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Monday Night Conference Call

April 9, 2018

Opening Song: Lord is it mine?
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Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 26 47 – 56

(6:54)

NLA is going to start Bible Study again Next Sunday we will have a Bible Study

Around 11:00 AM

You can find information at NationalLibertyAlliance.org then click on “Monday Night Call” and you will find the Sunday Bible Study

click on that button and it will take you to a page that will give you information

We will be talking about the Rapture

Sunday 11:00 AM EST

We used to have Bible Study quite some time ago but we got too busy

Last week we did file our New York case.

John may put the Weekly Calls under the “Welcome” tab particularly the Bible Study and probably the Discussion Group John might put it under the Welcome Tab

But for right now you can always find it under the Weekly Call Click on the Weekly Call

and you can find whatever discussion group that you want to get into

As we mentioned last week we did file the New York State Lawsuit against the governor.

And against both Houses here concerning the gun issue particularly the Safe Act

We’re going to go all common law on it Our unalienable rights to bear arms

We did file that We did update the papers We filed the papers with the stamps on them. You can get the number up there if you want on the page

We are going to be having a conference up in Albany, New York

It’s the northern district court of New York up in Albany

They’ve already set a conference for July 2nd

Probably 10 o’clock in the morning or maybe 9:30 AM

We will post that information We will get it out to the court observers group

We have about 30 people who have signed up for that particular lawsuit

Anybody that’s in New York that would still like to get into that lawsuit there is still some time.

Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org Highlight “News” Click on “New York Safe Act Lawsuit” We’re suing for monetary damage as well as our rights being violated

If anybody in New York would like to get on to that there is still some time but you got to make it quick. We did just update our Wherefore Clause You can read that update up on the page. New York Safe Act Lawsuit page We updated the Wherefore Clause

We will post anything and everything that we get from these people on this page

We have the action filed

It’s a couple hundred pages

That’s because it’s got a lot of affidavits and a lot of other information

The action itself is about 23 or 24 pages

We have the addendum up there

It’s been filed electronically

We don’t expect to hear from them for awhile

They will probably try to go through their games again waiting a day or two before the time limit and then they’ll challenge the case

We did have it served by sheriff

They should not be able to even consider challenging that they did not get it processed correctly and served So they’ll try to go for a cause of action

They’ll do something to put it off as long as they can

I’m sure they’re going to try to get another hearing before time where they will try to ask for the case to be dismissed

We know their games That’s what they do all of the time

Anybody that wants to get into the New York Safe Act you got to act now because there is going to be a point you will get cut off

I’m sure we can get a few more people in if they want to get in

(14:40)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

First Announcement From the NLA Newsletter

The National Constitutional Coalition of Patriotic Americans is sponsoring Pro 2nd Amendment National Rallies at all 50 State Capitols next Saturday, April 14th

<https://www.facebook.com/TheNCCPA/> Please scan down for the time, location, and number of guests registered for these events in each state. This is an excellent opportunity to get out and represent NLA by handing out flyers, your NLA Business card, etc. Talk us up. You will find two COS Flyers at <https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/cos> or make up some of your own. Flyer 1 has lines at the bottom where you can enter your name and phone number. Print up a bunch and hand them out.

Second Announcement:

We have created a new course, The Government by Consent Course. To sign up, go to the “Education” tab, then click on “Government by Consent Registration” then the Government by Consent Course tab to see the course content. Then just follow the directions to register.

We’ve had about 70 people sign up already

Most of them are new members

(19:24)

QUESTION

Question 1: Would it be more professional to call the government employees   useful puppets     instead of useful idiots.    Also, instead of calling judges and lawyers       liars     just say that they don't tell the truth?

Let it stand the way it is.

(21:32)

CALLERS

Caller 1 Sarah from Utah

She is looking for suggestions

Last November she reported an incident with her husband to local law enforcement

She was ignorant as to what she was getting herself into

At this point we have a trial date in June and this is turning into a train that is taking off without me. It’s the state versus her husband

She is the offended party

She wants this whole thing to go away

They are not offering her options

There has got to be some way that she as the person who initially reported this to local law enforcement and she is the only offended party in the matter and it’s not a big deal

She should be the one that can put a stop to this

She is not sure how to do that

The charges deal with assault It wasn’t anything serious

Recently someone told her that by law she cannot be compelled to testify against her husband

She does not want to testify against her husband She wants the whole thing dropped

She spoke with the prosecuting attorney about a week and a half ago and he said that she would be subpoenaed and if she did not show up to testify then a warrant will go out for her arrest If she is not willing to testify against her husband then she will be considered a hostile witness. He will still be able to process me effectively

They are not the offended party She is

She and her husband are working things out and she has forgiven him and it wasn’t a big deal in the first place

The punishments that they are preparing to recommend are far beyond what she feels is needed or right

She just wants to make the whole thing go away

Sit down and think about this

Write an affidavit

Write the story the way that you would explain it to someone what happened

Just write it down on a paper

NLA will help you to put it into an affidavit

Get into a lot of detail

Exactly what took place and what you were doing what change of mind that you’ve had

Talk about what these people are doing escalating it

There is no grand jury involved

It’s a county justice court

John will look into the Utah constitution to see what authority there is for a county court

If she is going to testify she is going to testify on behalf of her husband not against him

Get your affidavit together NLA will help you to get it in affidavit form

Get the paper done You have to cover everything

Cover the part where they are pushing these things to extreme measures

These guys are way out of line

They don’t have this power and authority They think that they do They don’t

There is no injured party

They can’t do anything

If they’re going to charge him with a criminal case even with that witness they still need to get an indictment

If they don’t have an indictment then that’s another problem

Get all this stuff together and get ahold of Jan

Get a copy to Jan or Jim

Go to the website on our directory page and you will see Jan’s name there There will be an e-mail address

Get us a copy of this affidavit

She has e-mailed the public defender several times saying that she and her husband want this whole thing stopped

He just responds by saying You are the victim in the matter I cannot talk with you Talk to the prosecuting attorney

Write that down Especially what the prosecutor said

Whenever you talk to these people bring a small recorder with you

They do not have a physical address for her

They cannot deliver a subpoena to her

They have a P O Box address

They will send it there And then they will put a warrant out for your arrest

They’re playing a power game here

Get that to Jan and John will take a look at it and further advise you

Recently someone suggested that she send a letter by mail to both the prosecuting attorney and the public defender simply stating that she drops the charges against her husband and then state that by law she cannot be compelled to testify against him.

You could try that first

April joined the conversation

April actually had that situation and requested to answer the question.

April had the same situation

April and her ex-husband had a tiff April did not want to press charges but April wanted the protection order The magistrate went ahead and put charges against her ex They were going to put him in jail April did not want to do that because that was the father of her children

There is no subpoena as of now in Sarah’s case

April advises they cannot make you testify do not go to court

April did not go to court and they reduced the charges to indecent conduct

April advises do not show up in court

April said that as long as you don’t have a subpoena then you don’t have to go to court

and they cannot make you go to court

If you don’t go to court then they will reduce his charges to indecent conduct

John suggests that if they left a message on your phone then they are looking to get ahold of you.

April suggest to write a letter to the court and say “I am dropping whatever charges you are putting on my husband I reviewed them I refuse to come to court because I will not testify against my husband for this has gotten out of hand” Send it to court and don’t go

She didn’t do anything against the law and they can’t do anything against her

John reminded April that Sarah is in a different state and it’s a different court and it sounds like they intend on doing something.

Sarah originally went to the local police department

The location of the incident was in the county part of town

John asked Sarah if she has talked to the deputy sheriff about dropping the charges

No

Before you do anything else you might want to talk to the deputy sheriff about what’s going on

Tell him that you don’t want to go forward with this

John thinks that it’s a wise idea to try with a letter first

You want to certify that letter

If you want advice on writing that letter NLA will talk to you about it privately

Depending on the contents of that letter you want to do it as a sworn statement

Let it be known in that sworn statement that you have no intentions of pressing charges

And if you want to just write the letter and see where that goes first that’s good too.

And then if it’s still coming at you then we can try the other idea

John would like to know the contents of the letter to make sure that you say certain things

Get ahold of Jan then Jan could get ahold of John and get in on a three way call.

(38:00)

Caller 2: Frank from New Jersey

Frank is doing the National Coalition of Constitutional Patriots, it’s a newly formed group

And when he was up in Queensbury at Lake George we have the Dunham’s Bay Sport and Gun Shop and when he contacted them Sean Garvey was interested in attending

Frank started telling Sean about the National Liberty Alliance

Go on the internet and look up Dunham’s Bay Firearms and talk with the president

John will talk to Jim

The group is the National Coalition of Constitutional Patriots

Michael Clayton is the one who started it

He’s an OathKeeper He’s a 3 Percenter

(45:00)

Caller 3: Julian from Texas

Could he get a synopsis of what’s been going on for about the last year or so.

We were making connections with all of the judges federal and state level and sending out papers to them the sheriffs and all of the governors and Congress We didn’t do anything with the state congresses

Also all of the judges the federal judges and a lot of the state judges

We were doing fax blasts the machines were running constantly

We were getting a lot of things out They are very much aware of us

Now we are buckling down and focusing in on indictments

We’ve done a few indictments

You can check our page for indictments Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org that would be under the “Grand Jury” If you highlight “Grand Jury” you will see that we have a few indictments up there We’re looking at 9 11 and trying to put something together

We got a lot of information We know that it was done by insiders

We need to find out who those insiders are We kind of know who a lot of them are

We have a committee working on that

If you go to “News” you can click on to our Radio and TV interviews

There’s some videos down below that we had interviews with

The problem with the last interviews are that they’re with Randy Yarborough and you can go to the website for that radio station and listen

We don’t have a way of downloading them and putting them on our site

Roger Landry with Liberty Beacon we will be on his TV program very soon

To catch up we’re doing so much we are looking at Committees of Safety

we are looking at committeemen we’re working on the political process to take that back.

We working on the Grand Jury process. And we’re doing indictments

We opened up a case up in Albany it’s been open for quite some time now Maybe eight or nine months we opened that up mostly as a depository a place to put our indictments and to be under the auspices of the court

We make notifications to Jeff Sessions

He knows that we need U S Attorneys down here

We know that he’s busy so we’re hanging in here

We notify the President at his request

Those are a lot of the things that we’re doing

We’re moving ahead

Our membership is building up We’re approaching 7,000 members that continues to grow

We’re looking for critical mass

(52:26)

Caller 4 Veronica

Veronica was listening to the woman from Utah

Veronica would strongly recommend to her that she find a mediator in her area there.

If there is a group that does mediation that are not BAR licensed that might be the way that she wants to go and when her and her husband get to an agreement they could take that agreement and go and slip it on the file

That way they’ve gone one on one and worked out their differences and no need for a court

(53:16)

Caller 5 April

no response

Caller 6 Jim

That lady could go to “Intake” and fill out an intake and then he could call her and work through the affidavit

If she does a good affidavit then John can do a one-pager if it’s necessary or at least give her some thoughts and ideas on what to do

She’s got a lot of things and will figure out what she wants to do first

Maybe the letter is the best way to go first

The mediator that Veronica brought up is a good idea

If these people don’t stop then we can help a little bit

John and Jim discussed the

National Coalition of Constitutional Patriots,

and Queensbury at Lake George and the Dunham’s Bay Sport and Gun Shop

(58:13)

Returned to Caller 5 April

She was trying to get in to that lady

because she had that same situation

She didn’t go to court She didn’t respond She didn’t write a letter She just didn’t go And they reduced it to disorderly conduct

They make empty threats

If you don’t go to court then they have nothing to prosecute him on and they have to reduce it or dismiss it

There is nothing more dangerous than ignorance in action

These people when they’re ignorant or when they get an attitude it gets scary

It is a good idea as far as mediation goes

They can just write a letter that they both agree and they can both sign it

She just has to say that they had a fight and they worked it out

April has been down that road

April hopes that the previous caller can use her advice

April doubts that they will dismiss it because they never do

But they should reduce it if she is not there as a witness to testify

And if she is not subpoenaed then they can’t put charges on her

(1:01:12)

Caller 7 Heather from Utah

This is for the earlier caller Sarah from Utah also

I don’t know what county that she’s in

Heather is from a small rural area

Judges from around there do not know much at all

There are two or three district courts

The judge that she is dealing with now doesn’t know what to do so he keeps putting her court date off. Avoiding her He won’t show up

It’s been going on for three months No registration ticket

If Sarah needs any help Heather would be glad to help her

Heather can give her information to Jan and we can make a connection

Heather has two court dates coming up this week The judge keeps putting her off

She is trying to get a court of record She does not know how to open a court of record

They won’t answer her questions She gives them affidavits She is supposed to go in for a trial scheduling They make an appointment to schedule a trial She doesn’t know what to do when she gets there

A trial for no registration

The worse case is they’re going to hit you with a fine

It costs you more money to fight it

You’re not going to fight it in that court that’s for sure

There is only one way to go with that and that would be into the federal court because it’s a violation of your right of due process

You can’t take it into the state court they don’t have the jurisdiction

It’s involved if you don’t know how to open a court of record then that might be saying that you lack the knowledge to write the papers to get it in You need to learn that

You could move it into federal court for cause

You have to write the papers You have to have a strategy

You have to keep it simple and know how to do that

When she asked for an affidavit on how she harmed the plaintiff It took them three weeks to find the plaintiff

If it is a criminal court then where is the indictment and where is the jury?

John writes a paper whenever he gets a ticket

he writes a paper and half the time he never hears back from them

the other half of the time they still come out after him and so he just pays the fine

He puts it in a folder and maybe one day he will sue them all collectively

Sometimes it’s cheaper and a lot less a hassle and a problem to just pay it

Some of this garbage we have to live with

Our plan is to get rid of all of these courts that come out with code violations

They’re just making money off of us

NLA is a good place to learn if you want to learn

But you got to do some homework

Be careful if you are getting a lot of tickets on this and you’re being brought into the same court these people can get out of hand with this be careful

Caller thinks that they’re trying to make an example out of her

Remember they control the court

If it becomes nasty they may try to hit you with thirty days in jail

You got to be careful because you never know where these people are going to go with this stuff

(1:08:20)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

Brent’s comments may or may not be the views of National Liberty Alliance

Someone told Brent that his wife calls Brent a Hector Heathcote

He was a young fellow a kid that lived during the time of the American Revolution

Hector was a cartoon character

He was in the militia the minutemen

He was always late He always forgot something He was always the poor individual the one guy that never got the word

Hector, even though he was wrong a lot of the time, even though he made a lot of mistakes, he was right headed. He’d bounce back and keep headed in the right direction.

The word righteous was invented not so long ago

The older word was right wiseness

The man who first translated the Bible into English was a fellow by the name of William Tyndale. They burned him at the stake for his efforts.

He came to the word that people said should be translated as right wise or right wiseness and he shortened it up. We will just call it righteous and righteousness

It means to be right wise

It you are right headed then you will make progress

If you don’t know what that means then you will just wander about thinking that you are doing the right thing but you’re not

When we get to the Declaration of ‘76

Paragraph 15 of our Declaration of ‘76

King George III has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution

The Constitution would not be ratified for a dozen years

What Constitution was he talking about?

King George III has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution and unacknowledged by our laws

What laws? law is in contrast even in our courts today law is in contrast to equity

It’s distinct and distinguished from equity

Law that’s shorthand for common law

He has combined with others that means criminal conspiracy

What is conspiracy?

Conspiracy is not a theory conspiracy is a crime in America

It’s not what the court says it is

Conspiracy only has two elements

It’s easy to prove if it is present

Conspiracy is an agreement to commit a crime

You prove an agreement to commit a crime in one overt act in furtherance of that agreement then you got conspiracy

People are convicted on conspiracy a lot

What was our constitution back then? It was our common law

The United States Constitution is a brief of common law government

In England they call it their unwritten constitution

Their constitution is their common law

King George III has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution

What they’re talking about is the Quebec Act

The Quebec Act said this Parliament passed it It was an attempt to wipe the common law out of the colonies and wipe it out of the western territory

West of the Alleghenies men were already pouring in

The mountains along there are a hundred miles long

They run north to south

People were trying to find a gap in them

They finally found one where they could wander through and pour into the field of blood

That’s what Kentucky means Field of Blood

England knew that the Ohio Valley was the plumb that they were after

That stretched clear from the Mississippi River clear to the Alleghenies

took in the Cumberland River the Tennessee River the Ohio River the Wabash River and all the other little rivers, the White River, the Green River all of those So what they did was this They said that we got to get the law of the city in there

What they said was that all the territory they were going to extend we’re letting Quebec , all the Frenchmen, they want the law of the city back they don’t want our common law so we’re going to give it to them

They did by act of Parliament

You can have the Canon Law The Church of Rome as your law

They were all Roman Catholics so that fit well

But then Parliament went ahead and annexed all of what is now Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Wisconsin, Michigan into Quebec and extending Quebec clear up above the Great Lakes, clear around over to Newfoundland and made all of Canada and all of the Western territories Quebec.

(1:21:39)

King George III has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution That’s the Quebec Act and unacknowledged by our laws That’s our common law.

He says in the next paragraph he wanted to extend that law into the colonies

So they wanted to extend it to what is now the Midwest Quebec and every province it says in the Declaration and through that neighboring province called Quebec of which they have extended clear down to the Ohio River

You’re going to take away our common law terminally and we’re willing to go to war over that

We went to war not because of taxes That was what was pumped up as the reason Just like slavery was pumped up as the reason that we were in the war between the states

What happened here was they pumped up the idea that it was taxation and that wasn’t it.

It was an attempt to supplant the law of the land called the common law those are synonyms with the law of the city because under the law of the city George would be king George the Third he said he was he was emperor here and that means his decrees ruled he is the law and the jury doesn’t have any authority any more the jury still doesn’t have any authority in Quebec and Louisiana where people from Quebec went

The threat to America is still the same It has never changed

It is the law of the city that’s our greatest threat That’s always been our greatest threat That tells the story of mankind since the founding of the city of Babylon and the development there under Nimrod first true emperor of the world the development of the law of the city a one man show

We face now in America the same fundamental problem that our forbearers faced when they wrote the Declaration of ‘76

What do we face today? We face shiria law that’s the law of the city

we face Talmudic law that’s just the law of the city

Brent was reading a book, “G K Chesterton”

His daughter gave him a book

G K Chesterton was an Australian intellectual back in the 1930s

He was a curator at a museum

He would write essays He was an essayist

Brent put the book down and told his daughter to just take it “I don’t want to read that baloney”

Where’s the facts ? Did this guy ever deal with the facts?

He just reasons

That’s what the law of the city is

That’s not what common law is about That’s not what the Bible is about

That’s not what the Laws of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God unwritten the Laws of Nature unwritten in creation The Laws of Nature’s God written in the Bible that’s our fundamental law It’s not about logic It’s about facts

Men are different from critters because men have logic

You can’t stop a hound from hunting You can beat him half to death He’d still get up and go hunt He’s by nature prone to hunt That’s what hounds do

Men are born to think They do And you can’t stop them

What makes men smart when they’re informed of the facts and they put it together

Informed of the facts And they put it together

Men do that naturally

The constitution that paragraph 15 of our Declaration of ’76 references is England’s common law

That was their constitution

That was our constitution and it remains our constitution

You can’t understand our constitution it’s meaningless without common law

The grand jury and what it is is not taught in law school

What ever happened to being committed to something greater than yourself?

Does life have any meaning if you are not committed to something greater than yourself? no

By displacing the common law with the law foreign to our constitution King George and his cronies did away with common law freedoms that’s what they were trying to do

Paragraph 15 also accuses George the Third of conspiracy

An agreement to commit a crime proven by action aimed at completing the crime

Accordingly our Declaration of ’76 shifts from the lone pronoun “he” referring to King George to this phrase “ he has combined with others” and throughout the rest of the Declaration of ’76 that’s what it says “he has combined with others”

The British East Indias Company flag it was their flag for centuries is our United States flag.

Brent has read books on the subject

The East Indias Company flag which was the trading arm of the Bank of London

Betsy Ross was the first one to sew it together but she wasn’t the one who designed it

Look it up on the internet The East India Company flag

It is almost as though the United States was sticking it in their face

and saying we’re not going to trade with your East India Trading Company and that’s who George was conspiring with George the Third and many members of Parliament were heavily invested in the East India Trading Company

And they told the colonies, because they had control of Parliament also, they told the colonies, they said, “You can’t trade with anybody but the East India Company”

The colonies said that’s not freedom that’s monopoly

Monopolies are in place because of force and violence

Parliament controlled the army and navy of England

The army and the navy were the enforcers of the East India Trading Company

George the Third was heavily invested in the Bank of London

The Bank of London Trading arm of the Bank of London the mainland was the British East India Company

George the Third was combining with others

Do we see that happening today ? Do we see politicians combining with others ?

Things don’t change What’s the answer?

(1:38:07)

The pronoun shift at Paragraph 15 He has combined with others the culprits of paragraphs 15 through 24 where it says that he has combined with others are the co-conspirators Paragraph 24 calls this cartel them declaring themselves invested with the power to legislate In fact every abuse that paragraph 15 to 24 that our Declaration of ’76 cites is one of the goals of King George the Third having combined with others by giving consent to their pretended legislation that’s how it starts by giving consent to pretended legislation

(1:39:08)

Do we have pretended legislation in America? Yes that’s how it starts

We have lots of it

What’s pretended legislation?

Bureaucratic regulations millions of pages of bureaucratic regulations

Those are pretended legislation They’re not law

We got about 5,500 federal statutes that are without any authority

That’s pretended legislation It’s not law at all

As the Federal Reserve Bank employs in our own day England’s Central Bank of London enjoyed monopolies on issuing currency monopolies on trade through the British East India Company and receipt of tax revenues issuance of money and they do all of this to service their loans and further fund reinforcement of such taxation all contrary to the English constitutions common law rights against such monopolies

Can we find anywhere in the Constitution where it says that the U S Postal Service is to have a monopoly on carrying letters no it just says that they’re supposed to provide post offices and post roads

privately other people can do that

The government has no right to declare it a crime for anybody else to carry letters for pay

That’s a monopoly

Things haven’t changed

(1:42:12)

Caller 8 Jeremiah from California

In America we have this mish mash of common law and civil law

Is it going to be the law of the city or is it going to be the common law?

The rules of court are different than statutes and codes

Legal experts say that but that’s not really true at all

The common law is Period Whether we find it or not is another question

In common law countries we don’t decree law we don’t declare law we find it

That’s as ancient as the preChristian tribes that came to Britain and their godless pagan state when they were interested only in deep drinking and high handed gaming they had this religion where they believed and this is why it worked so well when they received the Christian writings the Scriptures because they believed deep down inside that the law didn’t come from the human mind they believed that they had to find it it’s application with every legal problem that arose They would look for it They would call it their opinion and that is why today only in common law countries such as ours what our courts say we call that opinions. And what the jury says we call that the findings of the jury

That is lost in thousands of years gone back in ancient fog of antiquity and we still have that point of view that one simple fundamental first principle is what controls everything that we are it’s our identity as common law people to say that the law is eternal We don’t manufacture it We find it

Our common law courts will not hear any case that just calls for an advisory opinion

There must be a bloody plaintiff

There must be somebody really injured

There must be people fighting over life, liberty, property , money, something

A concrete case

No court has jurisdiction to pass judgment on anything but real facts real cases where there is a real alleged injury You got to allege an injury and always allege money damages

That’s concrete Whatever it is you got to say it and you got to say it in a concrete way

We have organized crime everywhere

They’re all protecting each other

The day is coming the moment is coming the time is coming and we will either hit critical mass and have enough people behind us to understand what we’re doing and get the fear of the law into these people and start putting them in jail or make them start paying restitution start taking their things away and give it back to the people they took it from This could happen with Jeff Sessions we understand the pressure that Jeff Sessions is under Jeff Sessions knows that we want US Attorneys to come down and work with the cases that we’ve put into this court right up in the northern district of New York We have some serious crimes that we have alleged upon people We have written our indictments They have to answer it It’s got to go before a jury

(2:09:43)

Caller 9 Fred

Brent said that in law school they don’t teach lawyers much about grand juries

Fred thinks of a baron as a governor of a state

Maybe having 20 shires under him counties

When you have National Liberty Alliance and you represent 50 states and 3 thousand plus counties

What is a proper quorum to consider an indictment?

What is the proper number of people to carry that motion?

We’re doing something that has probably never been done before

We’re going to use as much common sense as possible

We have had as many as a couple hundred people and as little as fifty people at a consideration of an indictment

It’s generally been that almost everybody agrees.

We have had a couple here or there that have gone in a different direction

And maybe that was a mistake and they didn’t understand what we were saying

Where is the number?

Generally work off of the 25 then you need at least 12

Because we’re doing something on a national level we’re including every state and that ‘s what makes us legitimate we are in every single state there is the opportunity for every state to come into this meeting to make a decision We have government by the majority who participate

Just like Congress as long as you have a quorum you continue on

We don’t necessarily have what is called a quorum

If you have 50 or 60 people or 100 people or 40 people and it’s almost unanimous the last one we did was unanimous but if it’s almost unanimous one or two people dissenting and everybody else going forward then we have something there

We’re doing it as lawful as we can

We’re trying to go through the processes that we have available to us

Common law processes of the grand jury

Our purpose is laid out from the very beginning The Quo Warranto We said it exactly what it is that we’re after there are problems in our government and there are enemies both foreign and domestic and those are the people that we’re coming out after and we’re calling their sins for what they are and we put it on paper and we’re going to take their money away

They’re filling their own pockets with our money

The purpose of the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury is to deal with enemies both foreign and domestic that are guilty of subversion against the Constitution against We the People against the United States of America

We’ve put their sins on paper and continue to go forward and will continue to do indictments

We went after the indictment out there for LaVoy Finicum

the murder of LaVoy Finicum

and what they did to the Bundys and the people in Nevada and Oregon

And now we’re going after 9 11

We’re going to do it honestly

We’re going to do it as professionally as we can

At some point Jeff Sessions may agree with us

and even the President of the United States

This has got to go before a jury Let the people decide

It sickens Fred to hear these programs even FOX News when they have these BAR Lawyers on there and they make statements like “The government will protect you”

They government was created to secure and protect our rights

not protect us

If it’s their duty to protect us then nobody should be given a ticket no one should have a house taken away no one should be in prison

If the government is not doing one of the seven things then they have no business doing it at all

It’s right in the Preamble

We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

It all has to be fulfilling one of those points

That’s what government is all about

Government by consent is supposed to be government for and by the People

Government by consent they took it away from us

We need to take back the political process as committeemen

All we have to do is have independent people that educate themselves enough to be able to understand what it is that they need to be doing

We control the political process through the committeemen

We control our courts and the judicial process through the grand jury and the trial jury

Between those two processes alone that and the exercising of our Constitution and making sure that that’s the job of the administrators of the grand jury

which should be the People should be looking for violations to bring it to the Grand Jury to fix the problem by sending it into the courts and being dealt with

When these people break the law in order to make money to line their pockets that’s what they’re doing they have all these special interests groups

They’re too busy doing the will of corporations

of Big Pharma and all these special interest groups

so busy doing that that’s a crime we call that bribery

We got to stop the nonsense

We got to understand what government is really for

We need to bring back the educated elected committeemen

And that is one of the things that We the People are doing here at National Liberty Alliance

to bring those people back into power and authority

If you want liberty then you have to get educated

Most of the people are too busy doing their texting

And they don’t read books

They can’t get into a discussion

We are training our children to do tweeting

A lot of adults are in the same boat tweeting

They don’t read books no more

They don’t get educated

They have no clue how the court works

They have no idea of what the Constitution says

Some people may talk about God but they have no clue what the Bible says

We have to get back to the source to find the information and we have to get educated

If it doesn’t pass the muster of something in the Preamble then it shouldn’t be done

Clearly we have given the government no power no authority to write any statutes or codes or anything to control our behavior

That’s where we can nullify

We the People need to stand together

We have to educate all of America

We have government by the majority that participate

Those are the people that need to become educated

(2:24:48)

Caller 10 Valdi from Florida

You’re killing me with these long, long comments

I almost forgot what I called to ask you

He has the local ordinance from the municipal corporation

Any elected public or appointed official of the city who recommends or takes quasi judicial action he this local elected or appointed He acts like King George

He combined with others to subject us to jurisdictions foreign to our Constitution and acknowledge by our law giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation

But in the same ordinance if people read it they would know what kind of action they could take because you must write to the clerk either you agree or disagree to this process

They conspire to take our money but they give you the opportunity to agree or not if people read those ordinances

In the area where Valdi lives the magistrate only has the hearing once a month

Valdi has been attending those hearings if we show people how to beat them maybe they’ll get behind us and we will build up more constituents

They get away with things because We the People are ignorant

That’s how they get away with it That’s how they can do these stupid things

Cause we’re too dumb to know

Until it’s too late and we start to get affected by some of these decisions

Because we’re ignorant that’s the problem

We need to educate the people that are in the process

We have a plan for the committeemen

The committeeman is an extremely important part of the process

All we have to do is two things

We have to take the system over We have a way to take the system over Nobody’s elected We can get elected committeemen in every state

We only need a half dozen or more in every state to get elected

And then they take control of the executive committee

At every state level they have an executive committee

These people are not elected

They have no power they have no authority It’s all a fraud

Reality is the way that one perceives it

You perceive that they got power they think that they got power so therefore they got power and they exercise it

Nisi prius courts think that they have the power and authority to put people in jail

The people are too ignorant to know that they don’t

And so they do it

Even the sheriff doesn’t even know

And he receives all of these prisoners and puts them into jail in the county jail he shouldn’t be doing it

He’s guilty of putting people in jail without due process just as much as the judge is

It’s all ignorance

The committeemen we can take that process over very easily

We’re going to educate people

They need to take the oath a sense of honor, justice, and mercy

It’s easy to take the whole system over once you take the executive committee over

Right now the grassroots are ignorant to the law They’re ignorant to the political process

They’re ignorant to the way the court system is run

They’re ignorant to the grand jury, the trial jury, the Constitution, the Declaration of Independence, and the most important the Bill of Rights which is the ruler the yardstick of all

There are procedures everyone can learn procedures

Forms are written for a good purpose to maintain the flow and focus of whatever that form is for

John adds stuff to the forms he types other things and checks other boxes

They don’t pick up on that

He comes in in a different way They leave things out

We need to stop electronic filing

First of all there is no original paperwork in the court to begin with

Used to be when you went to court the first thing that they wanted was the original

That’s not happening any more with electronic filing

Also you can’t file a File on Demand

Used to be if you walked into a court and they refused to take it in you put the File on Demand you read them the riot act you let them know that this is a crime you have to do it show me where is the problem tell me how it’s not prima facia

They can’t tell you then “That’s your job to take it”

“You don’t have the job to decide if you’re going to take it or not”

“You have to take anything that is in proper form”

But now with electronic filing you can’t even push a Notice On Demand on these people.

With the committeeman process we can take the political process back

When the people on the grassroots get a little bit of an education and understand what their job is and what they need to do and what makes America what America is we start getting rid of the politics

Read George Washington’s Farewell Address He told the future of what was going to happen in America

These people have lifted themselves up in power and seized control of the whole machinery and then destroyed everything behind us But they left enough of a remnant that we have a roadmap to get back to it

We have the original law which they ripped pages out of which we couldn’t find when we went up to the archives we were talking to the lady at the receptionist desk and we were telling her what we were looking for we were looking back to the 1909 law 1911 was when they changed everything 1909 we found the books but there were pages ripped out

We were complaining to the woman One of the guys that was working there heard us and he said “This stuff is all on microfiche back here”

We went to the microfiche we got electronic copies we got the law the way it’s supposed to be The way it was

They wanted it to disappear

The committeeman process is still inside the law

The law book went from about an inch thick at most to six or eight inches thick with all kinds of stuff that never was there before

They just put all this statutory stuff in there

Over time they started exercising it

Now we’re in a statutory prison

We can take it back but it’s going to require people to get educated and step up and want to do the right thing

Valdi educated his commissioners

When they passed the ordinance by the name only not with the text

Valdi thinks that they are unaware of what is inside

Ordinances are not law They will try to bind as law

People must put in writing with the city clerk if they agree to the process or not

The commissioners passed this thing by title only that’s the fraud that’s the conspiracy

(2:38:10)

Caller 11: Ed from Connecticut

You were mentioning about foreclosures

That is the biggest crime if only the people would open their eyes and raise up and stop the nonsense

The reason taxes are so high the cities they’re not being creative

They need to take the time roll up their sleeves be creative and increase their revenue

When they walk into work they think that it’s their time

So if they do anything for the people then it’s actually taking from them

That could be the reason why taxes are so high

If they just went there and did the job that they were supposed to do they wouldn’t need any money

The only lawful tax that John can think of ultimately is sales tax

If people would go to these monthly meetings and not just complain about the taxes but present what could be done to lower them

There’s only one way to take the political process back on the local level especially there’s only one way you got to take the political process back and to take the political process back you have to take the committeemen back

And you have to get elected committeemen

The committeemen are the guys who decide who’s going on the ticket

If we take control of that process on the grassroots level and we give them a litmus test

“Tell me about the Second Amendment?”

“Tell me about the Fifth Amendment?”

“Oh, you don’t know those things? Well, when you know those things come back”

“Go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org take their tests read learn and then come back and we’ll talk to you again.”

And then when they know these things let them know ‘We’re going to watch you like a hawk”

“And if you start taking any of that funny money You start coming outside of your power and authority we’re going to be on top of you by bring you back out of office”

“We will recall you”

“If we think it’s a crime we will talk with the administrators at the Grand Jury and let them bring it before the grand jury and let the Grand Jury call these people on the carpet and decide what they’re going to do with them”

Whether they’re going to indict Maybe the guy will repent

This is the only way we’re going to take this country back

We have government only by consent

Consent of the committeemen in the political process

Consent in our judicial process through grand juries and trial juries

We the People must control the administration for those juries

Prosecutors will not go after a judge

A prosecutor will not go after another prosecutor

If you shut down the courts then all the police departments disappear

Police are code enforcement officers there will not be police to enforce these ordinances and codes that are not law

Police departments are code enforcement officers with a gun and a siren and a badge

All this stuff belongs over with the sheriff they need to be taking care of this business

You shut down the courts you will shut down all the police departments

Who’s going to enforce the ordinances to control people

The sheriff is not going to enforce it He’s a constitutional officer

He would be violating your unalienable rights He can’t do that

We can shut it down

The whole thing can fall apart

A huge amount of time and energy went into creating this prison that they created for us

All we need is a few people in every state educated and educating others

We could take it all back and become a common law people

It could be done The people got to step up get educated and do it

(2:50:20)

Caller 12 Michael from New York

What’s your experience with a praecipe are they worth doing

A writ of praecipe It’s an order to the court it’s almost like a quo warranto

It’s a common law writ

John will look into it

Caller 13: Jeremiah

We ought to pay attention to local organization so finely tuned

CLOSING SONG Hold On