National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

June 26, 2017

Opening Song: no opening song

Topic: 1023-03 Information - President

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to [questions@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:questions@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 10 : 16-33

We’re going to start off tonight We’re going to read a paper It’s an Information paper that was sent to the President after we sent out the Writ of Error

The Writ of Error went out and then we copied numerous people we copied: a few congressmen, we copied Jeff Sessions the Attorney General with the Information to the President We attached the Writ of Error and the papers where the judge came in and tried to shut down our case therefore he came in and trespassed on our case

The copies were to Attorney General Jeff Sessions , Donald Trump, Senator Chuck Grassley, U S Representative Trey Gowdy, and US Representative Jason Chaffetz

Gerard began reading the information

(7:31)

INFORMATION - PRESIDENT

“It is by and through our Constitution, that We the People Ordained that we have government by consent and established Common Law, a/k/a Natural Law whereas the Creator gifted us with certain unalienable Rights thereby securing Justice in our courts.

‘We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it,

and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.’-- Declaration of Independence

‘We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this

Constitution for the United States of America.’ -- Preamble

It is through impartial juries that We the People consent to indictments, convictions and

remedy. Any jury instructed by judges is a tampered jury. Any Grand Jury driven by

statutes is a puppet jury; Any Jury not instructed in the power of nullification or forbidden

to exercise the same, exercises the will of government and not Justice. “

The paper ends with the following:

“We the People have pleaded in the courts for more than five years to no avail. We have discovered that evil rules our American courts in the name of the People. Therefore, We the People have risen under the name of the Sureties of the Peace in the spirit of the Magna Carta and the Declaration of Independence as we near critical mass. We the People have opened an Article III Court of Record which will proceed as a Court of Law. ‘It is now incumbent upon you, Mr. President, to enforce the Law of the Land by demanding that Attorney General Jeff Sessions do his SWORN DUTY and provide the only known “Article III Court” in America with U.S. Prosecutors who will exercise their sworn duty and let the People (jury) apply justice, because “Only the People can save America”, without which America can never be great again. "Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.’ ‘We the People are the rightful masters of both Congress and the Courts, not to overthrow the Constitution but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution‘ – A Lincoln

‘I know no safe depositary of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion by education. This is the true corrective of abuses of constitutional power‘ Educate and inform the whole mass of the people... They are the only sure reliance for the preservation of our liberty.’-- Thomas Jefferson ”

(17:50)

That went out on Friday They should be getting it today or tomorrow It takes awhile for the President to get his mail.

It is an Information to the President for him to do his duty that is to obey the law and exercise the law

We did read the Writ of Error last week

The judge came in and violated at least three different statutes

This judge he just came into the court we have a judge They chose a magistrate We made it clear that we wanted a magistrate This particular magistrate is not a judge His title does not hold the word “judge” He can’t make a decision at all even in an equity case.

He operates solely as a traffic cop He keeps things moving in the court

We have a court case We filed it in December We’re seven months in We’ve got hundreds of papers filed All of a sudden, out of left field , some judge who has not been appointed to this case just waltzes in picks up the hammer of the sitting administrator and declares this case is thrown out. We did a Writ of Error There is no authority for this judge to do this

Lawyers don’t debate or argue it They don’t challenge it We the People will challenge it.

We did challenge it. There is no statutory process that permits this kind of thing.

For someone to just walk into a courtroom make a decision and waltz out.

We have a pretty powerful case with lots of paper

We did do a Writ of Error and we read that last week.

We covered all the bases on that paper

Now we are copying the President of the United States

We are going to continue to file and continue to go forward in our case

We got quite a bit of paperwork going through

We are going to start filing affidavits

We have been making this known for about a month

That anybody who has a story to tell and would like to get their affidavit into our case so if you have a situation where you were abused by the courts or unlawfully imprisoned or abused in prison charged with criminal statutes and facing time in prison anything of those natures you can write an affidavit and get into the court

At some point in time each and every one of those affidavits have to be dealt with and answered to. Anybody can send us an affidavit We do have a process if you have an affidavit that you’d like to put in

Send it to [affidavits@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:affidavits@nationallibertyalliance.org)

Don’t have your affidavit notarized yet

Send in your story in an affidavit form

NLA wants to check it out and make sure that it passes what’s necessary to get into this case

One to three pages should be sufficient

Just tell your story Just the facts Nothing else

Don’t quote the Constitution in your affidavit

Don’t use magical words like the man on the land That’s only playing into their evil.

We just want the story to be told

Say who you are and this is what happened to me just tell the facts

All of these affidavits collectively assist to help prove all of the abuses by the courts and the prison process Also at some point in time each and every one of these affidavits has to be addressed and justice has to be met on them

NLA is also taking on anyone who is going through a process of foreclosure such as a tax foreclosure or a mortgage foreclosure We are also putting those cases into our case.

This shows the illegal unconstitutional process that they’re using They’re doing a nonjudicial foreclosure. Our concern is to help people to save their homes or get their homes back.

People must be restored And that is what this court case is about

Our real focus in this court case is to bring people into power get the common law grand jury process going back and the trial juries back up and going Get the people to control that in their local courts in every county across America with an eye and focus of restoring the injured party.

We the People never gave the government any authority to control our behavior through statutes. Statutes are only law when they are constitutional.

NLA has 30 habeas corpuses that were ignored

We are going to do Show Causes on those judges that ignored them

We want to wait and see how things go before we start putting in indictments

Now we are going to enforce the Show Causes and start going after these judges

Very very soon we are going to come together to discuss numerous indictments

We will call for a meeting soon.

We want to wait and see how things go before we start putting in indictments

That’s the main reason we opened this case

Because they refused to do the just thing

They refused to obey the Constitution

We the People took it upon ourselves which is our unalienable right to open up a court of record to press for a magistrate to come in in the name of the People we came in as The Sureties of the Peace

We have every right to push this court case open and force this illegal unconstitutional judiciary and force a common law process

(32:11)

We’re looking for prosecutors that they need to supply

That’s why we’re going after Jeff Sessions to have him supply us with U S prosecutors.

Possibly 450

I think we have so much work that 450 lawyers won’t be enough

450 prosecutors won’t be enough

We may start the indictment process but seal the indictments until we are ready to file them in the courts

We are looking to potentially if it is necessary we’re going to indict also the magistrate who has been ordered already on two cases to sign the default papers

He has no choice He must do it

He just can’t ignore it

He’s been quiet He’s not doing anything

We are going to give him only thirty days and then after that we’re going to do a Show Cause by what authority he thinks he does not act

He is not going to be able to answer that

He will be considered for an indictment

(47:36)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: Would a Japanese individual living in one of the states of the Union have constitutional rights?

There is no such thing as constitutional rights We have unalienable rights

Unalienable rights come from God If you are a human being then you have unalienable rights.

Question 2 : What must an individual do and/or what are the steps he/she must take to become a sovereign citizen in the United States?

You’re born a sovereign I don’t shy away from the word “citizen”

Some say that being a sovereign citizen is an oxymoron

The Founding Fathers had no problem using the word “citizen”

It doesn’t matter what you call yourself You are a human being with unalienable rights and you can’t lose them

They can’t make some magic word or label for an individual that therefore they feel they are justified in denying someone’s unalienable rights

We are citizens of the state we are in

And we are all U S citizens

And the reason we are U S citizens ultimately is because we have unalienable rights protected in every single state of the Union

You can pack your bags tonight and move 5 states away and you don’t have to file papers. You are a citizen of that state.

You have protection in that state You have justice in that state in the court system

You can go from state to state to state

If we play into their game with words then we are empowering them and playing into their fraud.

It’s a fraud it’s evil it’s wrong and anybody trying to apply these things and divide We the People to enslave We the People those people need to be arrested tried and whatever needs to be done Which is what the jury would decide

Every case is unique

(59:30)

Robert B has some announcements

Today the Supreme Court according to Vice President Pence re-instated Trumps travel ban.

That’s good news The United States Supreme Court has every right and authority to come in and kick the butt of the federal courts

They should have been involved earlier.

We’re coming up on July now They’re fixing to fire up the courts in Nevada again and have another trial That’s coming up again soon.

We have the Courtroom Observers call on Wednesday night.

9:00 PM Eastern Time on Wednesday night.

We’ll be talking about how to be an efficient and a better courtroom observer

We’ll get everybody trained up on how to do it and get more people involved.

On Thursday night we have two calls

The first one is natural healing We have a professional herbalist teaching this

That’s at 8 PM Eastern on Thursday night

And following that we have the COS call at 9:00 PM Eastern

(1:05:00)

Brent Allan Winters

Brent is author of Excellence of the Common Law

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Why do people go to war?

There is only one reason people go to war

They cannot agree on an external standard

When we can’t agree in America with our neighbor

about whether or not we’ve been wronged or they’ve been wronged

or we get into a dispute and somebody’s injured

we agree when we go to court we agree on a common external standard

external to both of us an objective standard whereby to govern our relationship

and we say that standard is the law as we have it now in our own country our Constitution. And then we go to court. And although we say we agree upon the law we don’t agree on how it should be applied in a particular instance So we give it to the jury.

(1:15:16)

And we say we’re going to empanel 12 people on the spot, so that they won’t get bribed, and we’re going to ask them what happened. What are the facts?

And then we’re going to ask them to apply the law to those facts and give us a verdict.

The Bible says honor all men Even in battle you honor them

You respect them because they were made from God’s holy imagination

They may be evil at heart, they may be murderous , they may be child molesters, they may deserve to die under the law of God capital punishment but even in that you don’t disrespect them and you don’t torture them

because God in His revelation of his will to man has never given men permission to torture each other.

The courts are independent When they’re independent like that they don’t need to be obeyed.

We obey them even when they’re wrong as much as we can

Jesus said in the Sermon on the Mount By Roman law if a Roman soldier asked you to carry a load then you were required to carry that load up to a mile That was what the law required

Jesus said that if he asks you to carry it a mile then carry it two

By doing that you were not in violation of the law of God

If the government asks you to do something and the very act of it is an act of violation against God then that’s different.

But if the government asks you to do something and you’re doing it does not force you to disobey the will of God the law of God then there is nothing wrong with that.

And that’s the way we learn to get along

In our day the government has gone too far on that point

It’s important that we try to stick to the law as much as possible if we don’t then government will soon enough get out of hand.

Brent wants to say a few things about our Declaration of ‘76

Paragraph 2 is where we left off last time.

It talks about being tolerant

Our Declaration of ’76 says

“Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.--Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government.”

A right is a duty

And the Old Germanic word Reicht means duty

Right has always been a duty

Here our Declaration provides a four part test for recognizing when one must no longer tolerate government

Abuse number one the first part of the test that must be met

All four must be met

The first part the abuses and usurpations must form a long train one after another

Abuses means somebody is mistreating you violating your rights trying to get between you and your God so you can’t do your duty

Taking unjustly without due process of law life, liberty, or property

All you will ever have here is life, liberty, and property

And for government to take those without due process is a violation of God’s understanding of His creation And our understanding should be His

He has given us property so that we can use it to His glory

He has given us duties with that property so that we can use it to His glory.

He has given us life so that we can glorify Him in using our liberty with our property

Life, liberty, and property those three things that’s what abuses come against those.

Those three things rise and fall together

Take down one lose one or block from using one enjoying one and you will be blocked from enjoying the others because our rights are in our property And we have property in our rights and life is property because we have a right to life We have a duty to use it the way the Lawgiver the Creator our Creator told us to use it to His dignity and not our own. That’s what abuse is

A usurpation is a use of power without authority.

Brent was once a military man The commanding officer said “We’re going to fly the flag, and flex our muscles” Try to scare them back into position. He didn’t say “Flex our muscles and fly the flag.” He said, “We’re going to fly the flag, and flex our muscles”

The flag represents the authority of the United States

It is a symbol And was our symbol then of our authority to exercise power.

Now we weren’t going to exercise power right away We were going to say we have authority here’s the flag and second we’re going to flex our muscle

We were going to demonstrate and show that we had power and we weren’t afraid to use it.

There is a difference between authority and power

Authority cannot be usurped Either you have it or you don’t

You can usurp power

If a police officer takes his gun and indiscriminately shoots people that made him mad then he has usurped power. He has power in his holster he has a powerful tool

If he uses that power without jurisdiction without authority he is a usurper

That’s what that word means

Right justifies might

In the law of the city might justifies right

We say Quo Warranto If the courts aren’t doing what they’re supposed to file a petition for writ quo warranto By what authority are you doing what you’re doing?

If you have no authority then you’re usurping power Stop doing it.

The first one is abuses and usurpations that form a long train

Number two this train must be headed for absolute despotism

Number three this train must show no wandering from it’s heading. Our Declaration of ’76 says pursuing invariably toward despotism

Number four this train of abuses and usurpations must show a design to reduce the people under absolute despotism That’s a goal Our Declaration teaches that once the government fulfills all four of these it is the people’s right it is the people’s duty to end their responsibility to that government

No place in our Declaration of ’76 do you see a call to arms

That’s important because the Declaration of ’76 sets forth the standard but it is not quick to go to battle. And nobody should be quick to go to battle

Why? That’s just the last resort people get killed , injured, maimed and other ugly things.

The things that Brent says may or may not be the views of NLA

(1:45:45)

CALLERS

Caller 1 Paul from Michigan

(1:46:00)

When the sheriff arrests somebody under the common law where does the defendant go from there? After the arrest, after the defendant is tried by the grand jury and by the petit jury is that sufficient to send him behind bars for awhile?

Certainly if everything was done according to due process.

In other words according to fairness. According to his fundamental rights and his fundamental rights weren’t violated.

If his fundamental rights were violated if he was arrested without a warrant if he was tricked into a confession there’s a whole lot of rules that go with that. If he was searched without a warrant if evidence was obtained wrongfully if evidence planted if the jury was stacked there could be hundreds of things.

If a fellow is convicted with due process being followed then he can suffer the penalty.

Brent’s personal opinion is that jail is not justified for anything.

Men can be held awaiting trial. To jail people is quite another matter.

It is a violation of God’s fundamental trust settlement.

That’s why the jury is supposed to make the sentence.

The whole idea is to restore the injured party.

A sheriff said that he could arrest anybody , any time, for a good reason.

The sheriff said what do I do with them then?

Once an individual is arrested by the sheriff, generally speaking, the court needs to be notified. A hearing has to take place.

All the sheriff needs to do is find an injured individual particularly in a criminal act they file a charge with the sheriff fills out an affidavit the sheriff then needs to make the arrest and the process goes forward.

The prosecutor needs to look at that particular case It has to go before the grand jury

The sheriff can go directly to the grand jury.

Once we get grand jury administrations going the sheriff could just go to the administrator get an appointment put his case before the grand jury get an indictment and it’s over done

The sheriff is not supposed to hold him any longer than it takes to get the indictment and sent the bail.

The time between the indictment and the trial is where he would work out his defense.

If someone shot someone or killed someone they have to be apprehended and held in jail until they can go through due process

If someone is accused of something and they are convicted The penalty or requirement is to restore the individual which is what needs to be done That is the key to justice

Once that process takes place if there is a concern that that person may flea they can put a ring on the person’s leg.

They can control him without having this individual going to jail and thereby require the restoration of the injured party.

Let’s say this sheriff arrests somebody, and of course the courts are corrupt , even the grand juries are corrupt today.

The grand juries are tainted.

A sheriff does not have to go to a prosecutor or the court itself.

The sheriff can go directly to and he should directly to the grand jury.

All he’s got to do is go to the court and call for one.

Right now to get to a grand jury is almost impossible.

That’s why we are looking to take it away from these individuals.

The people need to control the people’s business.

We need to be the administrators.

Everybody should have access to the grand jury.

See if they can convince the grand jury of an indictment.

That’s the way it should be.

(2:00:00)

Caller 2: Jeremiah California

Jeremiah had two police officers tell him something. He was in the back of their police car and Jeremiah was claiming that it was common law that he was under. They proceeded to take Jeremiah to the hospital and they asked “Which one?” and Jeremiah did not have an answer for them. Where he got there he was able to get out of the involuntary detention the seventy-two hour one. It was within two hours of being there and ten minutes after submitting a demand for $30,000 an hour while they were making a legal determination

The cop told me “Which common law?” It was interesting that I couldn’t respond What would you say if you were in the same situation?

The Constitution and Bill of Rights are common law documents

In England there might be two common laws but not in America.

When you talk to police officers about the common law they don’t have a clue of what you are talking about.

If he did have an understanding then maybe he meant “Are you talking about natural law or the conclusions of the federal court?” That’s probably what he was talking about if he understood it.

I wouldn’t bring it up to the officer because the arresting officer has no understanding of what’s going on.

We need to educate these people.

Right now they have no idea of what our rights really are

They violate our rights every day

Every time they stop someone they’re violating somebody’s rights

Often they don’t have cause

It’s not worth arguing with a police officer

Jeremiah carries an attitude of forgiveness with him and this way he doesn’t have to go to court for some vendetta He can check his self

If you get arrested and you tell the cop that you are under the common law

that’s like telling him that you are under the Bible

That just makes him mad and confuse him and he would say “What Bible? Whose interpretation? “ You start talking like that and that’s going to upset people. Judges the same way. They’re not going to know the difference That’ll make them mad

When the cop said “Which one?” he has probably gone through a training course and he’s taught how to handle people that say things like that. Judges are educated after they become judges They teach judges how to handle patriots in court. They teach them what to say and what to watch for. They teach them buzz words that people use like common law also home schoolers are targeted Christian fundamentalists Bible believers and people that talk about common law. So when you mention that what you’re bringing to yourself is that they will turn and rip you to shreds. There’s no sense saying such things to judges and police officers.

It’s best to let the police officer do what he is taught to do and then seek your remedy or redress in the courts according to due process and according to law

If you try to resist a police officer you’re going to get hurt

It is better to seek your redress in court

It is best to seek due process

(2:14:26)

Caller 3: California Fred

Did Brent read the judge’s response to the paperwork?

What can we do in our paperwork to perfect it so that they have to address it and quit ignoring it?

Brent hasn’t read it yet

Caller thinks that a thorough investigation of this judge is in order.

A lot of people know about NLA

We’ve been documenting and sending paperwork to every congressman to every senator to every judge they all know what we’re trying to do

and they are doing everything they can to prevent us from prevailing

Are there any flaws that can be patched up?

Are they using a Bates stamp on their documents?

There is no magic

The flaw was in the judge

A Bates stamp is every time you push it down it puts out a number to control your documents in a numbered sequential order. It’s a means of knowing how many documents in each filing

For each case you have a consecutive number from the very first cover sheet page to the service right on through to the last page

That way you can account for all of the pages in the documents submitted and filed

It helps to do your paperwork tracking

The Bates stamp that Brent is familiar with that they use in the court is used for evidence

Evidence is important to keep it in sequential order

This is a grand jury submitting information that is evidence of other cases where there is a miscarriage of justice

Everything they are submitting is evidence

If you are going to submit evidence it would be nice if it was Bate stamped.

If it isn’t the grand jury can do it.

If you do it for them it’s not a problem.

It helps them keep it in sequential order.

It is important to do it

Brent was hoping to read the response from the judge but he hasn’t received it yet.

It is posted and under “docket”

We gave him a Writ of Error

The next step is we are going to indict him

We are going to put it in the NLA News

They gave us a magistrate just like we asked them

Six months later he dismisses the case and he says you need an attorney

That shows a dysfunctional court system

To find that document

go to nationallibertyalliance.org

click “grand jury” then click “docket”

then click [1024 UnLawful Order to Dismiss](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1024%20UnLawful%20Order%20to%20Dismiss.pdf)

Part of the letter reads as follows:

“According to the Complaint, Plaintiff is ‘comprised of fifty Grand Jurys each unified amongst the counties within their respective states. All fifty States have unified nationally as an assembly of Thousands of People.” The Complaint is signed at various points by the ;’Grand Jury Foreman,’ and no lawyer has appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, whose address is listed as a P.O. box in Valhalla, New York, Docket. On April 19, 2017, the federal defendants in this case asked the Court to dismiss the case on the ground that Plaintiff, as an apparently unincorporated organization, cannot proceed without counsel. Dkt. No. 17 (‘Letter Motion’) at 1. The Court agrees.

‘Under 28 U.S.C. § 1654, individuals are permitted to represent themselves in federal

court, but the statute does not permit non-attorneys to represent another person or any artificial

entities, including corporations, partnerships, or associations.’

(“The rule requiring corporations to be represented by counsel extends to all non-natural persons, i.e., entities, as its purpose is the protection of the courts and the administration of justice.”). A court may sua sponte dismiss without prejudice an action brought by

a nonlawyer on behalf of an organization

(“Because the ETU may not represent itself and Mr. Gualano may not represent it either, no one

is authorized to appear before this Court on plaintiff’s behalf. . . . The Court therefore has no

recourse but to dismiss the complaint sua sponte as to all defendants without prejudice to refiling

by an attorney

. (“Mr. Weisberg is not a member of the bar of this court, and it is the court’s

understanding that he is not an attorney His appearance on behalf of plaintiff therefore is

improper. . . . The papers filed on plaintiff’s behalf are stricken, and the case is dismissed without prejudice.”).

Here, Plaintiff describes itself as an organization composed of thousands of members

across the United States. Compl. at 1 n.1. Plaintiff’s pleadings are signed by the “Grand Jury

Foreman,”

and no lawyer has appeared on Plaintiff’s behalf, Docket. Since Plaintiff appears to be an organization of some kind, it cannot proceed in this court unless it is represented by

counsel.

(“Unlicensed lay persons, including the owners of companies, officers of a corporation, partners of a partnership, and members of an association , may not represent their entities pro se.” (emphasis added)). There is no indication that the “Grand Jury Foreman” is a lawyer authorized to practice before this Court. Thus, the Court dismisses the Complaint without prejudice. If Plaintiff does not obtain counsel to represent it within thirty days, the action shall be dismissed with prejudice”

Brent said bottom line it’s not lawful and it never has been lawful for a nonattorney to represent an association, partnership, or corporate entity He is saying that this is an association of people NLA They’re an association and they got to have a licensed lawyer that I accept in my court

It is not because you are not lawyers It’s because you’re presenting yourself as a corporate body even though you are not incorporated

We did not file the case under National Liberty Alliance We filed the case under Sureties of the Peace and grand jury coming into the court under extraordinary problem in order to let the court know

We are not an association We are not a group

Obviously he didn’t know what to do because he never faced something like this

He said you have thirty days to refile represented by a lawyer

In response to this we gave him a Writ of Error and said who are you and what are you doing in our case?

The only options are

either have a lawyer represent the organization that he perceives

or come into court and say no this is not an organization

This needs to be discussed as far as strategy goes

They gave us a magistrate

And then all of a sudden Judge Kahn comes in and says he is dismissing the case

We should discuss our strategy offline

(2:44:00)

Caller 4: Kristen from Michigan

I quiet titled a property that I owned

Bank of America was the assumed servicer

The mortgage company went out of business

When I discovered that I quiet titled it and I got a judge to sign off on it

Then I took Bank of America to court

federal court on a collection case

it was a kangaroo court

I didn’t know about court of records

The judge did not allow my case to go through

Now Bank of America is trying to foreclose on my quiet property

They’re using art of paper to intimidate me into a foreclosure

The only reason I am going to have to pay for it is because it is my mother’s property and she is 90 and she will just die if she loses this property that was free and clear

In your nonjudicial foreclosure this is being done by the sheriff department when I called down to the city to find out where they are supposedly holding this auction

And the clerk said that the sheriffs are doing it

And I asked where is the judge involved?

They said the judge don’t do it we do it all day long with the sheriffs

How do I go about making an affidavit when the documentation is not clear cut?

They’re playing art of words documentation to harass me

In order for the sheriff to sell it that means that it has already been processed.

In other words they have converted the deed or have done something in REM or something There had to be some kind of proceeding the sheriff just can’t foreclose on a property

He needs permission from the court

It’s all fraud so they can do whatever they want

They wave their magic wand and make whatever happen happen

They are claiming that the mortgage was assigned

It makes no sense

They are just fabricating all of this

You need to object and challenge the paper that they put in there that the sheriff is operating under

The best thing for you to do is to go ahead and fill out the affidavit under “foreclosure”. We have forms and you will see it when you go in there and fill that out

Get that paperwork going so that at least you can notify the sheriff to stop the sheriff sale

You are going to have to keep on proceeding They are not going to stop for long

They are going to look for ways around it

You already quiet titled it and they are not honoring it.

That’s another issue right there.

Basically you have a clear title.

They have to run through some kind of proceedings to pierce that.

They have no rights but they come with their police officers

When we send the sheriff a paper that there is an action going on he has no desire to violate that You’re taking an action in a higher court And he is not going to do anything that the court does not tell him to do

He can no longer sell that property until that issue is taken care of

Just go and fill out that paperwork that we give at least do that until you figure out what you are going to do with the title. There is a lot of fraud in there that they play

You need time right now to figure out what your strategy is going to be

You can’t do that if they are evicting you out of your house

They’re claiming that I have 12 months redemption period

I can’t afford to lose it for my mom

The only way you pay it is in the terms of the settlement that you write in there

If you are going to pay anything make sure that it is a payment on a settlement to your terms

that says it’s dismissed with prejudice they can’t come back and they’re accepting these terms as a settlement of the action

They have the upper hand because they have an auction date already set

Unless I pay it they are intimidating me

Are they saying that you have 12 months to redeem after the sale?

It is the art of words

They’re making all of this up

I’m before forced to do it or the police will come like they did before

You have to get it into an upper court

You have to bring it into the federal court

Are you familiar with 5 UFC where the government collects information on you and they have to provide you with certain information as to why they’re collecting your social security number, they have to tell you whether it’s mandatory or voluntary what statute ?

Caller wants to use that with the drivers license because they are not telling you under what authority they’re asking for your social security number

Caller was going to write to the Secretary of State to see if it was mandatory or voluntary

Maybe we will get some responses from this call about this information

Caller inquired about bringing in the clerk for impeding justice.

For not processing a complaint against a federal judge

There’s got to be redress for every injury a remedy

She has not got to the point where she is suing the individual or the government just yet

She is just trying to strategize things

Time never ends to the grievances that you can file

You need to make sure that you confronted the clerk to make sure that it wasn’t an honest mistake

If you ask her and she didn’t fix it then hit her with the full force of the law there

If she is doing that then there is US code that covers that

Caller said they sent her complaint back after she responded twice before

They didn’t answer You are guilty if you don’t answer

Keep track of the back and forth mail because that’s all evidence