more important now than it was then

And it is at the forefront of everything now

We jail more people per capita in our country than any country in the world.

And habeas corpus in now limited by statute of limitations according to Congress to one year

Every thing that can be done is being done to curtail the power of habeas corpus

And the only way to keep habeas corpus

And the only way to keep habeas corpus alive is to use it

If you don’t use it you lose it.

Without habeas corpus there is tyranny.

Without habeas corpus there is no mechanism to put legs on the right to be free from false imprisonment.

The suspension of the writ of habeas corpus was tested heavily, the power to suspend habeas corpus during the war between the northern and southern tiers of states. Supreme Court Chief Justice Roger Taney directly challenged President Abraham Lincoln’s wartime suspension of the great writ of habeas corpus. A Maryland militiaman was jailed without a trial. And the writ of habeas corpus issued from the federal district court under Justice Taney in Maryland demanding that the President of the United States, Abraham Lincoln , and the general at the army barricks where this Merryman was being held, his name was General Cadwalader that he produce the body of the prisoner before the court and show cause for lawfully having jailed him. Cadwalader, the general, got the writ from Justice Taney and he had to make a decision, Do I obey the orders of the President of the United States or do I obey the law? He decided that he would obey the orders of the President of the United States. And so the man languished in jail. But Justice Taney said: “It is essential to the safety of everygovernment that, in a great crisis there should be a power somewhere of suspending the writ of habeas corpus. The Constitution goes no further , it does not say after a Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied a citizen, that he should be tried otherwise than by the course of the Common Law. If it had intended this result it was easy by the use of direct words to have accomplished it. The illustrious men who framed the Constitution limited the suspension to one great right and left the rest to remain forever inviolable. But it is insisted that the safety of the country in time of war demands that this broad claim for martial law be sustained. If this were true it could be well said that a country preserved at the sacrifice of all the cardinal principles of liberty is not worth the cost of preservation. Happily it is not so. Martial law can never exist where the courts are open. And in the proper unobstructed exercise their jurisdiction is also confined to the locality of actual war.” The whole country , Justice Taney is saying, cannot be a military district.

Lincoln had begun his term with unprecedented suspensions of the writ of habeas corpus.

He authorized the suspension of habeas corpus eight times from April 27, 1861 to December 1861.

And at first the suspension was controlled by the Secretary of State.

Today 864 persons were imprisoned without trial during the first nine months of the war.

The war didn’t occur everywhere.

Most battles of the war were in Tennessee.

But there were none in some states.

That is where the writ was often suspended.

864 persons during the first nine months of the war

And the number of arrests greatly increased in the next year 1862 when the Secretary of War was given the responsibility to imprison who he thought he ought to.

(1:12:39)

This is a Writ of Habeas Corpus

It was issued by Justice Taney to Cadwalader in the Merryman case.

District of Maryland to wit

The United States of America to General George Cadwalader

You are hereby commanded to be and appear before the Honorable Roger B. Taney, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States at the United States Courtroom in the Masonic Hall in the city of Baltimore on Monday the 27th day of May 1861 at 11:00 in the morning. And that you may have with you the body of John Merryman of Baltimore County and now in your custody and that you certify and make known the day and cause of the caption and detention of the said John Merryman and that you then and there do submit to and receive whatsoever the said justice shall determine upon you and concerning you on his behalf according to law. And you have then and there this writ.

Witnessed the Honorable R B Taney, Chief Justice of our Supreme Court

Tom Spicer , Clerk

Issued 26th of May 1861

A writ is an order

Writ means a command from a greater to a lesser in writing

That was a writ of habeas corpus It was an order to the custodian, the jailer to bring the body of the prisoner before the court.

You can find Brent at commonlawyer.com

* [**Winters Annotated Bible**—**A Common Lawyer Translates & Comments** Verse-by-Verse](http://commonlawyer.com/?page=Books_Common_Bible)
* **Winters Bible Translation—**Toward a Literal Translation From the Original Tongues
* [**Excellence of Common Law**—in Light of History, Nature & Scripture](http://commonlawyer.com/?page=Books_ECL)

QUESTIONS:

(1:16:24)

Caller 1: Linda Massachusetts

In the paperwork that she printed out from the NLA site that was sent to the government officials, in several of them it said that the press has a responsibility to alert. Has the press been formally sent anything? How can the general public help to get the paperwork out?

NLA does send everything out that we do to the press. A couple thousand throughout the United States. To our knowledge we have not heard of them printing anything that we have sent to them. We do have a couple of people who are reporters working with the families that we are dealing with. Who are actually getting some of the word out to the local papers. At some point in time we will be talking with these people. They are interested in talking with us and start getting our story out.

Right now it is word of mouth, getting people together. We are trying to get as many members as possible in National Liberty Alliance. We have over 5,000 members. We have hundreds of thousands of people who are following us. The more people we have behind us, when we hit critical mass, the point when people are really pressing hard and we get the sheriffs working with us. When the sheriffs start working with NLA then that will force critical mass because there are so many people watching and they want to see something done and they want to do something. And when they see the movement of something really being done that’s when they will pile on.

Everyone should get involved in the meetings NLA has.

Wednesday at 9:00 PM EST we come together for organizing

Talk to liberty groups and bring them into what we are doing here

We are pushing the Committee of Safety which is a new thing.

Caller 2: Danny New York

Brent was speaking on habeas corpus and gave some history on it.

Danny is in a family court dispute.

How does habeas corpus work in family court?

Only if there is somebody in jail or somebody in prison or confined some place and can’t get away.

They are held there by force then habeas corpus can apply to their situation.

NLA is doing habeas corpuses in courts for people who have had their children taken away.

This guy has had his children taken away and he wants them to show the cause.

Show me why you have my children.

One judge retired

One judge removed herself from the case.

Now a new judge is on the case

It has been over two years.

Somebody was going to assist Linda with catching up on the habeas corpuses but that person had a death in the family. They are due back this week. NLA will check and make sure that they are going to take that position.

If you are interested in filing a habeas corpus

go to nationallibertyalliance.org click on the frowny face holding the help sign

fill out the paperwork

once we get your paperwork then someone will contact you

and we will move along

Caller does not like calling his children property

Maybe next Wednesday we will reinitiate the Wednesday call specifically for these type of cases.

(1:45)

Caller 3: Jodi from Michigan

Is guardianship considered false imprisonment if you are put under guardianship through a court?

It depends on what the judge decides to do.

Every case has to stand on its own facts.

The fundamental rule in common law is if you are being held within a boundary by force or threat of force and subjectively you don’t feel free to leave then you are falsely imprisoned

Brent recommended a lawyer in Michigan Constance Cumbey

Caller has talked to her

John recommended that Jody look into NLA’s habeas corpus if they are trying to put you into a mental health type thing and take away your liberty

It is just a ploy from the court

If you want NLA’s help

go to nationallibertyalliance.org

click on the frowny face holding the help sign

fill out the appropriate papers

As soon as we get working on habeas corpuses then we will work with you on that

Caller 4: Mike from Colorado

Has filled out the papers for habeas corpus

Next week we will let you know if we have that back in motion

And possibly have a meeting on the following Wednesday to discuss specifics

We will look forward to more teaching from Brent next week.

John handed over the calls to Gerard

(2 hr)

Caller 5: California Lauren

We don’t have slavery these days

But there is modern day slavery

They use technology to create surveillance

It is like being a slave

You have no where to go for safety

Brent returned to answer this call

Brent was on an aircraft carrier for a few years and the great danger on aircraft carriers was RadHaz (radioactive hazard) because of the power of the radar and every airplane had a powerful radar on it .

Brent knew fellows who had all of their hair burnt off , that has been 40 years ago, and Brent doesn’t know if they ever got any of that back.

It was dangerous because we were in a place where we couldn’t escape.

We were taught certain things to do and certain things to avoid

Now there is so much of that stuff in the air, it is the same stuff

We can’t escape it in our own country

The powers that be are using it against people.

Brent quoted the Supreme Court from a 1925 case:

The MacPherson case

MacPherson v Buick Motor

The Supreme Court of the United State said this:

The principle of the law never changes but the application of the principles is always a little bit different because it is a different set of circumstances , different set of facts , different set of people.

But the principle never changes.

The principle that we call the right against slavery never changes.

The evil empire is forever creative in applying it using new technology

They use to tell us, when I was a political animal, political technology dictates political success.

And at that time we had telephones.

We had fax machines, they were new, but we had them,

And if you didn’t know how to use those things you were hurting.

The evil people used them too.

But now it is much worse.

We have technology that we didn’t have before

Even though evil people are doing all of these nasty things, we are not at a loss.

And if we are not using this technology as best we can then we are fools.

Using the internet, getting the word out, using the telephone, learn what you can from the internet.

Don’t think there is nothing you can do, there is a lot you can do.

God ruled by words

And His creatures made in His image can do the same thing.

When He delegates duty to us then we delegate by words.

Use the technology that we have.

Caller has been using the phone a lot to communicate with other people.

There has always been a conspiracy

Those minions of the evil empire have always agreed to do evil.

The evil empire enslave people to their own lusts.

The greatest danger everyone faces is slavery to ourselves.

Once the evil empire can get you to give in to your weaknesses then you are done.

And that is what slavery is all about

The ultimate enslavement is enslavement to one self.

And that is what the evil empire try to work all of us into.

The offer us everything.

The greatest enslavement is enslavement to self.

And the outsiders that come in to destroy you are a great danger.

We can guard against the other things.

The evil empire will slip in and get us enslaved to ourselves.

Caller follows God and uses Biblical verses to fight evil.

There are people out there that are vicious and dangerous.

Caller stands on the Word of God.

Work with National Liberty Alliance to get the word out to liberty groups

Work together to support the sheriffs.

To bring common law back into the courts

If we get the principles of God back into the court then we will have the nation the Founding Fathers envisioned and hoped for.

(2:11)

Caller 6: Lindsey in Colorado is going to grab Jessica , she is LaVoy’s neice

Jessica and Kelly from Oregon did a radio show today. It is on BlogTalk Radio.

It is archived as Stand by me for action

We are using National Liberty Alliance as a tool

We started a courtroom observer training for Nevada

We already have the one in Oregon set up

Jessica came on the call to talk about stand by me and what they were doing:

The website is amazing and you can find a lot of information on how to get involved and work with National Liberty Alliance and get courtroom observers trained

[www.nationalstandbymeforliberty.com](http://www.nationalstandbymeforliberty.com)

Tomorrow we need people in Oregon

Stand up and demand that the patriots be treated fairly and that they have due process in that trial.

At 9:00 AM Kelly will be out there on the stairs.

There is prayer request for political prisoners

[www.nationalstandbymeforliberty.com](http://www.nationalstandbymeforliberty.com)

Caller 7: Tammy Connecticut

The speaker is very knowledgeable.

About 2,000 people in the state of Connecticut will be losing their jobs with the state.

The governor is trying to get his rights to terminate people at the high level.

Connecticut has no sheriffs.

National Liberty Alliance helped the caller out when she was falsely accused and imprisoned.

Caller 8: Ralph and Chris from Missouri

He is a member and monthly donor

A couple of weeks ago John mentioned that when someone calls in the Alex Jones show and mentions NLA then NLA gets a lot more response

Caller has been able to get through to the Alex Jones show to promote National Liberty Alliance

At least get the website address out to the listeners

The old Derry Brownfield show, Derry is dead now, now it is the Common Sense Coalition, in Missouri, caller has been on her show. She has had Richard Mack on her show. She will talk with Richard Mack and the CSPOA

Caller called in last week and said that he was charged with a DWI

John had told the caller how NLA was very busy at this time.

John had told the caller to go to the frowny face and fill out the paperwork

Caller could not find anything under the frowny face for traffic violations.

Caller completed the civics course and was in the middle of the Constitutional course and it was gone. He contacted Kathleen and she is looking into it. Caller said all of his records were gone.