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Scripture Reading: Matthew 13 : 44 - 52

(2:50)

We put together a paper which we filed at our website under docket

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org/docket or Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight “Grand Jury” and click on “Docket”

It is called The Grand Jury Report Regarding the Criminal Investigation into 9 11

It is called 9 11 Evidence and it is down towards the bottom but it is right before the video

9 11 Evidence It’s a 254 page report by the Grand Jury regarding the criminal investigation into 9 11 It’s dated August 7th

This is being filed in the courts tomorrow

A copy is being sent to the President of the United States

A copy will be sent to Jeff Sessions the Attorney General

Also the Judiciary Committee

and a couple Congressmen

Download it It’s a PDF and read through it

At some point in time we are going to bring this up before the full Grand Jury for consideration of indictments of certain individuals

We don’t have any plan of doing that in the immediate future

We have no clue of when we are going to do this

The Grand Jury can become acquainted with the evidence and start reading this

It’s 254 pages

There’s a lot of video here

All of this evidence was brought together by architects and engineers

It’s an evidence report to the grand jury regarding the criminal investigation into 9 11

The 254 page documents begins :

(6:20)

“On September 11, 2001, the three worst structural failures in modern history took place when World Trade Center Buildings 1, 2, and 7 suffered complete and rapid destruction. In the aftermath of the tragedy, most members of the architecture and engineering community, as well as the general public, assumed that the buildings’ destruction had occurred as a result of the airplane impacts and fires. This view was reinforced by subsequent federal investigations, culminating in FEMA’s 2002 Building Performance Study and in the 2005 and 2008 reports by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Since 9/11, however, independent researchers around the world have assembled a large body of evidence that overwhelmingly refutes the notion that airplane impacts and fires caused the destruction of the Twin Towers and WTC 7. This body of evidence, most of which FEMA and NIST omitted from their reports, instead supports the troubling conclusion that all three skyscrapers were destroyed in a process known as “controlled demolition,” where explosives and/or other devices are used to bring down a building.”

The next page is the index

After the index we have a whole page of video links

After that you will find many many different reports from all different scientists, engineers, architects , and psychologists

We are filing this with the court

On Fox News Sean Hannity is coming out with a lot of truth lately.

Fox News seems to be coming out with a lot of truth lately

Alex Jones has been reporting on a lot of this stuff

We would like the people to send a copy to these people.

It’s a big copy Its half a ream

You can take a thumb drive and take it to Staples and they’ll run it off

They have huge staples that you can actually put a staple through the 254 pages

An electronic copy could be sent out to the news media

There was something that happened on August 4th in the White House

Gerard will report on that later

(13:00)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: NLA through the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury has filed a court case in a Class 3 Court declared by the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. It’s called an Article 3 Court. How is that action going to be recognized when most practicing attorneys and department justice/ US attorneys / district judges of the federal district court system believe the federal district courts are already a Class 3 court?

Plus they do not recognize the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury

What is next?

There’s procedure and process

It is true that judges and prosecutors , lawyers, they’re actually they’re gatekeepers of the process and the way that they’ve been keeping control is keeping the people ignorant of the process of the court and how to get into that court and how to file the papers

The only course that teaches anybody that wants to get access into the court the only course that John is aware of is Jurisdictionary. Anybody that wants a copy of that course they can click on the National Liberty Alliance website and on the right hand side of the website you will find Jurisdictionary.

If anybody wants to take that course it is a course that you have to purchase It is a course that we purchased years ago in order to write papers and get access to the court

The guy is charging $249 for the course

If you do decide to buy it no matter where you go that is the price that it’s going to be.

We ask the people to click onto our link so that NLA is attached to that.

We earn $50 for every course that we sell for this guy

That helps to make some money for NLA

People should take this course not just so NLA can make some money but also because people need to know how to write papers they need to know the process and procedure

There is a procedure in the court There is a process There’s laws And we use these laws. We use a paper called File on Demand

When a paper goes into the court the clerk has no arbitrary decision making here They must file. They can’t reject, refuse, return, they cannot conceal the law is very clear and very stiff. The penalty can be from one to twenty years. Depending upon if it becomes a conspiracy and if people are intimidated in order to do these things

We put that on a paper called File on Demand to make sure that they are educated on the fact that they have to file

Once they put that stamp on the paper that now becomes a filed piece of document and it also has the stamped timing of when this was filed It is then uploaded into Pacer You go to Pacer and Pacer has that information logged there.

The purpose of opening the court is to get it on record.

So every paper we ever filed is on record.

We are coming in as the Grand Jury who are the Sureties of the Peace which represent the People.

We are filing a lot of cases inside here Lots and lots of cases

The whole purpose of this court case is to get before a court common law courts with juries tribunals which are the People the jury there are many many many trials involved in this case It spans across the entire nation

Ultimately when you put it all together it’s subversion against the United States of America by enemies both foreign and domestic and specifically in the judiciary

All of that information is up for people to see we also post it at our website

Everything that we have filed we have sent a copy to the President

We are going to be sending a copy of this to the President also,

Also we sent copies to three different congressmen one of which is the committee chair for the judicial committee

And we sent a copy to Jeff Sessions

We also have critical mass We have over 6,000 members

(22:22)

We have name recognition

We are known by all of the federal courts

We have name recognition

We have name recognition in the liberty movement

We get tens of thousands of hits on our website

We got a lot of people that are watching us and don’t become members.

What are we looking for in order to succeed? Critical mass

When we hit critical mass That’s it

We win this court goes forward and we prosecute.

The other way is if the President makes some moves and puts the pressure on Jeff Sessions .

He needs to send some U S Attorneys that are prosecutors to prosecute these cases.

(27:30)

You got to build pressure up and you got to get critical mass and you got to get the law.

Once we get into the court and we start to run just one we did one indictment and once that judge is brought to justice and he gets into the court and is being tried whatever that penalty is is one thing. Once that trial starts we have success and everything else will just funnel right through. And then we will bring justice back into the courts

We have to prevent people from trying to come in and taking control with anger and looking to get even We have to make sure that anyone we allow into this process they’re required to fulfill certain requirements which are our courses to begin with and take a vow for a sense of honor , justice, and mercy.

Question 2: Is there any new news on the Bundy trial going on?

Infowars commented that one person was given 68 years in jail

We heard about that last week We spoke about that last week.

We have a tremendous amount of evidence to put into the court for the Bundy trial for the LaVoy Finicum assassination both trials one in Oregon and the other one in Nevada

You only have so many people working with us on these things

It’s hard for us to get all this paperwork done and out

It just takes time

We are working on habeas corpuses

You shouldn’t be prevented from bringing evidence into the court

These people have been prevented from bringing evidence into the court

This is common

People have tons of evidence to prove their innocence but they go through this year of coming together in the court to decide on this and to decide on that

The whole thing is about getting rid of evidence Preventing the defendant from winning their case.

They have gatekeepers these judges are gatekeepers to prevent people’s unalienable right to defend themselves.

The jury never gets the other side of the story They only get a lie That’s a problem

(33:27)

Question 3: Is there any way that they can take the house after the Show Cause is presented?

Thanks I realize that there are variables but someone knowing what they are doing

Thanks Do we file a Show Cause into the local federal courts?

First of all we will file the Show Cause to the judge and we will file the Show Cause to either if it is a tax thing the controller or the treasurer it depends on who is signing the papers On the other side of things if it is a mortgage company then we usually go after the CEO

So they get their papers served and we send a copy out to the individuals who are assisting the petitioner and we are helping him as a next friend to get the paper into the court. Being that it becomes a federal case because it has been moved into a federal case through that paperwork for cause then at that point in time the lower courts cannot proceed.

The law prevents them from going forward But that doesn’t mean that they’re not going to go forward. But legally lawfully they cannot go forward.

We command them to not go forward but to respond or release.

What people need to do when they get their papers in the mail that means that the judge has got it that means that either the county for tax or the mortgage company has got it and you got it You make copies of it You take a copy down to the sheriff and you file it with the sheriff You want this to be filed with the sheriff to let them know that if this comes up for these people to come and take my home and they’re threatening me to take my home now I want you to know that this case is being heard in the federal court and that stops everything You want to go down to your courthouse where this paperwork is being filed you will find a number an identification number and make sure that a copy of this case is filed in the case

Take it down to the county clerk get up to the real property and make sure that it gets filed in the files with your title for the home

Now everyone has been served

And if they go forward without this case being finalized then they’re breaking the law and there’s penalty for that

And we will help pursue those penalties

That concludes the questions

(40:00)

Gerard talked about a thing that came through on the Liberty Beacon

An article about Trump being evacuated from the White House after they discovered a massive 9 11 spy system. They were remodeling the White House after 9 11 And that plays into this because they believe that that was when all this spy systems were put into the walls

This was a conversation between Secretary of State , Rex Tillerson, and one of the Russian foreign ministers , Sergei Lavrov .

He is definitely out of there so that lends to the credibility of this

They don’t want anybody to talk about 9 11

There is numerous hard evidence

The more you look into it the more ridiculous it gets

Donald Trump was determined to become president when one of his friends got killed in one of the Towers.

He knew that it was not what they were saying it was

He figured that the only way he was going to get to the bottom of it was to become President.

As soon as he became president he started looking at the documents on 9 11

That’s what they don’t want

Robert Muller, this bulletin details, is that he is a long time known “*Deep State*” operative who, in 2005, [personally ordered a Grand Jury probe into President Bill Clinton’s pardoning of the international fugitive Marc Rich closed for reasons never explained—and whom President Clinton had pardoned just hours before he left office, but who had received from Marc Rich’s wife, Denise Rich, millions in “*donations*”](https://www.infowars.com/in-2005-mueller-closed-grand-jury-probe-into-clintons-pardon-of-marc-rich/).

This is all stuff that is out there but now it is coming to a head

How stupid have we been letting them get away with this

Right now they are ripping the walls of the White House out and they are taking out all of the bugs that were put in there by President Obama back in 2009

Muller and Obama are complicit in this

General Kelly ordered the evacuation of the White House

Kelly is the new Chief of Staff

Secretary Tillerson said to Russian minister Lavrov that upon taking office President Trump immediately came under a “*withering attack*” from “[*Deep State*](https://theintercept.com/2017/01/11/the-deep-state-goes-to-war-with-president-elect-using-unverified-claims-as-dems-cheer/)” operatives within the US government when he began requesting classified documents relating to the 9/11 attack—with these “*Deep State*” forces then pitting against Trump a Special Prosecutor named Robert Muller.

That’s what’s behind the scenes on this.

This stuff is being leaked out You’re not going to see this on the regular news

They’re all run by the cabal

It isn’t on any news anywhere

They do have control of the news media

At some point the media has to be fixed

You can’t just go in and close them down

They need to be charged with treason and subversion

Then new people can be put in.

There are a lot of reporters that are frustrated because they can’t get their stories out.

That article is in the Liberty Beacon You could google it. Liberty Beacon: Trump evacuated from White House after massive 9 11 spy system discovered

And if you put that in there then you can read it for yourself

Gerard concluded

(55:09)

Robert’s report:

As far as the Bundys the prosecution’s case was supposed to end today

Once again, in this trial, they let BLM sit in the courtroom before they testified so they were sitting there talking to the prosecutors while the trial was going on and then they were called on to testify Plenty of time for coaching

We get into the defense part of the case they’re not allowed to talk about what the BLM did such as killing cattle and destroying water tanks and piping or any of that stuff.

Anything that is negative about the BLM they’re not allowed to bring up

The jury has the ability to ask questions

They’re asking questions of the judge and of the prosecution and of the defense. But they’re also asking questions of the people on the stand testifying. The jury is not totally believing the prosecution. The defense is being limited and not allowed to say much

The next case is going to start next month

They’re not going to let the defense go very long.

The defense may get two or three days

Ammon Bundy was able to make a call out and was doing a Q & A session with people that had been sending in questions It didn’t take long for the prison to shut him down.

He was teaching Constitution.

Robert concluded

(59:00)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

We’re talking about the Law of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God

The Laws of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God refers to the two volumes of our fundamental law of America

The Laws of Nature is the first volume of God’s revelation of His will for man

The first volume is unwritten Lex non scripta means law not written

You can see the will of the Creator in what He has made

There are some things that are just that way are there is no changing them.

The second volume is the Laws of Nature’s God written written in the Bible.

These are the fundamental laws of our country

The Declaration of ’76 is a document that acknowledges those two volumes

Organic means fundamental means foundational

In the Declaration of ’76 we are looking at the end of paragraph 2

It says this in paragraph 3

“He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.”

Why has he done that?

King George the Third was common law king in England

That means that his kingship was limited

He was king in England but he was emperor here.

The judicial branches here were under the control of another power

They wanted to control the courts

Reduce government to a single will

And our Declaration of ’76 says that single will was King George the Third

King George, unlike Obama, was a nice man, he had ten children , he was devoted to them, he loved gardening, he had a garden, and he kept his garden himself. He worked it, he weeded it. He was controlled by the bankers. King George ignored his common law duties in the colonies He said he was emperor If there is no legislature there will be no courts established. Our Constitution empowers the national legislature the Congress of the United States to set the jurisdiction of the federal courts

 Except one one federal court congress has no say over That’s the Supreme Court of the United States The Supreme Court of the United States is a creation of the Constitution of the United States The Congress is a creation of the Constitution of the United States and the office of the President, the Executive power , is a creation of the Constitution of the United States. The other federal courts are the creation of Congress. All the federal trial courts and the jurisdiction that they have is set by Congress. All the appellate courts are established by Congress The Congress of the United States could eliminate every federal court in the country They have the power to eliminate all federal courts except the Supreme Court of the United States which is a co-equal power with Congress

If they have the power to eliminate every federal court in the United States, all the trial courts, all district courts, all tax courts, all of the appellate courts and if they don’t do that they have the power to limit their jurisdiction.

Why hasn’t Congress done that for years and years and years?

Possibly Congress wants to throw the blame off on to the courts

“It ain’t us” “ It’s them”

Government should disagree with each other and fight. And if the branches of government are not contending and fighting with each other then our freedoms are gone. If they all start agreeing. We don’t want them to agree. We want them to contend with each other.

Paragraph 4:

“He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.”

For reasons our Declaration of ’76 here states our Constitution includes the ten day rule.

But notice in this paragraph He is commanding his Governors his Governors it says

These are men that are not of independent mind neither.

The colonies here in America were analogous to our states in some ways. Because they had received charters from the Crown that granted to them the rights of self governance. That’s contract in common law. And our Supreme Court acknowledged those charters in the Dartmouth College case and said that even the charter of the king established in this college it was established with a charter from the Crown of England and our Supreme Court of the United States said that’s contract I don’t care if it is from England the State of New Hampshire cannot change it It’s a right of contract so the contract stands.

Our states are the same way. We’re under agreement That’s the Constitution of the United States It’s an agreed upon statement as to what the law is.

And we made the agreement and the states are sovereign under that and as sovereign states the federal government has no lawful right to commandeer anyone in state government or anyone in the states. That’s forgotten too

Paragraph 5:

“He has refused to pass other Laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of Representation in the Legislature, a right inestimable to them and formidable to tyrants only.”

Here the colonists charged the king King George the Third for refusing whole land areas of Americans our common laws protection including courts and trial by jury unless they knuckle under taxation without the right to local legislative representation. Thus the signers of this Declaration of ’76 declare that the mother country has violated common law separation of power principle. And in this instance by the executive that is by the king meddling with the legislature. The king of England by refusing to annex whole areas of newly settled wilderness until the inhabitants agreed to give up their right of sending representation to their legislature held them hostage. Either you give up your right of sending legislators representatives to the legislature if you don’t give up that right I’m not going to annex the territory where you live it’s going to continue to be a wilderness without any rights at all

I won’t protect you and I won’t let anyone else go in there and protect you.

It was rough to be in those wilderness areas without some kind of protection.

Protection was important if you could get a little more of it.

The people who moved into these areas risked their lives and the lives of their wives and the lives of their children.

We reside now on land that is safe and productive because of the intrepid boldness of such people.

They would like to have had a little protection if they needed it.

There were reports of uprisings that brought great danger to them and their families

The colonists mindset was fixed in the tradition of centuries of Anglo Dane common law custom that the people choose their king from among their kit and kin

And that king in turn was duty bound to appoint judges , shire reeves which came to be known as sheriffs meaning county agents and bailiffs to summon jurors In short the king was to put the machinery of courts in place and then stay out of the courts business

It is the same custom that we have under the Constitution of the United States.

Executive analogous to the king appoints Supreme Court Justices he places them up for appointment and this is true under common law he places them up for appointment he sends their name along to the body of elders and then they would say “ yea” or “nay” to whatever the executive or king wanted to do.

Americans later pushed beyond the frontier and set up their own common law courts without waiting upon government back East to do so And in time state federal courts ratified the findings of these local courts

The first branch of common law government, of any government, any right government, the first branch is the courts The courts must come first

In the Laws of Nature’s God written in the Bible the establishment of the nation called Israel the first thing that happened was judges set to judge There was no king And the Book of Judges records the history of how that played out The courts have to come first Judges have to come first.

There is no statute no legislation on the books that says that murder is a crime

None Why? Because when someone is accused of murder and the jury is empanelled jurors don’t need to be told that unjustified killing of another man is not wrong It is wrong.

They know that. And so when governments are established then it’s important that we go back and review how this all should happen How it did happen in our own country Courts were first People knew fundamentally they had some customs in their mind they didn’t need legislation they had the Laws of Nature The way things are they’re not going to change. Rape, sodomy, murder , pedophilia all of these things are crimes and men do it by nature. It’s unnatural It’s a crime against nature to do those things.

Crime against nature Crime against Nature’s God

Contrary to nature contrary to the Maker of Nature.

So men empanel juries

The independence of the judiciary the independence of the courts is the most fundamental independence of our common law government

And courts that are instructed by anyone else are not independent

Our Constitution in pursuance of this standard of independence of the judiciary says that the pay of federal judges cannot be changed during their tenure in office. It does not say cannot be changed by Congress it does not say cannot be changed by this person or that person this part of government that part of government it says can’t be changed and if it happens then it’s unconstitutional

As long as the Federal Reserve Bank is in control of the value of money the worth of it by control and plan deflation and inflation then the Federal Reserve bankers are changing the pay of federal judges

We’re talking here about territories

Territories that are not annexed refusing to pass laws for the accommodation of large districts of people

In recent history in America this happened

It was inadvertent but it happened

In what is today the Panhandle of the State of Oklahoma it was an inadvertent oversight of Congress laid out the boundaries of the territories and the Indian territory of Colorado and Kansas They inadvertently left out a strip of land 38 ½ miles wide and about 165 miles long.

And in that strip of land congregated cut throats from all over the world because there was no law

None at all It’s a barren kind of place

But in that district a strip of land about that wide and about 165 miles long and 38 ½ mile wide the government Congress failed to include it in any territory or state and so no laws were enforced no law enforcement there no organization of any government of any kind

and then by the year 1907 the Indian territory had become the whole thing including the Panhandle was worse and it wasn’t part of anything

But it had become an outlaw’s lair Distant federal government in Washington DC proved unable to bring peace any amount of peace And no amount of force from the federal government persuaded the lawlessness in Oklahoma and it was worse in the Panhandle

Between 1875 and 1907 the federal court in Fort Smith Arkansas having criminal jurisdiction over white men in the Indian territory sentenced over 160 men to hang but with no discernible effect on the crime

But upon attaining statehood in the year 1907 Oklahoma which by then included the panhandle with it’s county courts and it’s immediate appointment of sheriffs immediately peace emerged and grew and prosperity exploded immediately

And it wasn’t that the federal government didn’t exert force

They exerted a lot of force They did all they could

But a distant government is not effective

The federal government is trying to make the states territories today

Using the federal government to move into the states

Destroy the sovereignty of the states Dominate the people

(1:37:12)

Brent concluded

Another announcement:

George Webb is going to trial tomorrow

The guy that’s got all kind of evidence on these rat lines and fits right in with the whole thing that is going on with Donald Trump

Harley is going to alert people as to what is going on

They were out tracking him that night

He was near the fort

They got him for being near his keys sleeping

Could have run the vehicle

Very marginal charge

He’s going in tomorrow for a pretrial hearing

He’s pro se

People should watch the George Webb thing and help us

He’s got a reputation as some kind of live wire who made a false claim in court

He was very modest

He said do the normal checks on the ones listed under diplomatic pouch can be as big as a shipping container which makes it very convenient for trafficking in cars

This is what Pelosi was doing with her daughters

Diplomatic pouching and smuggling drugs

Their diplomatic pouch can be as big as a seed container.

(1:43:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1 : Terry New Jersey

You were talking about 9 11 earlier

Could you repeat how to find it on the site?

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight “Grand Jury” Click on “Docket”

It’s on the “docket” page towards the bottom

You will see 9 11 there

It is 252 pages

“Grand Jury” then “docket” then go all the way down and it is right above the first video

You will see “9 11 Evidence PDF”

You were talking about Building 7

The building in London did not fall down

Did you get the information about the Private Attorney General Doctrine and did you get a chance to review it?

John does not find anything that he feels comfortable with that

People who call themselves Private Attorney General John does not know what that means and what that is. We are not going to do anything in court with things that we don’t understand.

John will talk with the NLA advisor again about this

Private Attorney General is when a lawyer brings a private action obtains an injunction or something to do what the government ought to be doing.

Every time anybody brings an action in court he’s doing it in defense of the law of the land.

And when he does that then he is defending everybody’s right.

A private attorney general is somebody that doesn’t have a paycheck from the government defending the rights of others or the rights of himself.

It sounds like next friend

They always give us a hard time with it.

The problem we have is credibility

We have to have credibility

Some people call themselves private attorney general, they even have a certificate , but they don’t know much at all.

They may not understand the process

Many private attorney generals tend to lean toward the man on the land thing

They also tend to lean toward the quasi shadow government that call themselves judges

They give themselves different titles

None of them have knowledge that makes them worthy of what they claim to be

Credibility is the key

We need US Attorneys given to us from the Attorney General’s office to deal with these crimes

(2:01:49)

Jan has a question relative to taking your foreclosure papers , printing them off, and taking them down to the sheriff and to the courthouse

This person also took them to the company that was doing the auction and to them to the bank and she has a question.

The person with the question was unmuted:

(2:02:24)

Caller 2

Felicia from Florida

She went to the courthouse with the United States Grand Jury documents she gave it to the court and she also gave it to the sheriff She has been in contact with them, her brother told her that her house was for sale she looked it up online and told them what was going on. They privately sold her house. The documents that you guys sent the mortgage company said that they would not respond because it is not a legal document. And if they wanted any information I had to give consent for the information to send it to you.

They can make the claim that it is not a legal document they got the summons the summons is in there they got the paper and the Show Cause requirement

We’ve laid out very clearly in the document how they’re breaking the law and what they are doing which is a crime And if they want to ignore it that’s fine

She said that it was not a legal document so the legal team would not respond.

They also have not responded to CSPB as well.

The problem with them is they can’t respond.

Because in order for them to respond they either have to lie or admit the truth

And that’s the problem

The only option they have is to default and hopefully try to do something with the judge

We don’t have a judge We have a magistrate

We did have a judge that came in and committed fraud on the case

We dealt with him the best we can

They’re still taking our papers and we’re still filing papers

We know we have to wait for critical mass

They can only ignore us for so long

The sheriff office told her that she actually has to come down and file a statement that a crime was committed.

She asked “Have you read it?” and he told her “No”

John suggests not to go down and do something like that.

The crime is clearly laid out in the paperwork

People like the sheriff they won’t read it because they can’t understand it.

Our paperwork is simple and on point It is easy to read

It just needs for them to read it

They’ll send it to an attorney and the attorney will look at it and say “Don’t worry about it”

The fact that we call ourselves the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury and we did that to separate ourselves from the puppet jury

Also they may try to come after us legally

We make it clear that we are the common law grand jury

We wait for the default date

Once that default date comes through we will start to pressure the magistrate to do his administrative duties

Just sign the default The law is crystal clear

The process and procedure is crystal clear

All we are asking is to return people back to the former state that they were in the beginning.

Caller said that they did not call it a summons they called it a letter

They have to call it a letter otherwise they have to respond to it

It is not a letter It has a stamp on it And it was filed in the court

It doesn’t matter what they call it The fact is that it is in the case

If they think that it is a letter then they have to put that in writing and they need to enter that on the docket

They’re not doing that They’re violating due process

That thing was entered into court with a clerk stamp on it

It needs to be answered

If they call it a letter then they open up a dialog where we can say It’s not a letter.

This is an official filing It’s stamped by the clerk It’s on the court docket

They need to answer it officially in the docket

One of two things is going to happen

Number one either we’re going to get justice back in these courts or

number two these people are going to force a civil war

We’ve got the most logical and obvious way of taking back this nation

It’s peaceful It’s just And it’s right

We’re doing it with honor , justice, and mercy

They are violating Felicia’s due process

This is not a letter It’s stamped in the court

And they need to put the answer on the record

I’m fighting this fight on the record

You need to answer on the record otherwise you’re violating my due process

It’s a waiting game

We are going to send them the default

And we are going to put it into court for signature by the judge

Let’s see what they do then

Default is requiring restoration to your original state before this whole thing started

Caller 3 Alley

(2:26:25)

Caller has information to share about the Bundys

“Lobbyist extraordinaire and Trump campaign adviser Roger Stone’s name was fading from the headlines post-election.

The longtime political strategist, whose illustrious career spans more than four decades may have chosen his newest cause —defending the Bundy family, — to return him to the public eye. Curiously, after all his years in government, this meant aligning himself with men charged with conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States.

Stone’s newest cause  célèbre involves Cliven,  Ammon and Ryan Bundy who in 2014 famously initiated an armed standoff against the Bureau of Land Management at their Nevada ranch when the agency came to collect overdue grazing fees incurred by the family’s patriarch Cliven Bundy. In 2016, Ammon Bundy perpetrated another standoff when he and his supporters occupied the Malheur Federal Wildlife Refuge in Oregon. Ammon was [acquitted](https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/intelligence-report/2017/acquittal-bundy-militants-further-emboldens-radicals) for his part in Malheur, but he, Ryan and Cliven are currently facing trial for their roles in the Nevada. Stone made his support for the Bundys and their followers public when [he spoke at a Las Vegas fundraiser on July 15](https://m.facebook.com/rogerstone/posts/10155388502423617). After stepping up to the stage, his first words, partially drowned out by attendee applause, were, “I am here for one reason: I stand in solidarity with every member of the Bundy Family …  ”

He went on to call the FBI agents involved in ending the Malheur occupation “Federal mercenaries,” claiming [Lavoy Finicum](https://www.splcenter.org/file/11551) otherwise known as “Tarpman” — was murdered in cold blood, which brought “death and dishonor to our country.”

According to Stone, the government’s prosecution of the Bundys is the “oppressive hand of a military jack-booted government that has lost all sense of reality, of law, morality or the U.S. constitution.” Further, he denounces Gloria Navarro, the Nevada judge presiding over the “[Battle of Bunkerville](https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/intelligence-report/2014/war-west)” trial as “outrageous,” “unconstitutional” and a “disgrace” to the judiciary.

These sentiments are in line with many of the Bundys’ supporters who have disparaged the judge’s reputation and made a number of veiled threats against her, which Stone’s words are more likely to validate than prevent.”

That is what the caller had to share about the Bundys Caller also has something to share about Trump from theduran.com site

“Yesterday I wrote an [article](http://theduran.com/donald-trump-and-the-sanctions-law-supreme-court-challenge-coming/) for The Duran in which I expressed the view that the Presidential Statement published in connection with US President Trump’s signing of the new sanctions law passed against his wishes by the US Congress clearly pointed to an intention to bring a future challenge against the sanctions law to the US Supreme Court.

The person known as Simon has pointed out on the thread of this article that President Trump’s Signing Statement – the one which is actually attached to the law itself – goes much further, and not only details where the President’s lawyers – which in this case means the White House’s Legal Counsel and the Department of Justice – think the sanctions law falls into outright illegality, but makes the intention to bring a challenge to the US Supreme Court crystal clear.

That is also my view and I enclose [the full text of the Signing Statement](http://www.marketwatch.com/story/text-of-statement-trump-made-while-signing-russian-sanctions-bill-2017-08-02) in order to explain the point

Today, I have signed into law H.R. 3364, the “Countering America’s Adversaries Through Sanctions Act.” While I favor tough measures to punish and deter aggressive and destabilizing behavior by Iran, North Korea, and Russia, this legislation is significantly flawed.

In its haste to pass this legislation, the Congress included a number of clearly unconstitutional provisions. For instance, although I share the policy views of sections 253 and 257, those provisions purport to displace the President’s exclusive constitutional authority to recognize foreign governments, including their territorial bounds, in conflict with the Supreme Court’s recent decision in Zivotofsky v. Kerry.

***Additionally, section 216 seeks to grant the Congress the ability to change the law outside the constitutionally required process. The bill prescribes a review period that precludes the President from taking certain actions. Certain provisions in section 216, however, conflict with the Supreme Court’s decision in INS v. Chadha, because they purport to allow the Congress to extend the review period through procedures that do not satisfy the requirements for changing the law under Article I, section 7 of the Constitution. I nevertheless expect to honor the bill’s extended waiting periods to ensure that the Congress will have a full opportunity to avail itself of the bill’s review procedures.***

Further, certain provisions, such as sections 254 and 257, purport to direct my subordinates in the executive branch to undertake certain diplomatic initiatives, in contravention of the President’s exclusive constitutional authority to determine the time, scope, and objectives of international negotiations. And other provisions, such as sections 104, 107, 222, 224, 227, 228, and 234, would require me to deny certain individuals entry into the United States, without an exception for the President’s responsibility to receive ambassadors under Article II, section 3 of the Constitution. My Administration will give careful and respectful consideration to the preferences expressed by the Congress in these various provisions and will implement them in a manner consistent with the President’s constitutional authority to conduct foreign relations.

Finally, ***my Administration particularly expects the Congress to refrain from using this flawed bill to hinder our important work with European allies to resolve the conflict in Ukraine, and from using it to hinder our efforts to address any unintended consequences it may have for American businesses, our friends, or our allies”***

Caller 4: Ollie

(2:37:53)

Regarding the Bundy trial: two or three weeks ago the prosecutors demanded that they get a copy of the closing arguments of the defense before they even get up and give it. Andrea, her update today, I didn’t get a chance to watch it yet, but her headline her blurb was about the prosecutors that they want to interview the defense witnesses and then bring the trial in .

They’re doing everything they can that tells me they have no confidence in their ability to get convictions whatsoever.

They’re not permitting these people to defend themselves.

People have a right to defend themselves. And they’re not permitting that.

They have a right to call witnesses

They have a right to produce their evidence

And no judge should be able to stop that

They have the right to do it.

They got a good case

Comments from the people observing the trial is that the jurys’ reactions point to the fact that they’re not buying a single bit of it. Possibly look for acquittals.

If you want to secure a building a missile facility or a nuclear facility you call in the Navy or the Marines. You get a four star Admiral or a four star Marine General in charge.

Kelly is a mustang He came up from the enlisted all the way to four star General

He’s had some high profile commands

Ollie remembers things and puts it in a “save for later” bin

During one of Trumps rallies he said something about 9 11

His voice changed and his eyes turned to steel

He said “I’m going to get to the bottom of that”

Going into the White House situation

Ollie doesn’t care if you call it an evacuation or a vacation Right after 9 11 they tore the White House apart and built new walls

Now all of a sudden Kelly comes in there and they’re looking for leaks

All of a sudden Trump and the staff are out of the White House and they are totally tearing the walls down

They say it’s crawling with bugs

Ollie believes that

It is a step in the right direction and it is a major victory for the White House to eliminate all of the bugs and start eliminating the leaks

Trump is still three or four steps ahead of them

It gave Ollie confidence in General Kelly.

That event is very significant

It could be the first time in history that a Marine General came in and evacuated the White House.

It could be 14 or 21 days.

Events like this prove that the tides are turning

The swamp is being drained

Caller 5 April

(2:44:40)

April heard a new term today

She never heard of a Writ of Actual Innocence and she was wondering what it was

John never heard of it either

Brent never heard of it

A writ is always a command in the courts

They’re talking about a command in writing from a greater to a lesser

From a higher court to a lower court

It is a Petition for a Writ of Innocence

It would be something about a command about innocence to a lower court

One of the inmates that April is in contact with is studying law while he is in there and he told April that another way to go is a Petition for a Writ of Actual Innocence

You could google it and possibly get some more information about it

Get involved in committees and get active

Support NLA