National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

May 8, 2017

Opening Song: Standing on Higher Ground

Topic: Information Brief Clarifying this Extraordinary Proceeding

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 8: 23-34

(3:15)

Last week we were speaking about some papers that we were going to be filing in the court.

We finished them but they still need corrections

This is still a draft

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org Click on “Grand Jury” then click “Docket”

1023 Information Brief Clarifying this Extraordinary Proceeding

Also on the docket page is:

* [1017-03 US Attorney Letter to dismiss](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1017-03%20US%20Attorney%20Letter%20to%20dismiss.pdf)
* [1017-04 Answer to US Attorney Letter](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1017-04%20Answer%20to%20US%20Attorney%20Letter.pdf)

The paper, Information Brief Clarifying this Extraordinary Proceeding, begins as follows:

(7:00)

“The purpose of this Memorandum is in support of an extraordinary response to extraordinary events designed by NWO subverts executed by power hungry tyrants to abolish our American Republic and trodden underfoot the laws of nature’s God through unlawful legislation and unjust courts in jurisdictions unknown. Whereas statutory prisons (many for profit) have been and continue to be constructed in order to imprison and enslave We the Free People of these United States of America. This Extraordinary Action at Law is not unlike the unanimous Declaration of the thirteen colonies of America, where we read concerning “when in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to (execute an extraordinary response and secure the powers) assumed among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, (as we declared) … We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed... (and) When a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is (We the Peoples’) right, it is their duty, to throw off such (despots), and to provide new Guards for their future security.--Such has been the patient sufferance of these (United states); and such is now the necessity which constrains them to (impeach through congress or indict the tyrants and subverts in this court of record)--That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter it, and to institute new (servants), laying its foundation on the Declaration of Independence, Constitution for the United States of America and its capstone Bill of Rights that rest upon such principles and organizes its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.” Congress who has the power to restore our Republic by impeaching these judicial tyrants for “bad behavior”, that is to say violate their oath by serving and protecting the NWO.”

The paper ends as follows:

“We have been sharing our court papers with President Trump while he was campaigning and after his election as president. We have watched his first 100 days in office and have thus far concluded that he is a patriot and genuinely believes in the “preservation of government of the people, by the people, for the people” through the law. President Trump after choosing Jeff Sessions for Attorney General said “He will be a great protector of the people.” On February 9, 2017 Attorney General Sessions then took an oath to “protect and defend the Constitution”. As aforementioned, President Trump rededicated himself and his administration to the rule of law and therefore, we will be sending a copy of these papers to Attorney General Sessions and President Trump for Action. We will call upon Attorney General Sessions to send U.S. Prosecutors educated in the common law to work with the Common Law Grand Jury to prosecute our indictments in this Court of Record, which will be the beginning of restoring Justice in our courts. America will NEVER be Great Again unless America is Just and America will only be as Just as its Justice System, which presently is a den of thieves.”

(29:30)

The memorandums we are copying the Attorney General and the President

Memorandums of Law as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| * [1010 Memorandum in Support of Jurisdiction](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1010%20Memorandum%20in%20Support%20of%20Jurisdiction.pdf) * [1011 Memorandum Jury Tampering & Stacking](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1011%20Memorandum%20Jury%20Tampering%20&%20Stacking.pdf) * [1013 Memorandum Article III Courts](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1013%20Memorandum%20Article%20III%20Courts.pdf) * [1014 Memorandum Founding Documents](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1014%20Memorandum%20Founding%20Documents.pdf) * [1015 Memorandum of Facts](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1015%20Memorandum%20of%20Facts.pdf) * [1016 Memorandum Grand Jury Authority](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1016%20Memorandum%20Grand%20Jury%20Authority.pdf) * [1020 Memorandum Amendment II](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1020%20Memorandum%20Amendment%20II.pdf) * [1021 Memorandum of Law on Law](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1021%20Memorandum%20of%20Law%20on%20Law.pdf) * [1022 Memorandum of Law on Standing](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1022%20Memorandum%20of%20Law%20on%20Standing.pdf) * Memorandum in Support of the Common Law * Statement of Jurisdiction * Jurisdiction Notice to Magistrate |  |

All of these memorandums are meant to be read with this paper even though they were written before this paper.

We have been writing these papers to build the case to make the point this is how this case is going to go forward and why.

By what authority and what the law actually is.

If anybody has any comments , ideas , or thoughts on this paper we can take those comments when we open the Q & A

Also you can get ahold of John, Jan, or Gerard.

We are going to try to perfect these papers and get it all together by the end of the week.

We did file a response to the U S attorney

He didn’t respond and therefore the clerk has defaulted.

The governor and the two Houses in New York also defaulted.

They responded to a letter that didn’t bring them into the court case yet.

They were just being copied as a matter of information

There was no Wherefore Clause there was no response necessary.

They go back to this no standing

Clearly this Attorney General for New York State did not read these papers

Clearly they did not read your response to their letter

They would have responded already saying we have no standing in this case now.

They failed to respond in time.

They’ll always respond the same way Won’t read We have no standing We have no authority We’re nobody We can’t come in and represent the people.

They cannot defend their position

This paper will be filed this week.

The paperwork for a lot of these cases is being organized

We have the Bundy ranch problem situation We have the murder or assassination of LaVoy Finicum That case is pretty much prepared and ready to go We have to write the paper but we have all of the evidence all of the information

We are also going to go after the judges in the Hammond case.

The Hammonds want us to keep our noses out of things but we can’t.

They asked many people to not get involved They just want to do their time and get out.

We have a duty to bring in these cases.

We are going to make the point concerning the threat upon these people.

We’re going to look for justice and they’re going to threaten these people and subject them to torture We’re going to be watching and these people are going to pay for this.

We have the Hammond case We have the Bundy case We have the Robertson case. We have the Wildlife Reserve case We have the LaVoy Finicum assassination.

We also have a case that we can build I think that we have enough information on spying on the American people. We also can show subversion clearly. We are also going after the cases with the habeas corpuses that have been ignored due process We have cases where the judges say you can’t bring the Constitution into this court.

We also have all of these nonjudicial foreclosures.

We have easily one hundred plus cases ready to go in to the courts

We will be writing writing writing for the next couple months.

Anybody who has a case that wants to get into this that has to do with denial of jurisdiction, denial of due process, unlawful imprisonment , if they want to write an affidavit we’ll start getting those cases in too.

We should try to connect with the Alex Jones people and see if they will work with us.

They have so much evidence compiled that they could help us to bring that evidence into this court case. Any group that has been gathering information about subversion that would like to get involved with us get ahold of Jan

We need the people who have done a lot of investigation to put the case together for us as far as the facts are concerned.

We can then take that and put the law and write the papers to get it into the court.

Give us the evidence and write a case concerning the facts affidavits will expound upon this and that is the key to any court case is the affidavits we will compile them and keep adding and adding and overwhelm them. The President is going to get them The Attorney General is going to get them.

When you’re going after the government on the federal level there’s a requirement of giving them 60 days to respond. That’s not so much on the state level. On the federal level that is what is required. If you are going after someone who is elected, appointed , or employed by the government then you have to give them sixty days.

We’re not going to give Jeff Sessions sixty days to make his decision.

The day he gets our papers he should be on the phone trying to connect with us to discover what he can do to help fix this problem and assist the people this subversion with these tyrants who have seized control of our judiciary and have taken us into jurisdictions unknown.

That’s what he needs to do.

John thinks ten days is too much time. Anybody who drags their feet they should be communicating immediately with us. We’re definitely not going to give him 30 Clearly we’re not going to give him 60

The very next case we are going to bring in is the active case out West which is the Bundy case. We are going to get an update on that tonight. We want to bring the Bundy case in.

The Wildlife Reserve case is still active too.

Those are the first two cases that we want to try to get in.

We will be constantly filing the nonjudicial foreclosure cases

This week we are going to try to file two of them into our court case.

(48:19)

Also what will be real quick is the habeas corpuses, the lack of due process, the proof of conspiracy there is collusion from one federal district to another federal district. The way they have been handling our habeas corpuses have been exactly the same in every single court system. That could be nothing short of collusion. Nothing short of conspiracy.

They’ve removed or concealed our papers

QUESTIONS

Question 1: Lawfully should convicted federal prisoners be interred in Washington DC?

There should be no such thing as federal prison.

There’s a prison in every county

And if it is necessary to imprison somebody and probably 90 percent of the people in prison don’t belong in prison and as far as county prisons probably 98 percent of the people don’t belong there If a person is to be tried within a federal district and a particular county that’s where they need to be housed if it is a violent crime. We need to set up something to re-educate these people. You treat them like an animal then they are going to act like an animal.

These people need to be dealt with compassionately on the one hand. And, again, prison is a necessity. If it is a necessity it needs to be done without abuse.

It also needs to be done with the anticipation of trying to save these people in the sense of coming back into society at some point in time.

Every county has a county jail we don’t need any more everything else should be shut down.

(53:05)

Question 2: How does the Show Cause stop a sheriff sale in 18 days?

It may or it may not.

We’re going to do a Show Cause

We’re expecting to put the judge on call He has to respond because there is no due process here

This judge has been called out to show cause by what authority he thinks he can act without judicial process without due process all the judge has to do is recognize the error of his way. All they have to do is to respond and say “Hey you’re right I made a mistake. I apologize.” And restore the individual. Call off the sheriff and get rid of the paperwork.

If these people want to take away these homes from these people then they need to do due process in a proper jurisdiction in a court of law they need to do it properly.

They can’t do it properly they don’t have the contract they don’t have the papers they don’t have affidavits they don’t have proof of claim they have not fiduciary authority they have nothing

We see what’s going on in the Trump administration

He often talks about the law and being obedient to the law

We’re teaching the President about the law

Our papers are educating him

If Sessions doesn’t do the right thing then we’re going after the President to get rid of this guy and get someone who is going to be obedient to the law and keep his oath.

We’re looking for critical mass

We may be close enough to critical mass by this opportunity here.

Even people who have already lost their home If they lost it through nonjudicial foreclosure they can fill out the paperwork, write out an affidavit, bring it together, and we’ll file it in our court case and we will act as their next friend on their behalf in the court to retrieve their home. If we can get prosecutors then these cases will go very quickly. Repent and fix the problem or they’re going to default because they can’t answer and we’ll get it back through default the court order will require the home to be returned.

Question 3: On December 26, 2016 on the Monday Night Call you spoke at length about Forms 56 and 4490 You mentioned the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures. Could you be more specific about what rule or chapter in that publication speaks about requirements for these two forms in court ?

In any court there must be an affidavit. If there is no sworn affidavit then there is no court.

That’s a requirement in a court case You have to have a sworn affidavit

Read the paper that we wrote Memorandum of Jury Tampering and Stacking

NLA lays out the fraud involved

and the manipulation of the court

This all starts at sea

You’re at sea and the government chases the pirates

They are able to seize their ship

They seize the ship at sea

When they bring it in to port they have to first file a proof of claim and fiduciary authority over that and they do that using the law at sea.

Basically it’s an affidavit of the story of what happened and they seized this ship of piracy.

An action in REM is a process where you’re passing due process and seizing the item without going after the owner.

Mostly because it was in a crime.

They moved that process onto the land.

Question 4: Can you say a few words about the meaning of equal protection under the law.

If you have an unalienable right in one state then you have an unalienable right in every other state.

So if one state recognizes you unalienable right like carrying a weapon without a permit and you go to a state that requires a permit faith and credit must be given to that individual.

Of course they don’t do that.

It’s another lawless process

We need to deal with that too

It’s an uphill battle.

Question 5: In a municipal corporation charter you can file a lawsuit against the municipality only if you show injury or damage caused by the corporation on the other hand a municipal organization is exempted from showing injury or damage caused by the accused.

They pulled that on us numerous times in the beginning

We just went straight to the Supreme Court

You go right into a federal court for violating your unalienable right

In this case the right of standing

We the People have standing

We can show very easily that we’re affected by anything our government does when it violates an unalienable right

Question 6: When did Congress vote to require counselors to be members of the BAR in order to prosecute cases in court?

Not all lawyers are BAR taught. Not all lawyers are necessarily members of the BAR

You can’t really get a license to practice law.

If you’re going to get counseling it’s up to the person to look to get the kind of counseling they want. And make sure that the person they get can give them the counseling that they are looking for. They have to look into their background and education.

The Supreme Court has ruled that you can’t license the right to practice law.

It’s an unalienable right.

They require lawyers for minors and imbeciles

By the very act of you hiring him you’re saying I’m an imbecile

You can’t license the practice of law except if the person is a minor or they can’t defend themselves.

ANNOUNCEMENT  
Captain John would like any NLA members who used to be able to dial into our NLA calls as part of their cell phone plan with no additional charges but are now being told that NLA calls are outside of their plan and are being charged to make the call Please e-mail Captain John at [decade@hotmail.com](mailto:decade@hotmail.com) That’s a scam that they are running

(1:16:11)

John will look into options to fix the problem with the phone

Question 7: When doing paperwork for the courts and doing research for the same once completed and added to a case what then are judges and magistrates looking for? What source specifically are they looking at when reading and reviewing the submitted court case paperwork?

When you’re doing something and you expect the judge to make a decision to a contract if you’re going into a court and you’re not looking for a jury and you want the judge to make some decision there is a criteria a format that is normally a process that people follow and the courts like to see. We put a book up there at National Liberty Alliance where we laid out that format

The only thing necessary to open a prima fascia case is an affidavit.

You don’t need anything more than an affidavit.

It starts off with an affidavit.

You can download our book with a lot of information we learned from a lawyer on how to file papers how to write a paper and the format It shouldn’t be necessary but the courts will push it and claim that it is necessary.

You got to be able to articulate your position and make it clear.

You need an affidavit

You need to challenge the other side You have to recognize that fact that they are lacking themselves

You have to understand that an affidavit unrebutted rules the court.

(1:21:14)

The judges don’t understand that. They actually do But they don’t care

Question 8: One could assume that judges are looking at law reviews, sources of some sort, the latest supplements containing the most recent appellate decisions, but when you hear what really goes on in the court

All of that is irrelevant from John’s point, he will never quote any of that stuff , I don’t care what other courts have to say

If they become honest judges and the judges become places where you can actually get justice then you can come in with your facts, tell your story and the judge will make a proper decision.

Who cares about what an appellate court judges says they don’t rule the court

In our case it’s always the same thing due process they don’t give you due process

jurisdiction, they’re in jurisdictions unknown

a court of record requires a jury.

Question 9: Can you say a few words about the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States? The things listed in the Constitution? Such as counterfeiting, piracy and so on. And how and why SCOTUS really didn’t have anything to do when it came to making appellate decisions until the early 1900s?

As far as the United States Supreme Court is concerned they have appellate power over the decisions of judges

They have no appellate power over the decision of the jury.

Once a jury makes a decision, it’s final

They have no power or authority to overrule that.

If you can show that somebody’s unalienable rights was affected by that particular part of the case then they could look into it.

The United States Supreme Court has their real power and authority in equity courts

They don’t have power and authority in courts of record.

ROBERT’S PATRIOT REVIEW  
(1:26:28)

The enemy has tried to prevent Robert from speaking by forcing him to run from one computer to another trying to stay online.

Last Wednesday night guards at the prison went to Ammon Bundy’s cell. They placed him in leg irons Cuffed his hands behind his back And they took him out of his cell And they took him to the shower room Placed him in a three by three shower cage They began beating on him They were laughing at him They told him that he was going to be there for 72 hours. They dislocated his shoulder and his wrist His hands were handcuffed behind his back They beat him down to his knees He was feeling like he was done in They wouldn’t give him anything to eat or anything to drink They kept him there for 13 hours They kept beating on him for 13 hours In the meantime the word got out through other inmates The supporters began calling the sheriff The sheriff had to send in a SWAT team in full gear to rescue Ammon They did that at the 13th hour. The people at the prison filmed the whole incident They were taunting him They were laughing at him They were beating on him

They watched him reset his own shoulder The supporters began calling everybody looking for help They called the sheriff They called everybody The sheriff at the 13th hour sent in a SWAT team in full tactical gear and rescued Ammon from this three by three shower stall that they had him in. He is in the Pahrump Nevada Prison It’s a for profit civilian prison.

The sheriff had to send in a SWAT team to rescue him. They brought him out He couldn’t stand up He couldn’t walk He couldn’t do anything His legs were injured because of the leg irons being so tight He couldn’t raise his arms up over his head. He told his wife that probably, cause they told him that he was going to be in there for 72 hours, no food, no water, he said that if he had been in there for a full 72 hours he probably would have died. And they told him that he was in there for 72 hours or until he died. That’s what he was told. They made up an excuse They came in Wednesday night, late at night, he had a shirt hanging up on the bunk and they told him that that wasn’t proper that wasn’t legal he said, “Why?” and they said because it’s just against the rules. It’s something that all the prisoners do They hang their shirts on the rack So it was just an excuse.

At that time they took his shirt and was walking out with it and Ryan Bundy grabbed it away from them They put Ryan in isolation They took Ammon down to the shower all handcuffed with his arms behind his back and shackles on his legs They beat him down to where he was on his knees He couldn’t get up He couldn’t raise his arms They tore his arms out of his shoulder Dislocated his shoulder And then laughed at him as he tried to reset it himself They filmed it and because other inmates was able to get the word out the Patriots started calling the sheriff The sheriff sent in a SWAT team to rescue him at the thirteen hour mark. They brought him out He couldn’t even stand up He couldn’t raise his arms They stripped him of his clothes so that they could examine his body and then he was thrown into an isolation cell naked One of the guards finally poked some underwear through a hole to him. People from all the Western states started converging on Nevada. and calling everybody possible. The sheriff came out and talked to them and let them know what she was doing. She did send the SWAT team in. She should have taken him out of that prison. She is doing an investigation into all of it. She came out and talked to the Patriots on Saturday. The guards were telling the guys that they couldn’t park where they were parked along the highway. She told them that they were fine. She had no complaint When she left she was headed to the prison to follow up The Patriots began a march around the prison which was three miles long. The guards called the city police and said that the Patriots were crossing the fence into the prison property So the police came racing out with lights blaring and sirens They were going to arrest all the Patriots that were there. John Lamb and Kelly Stewart

The sheriff popped up and said, “No You guys stand down I’m doing the investigation on this”

She reviewed all the film that had been taken

On Sunday she came back and told them “You guys haven’t done anything wrong There’s nothing wrong If you had done something wrong I would have just warned you”

The sheriff, she rides around being driven by a deputy She looks like a loveable grandma when you see her riding around in her oversized golf cart but when she steps out she’s got a revolver in a fast draw holster hanging low strapped around her leg like an old cowboy with the butt of the gun hanging out away from her waist at arm’s length ready for a fast draw.

If anybody flinched they’d have a bullet between their eyes with this woman.

She came out to talk to the people She’s doing an investigation She’s straight out of the Old West. She’s trying to be neutral. But you can see that she’s on the side of the cowboys.

Ammon told his wife on the phone what happened

She sent out a video on it

It’s a video of Ammon’s wife telling the story of what happened.

We need to get this into the court right away.

This is torture.

We need this story in with what we are doing.

We would like to get the assassination of LaVoy in as soon as possible.

This has got to wake up the Attorney General.

This has got to wake up the President

They got to have compassion and they got to respond.

Robert has also sent in affidavits from the other prisoners of what happened to them.

The people out there have been giving the supporters tents, and food, and sleeping bags

They’ve delivered Port-o-Potties to them

We have the names of the prison guards

The people of the county have provided travel trailers fully stocked

They’ve provided them with food to keep them going

The people are being peaceful

They plan to be there as long as they need to be

Does anybody know how to download a video off of facebook?

How do I get the video onto my hard drive?

Maybe there’s software to download videos?

We need copies of these videos

We will take that and send it to the President

We’ll send a copy to the President and a copy to the Attorney General

And do a Show Cause or something

The person who runs that prison is accountable

Who was on duty that night that would allow this to happen?

We need the names of the individuals that did this beat down.

We’re going to do a Show Cause

These people got to respond and answer

By what authority do they think that they can do this

This is torture.

We have some of those names in the affidavits

This has been going on for some time.

There’s a woman in charge and she’s authorizing all of this stuff

The other inmates are now willing to speak out on what happened to them

On Sunday the county commissioner came out and talked to the supporters

He heard their stories and he told them before he left that he was headed to the prison to talk to Ammon about the abuse and to take a look at his injuries

When Ammon’s attorney found out about it he showed up on Friday at the prison and he had an audience with Ammon But as soon as he asked Ammon to pull up his pants leg for him to look at the injuries the guards entered in and ended the visitation and took Ammon out and put him in isolation.

We’re going to put the sheriff on notice The sheriff has a duty to go in and take that man out of that prison If she feels that she needs to continue incarceration for some reason or another then she can put him in the county jail. We need to let her know She needs to do that.

And if she fails to do that and anything happens then she is going to be responsible.

We got to stop this

They fully intended to kill Ammon

He was told after it was all over that the intent was to keep him in there for 72 hours or until he died.

And he told his wife that he felt that if they had kept him for 72 hours he would have died.

We need the documentation.

Redoubt News posted the story

They did a pretty good job of telling the story.

The sheriff was informed of the video tape.

They don’t know if she has been allowed to see it or not.

She does know that the guards are lying.

After she left on Saturday immediately the people began a march around the walls of Jericho.

The guards called the police saying that these protestors had entered inside the fence of the prison.

The police came screaming out with their lights blazing and their sirens going and planning on arresting all of the protestors. And the sheriff showed up and told them to stand down.

And she reviewed all the video tapes and ascertained that they had done nothing wrong.

She knows that the people in the prison are lying to them.

Gather all of the stuff together and let’s try to do this quickly.

We would like to get this into the court this week.

Robert posted the video from Ammon’s wife.

It’s a firsthand account of what happened.

It is also on the Redoubt News paper.

With the reaction from the people in the county and support for the protestors that there’s a movement that’s begun that’s not going to stop.

(1:59:39)

OLLIE’S REPORT

You can record any video. You use Screen Capture.

Use OBS Studio, OBS Classic, NCH Debut

You can record a whole page or box a video record the video and audio

OBS is free software.

Open Broadcaster Software

Google “Screen Capture”

(2:03:00)

Brent Winter is author of Excellence of the Common Law

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law

The comments that Brent makes may or may not be the views of National Liberty Alliance

What do judges look for when you file paperwork?

Judges look for the facts and they look for the questions of law that arise.

When judges go to school they get set in trying to recognize questions.

They take law exams and there are no right or wrong answers on the law exam.

What gets the good grades is if you recognize all the questions that can arise and that can be litigated.

If you can just identify them you’ll get a good grade.

So when you file a paper in court they’re going to look for facts specifically dates and times.

The dates and times, they’ve been trained, if they’ve been through law school, if they passed law school, that’s what their brain, and their mind, and their head is trained to look for. And they’ll look for that. If you put in things that don’t really matter, all it does is confuse them. They read a lot of stuff.

The idea when you write papers for the court is to do what the man does when he is angling for fish. You want to have a spinner that’s sparkly so it catches the fish’s attention and when you’re angling for a judge’s attention you got to tell a story that gets his attention. Don’t pile it up with too much law because he’s tired of law.

The man who tells the clearest story usually prevails

So that’s what you’re looking for when you file a paper in court.

Another question that came up was about licensing When did the federal government in Washington DC first license lawyers?

In the federal courts there is no requirement that a person that practices law have a law license or a law degree. There’s no requirement in our Constitution Supreme Court Justices be lawyers from Ivy League Schools much less have a law license in all those things. But we’ve developed a habit since about the 1950s And it’s not a good habit.

You can’t think about being on the Supreme Court unless you graduated from one of the Ivy League Schools.

License is permission It’s permission from somebody who claims to have authority to allow somebody else to do something.

And if you don’t have permission of the judge in the courtroom then you’re not going to argue somebody else’s case on behalf of another person.

Brent has never taken an oath to the Queen of England and has never been asked to.

He doesn’t know any lawyer judge that has taken such an oath Brent is convinced that there is no such thing.

Somebody asked shouldn’t federal prisoners be put in Washington DC?

They could be put there

The federal government has military bases.

Traditionally until the federal prison system got out of hand federal prisoners were housed in military bases army barracks and navy yards. Before Roosevelt there were no federal prisons. There was just military prisons. They didn’t have that many prisoners back in the 1930s There weren’t many criminal laws on the federal level. There are only four kinds of crimes our Constitution gives jurisdiction to the federal government. Counterfeiting the securities and coins of the United States Piracy and felonies committed on the high seas Treason Violations of international law

Prohibition grew the federal bureaucracy

Federal criminal law began there

Since the 1930s by the time we get to the 1980s there are many federal prisons.

My book has the statistics on how many federal prisoners It has grown exponentially

It’s growing very rapidly.

There are over 6,000 federal criminal statutes on the book.

The crimes far exceed any jurisdiction the Constitution of the United States has for the federal government to make certain behaviors criminal.

It is out of hand

Prisons make people a lot of money It doesn’t stop crime

Brent concluded (2:21:08)

CALLERS  
  
Caller 1: Dan Oregon

Caller wants to mention the Hagmann and Hagmann show

They get a lot of good coverage.

They would be receptive of NLA

Anybody can connect us with programming or a show

We are good to talk to anybody

Get ahold of Jan under the Directory

They do a Monday thru Friday show from 4:00 to 7:00 Pacific Time or 7:00 to 10:00 Eastern Time They’re in Pennsylvania

You can go to their website Hagmann Report

Joe and Doug Hagmann it’s a father and son team show

They got a YouTube channel

Alex Jones is another one He did investigate Scalia’s death

NLA has tried to get on Alex Jones numerous times

Freedom Watch Judicial Watch reach out and contact them

John Whitehead is the lead council for the Rutherford Institute

Contact Rand Paul and Ron Paul

With the Hammonds in jail I would attach National Liberty Alliance with a request to the President and Attorney General to pardon the Hammonds that are in jail

Donald Trump is already aware and familiar with that

Donald Trump has to move in his own timing

He can’t make all these changes so quick

He’s got to figure out his plan as to what he’s got to do and when to do it

A private party made allegations against caller They were not true. They brought him to court.

It’s a civil allegation

He has continuous allegations being made against him

Caller never waived his rights

It ended up being fraud and perjury involving false police reports

It’s all on record

He was disallowed due process

He was not allowed to have discovery

It was a kangaroo court Caller could not respond to allegations.

He was not able to have access to the allegations made against him

He studied the laws He filed an appeal

You have the right to due process That makes it a federal issue

You can get into a federal court under that

They take you into jurisdictions unknown

They violate your right to due process

You sue them all including the judge

You are not going to find a lawyer to go up against these lawyers and judges.

If they do they are not going to win the deal is made in the back room.

The court I go into they don’t have the jurisdiction

And also they don’t give me the due process

So right there are two constitutional issues Immediately takes you to the federal courts.

To move to the federal court for cause

The judge will always go with the other side whenever you’re going up against the government or judge They’ll always go on the other side Against big corporations they’ll always go on their side.

You have to understand how the process works

Part of what caller is dealing with is private party allegations

Without a sworn affidavit there is no case.

Someone has got to come into the court and make a sworn statement.

Anybody who is going to make allegations against you it’s got to be sworn

You have the right to face your accuser.

Caller needs to file in federal court It’s all new territory for him

If you read papers and take some of our courses we have a book we put together on access into the courts which lays out how you write papers.

You can’t have a court case without a sworn affidavit

Someone has to swear something there’s nothing if that’s not there

You got to make that point

Always look for the jurisdiction of the court

Are you in jurisdictions unknown?

The fact that you are not getting due process is the key part to this whole thing.

Caller was trying to introduce evidence into the court and the judge said I don’t want to see the evidence I decide what the truth is I decide what the facts are

He issued an order and now I’m being accused arrested and falsely accused of violating the orders and now they’re coming after me with criminal charges

I’ve been falsely imprisoned two or three times.

It’s caused the caller to lose a couple of jobs.

I don’t have a lawyer I’ve been assigned a public defender who is completely incompetent

These courts are very abusive

Caller is asking for people to pray for him

NLA has a prayer group

Caller recommends the US Observer is a good website

They do a lot of good work for people who are being railroaded

Caller has a small website called SaveAmericaNow.com

(2:46:00)

Caller 2 Fred from California

We only had two people at the NLA prayer meeting. We need more people.

Regarding the Courtroom Observers: we need to organize with them for these filings in your court cases and they could be present when these things are being read in an open court.

Under the common law, how many points of law are there ?

And if a judge is in bad behavior shouldn’t it be like when a cop fires a bullet and he instantly goes on suspension until the case is resolved?

The remedy is judge impeachment and that’s a matter of the legislative branch which would be Congress

There have been several judges impeached through our history but most of impeaching and the well known cases occurred very early on.

In the early days when our country started people were down on the federal courts

They were down on them because they thought that if we got entrenched then we wouldn’t be able to get rid of them and they’d run roughshod over us. That’s come true to some degree.

There’s also a judicial board that you can make complaints to concerning judges and they’re under discipline too They’re under an ethics code They don’t follow it close

If a lawyer complains about a judge they’ll close ranks real quick and he will probably be disbarred. They will use any excuse to get rid of a lawyer that makes life less than relaxing. They want a relaxing day. They don’t want any trouble. There is supposed to be respect for the judge.

The federal courts have become very powerful.

Judges impeachment is the common law way and that’s why it’s part of our Constitution.

It’s not being used

Most people in Congress have not read the Constitution

And the one’s who have read it don’t understand it

Love of God is that we keep his commandments and follow his law

Love thy neighbor: Do not covet, lie, steal, commit adultery, or murder your neighbor.

That’s the last five of the ten commandments.

And those are the fundamental rudiments of which Hebrews speaks.

There are ten

And the key of the ten is honor thy father and mother

If you do not honor your father and mother do not work at honoring your father and your mother and honoring their memory if they’re gone never speak evil of them you will not fulfill any of the rest of the law of God

Honor thy father and thy mother that’s the principle commandment the preeminent commandment.

When we talk about the fundamentals the first principles that’s what our common law is it’s a law of principles

What about in the common law, the fundamentals there?

Those ten are good from the Bible and that’s part of our common law

Then we go to the other principles these are things that we observe they’re not written down

Fundamentals are those responsibilities those duties that God gives direct to the individual. No mediator in there. Except Jesus Christ. They come to us direct from God.

What are they? Those are our fundamental rights.

Examples of them are in the Bill of Rights.

We have a right to trial by jury

We have observed in nature that these things are first principles.

The duties that God gives direct to the individual without any government or any institution between those the government can’t touch that’s my responsibility He has given me direct responsibility over my tongue protected in the First Amendment

Or not speak that’s the Fifth Amendment.

Those are fundamental rights

Those are the fundamental rights you can find them in the Ten Commandments found in the Bible and our Bill of Rights.

There are others too that the Supreme Court has recognized.

Rights are responsibilities

Reicht Old Germanic Anglo Saxon speech means responsibilities

The fundamental rights that our Constitution says our government will protect are some of those fundamental principles.