

UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY:

FILED MAY 27TH 2014 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH

WRIT OF MANDAMUS

In this extraordinary common law action we the Tribunal, in this court of record, have placed into the record, under the above case number, the atrocious violations performed by the New York Judiciary.

Because judges, clerks, and sheriffs, are ignorant to the "Law of the Land" and thereby blindly obey unlawful orders from judges exceeding their jurisdiction, under the color of law, in this case that violate the most consecrated right of the people to consent¹.

Therefore we the Tribunal in this court of record order the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York, a court under Article III, a common law court, to forthwith perform your vested authority and obey the contract and perform your duty under Article IV Section 4² and Article VI Clause 2³ which guarantees to every state in this union a constitutional republican form of government that the New York executive judiciary has and continues to do violence⁴ to. See Bill of Information, misprision of treason, attached.

We the Tribunal, by certain rights and authority protected under the 9th Amendment and ⁵under the 5th Amendment's Common Law Grand Jury Seal, move the aforesaid district court to perform enforcement, through a "writ of mandamus", see attached, necessary to reinstate law and order among the states, thereby directing all State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges, court clerks, county clerks, county Sheriffs and United States Marshals as follows:

All judges are to obey the "law of the land", i.e. common law, specifically defined in the Constitution for the united States of America, Article VI Clause 2.

¹ **THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE** - We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --

² **Article IV Section 4.** The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion; and on application of the legislature, or of the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened) against domestic violence.

³ **Article VI Clause 2.** This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

⁴ **VIOLENCE.** "No judicial process, whatever form it may assume, can have any lawful authority outside of the limits of the jurisdiction of the court or judge by whom it is issued; and an attempt to enforce it beyond these boundaries is nothing less than lawless violence." - Ableman v. Booth, 21 Howard 506 (1859)

⁵ The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

All State, County, City, Town, and Village Judges are to obey under penalty of 18 USC § 2071⁶, law of the land, and are not to conceal, remove, mutilate any record, proceeding, paper, document, or other thing filed with the court clerk or county clerk.

All State, County, City, Town, and Village Judges are to obey under penalty of 18 USC §1512⁷, law of the land, are not to alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or intimidate, threaten, or corruptly persuades another person to withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding.

All clerks under penalty of 18 USC § 2071, law of the land, are not to conceal, remove, mutilate any record, proceeding, paper, document, or other thing filed with the court or county.

All clerks under penalty of 18 USC § 2076⁸, law of the land, are to file and are not to refuse or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law.

The Sheriff took an oath to support and defend the United States Constitution, consequently as per Article VI clause 2 the Laws of the United States are to be obeyed. Therefore upon a sworn affidavit and or under seal of the 5th Amendments Common Law Grand Jury concerning violations, by judges and clerks, of 18 USC § 2071, 18 USC §1512, 18 USC § 2071, 18 USC § 2076 or any other law of the United States of America are to arrest the same for said offences.

Should the Sheriff fail to perform his duties, upon a sworn affidavit and or under seal of the 5th Amendments Common Law Grand Jury concerning said violations the US Marshal shall arrest the Sheriff and the "Under Sheriff" shall perform said duties in his place.

Quoting the case *United States v. Williams*⁹, Justice Antonin Scalia writing for the majority said: "Because the grand jury is an institution separate from the courts, over whose functioning the courts do not preside no supervisory judicial authority exists. Rooted in long centuries of Anglo-American history¹⁰, the grand jury is mentioned in the Bill of Rights, but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first three Articles. It is a

⁶ **18 USC § 2071** - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally (a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both. (b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term "office" does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

⁷ **18 USC §1512** (b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to - (1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding; (2) cause or induce any person to -- (A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; (B) alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. (3) ... (c) Whoever corruptly--(1) alters, destroys, mutilates, or conceals a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or (2) otherwise obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

⁸ **18 USC § 2076** - Clerk is to file. Whoever, being a clerk of a district court of the United States, willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

⁹ *UNITED STATES, v. WILLIAMS*; No. 90-1972.112 S.Ct. 1735; 504 U.S. 36; 118 L.Ed.2d 352.

¹⁰ *Hannah v. Larche*, 363 U.S. 420, 490, 80 S.Ct. 1502, 1544, 4 L.Ed.2d 1307 (1960) (Frankfurter, J., concurring in result)

constitutional fixture in its own right¹¹, In fact the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people¹². Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the judicial branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length¹³. Recognizing the tradition of independence, we have said that the Fifth Amendment's constitutional guarantee presupposes an investigative body acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge¹⁴. Given the grand jury's operational separateness from its constituting court, it should come as no surprise that we have been reluctant to invoke the judicial supervisory power as a basis for prescribing modes of grand jury procedure and the grand jury is unfettered by technical rules¹⁵.

Therefore Judges, clerks or anyone else are not to prevent, obstruct or interfere with the peoples' access into the courts in order to operate in the courthouse, under judicial auspices, for the administration of the Common Law Juries.

Signed by ORDER and on behalf of the UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY



Administrator

SO ORDERED UNDER SEAL.

Dated May 27, 2014; Dutchess County New York

¹¹ United States v. Chanen, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting Nixon v. Sirica, 159 U.S.App.D.C. 58, 70, n. 54, 487 F.2d 700, 712, n. 54 (1973)), cert. denied, 434 U.S. 825, 98 S.Ct. 72, 54 L.Ed.2d 83 (1977)

¹² Stirone v. United States, 361 U.S. 212, 218, 80 S.Ct. 270, 273, 4 L.Ed.2d 252 (1960); Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 61, 26 S.Ct. 370, 373, 50 L.Ed. 652 (1906); G. Edwards, The Grand Jury 28-32 (1906).

¹³ United States v. Calandra, 414 U.S. 338, 343, 94 S.Ct. 613, 617, 38 L.Ed.2d 561 (1974); Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(a).

¹⁴ Id., at 16, 93 S.Ct., at 773 (quoting Stirone, supra, 361 U.S., at 218, 80 S.Ct., at 273)

¹⁵ Id., at 364, 76 S.Ct., at 409.

UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY:

FILED MAY 27TH 2014 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH

BILL OF INFORMATION

MISPRISION OF TREASON

On or about May 14, 2014 the Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury sent the attached letter by United States Postal Service and by fax to every New York Supreme Court Judge [list of judges attached] alerting them of a conspiracy by judges and clerks tantamount to misprision of treason.

18 USC § 2382 - Misprision of treason. Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both.

"We (judges) have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution." - Cohen v. Virginia, (1821), 6 Wheat. 264 and U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200

To date, not one Supreme Court Judge has responded to the alarm which in itself is both alarming and an indictment on the entire New York Judiciary.

Signed by ORDER and on behalf of the UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY

May 27, 2014



Administrator

Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury
P.O. Box 59; Valhalla, New York, 10595

May 14, 2014

[copy of letter sent to all New York Supreme Court Judges that presently remains unanswered]

SENT BY US MAIL AND FAX

RE: Knowledge of "Misprision of Treason", the People expect a response within 72 hours.

Dear Judge

When the original thirteen colonies became States, the other thirty-seven States following suit thereafter, it was the will of the People of each State to form a Union by signing on to the ratified US Constitution that became the contract binding the fifty States as one nation. The core of that contract, which cannot be altered, is expressed in Article IV Section 4. "*The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion [of rights];*" and Article VI Clause 2 known as the Supremacy Clause states:

*"This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the **judges in every state shall be bound thereby**, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding."*

Therefore the following statute is law to every judge: **18 USC § 2382 - Misprision of treason** "*Whoever, owing allegiance to the United States and having knowledge of the commission of any treason against them, conceals and does not, as soon as may be, disclose and make known the same to the President or to some judge of the United States, or to the governor or to some judge or justice of a particular State, is guilty of misprision of treason and shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than seven years, or both*". This letter is now to serve as notice to disclose and make known to you the following facts of conspiracy and treason.

In the United States v. Williams; No. 90-1972; 112 S.Ct. 1735 504 U.S. 36 118 L.Ed.2d 352 Justice Antonin Scalia writing for the majority stated "*The common law of the Fifth Amendment demands a traditional functioning grand jury. ... [R]ooted in long centuries of Anglo-American history,*" *Hannah v. Larche*, 363 U.S. 420, 490, 80 S.Ct. 1502, 1544, 4 L.Ed.2d 1307 (1960) (*Frankfurter, J., concurring in result*), *the grand jury is mentioned in the Bill of Rights, but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first three Articles. It "is a constitutional fixture in its own right."* United States v. Chanen, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting *Nixon v. Sirica*, 159 U.S.App.D.C. 58, 70, n. 54, 487 F.2d 700, 712, n. 54: See attached "**Memorandum of Authorities**" for a more in-depth understanding.

Therefore the administration, impaneling and functioning of the jury are separate from the courts, over whom the courts do not preside and are an unalienable right of the People, protected under the 5th Amendment, for the sole purpose of self rule by the People. Regardless of what your BAR school taught you, the People are unfettered by statutes in their performance as consentors. For the servants to seize control of this power and

prevent the People from said unalienable right would be “high treason,” and this is precisely what has happened and the purpose of this letter. We therefore report the following facts concerning treason for your action.

On or about September 6, 2013 the People of New York started filing declarations of the reconstituting of the Common Law Grand Jury. And since that date all sixty-two counties have been constituted;

On or about September 25, 2013, FBI sent by the New York Supreme Court to investigate the people in Suffolk County as potential terrorists for exercising their unalienable right as jurist, FBI concluded no findings;

On September 26, 2013, The State of New York Unified Court System sent a statewide conspiratorial blockade in the form of a directive from Executive Administrative Judge A. Gail Prudenti acting under color of law, exceeded her jurisdiction violating 18 U.S. Code §1512 when she ordered/intimidated all court and county clerks to violate 18 USC §2076 and 18 USC §2071 by rejecting the filing of all common law grand jury documents. Claiming the New York Legislature supplanted whatever common law powers the grand jury may have possessed, thereby denying the peoples’ unalienable right, protected under the 5th Amendment¹ to perform as consentors² for their government;

On September 27th 2013 Schenectady Court Clerk wrote, Chief Administrative Judge A Gail Prudenti has instructed this office not to accept instruments attempting to establish common law juries;

On or about September 29, 2013, FBI sent by the New York Supreme Court again to investigate the people in the Bronx as potential terrorists for exercising their unalienable right as jurist, FBI concluded no findings;

On October 2nd 2013, Putnam County Clerk wrote, Chief Administrative Judge A Gail Prudenti has instructed this office not to accept instruments attempting to establish common law juries;

On or about October 7, 2013, FBI sent by the New York Supreme Court a third time to investigate the people in Orange County as potential terrorists for exercising their unalienable right as jurist, FBI concluded no findings;

On October 8th 2013, Putnam County Clerk wrote, Chief Administrative Judge A Gail Prudenti has instructed this office acting in their capacity as clerks to reject documents attempting to establish common law juries;

On February 24, 2014, Warren County Supreme Court wrote, Chief Administrative Judge A Gail Prudenti has instructed all court and county clerks acting in their capacity as clerks to reject the filing of documents that attempt to establish common law juries;

The Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury [tribunal] filed documents with the Greene County clerk and conspirators failed to file³ and concealed documents in violation of 18 USC §2076 and 18 USC §2071⁴;

¹ The Court of Appeals' rule would neither preserve nor enhance the traditional functioning of the grand jury that the "common law" of the Fifth Amendment demands ... we have insisted that the grand jury remain "free to pursue its investigations unhindered by external influence or supervision so long as it does not trench upon the legitimate rights of any witness called before it. [United States v. Dionisio, 410 U.S. 1, 17-18, 93 S.Ct. 764, 773, 35 L.Ed.2d 67 (1973)]. Recognizing this tradition of independence, we have said that the Fifth Amendment's constitutional guarantee presupposes an investigative body 'acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge' [Id., at 16, 93 S.Ct., at 773 (quoting Stirone, supra, 361 U.S., at 218, 80 S.Ct., at 273)]. UNITED STATES v. WILLIAMS 112 S.Ct. 1735 504 U.S. 36 118 L.Ed.2d 352

² **DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE** We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,

³ **18 USC § 2076 - CLERK IS TO FILE.** Whoever, being a clerk of a district court of the United States, willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

⁴ **18 USC § 2071 - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally** (a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States,

On October 11th 2013 the tribunal filed a presentment against A. Gail Prudenti, Michael V. Coccoma, C. Randall Hinrichs, and Allan D. Scheinkman, conspirators failed to file and concealed documents;

On February 10th 2014 the tribunal filed a presentment against Charles M. Tailleux, conspirators failed to file and concealed documents;

On February 10th 2014 the tribunal filed a presentment against Michelle Carrol, conspirators failed to file and concealed documents;

On March 18th 2014 the tribunal filed a presentment against Terry Wilhelm, conspirators failed to file and concealed documents;

On March 24th 2014 the tribunal filed a Quo Warranto, prima fascia action at law, with the Columbia County Clerk under Index #7303-14, in an extraordinary special procedure which was returned through the postal service on March 28th 2014 by order of A. Gail Prudenti without cause, in violation of 18 USC § 2076⁵ and 18 USC §1341⁶;

On or about the March 25th 2014 the tribunal received a phone call from the Columbia County Clerk Sharon Melino that A. Gail Prudenti ordered the return of our filed Action, Index # 7303-14.

On or about March 26th 2014 the tribunal hand carried the Action, Index # 7303-14 to deliver to the Columbia County Court Clerk Richard Mabee who informed us that A. Gail Prudenti ordered the rejection of our filed Action;

On or about March 26th 2014 the tribunal called to speak with a Columbia County Supreme Court Judge Mott to report the crime in progress, we spoke to law clerk David Michaels who refused us access to meet or speak on the phone with the Judge, therefore our report of a crime was reported to Judge Mott, who failed to act;

On or about March 27th the tribunal met with the Columbia County Sheriff's investigator [*Sheriff and Under Sheriff was too busy to see us*] who told us that without the assistance of the Columbia County DA he could not help us;

On or about April 1st 2014 the tribunal met with the Columbia County DA who refused to assist us claiming no NY statute was violated;

On or about April 10th 2014 the tribunal re-filed said Writ of Quo Warranto in Greene County with, three Memorandums; Law of the Case, Law & Jurisdiction and The Kings Bench. The tribunal also filed the following two writs; Writ of Prohibition and Writ of Mandamus:

On April 18, 2014 Judge Elliott under color of law exceeded his jurisdiction and made a decision and order dismissing the action for no cause of action, after being instructed not to do so by a Writ of Prohibition;

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both. (b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term "office" does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

⁵ 18 USC § 2076 - Whoever, being a clerk of a district court of the United States, willfully refuses or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

⁶ 18 USC §1341 - Frauds through postal service:

On April 18th 2014 the UNYCLGJ filed a presentment against A. Gail Prudenti, Holly Tanner, and Richard Mabee, documents returned through the United States Postal Service;

On April 23, 2014 the tribunal served Judge Elliott with a Writ of Error and rescinded his decision and order, documents returned through the United States Postal Service;

On April 23, 2014 the tribunal filed and served document Fraud on the Court; documents returned through the United States Postal Service;

On April 29th 2014 the tribunal filed a presentment against Raymond J. Elliott, see exhibit F, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve on county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney;

On April 29th 2014 the tribunal filed a presentment against Terence L Kindlon, see exhibit G, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney;

On April 29th the tribunal filed a presentment against Jonathan Lippman, Fern A. Fisher, Lawrence K. Marks, Barry Kamins and Ronald Younkins, see exhibit H, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve on county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney, see Information Fraud on the Court;

On April 29th the tribunal filed a presentment against Carol Stevens, see exhibit I, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve on county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney;

On April 29, 2014 the tribunal filed document Information Abuse of Power, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve on county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney;

On April 29, 2014 the tribunal filed document Information Failure to File, Greene County Sheriff refused to serve on county clerk, then Green County Clerks refused to file by order of Carol Stevens County Attorney;

On May 9th 2014 the tribunal filed a notice of removal to United States District Court for cause. You can find a copy of the filing under the case number 1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH;

All the defendants hold elected or appointed offices, have taken an oath and in that capacity have a duty to speak directly, unfiltered and forthwith. In said elected or appointed capacity servants have no right to remain silent⁷.

The following are some of the charges against the treasonous conspirators: RICO⁸; Mail fraud 18 U.S. Code section §1341⁹ conspirators did use the Postal Service to remove files from the court record; Obstruction of Justice 18 U.S. Code §1503¹⁰ conspirators did communicate by memorandums and phone calls in an endeavor to

⁷ "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . ." U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932.

⁸ **18 U.S. Code Chapter 96 - RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS**; § 1961 – Definitions "racketeering activity" means any act which is indictable under 18 U.S. Code section §1341, §1503, §1510, §1512

⁹ **18 U.S. Code §1341** Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service.

¹⁰ **18 U.S. Code §1503** (relating to obstruction of justice), Influencing or injuring officer or juror generally (a) Whoever corruptly, or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, endeavors to influence, intimidate, or impede any grand or petit juror Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service.

impede the Common Law Grand Jury; Obstruction of criminal investigations, 18 U.S. Code §1510¹¹ conspirators did knowingly and with intent to obstruct the Common Law Grand Jury investigation and judicial proceeding by refusing to answer questions and file judicial documents; Obstructing official proceeding 18 U.S. Code §1512¹² conspirators did corruptly persuaded all NY county and court clerks to withhold and conceal documents from official proceedings.

XIV Amendment Section 3 says: “No person shall ... hold any office, civil or military, under the United States, or under any State, who, having previously taken an oath, ... as an executive or judicial officer of any State, to support the Constitution of the United States, shall have engaged in insurrection or rebellion against the same...” therefore all the aforementioned perpetrators are impostors and it is your duty to communicate with the Grand Jury in writing immediately for the purpose of filing the true bills with enforcement. This action would permit for Justice to be served by the constitutional powers of New York State and prevent the indictment upon the entire judiciary body of New York for your failure to comply with 18 USC §2382. This is a final opportunity for New York Judges to save the New York Republic without the United States Government’s intervention.

Please note that we filed for enforcement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York Case #1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH and this letter is a last ditch effort to offer an olive branch to the Judges of New York State to end the tyranny in the Peoples’ courts and secure the Republic on behalf of the People.

Signed and sealed on behalf of the UNIFIED NEW YORK COMMON LAW GRAND JURY.


Unified New York Common Law Grand Jury Foreman

¹¹ **18 U.S. Code §1510** (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), Whoever knowingly and with the intent to obstruct an investigation or judicial proceeding violates such prohibitions or requirements applicable by law to such person shall be imprisoned for not more than five years, fined under this title, or both.

¹² **18 U.S. Code § 1512** (relating to tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant), Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or corruptly persuades another person to withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object’s integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; evade legal process summoning that person to appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official proceeding; or be absent from an official proceeding to which such person has been summoned by legal process; hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge; Whoever corruptly alters, destroys, mutilates, or conceals a record, document, with the intent to impair the object’s integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

MEMORANDUM OF AUTHORITIES

THE PURPOSE of this memorandum is to clarify the authority by which we the People act upon. the process we executed and the process we intend to proceed upon.

The United States Supreme Court case Boyd v. United States in 1922 proclaims the remedy of today's problems, when they said; "*It is the duty of the courts to be watchful for encroachments against Constitutional rights*"; in Olmstead v. United States¹³ the court stated further: "*Decency, security and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our Government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the Government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy. To declare that, in the administration of the criminal law, the end justifies the means, to declare that the Government may commit crimes would bring terrible retribution. Against that pernicious doctrine this Court should resolutely set its face,*" and so should every New York Court do, but they will not, so the People will.

The present jury system has been seized by our servants that created a deceptive façade used to empower themselves and not the People. Bar schools teach judges and attorneys that statutes of men, far removed from the People, overrule the law of the land. While both prosecutor(s) and judge(s) impose their will upon judicially ignorant people as they require juries to interpret statutes as law without opportunity to nullify. Whereas common law requires that the jury should judge both law and facts. Bar attorneys are true believers that the People are incompetent in law when in fact it is they! We the People know the law while BAR attorneys know statutes as law.

Jefferson said: "*I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion by education. This is the true corrective of abuses of constitutional power.*" He also said: "*An enlightened citizenry is indispensable for the proper functioning of a republic. Self-government is not possible unless the citizens are educated sufficiently to enable them to exercise oversight. It is therefore imperative that the nation see to it that a suitable education be provided for all its citizens.*"

But our servants in government have deceitfully removed the education of "Self-government", who's motive can only be more power. Therefore we the People, 1000's of us across the nation, are Self-educating in order to perform our duty. We reject any servant who arrogantly claims the People incompetent and that only they know what's best for us. We need to remind you we have government by the consent of the People and not by the consent of our servants and/or your BAR.

The People through the US Constitution gave no legislative authority to codify the administration of the jury. Common law requires that juries be chosen from an unfiltered pool from among the People by the People. The people when debating the body of the constitution, after discussions concerning the jury in the [anti]/federalist papers, deliberately left said authority out of the body, and then by design included unfettered authority by the People in the Bill of Rights as expressed in the 5th, 6th, and 7th Amendments. Making it clear that it is the right of the people to administer to the jury for the trying of people and not government servants.

Bar lawyers will then say that, "*the bill of rights is for the federal courts only*", but this is where bar schools, for treasonous reasons I can only conclude, failed again by not teaching the law of the land, a/k/a supremacy clause, which is as follows:

This Constitution, and the **laws of the United States** which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the **supreme law of the land**; and the **judges in every state shall be bound thereby**, anything in the **Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding**. -- US Constitution Article VI

¹³ Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438, 1928

Therefore common law is expressed in the supreme law of the land, whereas statutes that control the behavior and powers of the People are expressed in repugnant statutes that are “*null and void*”. Marbury v. Madison, 5th US (2 Cranch) 137, 180:

It is the actions of our servants that are without lawful support, and that which you claim is legal, is unlawful. The assumptions that anyone, but our servants forming grand juries would lead to chaos and anarchy is both unfounded, self serving and treasonous. The idea that the legislature has established the method and process for forming grand juries and that the remedy of the People is the corrupt ballot box is also absurd and fraudulent.

Lysander Spooner, author of Trial by Jury, clearly a favorite read by past and present United States Supreme court Justices, in Chapter 5 said; “*The powers of juries are not granted to them, by the people themselves, on the supposition that they know the law better than the justices; but on the ground that the justices are untrustworthy, that they are exposed to bribes, are themselves fond of power and authority, and are also the dependent and subservient creatures of the legislature; and that to allow them to dictate the law, would not only expose the rights of parties to be sold for money, but would be equivalent to surrendering all the property, liberty, and rights of the people, unreservedly into the hands of arbitrary power, (the legislature,) to be disposed of at its pleasure.*”

In Chapter 6 he said; “*The term jury is a technical one, derived from the common law; and when the American constitutions provide for the trial by jury, they provide for the common law trial by jury; and not merely for any trial by jury that the government itself may chance to invent, and call by that name. It is the thing, and not merely the name, that is guaranteed. Any legislation, therefore, that infringes any essential principle of the common law, in the selection of jurors, is unconstitutional; and the juries selected in accordance with such legislation are, of course, illegal, and their judgments void, therefore the juries of the present day illegal*”

“*The powers of juries, therefore, not only place a curb upon the powers of legislators and judges, but imply also an imputation upon their integrity and trustworthiness; and these are the reasons why legislators and judges have formerly entertained the intense hatred of juries, and, so fast as they could do it without alarming the people for their liberties, have, by indirection, denied, undermined, and practically destroyed their power. And it is only since all the real power of juries has been destroyed, and they have become mere tools in the hands of legislators and judges, that they have become favorites with them. A Common Law jury, therefore, insures to us what no other court does --- that first and indispensable requisite in a judicial tribunal, integrity*”.

And in Chapter 7 Lysander Spooner said; “*The principle of chapter 28 of Magna Carta, as applicable to the governments of the United States of America, forbids that any officer appointed either by the executive or legislative power, or dependent upon them for their salaries, or responsible to them by impeachment, should preside over a jury in criminal trials. To have the trial a legal (that is by common law) and true trial by jury, the presiding officers must be chosen by the people, and be entirely free from all dependence upon, and all accountability to, the executive and legislative branches of the government. Therefore the foreman of the jury is properly the "Presiding Officer," so far as there is such an officer at all*”.

Our intention is to bring justice back into the Peoples’ out of control courts, that is destructive to the America envisioned by our founding fathers. Therefore the authority by which we act is in fact our inalienable right, is in fact founded, in that We the People are the posterity of our founding fathers, the inheritors of the documents that created the government that you serve in, and we resent the attitude that the People are not capable of self-government.

Whereas we read, Declaration of Independence: “*We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness ...” therein it is the Peoples’*

right, and it is our duty to alter that which is destructive to our Safety and Happiness by returning to common law juries and common law courts as it is written in the Constitution for the fifty united States of America.

This is further realized in the preamble of our constitution that it is “**The People that ordained and established the law**” where we read: “*We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.*” And with these absolutes we further submit the following authorities by which the judges in every state “**shall**” be bound:

The authority of the People to form and administer to grand and petit juries is an unalienable right protected and secured by the 5th 6th and 7th Amendments. Whereas we read: “*Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them*”. Miranda v. Arizona¹⁴. “*The state cannot diminish rights of the people.*” Hurtado v. The People of the State of California¹⁵. “*All laws, rules and practices which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void*” Marbury v. Madison, 1803¹⁶.

In most State Constitutions an impartial jury is guaranteed, obviously when the government administers to the jury it can no longer be considered impartial, but tainted. How can it be when the government seeking a conviction by government paid lawmakers, government paid judges, government paid prosecutors, and government controlled juries that they call the jury impartial?

In the case UNITED STATES v. WILLIAMS, 1992¹⁷; Justice Antonin Scalia, writing for the majority said: “*This Court's cases relying upon that power deal strictly with the courts' control over their own procedures, whereas the grand jury is an institution separate from the courts, over whose functioning the courts do not preside, rooted in long centuries of Anglo-American history, citing Hannah v. Larche¹⁸”.*

Justice Antonin Scalia continued, “*courts neither preserve nor enhance the traditional functioning of the grand jury that the "common law" of the Fifth Amendment demands. The grand jury is mentioned in the Bill of Rights, but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first three Articles. It 'is a constitutional fixture in its own right, citing United States v. Chanen, 1977 quoting Nixon v. Sirica, 1973¹⁹. In fact the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people, citing Stirone v. United States, 1960; Hale v. Henkel, 1906; G. Edwards, The Grand Jury pgs 28-32 1906²⁰”.*

Justice Antonin Scalia continued “*Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the judicial branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length. The grand jury's functional independence from the judicial branch is evident both in the scope of its power to investigate criminal wrongdoing, and in the manner in which that power is exercised. "Unlike a court, whose jurisdiction is predicated upon a specific case or controversy, the grand jury can investigate merely on suspicion that the law is being violated, or even because it wants assurance that it is not, citing United States v. R. Enterprises, 1991 quoting United States v. Morton Salt Co., 1950²¹. The Grand Jury need not identify the offender it suspects, or even the precise nature of the offense it is investigating, citing Blair v. United States, 1919²². The grand jury requires no authorization from its constituting court to initiate an investigation nor does the prosecutor require leave of court to seek a grand jury indictment, see Hale, supra²³. The grand jury in its day-to-day functioning generally operates without the interference of a presiding judge, see Calandra*

¹⁴ Miranda v. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491

¹⁵ Hurtado v. People of the State of California, 110 U.S. 516.

¹⁶ Marbury v. Madison, 5th US (2 Cranch) 137, 174, 176,(1803)

¹⁷ UNITED STATES v. WILLIAMS; 112 S.Ct. 1735 504 U.S. 36 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992)

¹⁸ Hannah v. Larche, 363 U.S. 420, 490, 80 S.Ct. 1502, 1544, 4 L.Ed.2d 1307 (1960)

¹⁹ United States v. Chanen, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting Nixon v. Sirica, 159 U.S.App.D.C. 58, 70, n. 54, 487 F.2d 700, 712, n. 54 (1973)), cert. denied, 434 U.S. 825, 98 S.Ct. 72, 54 L.Ed.2d 83 (1977)

²⁰ Stirone v. United States, 361 U.S. 212, 218, 80 S.Ct. 270, 273, 4 L.Ed.2d 252 (1960); Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 61, 26 S.Ct. 370, 373, 50 L.Ed. 652 (1906); G. Edwards, The Grand Jury 28-32 (1906)

²¹ United States v. R. Enterprises, 498 U.S. ----, ----, 111 S.Ct. 722, 726, 112 L.Ed.2d 795 (1991) (quoting United States v. Morton Salt Co., 338 U.S. 632, 642-643, 70 S.Ct. 357, 364, 94 L.Ed. 401 (1950))

²² Blair v. United States, 250 U.S. 273, 282, 39 S.Ct. 468, 471, 63 L.Ed. 979 (1919)

²³ Hale, supra, 201 U.S., at 59-60, 65, 26 S.Ct., at 373, 375

supra²⁴. *The grand jury swears in its own witnesses and deliberates in total secrecy*, see United States v. Sells Engineering, Inc.,²⁵. *We have insisted that the grand jury remain free to pursue its investigations unhindered by external influence or supervision so long as it does not trench upon the legitimate rights of any witness called before it*, citing United States v. Dionisio, 1973²⁶. *Recognizing this tradition of independence, we have said that the Fifth Amendment's constitutional guarantee presupposes an investigative body 'acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge*, citing Stirone, supra²⁷. *We have said that certain constitutional protections afforded defendants in criminal proceedings have no application before the Grand Jury*, citing Ex parte United States, 1932; United States v. Thompson, 1920²⁸. *We have twice suggested, though not held, that the Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not attach when an individual is summoned to appear before a grand jury, even if he is the subject of the investigation*". United States v. Mandujano, 1976; In re Groban, 1957; Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(d).²⁹

In conclusion Justice Antonin Scalia said "Given the grand jury's operational separateness from its constituting court, it should come as no surprise that we have been reluctant to invoke the judicial supervisory power as a basis for prescribing modes of grand jury procedure. Over the years, we have received many requests to exercise supervision over the grand jury's evidence-taking process, but we have refused them all, we declined to enforce the hearsay rule in grand jury proceedings, since that "would run counter to the whole history of the grand jury institution, in which laymen conduct their inquiries unfettered by technical rules"³⁰.

Hume calls the Trial by Jury "An institution admirable in itself, and the best calculated for the preservation of liberty and the administration of justice, that ever was devised by the wit of man."

Therefore "We the People", affirm and proclaim the unalienable right to consent or deny the actions of our elected servants through the Common Law Jury as our founding fathers provided for in the 5th, 6th, and 7th Amendments. As Justice Antonin Scalia put it; "*The Grand Jury is in effect a fourth branch of government "governed" and administered to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the Bill of Rights it is a constitutional fixture in its own right*³¹, *in fact the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people*". We the People demand that tyrant servants step aside.

On February 27th 2014 New York was the first state to constitute the reinstatement of the Common Law Juries in all sixty-two counties. By placing news releases in local papers in each county and inviting people by phone for a presentation and then a showing of hands to reinstate the Peoples unalienable right of the Common Law Jury. In all but one county, where two people declined it was unanimous.

Since then nine other states have joined New York in constituting the process in every county within their state, they are Florida, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Jersey, New Hampshire, Arizona, Massachusetts, Maryland and Maine; And we anticipate at least four other states; Washington, Oregon, Pennsylvania and Delaware before the end of May 2014 to be completely constituted. We the People are actively working in every state of the union to save the Republic by reinstating the Common Law Jury in every county and anticipate all the states to be constituted before the Fall 2014. The following is the Declaration of each American County.

²⁴ Calandra, *supra*, 414 U.S., at 343, 94 S.Ct., at 617.

²⁵ United States v. Sells Engineering, Inc., 463 U.S., at 424-425, 103 S.Ct., at 3138

²⁶ United States v. Dionisio, 410 U.S. 1, 17-18, 93 S.Ct. 764, 773, 35 L.Ed.2d 67 (1973).

²⁷ . . ." *Id.*, at 16, 93 S.Ct., at 773 quoting Stirone, supra, 361 U.S., at 218, 80 S.Ct., at 273

²⁸ See Ex parte United States, 287 U.S. 241, 250-251, 53 S.Ct. 129, 132, 77 L.Ed. 283 (1932); United States v. Thompson, 251 U.S. 407, 413-415, 40 S.Ct. 289, 292, 64 L.Ed. 333 (1920).

²⁹ United States v. Mandujano, 425 U.S. 564, 581, 96 S.Ct. 1768, 1778, 48 L.Ed.2d 212 (1976) (plurality opinion); In re Groban, 352 U.S. 330, 333, 77 S.Ct. 510, 513, 1 L.Ed.2d 376 (1957); see also Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(d).

³⁰ *Id.*, at 364, 76 S.Ct., at 409.

³¹ United States v. Chanen, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting Nixon v. Sirica, 159 U.S.App.D.C. 58, 70, n. 54, 487 F.2d 700, 712, n. 54 (1973)), cert. denied, 434 U.S. 825, 98 S.Ct. 72, 54 L.Ed.2d 83 (1977)

Declaration

We the people of [each American] County by the mercy and Grace of God having blessed us with the unalienable right of the people as Grand Jurors, secured by the V Amendment of the Bill of Rights for the United States of America, in order to establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity by the securing of Natural Law do ordain and establish this Grand Jury principled upon Justice, Honor and Grace for a perpetual administration of trust on behalf of the people.

On [date] the people of [each American] County of [each State] Constituted a Grand Jury by electing to reestablish the Peoples Jury to be filed with the county clerk and the court clerk.

This declaration by the consent of the people shall be sufficient for the establishment of this Grand Jury presented to the people and to be recorded with the County Clerk and the Supreme Court Clerk on this _____ day of _____ in the year of our Lord Two Thousand and Fourteen and in the two hundred and thirty eight year of our independence of the united States of America. In witness hereof by three:

S Witness #1 _____

E

A Witness #2 _____

L

 Witness #3 _____

CONSTITUTION OF A COMMON LAW GRAND JURY - Inasmuch as for the sake of God, for the bettering of our sovereignty, and for the more ready healing of the discord which has arisen between us and our civil servants, wishing to establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, and secure the blessings of liberty to enjoy forever in its entirety. The people may select at their pleasure twenty five people from the sovereignty, who ought, with all their strength, to observe, maintain; and cause to be observed, the peace and unalienable rights. If any of our civil servants shall have transgressed against any of the people in any respect and they shall ask us to cause that error to be amended without delay, or shall have broken some one of the articles of peace or security, and their transgression shall have been shown to four Jurors of the aforesaid twenty five and if those four Jurors are unable to settle the transgression they shall come to the twenty-five, showing to the Grand Jury the error which shall be enforced by the law of the land. [**MAGNA CARTA, JUNE 15, A.D. 1215, 61.**]

DUTY OF THE GRAND JURY - If anyone's unalienable rights have been violated, or removed, without a legal sentence of their peers, from their lands, home, liberties or lawful right, we [the twenty-five] shall straightway restore them. And if a dispute shall arise concerning this matter it shall be settled according to the judgment of the twenty-five Grand Jurors, the sureties of the peace. [**MAGNA CARTA, JUNE 15, A.D. 1215, 52.**]

AUTHORITY OF A COMMON LAW GRAND JURY - No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation. [**BILL OF RIGHTS AMENDMENT V**]

This right of Declaration of self rule was rejected by our arrogant servants who think they are the Masters. This is the Peoples peaceful Revolution to take back our Republic. America stands at the precipice, and if our hired servants who have taken hold of our house of justice continues to resist, thereby preventing the only institution capable of solving her problems. The People will not give up their Liberty and are willing to give their lives for Justice and their posterity. The People come with an olive branch, and to the alternative will meet force with equal force³².

President Kennedy said; *“A revolution is coming – a revolution which will be peaceful if we are wise enough; compassionate if we care enough; successful if we are fortunate enough – but a revolution which is coming whether we will it or not. We can affect its character; we cannot alter its inevitability”*. This great fallen hero and martyr before giving his life went on to say; *“Those who make peaceful revolution impossible will make violent revolution inevitable”*. It is at this precipice we stand today, it is for this purpose we are here today and we resolutely set our face, and by the grace of our God we will succeed today:

It has taken the people fifty years to realize and react to President Kennedy’s warning of the Revolution that unfolds before us, as we stand at that precipice, that will decide the fate of America. This court action is our peaceful and compassionate response in an endeavor to positively affect its character.

³² Plummer v. State, 136 Ind. 306.; John Bad Elk v. U.S., 177 U.S. 529.; Housh v. People, 75 111. 491; State v. Leach, 7 Conn. 452; State v. Gleason, 32 Kan. 245; Ballard v. State, 43 Ohio 349; State v Rousseau, 241 P. 2d 447; State v. Spaulding, 34 Minn. 3621.; Jones v. State, 26 Tex. App. I; Beaverts v. State, 4 Tex. App. 1 75; Skidmore v. State, 43 Tex. 93, 903.; State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260.; State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100.; Adams v. State, 121 Ga. 16, 48 S.E. 910; Runyan v. State, 57 Ind. 80; Miller v. State, 74 Ind. 1.

Chief Judge Jonathan Lippman
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Jenny Rivera
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Victoria Graffeo
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Robert A Smith
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Eugene F Pigott,
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Susan P. Read
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Associate Judge Sheila Abdus-Salaam
NY State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

Presiding Justice Luis A. Gonzalez
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Peter Tom
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice A. M. Mazzarella
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Ave
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice David Friedman
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice John W Sweeny
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Rolando T. Acosta
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Dianne T. Renwick
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Richard T Andrias
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice David B. Saxe
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Karla Moskowitz
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Leland G. DeGrasse
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Helen E. Freedman
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Rosalyn H. Richter
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Sallie Manzanet-
Daniels
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Paul G. Feinman
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Judith J. Gische
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Darcel D. Clark
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Associate Justice Barbara R. Kapnick
Appellate Division, 1st Department
27 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010

Presiding Justice Randall T. Eng
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Peter B. Skelos
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice William F. Mastro
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Reinaldo E. Rivera
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Mark C Dillon
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Ruth C. Balkin
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Plummer E. Lott
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Cheryl E. Chambers
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Thomas A. Dickerson
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice John M. Leventhal
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice L. Priscilla Hall
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Leonard B. Austin
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Robert J. Miller
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Sandra L. Sgroi
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Sheri S. Roman
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Jeffrey A. Cohen
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Sylvia O. Hinds-Redax
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Joseph J. Maltese
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Coleen Duffy
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Associate Justice Hector D. LaSalle
Appellate Division, 2nd Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Presiding Justice Karen K. Peters
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 511
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice John A. Lahtinen
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Leslie E. Stein
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Wm. E. McCarthy
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Elizabeth A. Garry
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Robert S. Rose
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice John C. Egan
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Michael C. Lynch
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Eugene P. Devine
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Associate Justice Christine M. Clark
Appellate Division Third Department
Abrams Bldg for Law and Justice
State Street, Room 51
Albany, New York 12223

Presiding Justice Henry J. Scudder
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Eugene M. Fahey
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Nancy E. Smith
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice John V. Centra
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Erin M. Peradotto
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Gerald J. Whalen
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Rose H. Sconiers
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Edward D. Carni
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Stephen K. Lindley
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Joseph D. Valentino
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Associate Justice Brian DeJoseph
Appellate Division, 4th Department
50 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14604

Judge Stephen W. Herrick
Albany County Court
6 Lodge Street
Albany, New York 12207

Judge Peter A. Lynch
Albany County Court
6 Lodge Street
Albany, New York 12207

Judge Beth Farwell
Allegany County Court
7 Court Street
Belmont, New York 14813-1084

Judge Joseph F Cawley
Broome County Court
65 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 13902

Judge Martin E Smith
Broome County Court
65 Hawley Street
Binghamton, New York 13902

Judge Michael Nenno
Cattaraugus County Court
One Leo Moss Drive
Olean, New York 14760

Supervising Judge Matthew Rosenbaum
Cayuga County Court
152 Genesee Street
Auburn, New York 13021

District Admin. Judge Craig J Doran
7th Judicial Dist.
520 Hall of Justice
Rochester, New York 14614

Supervising Judge Francis Affronti
7th Judicial Dist.
Hall of Justice
99 Exchange Street
Rochester, New York 14614

Judge John Ward
Chautauqua County Courthouse
PO Box 292
3 North Erie Street
Mayville, New York 14757-0292

Supreme Court Justice Judith F. O'Shea
Chemung County Courthouse
Hazlett Building
PO Box 588
Elmira, New York 14902-0588

Judge Frank B. Revoir
Chenango County Office Bldg.
5 Court Street
Norwich, New York 13815

Judge Patrick R. McGill
Clinton County Office Building
137 Margaret Street
Plattsburg, New York 12901

Judge Jonathan Nichols
Columbia County Court
621 State Route 23B
Claverack, New York 12513

Judge Richard Koweek
Columbia County Court
621 State Route 23B
Claverack, New York 12513

Judge Julie A. Campbell
Cortland County Court
46 Greenbush Street
Suite 301
Cortland, New York 13045-2772

Judge William F. Ames
Cortland County Court
46 Greenbush Street
Suite 301
Cortland, New York 13045-2772

Judge Carl F. Becker
Delaware County Court
3 Court Street
Delhi, New York 13753

Judge Peter M. Forman, AJSC
Dutchess County Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Judge Stephen Greller, AJSC
Dutchess County Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Justice James V. Brands
Dutchess Supreme Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Justice James D. Pagonis, AJSC
Dutchess Supreme Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Justice Maria G. Rosa
Dutchess Supreme Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Justice Christine A. Sproat
Dutchess Supreme Court
10 Market Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Judge Michael L. D'Amico
Erie County Court Building
Part 7-1st Floor
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

Judge Sheila A DiTullio
Erie County Court
Part 17-3rd Floor
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

Judge Thomas P. Franczyk
Erie County Court
Part 20-3rd Floor
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

Judge Michael Pietruszka
Erie County Court
Part 12-2nd Floor
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

Judge Kenneth Case
Erie County Court
Part 10-1st Floor
25 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

Judge Richard B Meyer
Essex County Courthouse
7559 Court Street
PO Box 217
Elizabethtown, New York 12932

Justice John Ellis
Franklin County Supreme Court
36 Lake Street
PO Box 900
Tupper Lake, New York 12986

Judge Robert G. Main
Franklin County Courthouse
355 West Main Street
Malone, New York 12953

Justice Richard T. Aulisi
Fulton County Supreme Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, New York 12095

Judge Richard C. Giardino
Fulton County Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, New York 12095

Judge Polly A. Hoye
Fulton County Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, New York 12095

Judge Robert C. Noonan
Genesee County Courts
1 West Main Street
Batavia, New York 14020

Judge Charles M. Taulleur
Greene County Court
320 Main Street
Catskill, New York 12414

Judge Terry J Wilhelm
Greene County Court
320 Main Street
Catskill, New York 12414

Support Magistrate John Stegmayer
Greene County Court
320 Main Street
Catskill, New York 12414

Judge S. Peter Feldstein
Hamilton County Court
139 White Birch Lane
PO Box 780
Indian Lake, New York 12842

Justice Norman I. Siegel
Herkimer County Supreme Court
301 N. Washington Street
Herkimer, New York 13350

Justice Erin P Gall
Herkimer County Supreme Court
301 N. Washington Street
Herkimer, New York 13350

Judge John H. Crandall
Herkimer County Court
301 N. Washington Street
Herkimer, New York 13350

Support Magistrate G. Stephen Getman
Herkimer County Court
301 N. Washington Street
Herkimer, New York 13350

Justice Hugh A. Gilbert
Jefferson County Supreme Court
State Office Building
317 Washington Street
Watertown, New York 13601

Justice James P. McClusky
Jefferson County Supreme Court
State Office Building
317 Washington Street
Watertown, New York 13601

Judge Kim H. Martusewicz
Jefferson County Court
Jefferson County Court Complex
163 Arsenal Street
Watertown, New York 13601

Justice Charles C Merrell
Lewis County Supreme Court
7660 State Street
Lowville, New York 13367

Judge Daniel R. King
Lewis County Court
7660 State Street
Lowville, New York 13367

Support Magistrate Todd McIntyer
Lewis County Court
7660 State Street
Lowville, New York 13367

Judge Biagio DiStefano
Madison County Courthouse
North Court Street
PO Box 545
Wampsville, New York 13163

Justice Joseph M Sise
Montgomery County Courthouse
58 Broadway
PO Box 1500
Fonda, New York 12068

Judge Felix J. Catena
Montgomery County Court
58 Broadway
PO Box 1500
Fonda, New York 12068

Judge Guy P. Tomlinson
Montgomery County Court
58 Broadway
PO Box 1500
Fonda, New York 12068

Justice Thomas A. Adams
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Stacy Bennett
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Antonio Brandveen
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Jeffrey S. Brown
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Robert A Bruno
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Stephen A. Bucaria
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Vito M. DeStefano
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Arthur M. Diamond
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Thomas Feinman
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice John Michael Galasso
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Jeffrey A. Goodstein
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Angela Iannacci
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Steven M. Jaeger
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Norman Janowitz
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Gary F. Knobel
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Roy S. Mahon
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Anthony Marano
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Randy Sue Marber
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Edward A Maron
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice James McCormack
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Jerome C. Murphy
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Karen Murphy
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Daniel Palmieri
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Sondra K. Pardes
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Anthony L Parga
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Thomas P. Phelan
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Margaret C. Reilly
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Denise Sher
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Leonard Steinman
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice F. Dana Winslow
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Michele M. Woodward
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Hope Zimmerman
Nassau County Supreme Court
100 Supreme Court Drive
100 Supreme Court Drive
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Christopher Quinn
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Jerald S. Carter
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Angelo A. Deligatti
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice William Donnino
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Philip Grella
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Helene Gugerty
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Frank A. Gulotta
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Patricia Harrington
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Alan L. Honorof
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Anthony Paradiso
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice George R. Peck
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Tammy S. Robbins
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge Robert H. Spergel
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Supervising Judge Norman St. George
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Judge David P. Sullivan
Nassau County Court
262 Old Country Road
Mineola, New York 11501

Justice Ralph A. Boniello III
Niagara County Supreme Court
775 Third Street
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

Justice Frank Caruso
Niagara County Supreme Court
775 Third Street
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

Justice Mark A. Montour
Niagara County Supreme Court
775 Third Street
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

Justice Richard C. Kloch, Sr
Niagara County Court
175 Hawley Street
Lockport, New York 14094

Judge Matthew Murphy
Niagara County Court
175 Hawley Street
Lockport, New York 14094

Justice Bernadette Clark
Oneida County Supreme Court
200 Elizabeth Street
Utica, New York 13501

Justice David A. Murad
Oneida County Supreme Court
200 Elizabeth Street
Utica, New York 13501

Judge Louis P. Gigliotti
Oneida County Court
800 Park Avenue
8th Floor
Utica, New York 13501

Judge Barry M. Donalty
Oneida County Court
200 Elizabeth Street
Utica, New York 13501

Judge Michael L. Dwyer, CCJ
Oneida County Court
200 Elizabeth Street
Utica, New York 13501

Justice James C. Tormey
Onondaga County Supreme Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Justice Donald F. Cerio
Onondaga County Supreme Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Justice Brian F. DeJoseph
Onondaga County Supreme Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Justice Donald A. Greenwood
Onondaga County Supreme Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Justice Deborah H. Karalunas
Onondaga County Supreme Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Judge Ava S. Raphael
Onondaga County Court
401 Montgomery Street
Syracuse, New York 13202

Justice Catherine M Bartlett
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Justice John Colangelo
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Justice Robert A. Onofry
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Justice Sandra Sciortino
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Justice Elaine Slobod
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Justice Maria S. Vazquez-Doles
Orange County Supreme Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Judge Jeffrey G. Berry AJSC
Orange County Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Judge Nicholas DeRosa, AJSC
Orange County Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Judge Robert H. Freeman, AJSC
Orange County Court
285 Main Street
Goshen, New York 10924

Judge James P. Punch
Orleans County Court
Courthouse Square
1 South Main Street, Suite 3
Albion, New York 14411-1497

Justice Norman W. Seiter
Oswego County Supreme Court
25 East Oneida Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Justice James W. McCarthy
Oswego County Sepreme Court
25 East Oneida Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Judge Walter W. Hafner, Jr
Oswego County Court
25 East Oneida Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Judge Donald E. Todd
Oswego County Court
25 East Oneida Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Judge Brian D. Burns
Otsego County Court
197 Main Street, 2nd Floor
Cooperstown, New York 13326

Judge John F. Lambert
Otsego County Court
197 Main Street, 2nd Floor
Cooperstown, New York

Justice Lewis Lubell
Putnam County Supreme Court
20 Carmel Center
Carmel, New York 10512

Justice Victor Grossman
Putnam County Supreme Court
20 Carmel Center
Carmel, New York 10512

Judge James F. Reitz, AJSC
Putnam County Court
20 Carmel Center
Carmel, New York 10512

Judge James T. Rooney, AJSC
Putnam County Court
20 Carmel Center
Carmel, New York 10512

Judge George B. Ceresia, Jr
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Patrick J. McGrath
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Raymond Elliott
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Richard J. McNally, Jr
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Michael Melkonian
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Henry F. Zwack
Rensselaer County Supreme Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Andrew G. Ceresia
Rensselaer County Court
Rensselaer County Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Judge Debra J. Young
Rensselaer County Court
Rensselaer County Court
80 Second Street
Troy, New York 12180

Justice Victor J Alfieri
Rockland County Supreme Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Justice Robert M. Berliner
Rockland County Supreme Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Justice Margaret Garvey
Rockland County Supreme Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Justice William A. Kelly
Rockland County Supreme Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Justice Gerald E. Loehr
Rockland County Supreme Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Judge Charles A. Apotheker, AJSC
Rockland County Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Judge William K Nelson, AJSC
Rockland County Court
1 South Main Street
New City, New York 10956

Justice David Demarest
St Lawrence County Supreme Court
48 Court Street
Canton, New York 13617

Judge Jerome J Richards
St Lawrence County Court
48 Court Street
Canton, New York 13617

Justice Stephen A. Ferradino
Saratoga Supreme Court
PO Box 2380
Malta, New York 12020

Justice Thomas D. Nolan
Saratoga Supreme Court
433 Broadway
Suite 201
Saratoga Springs, New York 12866

Justice Ann C Crowell
Saratoga Supreme Court
Saratoga County Municipal Center
30 McMaster St. Bldg 3
Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Justice Robert J. Chauvin
Saratoga Supreme Court
Saratoga County Municipal Center
30 McMaster St. Bldg 3
Ballston Spa, New York 12020

Judge Richard Kupferman
Saratoga County Court
Saratoga County Municipal Center
30 McMaster St. Bldg 3
Ballston Spa, New York

Adm. Judge Vito C. Caruso
Schenectady County Supreme Court
65 South Broadway
Saratoga Springs, New York 12866

Judge Vincent J. Reilly, Jr
Schenectady County Supreme Court
County Judicial Building
612 State Street
Schenectady, New York 12305

Judge Christine M. Clark
Schenectady County Supreme Court
County Judicial Building
612 State Street
Schenectady, New York 12305

Judge Thomas Buchanan
Schenectady County Supreme Court
County Judicial Building
612 State Street
Schenectady, New York 12305

Judge Karen A. Drago
Schenectady County Court
County Judicial Building
612 State Street
Schenectady, New York 12305

Judge George R Bartlett III
Schoharie County Court
PO Box 669
Schoharie, New York 12157

Judge Dennis J. Morris
Schuyler County Court
105 Ninth Street, Unit 35
Watkins Glen, New York 14891

Judge Stephen M Behar
Suffolk County Supreme Court
John Cohalan Courthouse
400 Carleton Avenue
Central Islip, New York 11722

Justice Joseph Freneti
Suffolk County Supreme Court
1 Court Street
Riverhead, New York 11901

Justice Kristine Hanophy
Suffolk County Supreme Court
Cromarty Court Complex
210 Center Drive
Riverhead, New York 11901

Justice Ralph T. Gazzillo
Suffolk County Supreme Court
Oshrin Supreme Court Bldg
1 Court Street
Riverhead, New York 11901

Supervising Judge James Hudson
Suffolk County Court
Cromarty Court Complex
210 Center Street
Riverhead, New York 11901

Justice John Iliou
Suffolk County Supreme Court
Cohalan Courthouse
400 Carleton Avenue
Central Islip, New York 11722

Justice Jeffrey A. Spinner
Suffolk County Supreme Court
Oshrin Supreme Court Bldg
1 Court Street
Riverhead, New York 11901

Judge John J. Toomey Jr
Suffolk County Court
Cromarty Court Complex
210 Center Drive
Riverhead, New York 11901

Justice Stephan G. Schick
Sullivan County Supreme Court
Sullivan County Courthouse
414 Broadway
Monticello, New York 12701

Judge Frank LaBuda
Sullivan County Court
Sullivan County Courthouse
414 Broadway
Monticello, New York 12701

Judge Gerald Keene
Tioga County Court
16 Court Street
PO Box 307
Owego, New York 13827

Judge Joseph R. Cassidy
Tompkins County Court
320 N. Tioga Street
PO Box 70
Ithaca, New York 14851-0070

Judge John C. Rowley
Tompkins County Court
320 North Tioga Street
PO Box 70
Ithaca, New York 14851-0070

Justice James Gilpatrick
Ulster County Supreme Court
285 Wall Street
Kingston, New York 12401

Justice Christopher Cahill
Ulster County Supreme Court
285 Wall Street
Kingston, New York 12401

Judge Donald Williams
Ulster County Court
285 Wall Street
Kingston, New York 12401

Justice David B. Krogman
Warren County Supreme Court
Warren County Municipal Center
1340 State Route 9
Lake George, New York 12845

Justice Robert L. Miller
Warren County Supreme Court
Warren County Municipal Center
1340 State Route 9
Lake George, New York 12845

Judge John S. Hall, Jr
Warren County Court
Warren County Municipal Center
1340 State Route 9
Lake George, New York 12845

Justice Stan Pritzker
Washington County Supreme Court
383 Broadway
Fort Edward, New York 12828

Judge Kelly McKeighan
Washington County Court
383 Broadway
Fort Edward, New York 12828

Justice Alan D. Sheinkman
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Lester B. Adler
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Orazio R. Bellatoni
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Linda Christopher
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Francesca E. Connolly
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Robert M. Dibella
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Colleen D. Duffy
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Lawrence H. Ecker
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice William J. Giacomo
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Linda S. Jamieson
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Joan B. Lefkowitz
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Paul I. Marx
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice J. Emmett Murphy
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Francis A. Nicolai
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Mary Smith
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Bruce E. Tolbert
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Sam D. Walker
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Justice Charles D Wood
Westchester County Supreme Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge Susan Cacace, AJSC
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge Suan M. Capecci, AJSC
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge David F Everett
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge James W. Hubert AJSC
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601



Judge Barry H Warhit, AJSC
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge Barbara Gunther Zambelli, AJSC
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge David S. Zuckerman
Westchester County Court
111 Dr. ML King Blvd
White Plains, New York 10601

Judge Michael F. Griffith
Wyoming County Court
147 North Main Street
Warsaw, New York 14569

Judge Michael Mohun
Wyoming county Court
147 North Main Street
Warsaw, New York 14569



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

James T. Foley Courthouse; Suite 509;
445 Broadway; Albany, NY 12207

CASE NO. 1:14-CV-552 GTS/CFH

WRIT OF MANDAMUS

The United States District Court for the Northern District of New York orders all State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges; Court Clerks; County Clerks; County Sheriffs to obey the Law of the Land;

Article VI Clause 2. This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

The United States Constitution guarantees to every state in this union and the People thereof, a constitutional republican form of government that the judiciary and all oath takers must obey.

Therefore all State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges; Court Clerks; County Clerks; County Sheriffs and United States Marshals are so ordered as follows:

- i. All State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges are to obey the law of the land specifically defined in Article VI Clause 2
- ii. All State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges are to obey under penalty of 18 USC §2071, law of the land, are not to conceal, remove, mutilate any record, proceeding, paper, document, or other thing filed with the court clerk or county clerk.
- iii. All State, County, City, Town, and Villages Judges are to obey under penalty of 18 USC §1512, law of the land, are not to alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or intimidate, threaten, or corruptly persuades another person to withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding; or obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding.
- iv. All clerks under penalty of 18 USC § 2071, law of the land, are not to conceal, remove, mutilate any record, proceeding, paper, document, or other thing filed with the court or county.

- v. All clerks under penalty of 18 USC § 2076, law of the land, are to file and are not to refuse or neglects to make or forward any report, certificate, statement, or document as required by law.
- vi. Sheriffs took an oath to support and defend the United States Constitution, consequently as per Article VI clause 2 the Laws of the United States are to be obeyed. Therefore upon a sworn affidavit and or under seal of the 5th Amendments Common Law Grand Jury concerning violations, by judges and clerks, of 18 USC § 2071, 18 USC §1512, 18 USC § 2071, 18 USC § 2076 or any other law of the fifty United states of America are to arrest the same for said offences.
- vii. Should the Sheriff fail to perform his duties, upon a sworn affidavit and or under seal of the 5th Amendments Common Law Grand Jury concerning said violations the United States Marshal shall arrest the Sheriff and the Under Sheriff shall perform said duties in the Sheriff's place.
- viii. Finally, the grand jury is an institution separate from the courts, over whose functioning the courts do not preside, no "supervisory" judicial authority exists. It is a constitutional fixture in its own right belonging to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people. The grand jury operates in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, operating at arm's length from the judicial branch". The Fifth Amendment's "constitutional guarantee presupposes an investigative body 'acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge, unfettered by technical rules. Therefore judges and clerks have no authority to prevent, obstruct or interfere with the peoples' access into the courts, in order to operate in the courthouse for the administration of the Common Law Juries.

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

ENTER.

DATED: _____
