

UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY:

FILED MAY 20TH 2014 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH

BILL OF INFORMATION

CONSPIRACY THEORIST - DOMESTIC TERRORIST - MENTAL DISORDERS

The purpose of this Information is to expose the Marxist and Communist tactics, being used by tyrants that have infiltrated our government, at all levels, in an effort to enslave the sovereign People of America, classifying sovereign citizens, a/k/a the People, as terrorists or having mental disorders, for challenging authority and demanding their unalienable rights, thereby concluding People incapable of defending themselves. This is in fact a communist conspiracy to destroy America, not a theory as the tyrants would like uninformed People to believe. Conspiracies have been effective for millenniums it is the cause for the ruin of every government that self destructs. Having to write this Information demonstrates that it has become a sad day in America, bearing witness that the People have lost their moral compass, not without help, thereby losing our way and near self destruction.

In the Soviet Union, a systematic political abuse of psychiatry took place and was based on the interpretation of political dissent as a psychiatric problem. During the leadership of General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev, psychiatry was used as a tool to eliminate political opponents ("dissidents") who openly expressed beliefs that contradicted official dogma. The term "philosophical intoxication" was widely used to diagnose mental disorders in cases where people disagreed with leaders and made them the target of criticism that used the writings by Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels, and Vladimir Lenin.

The advent of psychiatry eliminated the need to exile political prisoners, allowing governments instead to declare such dissidents mentally ill and unfit for society. For example, government officials in the Cold War-era Soviet Union often used psychiatric hospitals as prisons in order to isolate political prisoners from the rest of society, discredit their ideas, and break them physically

and mentally through the use of electric shocks, drugs and various medical procedures. Insisting that “ideas about a struggle for truth and justice are formed by personalities with a paranoid structure,” the psychiatric community actually went so far as to provide the government with a diagnosis suitable for locking up such freedom-oriented activists.

In New York State, and other states, the same systematic political abuse of psychiatry is being employed under the so called competency evaluation, NY Criminal Procedure Law Article 730, which means a defendant who as a result of mental disease or defect lacks the capacity to understand the proceedings against him or to assist in his own defense. This ploy is used upon anyone who refuses to use a BAR lawyer while challenging jurisdiction, and gives the court the opportunity to silent desentors and cleanse the court record of all that crazy common law stuff like the US Constitution, Bill of Rights, Declaration of Independence, US Supreme Court rulings founding fathers quotes and that dreaded Bible. In due course of time, unless arrested, America will end up as the Nazi government which substantially supported psychologists many of whom, in turn, espoused extermination of the people they considered to be “racially and cognitively compromised”.

Under the Obama administration the APA created the new Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (5th Edition) which was recently adopted. DSM 5 is highly controversial and has sparked outrage from the mental health practitioners. As many of these practitioners point out, the new DSM-V makes a pathology out of simple and normal behaviors such as grieving for the loss of a loved one. This constitutes a new subjective approach in diagnosing of mental illness that promises to end free speech and any form of political dissent. The federal government has already declared anyone who oppose its unconstitutional policies as having “political paranoia,” which is now diagnosed as a type of mental illness.

Paper terrorism is a neologism to refer to the use of false liens, frivolous lawsuits, bogus letters of credit, and other legal documents lacking sound factual basis as a method of harassment, especially against government officials. These methods are popular among some anti-government groups and those associated with the redemption movement. Mark Pitcavage of the Anti-Defamation League states that these methods were pioneered by the Posse Comitatus, an absurd statement in another attempt to discredit common law powers, when in fact the Posse comitatus (common law) gives the authority of a “law officer” to conscript able-bodied males to

assist him in an emergency. The Posse Comitatus Act is the United States federal law (18 U.S.C. §1385, original at 20 Stat. 152) that was passed on June 18, 1878, after the end of Reconstruction and was updated in 1981. Its intent (in concert with the Insurrection Act of 1807) was to limit the powers of Federal government in using federal military personnel to enforce the state laws.

If these false liens, frivolous lawsuits, bogus letters of credit, and other legal documents lack sound factual basis why aren't they just defeated in a court of law, the reason they cannot is because of the fiction of equity courts, that corporatists have been using to fleece the People for over a hundred years, and now that the People discovered how to use the equity courts of fiction to get justice from the judges and lawyers that have been making a fortune at this fraud, now cry foul when their own tactics are used against them.

Violent confrontations are rare, but the FBI says at least six police officers have been killed by sovereigns since 2000 when A man tied to the movement shot and killed a California Highway Patrol officer who stopped him in Contra Costa County in 2010. A responding officer shot and killed the assailant. The agency claim these sovereign citizens number between 100,000 and 300,000 labeling them a "domestic terrorist movement."

In the 1990s, political dissenters were labeled "conspiracy theorists" and as being mentally ill. In the 2000s, dissenters were labeled domestic terrorists. The Missouri Information Analysis Center (MIAC Report) labeled Ron Paul, Bob Barr, Chuck Baldwin, in fact, all Libertarians as Terrorists. People were declared a to be domestic terrorists if they supported Ron Paul, demanded the government follow the Constitution, were pro-Second Amendment, were a Libertarian, a Constitutionalist, a returning veteran or were opposed in any way to the Federal Reserve.

These tyrants that motivate useful idiots to bring in communism, call themselves progressives and control both parties, they have seized control of our government, and are working overtime to cast legitimate dissenters in a negative light, simply by associating a word with an evil act. In contemporary vernacular, the term sovereign citizen has its roots in a shoot-out, following a routine traffic stop in Arkansas, aforementioned, which turned into an violent confrontation between police and a father-son pair of so-called "sovereign citizens." According to the corporate-controlled media, this event, which occurred on July 1, 2010, "brought attention to a so called 'secretive and dangerous subculture' who believe American laws don't apply to them." In

short, if you do not like some aspect of government, you are now one of the new domestic terrorists and should be subject to governmental control outside the bounds of constitutional protections.

This latest globalist trick to quell dissent, and subsequently violate the rights of legitimate government protesters, is to label them “SOVEREIGN CITIZEN.” The term “sovereign citizen” is deceptive because in political discussions about who has the power in society, the term gets used quite often. For example, if the people are the sovereign, then the people have power over the government. If, on the other hand, the government has power over the citizenry, it could be accurately said that the government is the sovereign.

The strategy is simple: if one criticizes the government in any form they can be labeled a sovereign citizen. If the government can label one a sovereign citizen, then that citizen has no rights, and ostensibly, the government can do what they will with that citizen. This is a very slippery slope and the government and its police agencies are fully committed to this strategy.

Police Magazine (www.PoliceMag.com) which goes out to every police department in America addresses and defines a sovereign citizen as the “New Domestic Terrorist.” This magazine’s comprehensive definition of what constitutes a sovereign citizen *includes* minor offenses such as the manufacture of fraudulent license plates, registration cards, or currency (e.g. use of gold coins). These people should be considered armed and dangerous; calling in of a SWAT team during routine traffic stops might not be an overreaction to the threat posed by sovereigns. They might complain about mortgage fraud, or banking fraud. The police are cautioned to be on the lookout for words like “Indigenous,” “Sovereign,” “Diplomatic,” “Exempt,” or “Private Property” displayed on the personalized license plates. Police officers are advised that Sovereigns will engage in willful terrorism by video-recording their interactions with law enforcement officers. Police are also cautioned to be aware of certain “dangerous key words” that sovereigns are likely to use such as “oath of office”, "traveling in a private capacity”, “domicile” and the “14th Amendment.”

New York Statutes say that the “**PEOPLE ARE SOVEREIGN**” –

NEW YORK CODE - N.Y. CVR. LAW § 2 : NY Code - Section 2: Supreme sovereignty in the people - No authority can, on any pretence whatsoever, be

exercised over the citizens of this state, but such as is or shall be derived from and granted by the people of this state.

The United States Supreme Court says “**SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF REMAINS WITH THE PEOPLE**”

“Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power...” Yick Wo v. Hopkins¹

The United States Supreme Court says “**THE SOVEREIGN MAKES THE LAW**” law is made by the people (constitution) not legislators. The constitution permits limited statute making and the control of the behavior of people is not among them.

"The very meaning of 'sovereignty' is that the decree of the sovereign makes law." American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.,²

The New York Supreme Court says People of New York are as **sovereign as any king** before us.

“The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative”. Lansing v. Smith³

The United States Supreme Court says **people under common law have the defense of sovereign immunity** against all legislated laws.

“The doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common-Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign.” Yick Wo v. Hopkins⁴

The United States Supreme Court says **sovereignty dwells in the People**.

“In United States, sovereignty resides in people. The Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.” Perry v. US⁵

¹ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370 Quotiens dubia interpretatio libertatis est, secundum libertatem respondendum erit

² American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 29 S.Ct. 511, 513, 213 U.S. 347, 53 L.Ed. 826, 19 Ann.Cas. 1047

³ Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

⁴ Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 318 US 356, 371 and Terry v. Ohio, 392 US 1, 40

The New York Supreme Court says **People of all states are categorically sovereign.**

“It will be admitted on all hands that with the exception of the powers granted to the states and the federal government through the Constitutions, the people of the several states are unconditionally sovereign within their respective states.”
Lansing v. Smith⁶

The United States Supreme Court says: **sovereignty is fixed and absolute in the People.**

“Republican government. One in which the powers of sovereignty are vested in the people and are exercised by the people,” Minor v. Happersett⁷

The United States Supreme Court says: **Sovereignty abides in the People not gov. servants.**

“The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion Sovereignty, then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent; and this remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.” Spooner v. McConnell⁸

The United States Supreme Court says: the **People are sovereigns without subjects**

“...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves...” Chisholm v. Georgia⁹

The United States Supreme Court says: **public agencies exist to aid people, not lord over them**

“It is the public policy of this state that public agencies exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business.... The people of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them...” Chisholm v. Georgia¹⁰

The United States Supreme Court says: **Either People are sovereign or government is sovereign they cannot co-exist.**

“The words "sovereign state" are cabalistic words (hidden meaning), not understood by the disciple of liberty, who has been instructed in our

⁵ Perry v. US, 294 U.S.330

⁶ Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wendell 9, (NY) 6 How416, 14 L. Ed. 997.

⁷ In re Duncan, 139 U.S. 449, 11 S.Ct. 573, 35 L.Ed. 219; Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. (21 Wall.) 162, 22 L.Ed. 627." Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, p. 626

⁸ Spooner v. McConnell, 22 F 939 @ 943

⁹ Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

¹⁰ Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455 @DALL (1793) pp471-472

constitutional schools. It is our appropriate phrase when applied to an absolute despotism. The idea of sovereign power in the government of a republic is incompatible with the existence and foundation of civil liberty and the rights of property". Gaines v. Buford¹¹

RIGHTS TRUMP STATUES AND CODE

The United States Supreme Court says: **Bill of Rights are not to be defeated by statutes.**

"The assertion of federal rights, when plainly and reasonably made, is not to be defeated under the name of local practice." Davis v. Wechsler

The United States Supreme Court says: **No rule making on rights**

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." Miranda v. Arizona¹²

The United States Supreme Court says: **no statutes to prevent rights**

"There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights." Sherer v. Cullen¹³

"The state cannot diminish rights of the people." Hurtado v. People of the State of California¹⁴

Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI, Clause 2 is the **LAW OF THE LAND**

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby; any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."

So how did we get from the People being sovereign to the conclusion that sovereigns are terrorists? The answer is simple: the progressives of both major parties simply despise the America that our fathers founded and they are resolute on destroying the foundation of LAW our founders established, and replacing it with codes or statutes. Lawyers are taught by progressive

¹¹ Gaines v. Buford, 31 Ky. (1 Dana) 481, 501

¹² Miranda v. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491.

¹³ Sherer v. Cullen, 481 F 946.

¹⁴ Hurtado v. People of the State of California, 110 U.S. 516.

BAR law schools that statutes are law and that common law has been abrogated. Statutory law and common law, i.e. natural law, are like mixing oil and water; they are a contradiction to each other; you either have one or the other. As William Penn said: “*Men must be governed by God [common law] or they will be ruled by tyrants*” [statutes].

Equity Law

Federal Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
State Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
County Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
Town Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
City Legislated statutes that control peoples’ behavior
Mind of man trying to control every aspect of your life

Common Law

Declaration of Independence
US Constitution
Bill of Rights
Magna Carta
Holy Bible
Mind of God,
So each man controls his own behavior and answer to the People (Grand Jury) if they injure or violate someone.

*“Government is not reason; it is not eloquent; it is force. Like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master.” **George Washington***

Being sovereign is the American experiment. Tyrants want the People to be subject to them and not free and independent, that is sovereign! Presently these tyrants lord over us with “unconstitutional legislation” called statutes, because We the People have been so dumb-down that we don’t realize what the law is, until we start puzzling over it and start reading books. The people who are waking up, and standing up in the courts and elsewhere are being labeled “sovereigns” which law enforcement are being taught means terrorist and cop killers.

Police across the Nation are being militarized and soon they will be going out on “operations” instead of patrol and you will be caught up in arresting and killing people in the name of America, after all they are just sovereign terrorists. If the People don’t learn the truth about liberty and lead others in Honor we will be like any other occupied third world country with no hope and at the mercy of two bit tyrants.

CONCLUSION: Marxist and Communist have infiltrated our government, at all levels in a conspiracy to enslave the America People. Theses tyrants under the name of progressives control both parties and have been quite successful in classifying sovereign People (citizens) as terrorists or having mental disorders within the judiciary and law enforcement agencies. They cast legitimate dissenters in a negative light, simply by associating the word “sovereign” with an evil act. Whenever the peaceful sovereign People challenge the authority of their government

servants they find themselves surrounded by violent policemen itching to rumble, sent by tyrants because the sovereign mind is a threat to the Marxists status quo.

Awakened sovereigns are not mentally ill, nor are sovereigns, terrorists! They are just People discovering their, purposely hidden, American roots and in that discovery have come to realize by the contrast of today's America and the America envisioned by our founding fathers, that our government servants are; not obeying the Constitution, trashing the Bill of Rights and are turning America into a militarized zone. We are one step away from martial law and the Judeo Christian American is their primary target. Light is always a threat to darkness.

Signed by ORDER and on behalf of the UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY

May 20, 2014

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Administrator